Discussiones Mathematicae
Graph Theory xx (xxxx) 1–20
doi:10.7151/dmgt.2177

ON LOCAL ANTIMAGIC CHROMATIC NUMBER OF CYCLE-RELATED JOIN GRAPHS

Gee-Choon Lau

Faculty of Computer & Mathematical Sciences
Universiti Teknologi MARA (Segamat Campus)
85000, Johor, Malaysia

e-mail: geeclau@yahoo.com

Wai-Chee Shiu

Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong Baptist University
224 Waterloo Road, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong, P.R. China

e-mail: wcshiu@hkbu.edu.hk

and

Ho-Kuen Ng

Department of Mathematics, San José State University
San José CA 95192 USA

e-mail: ho-kuen.ng@sjsu.edu

Abstract

An edge labeling of a connected graph $G = (V, E)$ is said to be local antimagic if it is a bijection $f : E \to \{1, \ldots, |E|\}$ such that for any pair of adjacent vertices x and y, $f^+(x) \neq f^+(y)$, where the induced vertex label $f^+(x) = \sum f(e)$, with e ranging over all the edges incident to x. The local antimagic chromatic number of G, denoted by $\chi_{la}(G)$, is the minimum number of distinct induced vertex labels over all local antimagic labelings of G. In this paper, several sufficient conditions for $\chi_{la}(H) \leq \chi_{la}(G)$ are obtained, where H is obtained from G with a certain edge deleted or added. We then determined the exact value of the local antimagic chromatic number of many cycle-related join graphs.

Keywords: local antimagic labeling, local antimagic chromatic number, cycle, join graphs.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C78, 05C15.

1. INTRODUCTION

A connected graph $G = (V, E)$ is said to be *local antimagic* if it admits a *local antimagic edge labeling*, i.e., a bijection $f : E \to \{1, \ldots, |E|\}$ such that the induced vertex labeling $f^+ : V \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by $f^+(u) = \sum f(e)$ (with e ranging over all the edges incident to u) has the property that any two adjacent vertices have distinct induced vertex labels (see [1, 2]). Thus, f^+ is a coloring of G. Clearly, the order of G must be at least 3. The vertex label $f^+(u)$ is called the *induced color* of u under f (the *color* of u, for short, if no ambiguity occurs). The number of distinct induced colors under f is denoted by $c(f)$, and is called the *color number* of f. The *local antimagic chromatic number* of G, denoted by $\chi_{la}(G)$, is $\min\{c(f) : f$ is a local antimagic labeling of $G\}$.

Let $O_n = \overline{K_n}$ be the empty graph of order $n \geq 1$. For any graph G, the join graph $H = G \vee O_n$ is defined by $V(H) = V(G) \cup \{v_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ and $E(H) = E(G) \cup \{uv_j : u \in V(G), 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. In [1, Theorem 2.16], it was claimed that for any G with order $n \geq 4$,

$$\chi_{la}(G) + 1 \leq \chi_{la}(G \vee O_2) \leq \begin{cases} \chi_{la}(G) + 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \chi_{la}(G) + 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In [4], Lau *et al.* showed that there exists a graph G order $n \geq 3$ such that $\chi_{la}(G \vee O_2) - \chi_{la}(G) = 3 - n \leq 0$. This implies that the above lower bound is invalid. They then showed that $\chi_{la}(G + O_n) \geq \chi(G) + 1$ and the bound is sharp. Several sufficient conditions for the following conjecture to hold were also given.

Conjecture 1.1. *For $n \geq 1$, $\chi_{la}(G \vee O_n) \geq \chi_{la}(G) + 1$ if and only if $\chi(G) = \chi_{la}(G)$.*

Let $G - e$ (or $G + e$) be the graph G with an edge e deleted (or added). As a natural extension, we have obtained in this paper several sufficient conditions for $\chi_{la}(G - e) \leq \chi_{la}(G)$ (or $\chi_{la}(G + e) \leq \chi_{la}(G)$). We then determine the exact value of the local antimagic chromatic number of many cycle related join graphs. We shall use the notation $[a, b] = \{c \in \mathbb{Z} : a \leq c \leq b\}$, for integers $a \leq b$. Unless stated otherwise, all graphs considered in this paper are simple, undirected, connected and of order at least 3. Thus $\chi_{la}(G) \geq 2$ for any graph G. Interested readers may refer to Yu *et al.* [7] for local antimagic labeling of subcubic graphs without isolated edges.

For $m, n \geq 2$, it is well known that a magic (m, n)-rectangle exists if and only if $m \equiv n \pmod 2$ and $(m, n) \neq (2, 2)$ (see [3, 6]). Let $a_{i,j}$ be the (i, j)-entry of a magic (m, n)-rectangle with row constant $n(mn + 1)/2$ and column constant $m(mn + 1)/2$.

2. Bounds on Graphs with an Edge Deleted or Added

Observe that K_t, $t \geq 3$, is a complete t-partite graph with $\chi_{la}(K_t) = t$. The contrapositive of the following lemma gives a sufficient condition for a bipartite graph G to have $\chi_{la}(G) \geq 3$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a graph of size q. Suppose there is a local antimagic labeling of G inducing a 2-coloring of G with colors x and y, where $x < y$. Let X and Y be the numbers of vertices of colors x and y, respectively. Then G is a bipartite graph whose sizes of parts are X and Y with $X > Y$, and*

$$xX = yY = \frac{q(q+1)}{2}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Clearly G is bipartite. Each edge is incident with one vertex of color x and one vertex of color y. Hence we have the equation (1). Since $x < y$, $X > Y$. This completes the proof. ■

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose G is a d-regular graph of size q. If f is a local antimagic labeling of G, then $g = q + 1 - f$ is also a local antimagic labeling of G with $c(f) = c(g)$. Moreover, suppose $c(f) = \chi_{la}(G)$ and if $f(uv) = 1$ or $f(uv) = q$, then $\chi_{la}(G - uv) \leq \chi_{la}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in V(G)$. Here, $g^+(x) = d(q+1) - f^+(x)$ and $g^+(y) = d(q+1) - f^+(y)$. Therefore, $f^+(x) = f^+(y)$ if and only if $g^+(x) = g^+(y)$. Thus, g is also a local antimagic labeling of G with $c(g) = c(f)$.

If $f(uv) = q$, then we may consider $g = q+1-f$. So without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(uv) = 1$. Define $h : E(G - uv) \to [1, |E(G)| - 1]$ such that $h(e) = f(e) - 1$ for $e \neq uv$. So, $h^+(x) = f^+(x) - d$ for each vertex x of $G - uv$. Therefore, $f^+(x) = f^+(y)$ if and only if $h^+(x) = h^+(y)$. Thus, h is also a local antimagic labeling of G with $c(h) = c(f)$. Consequently, $\chi_{la}(G - uv) \leq \chi_{la}(G)$. ■

Note that if G is a regular edge-transitive graph, then $\chi_{la}(G - e) \leq \chi_{la}(G)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose G is a graph of size q and f is a local antimagic labeling of G. For any $x, y \in V(G)$, if*

(i) *$f^+(x) = f^+(y)$ implies that $\deg(x) = \deg(y)$, and*

(ii) *$f^+(x) \neq f^+(y)$ implies that $(q+1)(\deg(x) - \deg(y)) \neq f^+(x) - f^+(y)$,*
then $g = q + 1 - f$ is also a local antimagic labeling of G with $c(f) = c(g)$.

