

ON INDEPENDENT DOMINATION IN PLANAR CUBIC GRAPHS

GHOLAMREZA ABRISHAMI ^a

MICHAEL A. HENNING ^{b,1}

AND

FREYDOON RAHBARNIA ^{a,2}

^a *Department of Applied Mathematics*
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad
P.O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran

^b *Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics*
University of Johannesburg
Auckland Park, 2006 South Africa

e-mail: gh.abrishamimoghadam@mail.um.ac.ir
mahenning@uj.ac.za
rahbarnia@um.ac.ir

Abstract

A set S of vertices in a graph G is an independent dominating set of G if S is an independent set and every vertex not in S is adjacent to a vertex in S . The independent domination number, $i(G)$, of G is the minimum cardinality of an independent dominating set. Goddard and Henning [Discrete Math. 313 (2013) 839–854] posed the conjecture that if $G \notin \{K_{3,3}, C_5 \square K_2\}$ is a connected, cubic graph on n vertices, then $i(G) \leq \frac{3}{8}n$, where $C_5 \square K_2$ is the 5-prism. As an application of known result, we observe that this conjecture is true when G is 2-connected and planar, and we provide an infinite family of such graphs that achieve the bound. We conjecture that if G is a bipartite, planar, cubic graph of order n , then $i(G) \leq \frac{1}{3}n$, and we provide an infinite family of such graphs that achieve this bound.

Keywords: independent domination number, domination number, cubic graphs.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69, 05C10.

¹Research supported in part by the South African National Research Foundation and the University of Johannesburg.

²Corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Barefoot, F. Harary and K.F. Jones, *What is the difference between the domination and independent domination numbers of a cubic graph?*, *Graphs Combin.* **7** (1991) 205–208.
doi:10.1007/BF01788145
- [2] P. Dorbec, M.A. Henning, M. Montassier and J. Southey, *Independent domination in cubic graphs*, *J. Graph Theory* **80** (2015) 329–349.
doi:10.1002/jgt.21855
- [3] W. Goddard and M.A. Henning, *Independent domination in graphs: A survey and recent results*, *Discrete Math.* **313** (2013) 839–854.
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2012.11.031
- [4] W. Goddard, M. A. Henning, J. Lyle and J. Southey, *On the independent domination number of regular graphs*, *Ann. Comb.* **16** (2012) 719–732.
doi:10.1007/s00026-012-0155-4
- [5] W. Goddard and J. Lyle, *Independent dominating sets in triangle-free graphs*, *J. Comb. Optim.* **23** (2012) 9–20.
doi:10.1007/s10878-010-9336-4
- [6] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs* (Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1998).
- [7] M.A. Henning, C. Löwenstein and D. Rautenbach, *Independent domination in sub-cubic bipartite graphs of girth at least six*, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **162** (2014) 399–403.
doi:10.1016/j.dam.2013.08.035
- [8] A.V. Kostochka, *The independent domination number of a cubic 3-connected graph can be much larger than its domination number*, *Graphs Combin.* **9** (1993) 235–237.
doi:10.1007/BF02988312
- [9] P.C.B. Lam, W.C. Shiu and L. Sun, *On independent domination number of regular graphs*, *Discrete Math.* **202** (1999) 135–144.
doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(98)00350-1
- [10] J. Lyle, *A note on independent sets in graphs with large minimum degree and small cliques*, *Electron. J. Combin.* **21** (2014) #P2.38.
- [11] O. Ore, *Theory of graphs*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* **38** (1962) 206–212.
doi:10.1090/coll/038
- [12] J. Southey and M.A. Henning, *Domination versus independent domination in cubic graphs*, *Discrete Math.* **313** (2013) 1212–1220.
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2012.01.003
- [13] T. Zhu and B. Wu, *Domination of maximal K_4 -minor free graphs and maximal $K_{2,3}$ -minor free graphs, and disproofs of two conjectures on planar graphs*, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **194** (2015) 147–153.
doi:10.1016/j.dam.2015.05.029

Received 2 August 2017
Revised 1 December 2017
Accepted 1 December 2017