Proof. For any $x, y \in V(G)$, we have $g^+(x) = \deg(x)(q+1) - f^+(x)$ and $g^+(y) = \deg(y)(q+1) - f^+(y)$. Here $g^+(x) - g^+(y) = (q+1)(\deg(x) - \deg(y)) - (f^+(x) - f^+(y))$. If $f^+(x) = f^+(y)$, then condition (i) implies that $g^+(x) = g^+(y)$. If $f^+(x) \neq f^+(y)$, then condition (ii) implies that $g^+(x) \neq g^+(y)$. Thus, g is also a local antimagic labeling of G with $c(g) = c(f)$. ■

For $t \geq 2$, consider the following conditions for a graph G.

(i) $\chi_{la}(G) = t$ and f is a local antimagic labeling of G that induces a t-independent partition $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} V_i$ of $V(G)$.

(ii) For each $x \in V_k$, $1 \leq k \leq t$, $\deg(x) = d_k$ satisfying $f^+(x) - d_a \neq f^+(y) - d_b$, where $x \in V_a$ and $y \in V_b$ for $1 \leq a \neq b \leq t$.

(iii) There exist two non-adjacent vertices u, v with $u \in V_i, v \in V_j$ for some $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$ such that

  (a) $|V_i| = |V_j| = 1$ and $\deg(x) = d_k$ for $x \in V_k$, $1 \leq k \leq t$; or

  (b) $|V_i| = 1$, $|V_j| \geq 2$ and $\deg(x) = d_k$ for $x \in V_k$, $1 \leq k \leq t$ except that $\deg(v) = d_j - 1$; or

  (c) $|V_i| \geq 2$, $|V_j| \geq 2$ and $\deg(x) = d_k$ for $x \in V_k$, $1 \leq k \leq t$ except that $\deg(u) = d_i - 1$, $\deg(v) = d_j - 1$,

  each satisfying $f^+(x) + d_a \neq f^+(y) + d_b$, where $x \in V_a$ and $y \in V_b$ for $1 \leq a \neq b \leq t$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let H be obtained from G with an edge e deleted. If G satisfies conditions* (i) *and* (ii) *and $f(e) = 1$, then $\chi(H) \leq \chi_{la}(H) \leq t$.*

Proof. By definition, we have the lower bound. Define $g : E(H) \to [1, |E(H)|]$ such that $g(e') = f(e') - 1$ for each $e' \in E(H)$. Observe that g is a bijection with $g^+(x) = f^+(x) - d_k$ for each $x \in V_k$, $1 \leq k \leq t$. Thus, $g^+(x) = g^+(y)$ if and only if $x, y \in V_k$, $1 \leq k \leq t$. Therefore, g is a local antimagic labeling of H with $c(g) = c(f)$. Thus, $\chi_{la}(H) \leq t$. ■

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose $uv \notin E(G)$. Let H be obtained from G with an edge uv added. If G satisfies conditions* (i) *and* (iii), *then $\chi(H) \leq \chi_{la}(H) \leq t$.*

Proof. By definition, we have the lower bound. Define $g : E(H) \to [1, |E(H)|]$ such that $g(uv) = 1$ and $g(e) = f(e)+1$ for $e \in E(G)$. Observe that g is a bijection with $g^+(x) = f^+(x) + d_k$ for each $x \in V_k$, $1 \leq k \leq t$. Thus, $g^+(x) = g^+(y)$ if and only if $x, y \in V_k$, $1 \leq k \leq t$. Therefore, g is a local antimagic labeling of H with $c(g) = c(f)$. Thus, $\chi_{la}(H) \leq t$. ■

In [1, Theorem 2.11], the authors showed that for any two distinct integers $m, n \geq 2$, $\chi_{la}(K_{m,n}) = 2$ if and only if $m \equiv n \pmod 2$. Let $K^-_{m,n}$ be the graph $K_{m,n}$ with an edge deleted. From the proof of [1, Theorem 2.11] and by Lemma 2.4, the following result is obvious.

Corollary 2.6. *For any two distinct integers $m, n \geq 2$ and $m \equiv n \pmod 2$, $\chi_{la}(K^-_{m,n}) = 2$.*

3. Cycle-Related Join Graphs

Consider the join graph $C_m \vee O_n$ with $V(C_m) = \{u_i : 1 \le i \le m\}$, $V(O_n) = \{v_j : 1 \le j \le n\}$ and $E(C_m \vee O_n) = \{u_i u_{i+1} : 1 \le i \le m\} \cup \{u_i v_j : 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n\}$, where $u_{m+1} = u_1$. Let $e_i = u_i u_{i+1}$ for $1 \le i \le m$. So $e_m = u_m u_1$. We shall keep these notations in this section unless stated otherwise.

Theorem 3.1. *For odd $m, n \ge 3$, $\chi_{la}(C_m \vee O_n) = 4$.*

Proof. Define an edge labeling $f : E(C_m \vee O_n) \to [1, mn + m]$ such that $f(e_{2i-1}) = i$ $(1 \le i \le (m+1)/2)$ and $f(e_{2i}) = m + 1 - i$ $(1 \le i \le (m-1)/2)$ and that $f(u_i v_j)$ is the (i, j)-entry of a magic (m, n)-rectangle containing integers in $[m+1, mn+m]$ with row sum constant $n(mn+1)/2 + mn$ and column sum constant $m(mn+1)/2 + m^2$. One can check that

(i) $f^+(v_j) = m(mn+1)/2 + m^2$,
(ii) $f^+(u_1) = n(mn+1)/2 + mn + (m+3)/2$,
(iii) $f^+(u_i) = n(mn+1)/2 + mn + m + 1$ for even i, and
(iv) $f^+(u_i) = n(mn+1)/2 + mn + m + 2$ for odd $i \ge 3$.

Suppose $m \le n$. We have $m(mn+1)/2+m^2 < n(mn+1)/2+mn+(m+3)/2 < n(mn+1)/2 + mn + m + 1 < n(mn+1)/2 + mn + m + 2$. So, $\chi_{la}(G) \le 4$.

Suppose $m > n$. We have $m(mn+1)/2 + m^2 = n(mn+1)/2 + mn + (m-n)m + (m-n)(mn+1)/2 > n(mn+1)/2 + mn + m + 2$. So, $\chi_{la}(G) \le 4$.

Since $\chi_{la}(G) \ge \chi(G) = 4$, we have $\chi_{la}(G) = 4$. ∎

Corollary 3.2. *For odd $m, n \ge 3$, if $H = (C_m \vee O_n) - e$ where $e \notin E(C_m)$, then $\chi_{la}(H) = 4$.*

Proof. Note that $G = C_m \vee O_n$ has size $mn + m$ and every vertex belonging to C_m (or O_n) has degree $n + 2$ (or m). Let f be the local antimagic labeling as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. We can check that f satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.3. Therefore, $g = mn+m+1-f$ is also a local antimagic labeling of G with $c(g) = 4$ such that $g(e) = 1$ for an edge $e \notin E(C_m)$. It is straightforward to check the conditions of Lemma 2.4. By Lemma 2.4, we have $4 = \chi(H) \le \chi_{la}(H) \le 4$. Thus, the result holds. ∎

Theorem 3.3. *For $m \ge 2$ and $n \ge 1$, $\chi_{la}(C_{2m} \vee O_{2n}) = 3$.*

Proof. Let $G = C_{2m} \vee O_{2n}$. Define an edge labeling $f : E(G) \to [1, 4mn + 2m]$ such that $f(e_h) = h$ for $1 \le h \le 2m$ and $f(u_h v_k)$ is given below, for $1 \le h \le 2m$ and $1 \le k \le 2n$.

We define $f(u_1 v_1) = 2m + 1$ and $f(u_{2i-1} v_1) = 4m - 2i + 3$ for $2 \le i \le m$. For $1 \le i \le m$, define

(i) $f(u_{2i-1}v_2) = 6m - 2i + 1$;

(ii) $f(u_{2i-1}v_{2j-1}) = 2m(j-1) + 2i$ and $f(u_{2i-1}v_{2j}) = 2m(2n+1-j) - 2i + 2$, for $2 \le j \le n$,

(iii) $f(u_{2i}v_1) = 2m(2n+1) - 2i + 2$ and $f(u_{2i}v_2) = 4mn - 2i + 2$,

(iv) $f(u_{2i}v_{2j-1}) = 2m(2n-j+3) - 2i + 1$ and $f(u_{2i}v_{2j}) = 2m(j+1) + 2i - 1$, for $2 \le j \le n$.

One may check that f is a bijection. Observe that

(i) $f(u_{2i-1}v_1) + f(u_{2i-1}v_2) = 10m - 4i + 4$ and $f(u_{2i}v_1) + f(u_{2i}v_2) = 8mn + 2m - 4i + 4$ for $1 \le i \le m$,

(ii) $f(u_{2i}v_{2j-1}) + f(u_{2i}v_{2j}) = 4m(n+2)$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and $2 \le j \le n$,

(iii) $f(u_{2i-1}v_{2j-1}) + f(u_{2i-1}v_{2j}) = 4mn + 2$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and $2 \le j \le n$.

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^+(u_1) &= f(e_1) + f(e_{2m}) + f(u_1v_1) + f(u_1v_2) + \sum_{j=2}^{n}(4mn+2) \\
&= 4mn^2 - 4mn + 2n + 10m - 1; \\
f^+(u_{2i-1}) &= f(e_{2i-2}) + f(e_{2i-1}) + (10m - 4i + 4) + \sum_{j=2}^{n}(4mn+2) \\
&= (4i-3) + (10m - 4i + 4) + (4mn+2)(n-1) \\
&= 4mn^2 - 4mn + 2n + 10m - 1 \text{ if } 2 \le i \le m; \\
f^+(u_{2i}) &= f(e_{2i-1}) + f(e_{2i}) + (8mn + 2m - 4i + 4) + \sum_{j=2}^{n} 4m(n+2) \\
&= (8mn + 2m + 3) + 4m(n+2)(n-1) \\
&= 4mn^2 + 12mn - 6m + 3 \text{ if } 1 \le i \le m,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^+(v_1) &= (2m+1) + \sum_{i=2}^{m}(4m - 2i + 3) + \sum_{i=1}^{m}(4mn + 2m - 2i + 2) \\
&= 4m^2n + 4m^2 + m; \\
f^+(v_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m}(4mn + 6m - 4i + 3) = 4m^2n + 4m^2 + m; \\
f^+(v_k) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m}(4mn + 4m + 1) = 4m^2n + 4m^2 + m \text{ if } 3 \le k \le 2n.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let $g_1 = f^+(u_{2i-1}) = 4mn^2 - 4mn + 2n + 10m - 1$, $g_2 = f^+(u_{2i}) = 4mn^2 + 12mn - 6m + 3$, and $g_3 = f^+(v_j) = 4m^2n + 4m^2 + m$. Clearly, $g_1 < g_2$.

Suppose $n \geq m$. We have $g_2 - g_3 = 4mn(n-m) + m(12n - 4m - 7) + 6 > 0$. Suppose $m > n$. $g_3 - g_2 = 4mn(m-n-2) + m(4m-4n+7) - 3$. When $m - n \geq 2$, clearly $g_3 > g_2$. For $m - n = 1$, $g_3 - g_2 = -4m^2 + 15m - 3 \neq 0$.

We now consider $g_3 - g_1 = 2n[2m(m-n) - 1] + m(4n + 4m - 9) + 1$. If $m \geq n$, then $g_3 - g_1 \geq 2n(m-1) + m(2n + 4m - 9) + 1 > 0$. Suppose $n > m$. Now $g_1 - g_3 = 4mn(n-m-2) + 4m(n-m) + 2n + 9m - 1 > 0$ when $n - m \geq 2$. When $n - m = 1$, $g_1 - g_3 = -4m^2 + 11m + 1 \neq 0$.

Thus, $\chi_{la}(G) \leq 3$. Since $\chi_{la}(G) \geq \chi(G) = 3$, we have $\chi_{la}(G) = 3$. ■

Corollary 3.4. *For $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$, if $H = (C_{2m} \vee O_{2n}) - e$, then $\chi_{la}(H) = 3$, where e is an edge of $C_{2m} \vee O_{2n}$.*

Proof. Note that $G = C_{2m} \vee O_{2n}$ has size $4mn + 2m$ where every vertex belonging to C_{2m} (or O_{2n}) has degree $2n + 2$ (or $2m$). Let f be the local antimagic labeling as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.3. Suppose $e \in E(C_{2m})$. It is straightforward to check that f satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.4. Thus, we have $3 = \chi(H) \leq \chi_{la}(H) \leq 3$. Suppose $e \notin E(C_{2m})$. We can check that f satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.3. Therefore, $g = 4mn + 2m + 1 - f$ is also a local antimagic labeling of G with $c(g) = 3$ such that $g(e) = 1$. It is straightforward to check the conditions of Lemma 2.4. By Lemma 2.4, we have $3 = \chi(H) \leq \chi_{la}(H) \leq 3$. Thus, the result holds. ■

Since for odd $m, n \geq 3$, $\chi_{la}(C_m \vee O_n) = \chi_{la}(C_m) + 1 = \chi(C_m) + 1$, and for even $n \geq 2$, $\chi_{la}(C_m \vee O_n) = \chi_{la}(C_m) = \chi(C_m) + 1$, Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 provide further evidence that Conjecture 1.1 holds.

Note that $C_m \vee O_1 = W_m$, the wheel graph of order $m + 1 \geq 4$. In [4, Theorem 3.1], the authors proved that $\chi_{la}(W_m) = 3$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. In [1, Theorem 2.14], the authors proved that $\chi_{la}(W_m) = 3$ if $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, and $\chi_{la}(W_m) = 4$ if m is odd. We note that for $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, the defined local antimagic labeling f (or f_3 in the proof) has three errors that should be corrected as $f(v_i v) = (8m + 5 - i)/4$ for $i \equiv 1 \pmod 4, i \neq 1$; $f(v_i v) = (7m + 4 - i)/4$ for $i \equiv 3 \pmod 4$; and $f^+(v_i) = (11m + 13)/4$ for odd $i \neq 1$. Moreover, for $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, the induced vertex label for v_i, $i \neq 1$ is odd, should be $9(m+1)/4$.

Theorem 3.5.

$$\chi_{la}(W_4 - e) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } e \notin E(C_4), \\ 4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The graph in Figure 1 shows that $W_4 - e$ admits a local antimagic labeling f with $c(f) = 3$ so that $\chi_{la}(W_4 - e) = 3$ if $e \notin E(C_4)$.

Suppose $e \in E(C_4)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $e = u_4 u_1$. Suppose there were a local antimagic labeling f of $W_4 - e$ with $c(f) = 3$. Then

$f^+(v_1) = c$, $f^+(u_1) = f^+(u_3) = a$ and $f^+(u_2) = f^+(u_4) = b$, where a, b, c are distinct.



Figure 1. $W_4 - e$.

Clearly

$$28 = \sum_{i=1}^{7} i = 2a + f(v_1u_2) + f(v_1u_4) = 2b + f(v_1u_1) + f(v_1u_3). \tag{2}$$

Thus, $f(v_1u_2) \equiv f(v_1u_4) \pmod 2$ and $f(v_1u_1) \equiv f(v_1u_3) \pmod 2$.

It is easy to check that $\{f(u_1u_2), f(u_2u_3), f(u_3u_4)\} \neq \{2, 4, 6\}$. So we may assume that $f(v_1u_1)$ and $f(v_1u_3)$ are odd, and $f(v_1u_2)$ and $f(v_1u_4)$ are even. Under these conditions and from (2) we have $9 \leq a \leq 11$ and $8 \leq b \leq 12$.

1. Suppose $a = 9$. Then $f(v_1u_2) + f(v_1u_4) = 10$ and hence $\{f(v_1u_2), f(v_1u_4)\} = \{4, 6\}$. This implies that $f(u_1u_2) = 2$ and $f(v_1u_1) = 7$. If $f(v_1u_2) = 4$ and $f(v_1u_4) = 6$, then $f(u_2u_3) = f(u_3u_4)$ which is impossible. Thus $f(v_1u_2) = 6$ and $f(v_1u_4) = 4$. This implies that $9 \leq 2+6+f(u_2u_3) = b = 4+f(u_3u_4) \leq 9$. Hence $b = 9 = a$ which is a contradiction.
2. Suppose $a = 10$. We have $\{f(v_1u_1), f(u_1u_2)\} = \{3, 7\}$ and $\{f(v_1u_3), f(u_2u_3), f(u_3u_4)\} = \{1, 4, 5\}$. Since $f(v_1u_2)+f(v_1u_4) = 8$, $\{f(v_1u_2), f(v_1u_4)\} = \{2, 6\}$. Since $b \geq 8$, $f(v_1u_4) = 6$. Hence $f(v_1u_2) = 2$. Since $a \neq b$, $f(u_3u_4) = 5$ and hence $f(u_2u_3) = 4$. Now $f^+(u_2) \neq b = 11$, which is a contradiction.
3. Suppose $a = 11$. We have $f(v_1u_2) + f(v_1u_4) = 6$. This implies that $\{f(v_1u_2), f(v_1u_4)\} = \{2, 4\}$. Since 4 is occupied and $f(v_1u_1)+f(u_1u_2) = 11$, $f(v_1u_1) = 5$ and $f(u_1u_2) = 6$. Also we have $\{f(v_1u_3), f(u_2u_3), f(u_3u_4)\} = \{1, 3, 7\}$. Since $b \geq 8$, $f(u_3u_4) = 7$. Since $b \neq a$, $f(v_1u_4) = 2$. Now $b = 9$ and $f^+(u_2) \geq 10$ which yields a contradiction.

As a conclusion, $\chi_{la}(W_4 - e) \geq 4$. Note that from the discussion above, we have obtained a local antimagic labeling g for $W_4 - e$ with $c(g) = 4$. ∎

Theorem 3.6. *Let e be an edge of W_m. For even $m \geq 6$, $\chi_{la}(W_m - e) = 3$.*

Proof. Consider $m = 6$. In Figure 2, we have the local antimagic labelings f with $c(f) = 3$ for the two cases of $W_6 - e$.



Figure 2. $W_6 - e$ with $c(f) = 3$.

Thus, $\chi_{la}(W_6 - e) = 3$.

Consider $m \geq 8$. We have two cases.

Case (a) $e \in E(C_m)$. By [4, Theorem 3.1] and [1, Theorem 2.14] and the proofs, we have $\chi_{la}(W_m) = 3$ such that the corresponding local antimagic labeling f has $f(u_1u_2) = 1$. By symmetry we may let $e = u_1u_2$. By Lemma 2.4, we get $\chi_{la}(W_m - e) \leq 3$. Since $\chi_{la}(W_m - e) \geq \chi(W_m - e) = 3$, $\chi_{la}(W_m - e) = 3$.

Case (b) $e \notin E(C_m)$. For $m = 8$, the graph in Figure 3(a) shows that $W_8 - e$ admits a local antimagic labeling g with $c(g) = 3$. Thus, $\chi_{la}(W_8 - e) = 3$.

Consider $m \geq 10$. By [4, Theorem 3.1] and [1, Theorem 2.14] and the proofs, we know that W_m admits a local antimagic labeling f with $f(v_1u_2) = 2m$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, and $f(v_1u_4) = 2m$ if $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$. By symmetry we may let $e = v_1u_2$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, and $e = v_1u_4$ if $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$. It is straightforward to check the conditions of Lemma 2.4. By Lemma 2.4, we get $\chi_{la}(W_m - e) \leq 3$. Since $\chi_{la}(W_m - e) \geq \chi(W_m - e) = 3$, $\chi_{la}(W_m - e) = 3$. ∎



(a) $W_8 - v_1u_1$. (b) $W_5 - v_1u_5$. (c) $W_7 - v_1u_7$.

Figure 3. Some wheels with a spoke deleted.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose $m \geq 3$ is odd. If $e \notin E(C_m)$, then*

$$\chi_{la}(W_m - e) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{for } m = 3, 5, 7; \\ 4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $e \in E(C_m)$*, then* $3 \le \chi_{la}(W_m - e) \le 4$.

Proof. Suppose $e \notin E(C_m)$. Note that $\chi_{la}(W_m - e) \ge \chi(W_m - e) = 3$. Suppose the equality holds. Let $m = 2k+1$ and f is a local antimagic labeling of $W_{2k+1} - e$ with $c(f) = 3$. Without loss of generality, assume $e = v_1u_{2k+1}$. Thus, we must have $f^+(v_1) = f^+(u_{2k+1}) \ne f^+(u_1) = f^+(u_3) = \cdots = f^+(u_{2k-1}) \ne f^+(u_2) = f^+(u_4) = f^+(u_{2k})$. Thus, $k(2k+1) \le f^+(v_1) = f^+(u_{2k+1}) \le 8k+1$ giving us $1 \le k \le 3$. Thus, $\chi_{la}(W_m - e) \ge 4$ for $m \ge 9$. For $m = 3$, $W_3 - e \cong K_{1,1,2}$. The labeling is obvious. For $m = 5$, the labeling in Figure 3(b) shows that $\chi_{la}(W_5 - v_1u_5) = 3$. For $m = 7$, the labeling in Figure 3(c) shows that $\chi_{la}(W_7 - v_1u_7) = 3$.

Consider $m \ge 9$. By [1, Theorem 2.14] and the proof, we know that W_m admits a local antimagic labeling f with $c(f) = 4$. Moreover, $f(v_1u_5) = 2m$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, and $f(v_1u_2) = 2m$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. It is straightforward to check the conditions of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. By Lemma 2.3, we know W_m admits a local antimagic labeling g with $g(v_1u_5) = 1$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, and $g(v_1u_2) = 1$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. By Lemma 2.4, we get $\chi_{la}(W_m - e) = 4$.

Suppose $e \in E(C_m)$. By [1, Theorem 2.14] and the proof, together with Lemma 2.4, we know that $\chi_{la}(W_m - e) \le 4$. ■

Theorem 3.8. *For odd* $m, n \ge 3$*,* $\chi_{la}(C_m \vee C_n) = 6$.

Proof. Since $C_m \vee C_n$ and $C_n \vee C_m$ are isomorphic, we may assume that $n \le m$. Suppose $V(C_m \vee C_n) = V(C_m \vee O_n)$ and $E(C_m \vee C_n) = E(C_m \vee O_n) \cup \{e'_j = v_jv_{j+1} : 1 \le j \le n\}$ as in Theorem 3.1, where $v_{n+1} = v_1$. Let f be the local antimagic labeling of $C_m \vee O_n$ defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Define an edge labeling $g : E(C_m \vee C_n) \to [1, m + mn + n]$ such that $g(e) = f(e)$ for $e \in E(C_m \vee O_n)$ and $g(e'_j) = m + mn + f(e_j)$. One may check that g is a bijection. Moreover,

(i) $g^+(u_1) = g_1 = n(mn+1)/2 + mn + (m+3)/2$,
(ii) $g^+(u_i) = g_2 = n(mn+1)/2 + mn + m + 1$ for even i,
(iii) $g^+(u_i) = g_3 = n(mn+1)/2 + mn + m + 2$ for odd $i \ge 3$,
(iv) $g^+(v_1) = g_4 = m(mn+1)/2 + m^2 + 2(m+mn) + (n+3)/2$,
(v) $g^+(v_j) = g_5 = m(mn+1)/2 + m^2 + 2(m+mn) + n + 1$ for even j, and
(vi) $g^+(v_j) = g_6 = m(mn+1)/2 + m^2 + 2(m+mn) + n + 2$ for odd $j \ge 3$.

Clearly $g_k < g_{k+1}$ for $1 \le k \le 5$. Thus, $\chi_{la}(C_m \vee C_n) \le 6$. Since $\chi_{la}(C_m \vee C_n) \ge \chi(C_m \vee C_n) = \chi(C_m) + \chi(C_n) = 6$, we have $\chi_{la}(C_m \vee C_n) = 6$. ■

In [5], Haslegrave proved that every connected graph $G \ne K_2$ admits a local antimagic labeling which implies that $\chi_{la}(K_n) = n$ for all $n \ge 3$. We now consider the join graph $C_m \vee K_n$ with $V(C_m \vee K_n) = V(C_m \vee O_n)$ and

$E(C_m \vee K_n) = E(C_m \vee O_n) \cup \{v_iv_j : 1 \le i < j \le n\}$. In [1], the authors showed that $\chi_{la}(C_m \vee K_1) = 4$ for odd $m \ge 3$.

Theorem 3.9. *For odd $m, n \ge 3$, $\chi_{la}(C_m \vee K_n) = n + 3$.*

Proof. Let f be the local antimagic labeling of $C_m \vee O_n$ defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $h : E(K_n) \to [1, n(n-1)/2]$ be a local antimagic labeling of K_n. Note that $h^+(v_j)$ are distinct for $1 \le j \le n$. Define an edge labeling $g : E(C_m \vee K_n) \to [1, mn + m + n(n-1)/2]$ such that $g(e) = f(e)$ for $e \in E(C_m \vee O_n)$ and $g(e) = h(e) + mn + m$ for $e \in E(K_n)$. Note that $g^+(v_j) = f^+(v_j) + h^+(v_j) + (n-1)(mn+n)$. Since $f^+(v_j)$ are the same and $h^+(v_j)$ are distinct, $g^+(v_j)$ are distinct for $1 \le j \le n$.

Moreover,

(i) $g^+(u_1) = n(mn+1)/2 + mn + (m+3)/2$,

(ii) $g^+(u_i) = n(mn+1)/2 + mn + m + 1$ for even i,

(iii) $g^+(u_i) = n(mn+1)/2 + mn + m + 2$ for odd $i \ge 3$, and

(iv) $g^+(v_j) = f^+(v_j) + h^+(v_j) + (n-1)(mn+n) \ge m(mn+1)/2 + m^2 + (n-1)$ $(nm+m) + n(n-1)/2$.

It is easy to show that $g^+(v_j) > g^+(u_i)$ for all $1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n$. Thus, $\chi_{la}(C_m \vee K_n) \le n + 3$. Since $\chi_{la}(C_m \vee K_n) \ge \chi(C_m \vee K_n) = n + 3$, the theorem holds. ■

Theorem 3.10. *For $m \ge 2, n \ge 1$, $\chi_{la}(C_{2m} \vee K_{2n}) = 2n + 2$.*

Proof. Let f be the local antimagic labeling of $C_{2m} \vee O_{2n}$ defined in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Suppose $n = 1$. Define an edge labeling $g : E(C_{2m} \vee K_2) \to [1, 6m+1]$ such that $g(e) = f(e)$ for $e \in E(C_{2m} \vee O_2)$ and $g(v_1v_2) = 6m + 1$. We now swap the labels of $g(u_1v_1) = 2m + 1$ and $g(u_1v_2) = 6m - 1$ to get $g^+(u_{2i-1}) = 10m + 1$ and $g^+(u_{2i}) = 10m + 3$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and $g^+(v_1) = 8m^2 + 11m - 1$ and $g^+(v_2) = 8m^2 + 3m + 3$. Thus, $\chi_{la}(C_{2m} \vee K_2) \le 4$.

Now, consider $n \ge 2$. Let $h : E(K_{2n}) \to [1, n(2n-1)]$ be a local antimagic labeling of K_{2n}. Note that $h^+(v_j)$ are distinct for $1 \le j \le 2n$. Define an edge labeling $g : E(C_{2m} \vee K_{2n}) \to [1, 4mn + 2m + n(2n-1)]$ such that $g(e) = f(e)$ for $e \in E(C_{2m} \vee O_{2n})$ and $g(e) = h(e) + 4mn + 2m$ for $e \in E(K_{2n})$.

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.9, we obtain that $g^+(v_j)$ are distinct for $1 \le j \le 2n$.

From Theorem 3.3 we have $g^+(u_{2i}) = 4mn^2 - 4mn + 2n + 10m - 1 < g^+(u_{2i-1}) = 4mn^2 + 12mn - 6m + 3$ for $1 \le i \le m$. Moveover, $g^+(v_j) = f^+(v_j) + h^+(v_j) + (2n-1)(4mn+2m) \ge 4m^2n + 4m^2 + m + (2n-1)(4mn+2m) + n(2n-1)$ for each j. Clearly $g^+(v_j) > g^+(u_{2i-1})$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le 2n$.

Thus, $\chi_{la}(C_{2m} \vee K_{2n}) \le 2n+2$. Since $\chi_{la}(C_{2m} \vee K_{2n}) \ge \chi(C_{2m} \vee K_{2n}) = 2n+2$, the theorem holds. ∎

Conjecture 3.11. *For $n \ge 2$, $\chi_{la}(G \vee K_n) \ge \chi_{la}(G) + n$ if and only if $\chi_{la}(G) = \chi(G)$.*

For $n \ge 2$, let M_{2n} be the Möbius ladder obtained from $C_{2n} = u_1u_2 \cdots u_nv_1 v_2 \cdots v_nu_1$ by adding the edges $u_iv_i, 1 \le i \le n$.

Theorem 3.12. *For odd $n \ge 3$, $\chi_{la}(M_{2n}) = 3$.*

Proof. Note that M_{2n} has size 3n, and is bipartite with parts of the same size. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, $\chi_{la}(M_{2n}) \ge 3$.

Suppose $n = 3$, we get a local antimagic labeling by assigning the edges u_1u_2, u_2u_3, u_3v_1, v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3u_1, u_1v_1, u_2v_2, u_3v_3 by $1, 5, 4, 8, 6, 7, 3, 9, 2$, respectively. Clearly, the induced vertex coloring has three distinct colors, namely 11, 15, 23.

Suppose $n \ge 5$. Define a bijection $f : E(M_{2n}) \to [1, 3n]$ such that $f(u_1v_n) = \frac{3(n+1)}{2}$, $f(u_nv_1) = n$, $f(v_1v_2) = n+1$ and that

(i) $f(u_iu_{i+1}) = i$ for odd $i \in [1, n-2]$,

(ii) $f(u_iu_{i+1}) = \frac{3n+3-i}{2}$ for even $i \in [2, n-1]$,

(iii) $f(v_iv_{i+1}) = i$ for even $i \in [2, n-1]$,

(iv) $f(v_iv_{i+1}) = 2n - \frac{i-3}{2}$ for odd $i \in [3, n-2]$,

(v) $f(u_iv_i) = \frac{5n+2-i}{2}$ for odd $i \in [1, n]$,

(vi) $f(u_iv_i) = 3n + 1 - \frac{i}{2}$ for even $i \in [2, n-1]$.

One can verify that $f^+(u_i) = f^+(v_j) = \frac{9n+3}{2}$ for even $i \in [2, n-1]$ and odd $j \in [1, n]$; $f^+(u_i) = f^+(v_2) = 4n+3$ for odd $i \in [1, n]$ and $f^+(v_j) = 5n+3$ for even $j \in [4, n-1]$. Therefore, $\chi_{la}(M_{2n}) \le 3$. Hence, the theorem holds. ∎

Corollary 3.13. *For odd $n \ge 3$, $\chi_{la}(M_{2n} - e) = 3$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we know that $\chi_{la}(M_{2n} - e) \ge 3$. Note that there are two possible graphs obtained by deleting an edge from M_{2n} (if $n > 3$), but using Lemma 2.2 with reference to the smallest label deals with one, and the largest label deals with the other. Therefore, we have $\chi_{la}(M_{2n} - e) \le 3$. Thus, $\chi_{la}(M_{2n} - e) = 3$. ∎

Note that $M_4 = K_4$ with $\chi_{la}(M_4) = 4$.

Conjecture 3.14. *For even $n \ge 4$, $\chi_{la}(M_{2n}) = 4$.*

Theorem 3.15. *For $n \ge 1$, $\chi_{la}(M_6 \vee O_{2n}) = 3$.*

Proof. Let $V(M_6 \vee O_{2n}) = \{u_i : 1 \le i \le 6\} \cup \{v_j : 1 \le j \le 2n\}$ and $E(M_6 \vee O_{2n}) = \{u_iu_{i+1} : 1 \le i \le 5\} \cup \{u_1u_6, u_1u_4, u_2u_5, u_3u_6\} \cup \{u_iv_j : 1 \le i \le 6, 1 \le j \le 2n\}$. Define a bijection $g : E(M_6 \vee O_{2n}) \to [1, 12n+9]$ such that $g(u_1u_2) = 1$, $g(u_2u_3) = 3$, $g(u_3u_4) = 4$, $g(u_4u_5) = 2$, $g(u_5u_6) = 8$, $g(u_1u_6) = 5$, $g(u_1u_4) = 9$, $g(u_2u_5) = 7$, $g(u_3u_6) = 6$ and $g(u_iv_j) = f(u_iv_j) + 3$ for $1 \le i \le 6, 1 \le j \le 2n$, where f is the function as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.3 by taking $m = 3$.

One can easily check that $g^+(u_1) = 15 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} f(u_1v_j) + 3(2n) = 12n^2 - 4n + 37$. Similarly, we get $g^+(u_3) = g^+(u_5) = g^+(u_1)$. Furthermore, for $i = 2, 4, 6$, we also have $g^+(u_i) = 12n^2 + 42n - 7$, whereas $g^+(v_j) = 36n + 57$ for $1 \le j \le 2n$. Clearly, g is a local antimagic labeling with $c(g) = 3$. Therefore, $\chi_{la}(M_6 \vee O_{2n}) \le 3$. Since M_6 is bipartite, we have $\chi_{la}(M_6 \vee O_{2n}) \ge \chi(M_6 \vee O_{2n}) = \chi(M_6) + \chi(O_{2n}) = 3$. Thus, $\chi_{la}(M_6 \vee O_{2n}) = 3$. ■

Corollary 3.16. *For $n \ge 1$, $\chi_{la}((M_6 \vee O_{2n}) - e) = 3$.*

Proof. Let $G = (M_6 \vee O_{2n}) - e$. We note that $\chi_{la}(G) \ge \chi(G) = 3$. Since M_6 is edge-transitive, we only need to consider (i) $e \notin E(M_6)$, and (ii) $e \in E(M_6)$.

In (i), it is straightforward to check the conditions of Lemma 2.3. By Lemma 2.3, we know $M_6 \vee O_{2n}$ admits a local antimagic labeling $h = 12n + 10 - g$ with $c(h) = c(g) = 3$, where g is as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.15. Now,

$$h^+(u_i) = \begin{cases} 12n^2 + 60n - 7 & \text{if } i = 1, 3, 5, \\ 12n^2 + 14n + 37 & \text{if } i = 2, 4, 6, \end{cases}$$

$h^+(v_j) = 36n + 3$ for $1 \le j \le 2n$, and $h(uv) = 1$ for an edge $uv \notin E(M_6)$. It is straightforward to check the condition of Lemma 2.4. By Lemma 2.4, we have $\chi_{la}(G) = 3$.

In (ii), it is straightforward to check the condition of Lemma 2.4. By Lemma 2.4, we have $\chi_{la}(G) = 3$. ■

For $m \ge 3$, $n \ge 1$, let $G(m, n)$ be the graph obtained from $C_m \vee O_n$ by deleting the edges u_mv_j, $1 \le j \le n$. We can also view $G(m, n)$ as the graph obtained from $C_{m-1} \vee O_n$ by subdividing one of the cycle edges. Note that $G(m, 1)$ is the graph W_m with a spoke deleted. By Theorems 3.5 and 3.6, we have $\chi_{la}(G(2m, 1)) = 3$ for $m \ge 2$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.7, we have determined the value of $\chi_{la}(G(2m + 1, 1))$ for $m \ge 1$.

Theorem 3.17. *For $n \ge 1$, $\chi_{la}(G(4, n)) = 3$.*

Proof. When $n = 1$, we have proved the result in Theorem 3.5. So we may assume that $n \ge 2$. Since $\chi(G(4, n)) \ge 3$, it suffices to provide a local antimagic labeling f for $G(4, n)$ with $c(f) = 3$.

For $n = 4k-1$, $k \geq 1$, the labeling matrix of $G(4,3)$ under f is given below.

	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	v_1	v_2	v_3	$f^+(u_i)$
u_1	$*$	8	$*$	9	5	1	13	36
u_2	8	$*$	7	$*$	3	12	4	34
u_3	$*$	7	$*$	10	11	6	2	36
u_4	9	$*$	10	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	19
$f^+(v_j)$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	19	19	19	

The following tables are the first 4 rows of the labeling matrix of $G(4,4k-1)$ under f, where $k \geq 3$.

	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	v_1	v_2	$\cdots$	v_k	v_{k+1}	v_{k+2}	$\cdots$	v_{2k}
u_1	$*$	$10k+1$	$*$	$6k$	$8k$	$8k-1$	$\cdots$	$7k+1$	$9k$	$9k-1$	$\cdots$	$8k+1$
u_2	$10k+1$	$*$	$4k$	$*$	1	3	$\cdots$	$2k-1$	$2k+1$	$2k+3$	$\cdots$	$4k-1$
u_3	$*$	$4k$	$*$	$12k+1$	$10k$	$10k-1$	$\cdots$	$9k+1$	$7k$	$7k-1$	$\cdots$	$6k+1$
u_4	$6k$	$*$	$12k+1$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$

	v_{2k+1}	v_{2k+2}	$\cdots$	v_{3k-2}	v_{3k-1}	v_{3k}	$\cdots$	v_{4k-4}
u_1	$12k$	$12k-1$	$\cdots$	$11k+3$	$5k+1$	$5k$	$\cdots$	$4k+4$
u_2	2	4	$\cdots$	$2k-4$	$2k-2$	$2k$	$\cdots$	$4k-8$
u_3	$6k-1$	$6k-2$	$\cdots$	$5k+2$	$11k+2$	$11k+1$	$\cdots$	$10k+5$
u_4	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$

	v_{4k-3}	v_{4k-2}	v_{4k-1}	$f^+(u_i)$
u_1	$4k-6$	$4k+2$	$4k+1$	$32k^2+k-10$
u_2	$10k+4$	$10k+3$	$10k+2$	$8k^2+16k+21$
u_3	$4k+3$	$4k-4$	$4k-2$	$32k^2+k-10$
u_4	$*$	$*$	$*$	$18k+1$

It is easy to check that $f^+(u_4) = f^+(v_j) = 18k+1$, i.e., the v_j-column sum, for $1 \leq j \leq 4k-1$. This labeling can be applied to $k = 2$ (the block-columns for v_{2k+1} to v_{4k-4} do not appear). The following shows the assignment for $G(4,7)$.

	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5	v_6	v_7	$f^+(u_i)$
u_1	$*$	21	$*$	12	16	15	18	17	2	10	9	120
u_2	21	$*$	8	$*$	1	3	5	7	24	23	22	114
u_3	$*$	8	$*$	25	20	19	14	13	11	4	6	120
u_4	12	$*$	25	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	37
$f^+(v_j)$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	

For $n = 4k+1$, $k \geq 1$, the labeling matrix for $G(4,5)$ is given next.

	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5	$f^+(u_i)$
u_1	$*$	4	$*$	16	10	9	8	11	13	71
u_2	4	$*$	6	$*$	1	3	17	12	15	58
u_3	$*$	6	$*$	14	19	18	5	7	2	71
u_4	16	$*$	14	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	30
$f^+(v_j)$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	30	30	30	30	30	

Similarly, we show the first 4 rows of the labeling matrix of $G(4,4k+1)$ under f, where $k \geq 3$.

	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	v_1	v_2	$\cdots$	v_{k-2}
u_1	$*$	$10k+6$	$*$	$12k+7$	$8k+4$	$8k+3$	$\cdots$	$7k+7$
u_2	$10k+6$	$*$	$4k+2$	$*$	1	3	$\cdots$	$2k-5$
u_3	$*$	$4k+2$	$*$	$6k+3$	$10k+5$	$10k+4$	$\cdots$	$9k+8$
u_4	$12k+7$	$*$	$6k+3$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$

	v_{k-1}	v_k	$\cdots$	v_{2k-4}	v_{2k-3}	v_{2k-2}	v_{2k-1}	v_{2k}	v_{2k+1}
u_1	$9k+7$	$9k+6$	$\cdots$	$8k+10$	$6k+8$	$6k+7$	$6k+6$	$6k+5$	$4k+1$
u_2	$2k-3$	$2k-1$	$\cdots$	$4k-9$	$4k-7$	$4k-5$	$4k-3$	$4k-1$	$6k+4$
u_3	$7k+6$	$7k+5$	$\cdots$	$6k+9$	$8k+9$	$8k+8$	$8k+7$	$8k+6$	$8k+5$
u_4	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$

	v_{2k+2}	v_{2k+3}	$\cdots$	v_{3k+1}	v_{3k+2}	v_{3k+3}	$\cdots$	v_{4k+1}	$f^+(u_i)$
u_1	$12k+6$	$12k+5$	$\cdots$	$11k+7$	$5k+2$	$5k+1$	$\cdots$	$4k+3$	$32k^2+41k+12$
u_2	2	4	$\cdots$	$2k$	$2k+2$	$2k+4$	$\cdots$	$4k$	$8k^2+22k+12$
u_3	$6k+2$	$6k+1$	$\cdots$	$5k+3$	$11k+6$	$11k+5$	$\cdots$	$10k+7$	$32k^2+41k+12$
u_4	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$	$18k+10$

It is easy to check that $f^+(u_4) = f^+(v_j) = 18k+10$, for $1 \leq j \leq 4k+1$. This labeling can be applied to $k=2$ (the block-columns for v_1 to v_{2k-4} do not appear). The following shows the assignment for $G(4,9)$.

	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5	v_6	v_7	v_8	v_9	$f^+(u_i)$
u_1	$*$	26	$*$	31	20	19	18	17	9	30	29	12	11	222
u_2	26	$*$	10	$*$	1	3	5	7	16	2	4	6	8	88
u_3	$*$	10	$*$	15	25	24	23	22	21	14	13	28	27	222
u_4	31	$*$	15	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	46
$f^+(v_j)$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	

For $n = 4k+2$, the following tables are the first 4 rows of the labeling matrix of $G(4,4k+2)$ under f, where $k \geq 1$.

	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	v_1	v_2	$\cdots$	v_k
u_1	$*$	$8k+6$	$*$	$12k+9$	$10k+7$	$10k+6$	$\cdots$	$9k+8$
u_2	$8k+6$	$*$	$12k+10$	$*$	1	3	$\cdots$	$2k-1$
u_3	$*$	$12k+10$	$*$	$6k+4$	$8k+5$	$8k+4$	$\cdots$	$7k+6$
u_4	$12k+9$	$*$	$6k+4$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$

	v_{k+1}	v_{k+2}	$\cdots$	v_{2k}	v_{2k+1}	v_{2k+2}	v_{2k+3}	$\cdots$	v_{3k+1}
u_1	$7k+5$	$7k+4$	$\cdots$	$6k+6$	$6k+5$	$12k+8$	$12k+7$	$\cdots$	$11k+9$
u_2	$2k+1$	$2k+3$	$\cdots$	$4k-1$	$4k+1$	2	4	$\cdots$	$2k$
u_3	$9k+7$	$9k+6$	$\cdots$	$8k+8$	$8k+7$	$6k+3$	$6k+2$	$\cdots$	$5k+4$
u_4	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$

	v_{3k+2}	v_{3k+3}	$\cdots$	v_{4k+1}	v_{4k+2}	$f^+(u_i)$
u_1	$5k+3$	$5k+2$	$\cdots$	$4k+4$	$4k+3$	$32k^2+55k+23$
u_2	$2k+2$	$2k+4$	$\cdots$	$4k$	$10k+8$	$8k^2+36k+25$
u_3	$11k+8$	$11k+7$	$\cdots$	$10k+9$	$4k+2$	$32k^2+55k+23$
u_4	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$	$*$	$18k+13$

It is easy to check that $f^+(u_4) = f^+(v_j) = 18k+13$, for $1 \le j \le 4k+2$. This labeling can be applied to $k = 0$. The following shows the assignment for $G(4,2)$.

	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	v_1	v_2	$f^+(u_i)$
u_1	$*$	6	$*$	9	5	3	23
u_2	6	$*$	10	$*$	1	8	25
u_3	$*$	10	$*$	4	7	2	23
u_4	9	$*$	4	$*$	$*$	$*$	13
$f^+(v_j)$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	13	13	

For $n = 4k$, the following tables are the first 4 rows of the labeling matrix of $G(4,4k)$ under f, where $k \ge 2$.

	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	v_1	v_2	$\cdots$	v_{k-1}
u_1	$*$	$10k+3$	$*$	$12k+4$	$10k+2$	$10k+1$	$\cdots$	$9k+4$
u_2	$10k+3$	$*$	$6k+2$	$*$	1	3	$\cdots$	$2k-3$
u_3	$*$	$6k+2$	$*$	$6k+1$	$8k+2$	$8k+1$	$\cdots$	$7k+4$
u_4	$12k+4$	$*$	$6k+1$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$

	v_k	v_{k+1}	$\cdots$	v_{2k-2}	v_{2k-1}	v_{2k}	v_{2k+1}	v_{2k+2}	$\cdots$	v_{3k-1}
u_1	$7k+3$	$7k+2$	$\cdots$	$6k+5$	$6k+4$	$6k+3$	$12k+3$	$12k+2$	$\cdots$	$11k+5$
u_2	$2k-1$	$2k+1$	$\cdots$	$4k-5$	$4k-3$	$4k-1$	2	4	$\cdots$	$2k-2$
u_3	$9k+3$	$9k+2$	$\cdots$	$8k+5$	$8k+4$	$8k+3$	$6k$	$6k-1$	$\cdots$	$5k+2$
u_4	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$

	v_{3k}	v_{3k+1}	$\cdots$	v_{4k-2}	v_{4k-1}	v_{4k}	$f^+(u_i)$
u_1	$5k+1$	$5k$	$\cdots$	$4k+3$	$4k+2$	$4k$	$32k^2+23k+3$
u_2	$2k$	$2k+2$	$\cdots$	$4k-4$	$4k-2$	$10k+4$	$8k^2+24k+9$
u_3	$11k+4$	$11k+3$	$\cdots$	$10k+6$	$10k+5$	$4k+1$	$32k^2+23k+3$
u_4	$*$	$*$	$\cdots$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$18k+5$

It is easy to check that $f^+(u_4) = f^+(v_j) = 18k+5$, for $1 \le j \le 4k$. Again, this labeling can be applied to $k = 1$. The following shows the assignment for

$G(4,4)$.

	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	$f^+(u_i)$
u_1	$*$	13	$*$	16	10	9	6	4	58
u_2	13	$*$	8	$*$	1	3	2	14	41
u_3	$*$	8	$*$	7	12	11	15	5	58
u_4	16	$*$	7	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	23
$f^+(v_j)$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	23	23	23	23	

Since $f^+(u_1) = f^+(u_3) \neq f^+(u_2) \neq f^+(u_4) = f^+(v_j), 1 \leq j \leq n$, we have $c(f) = 3$. The proof is complete. ∎

Note that $P_3 \vee O_{n+1}$ can be obtained from $G(4,n)$ by adding the edge u_2u_4. By Lemma 2.5, the following is obtained.

Corollary 3.18. *If $G \equiv P_3 \vee O_{n+1}$, then $\chi_{la}(G) = 3$.*

Problem 3.19. Determine $\chi_{la}(P_m \vee O_n)$ for $m \geq 4, n \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.20. *For* (i) $m \geq 3$, $n \geq 4$, (ii) $m \geq 21$, $n = 3$, *and* (iii) $m \geq 4$, $n = 2$, $\chi_{la}(G(2m, 2n-1)) = 4$.

Proof. Note that $\chi_{la}(G(2m, 2n-1)) \geq \chi(G(2m, 2n-1)) = 3$. Suppose f is a local antimagic labeling of $G(2m, 2n-1)$ with $c(f) = 3$. We may have (I) $a = f^+(u_{2i-1}), 1 \leq i \leq m$; $b = f^+(v_j) = f^+(u_{2m}), 1 \leq j \leq 2n-1$; $c = f^+(u_{2i}), 1 \leq i < m$; or (II) $a = f^+(u_{2i-1}), 1 \leq i \leq m$; $b = f^+(v_j), 1 \leq j \leq 2n-1$; $c = f^+(u_{2i}), 1 \leq i \leq m$. Here a, b, c are distinct. Now, every v_j is adjacent to $2m-1$ vertices of C_{2m}.

For (I), $\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} f^+(v_j) \geq 1 + 2 + \cdots + (2n-1)(2m-1) = (2n-1)(2m-1)(2mn - m - n + 1)$. So,

$$(2m-1)(2mn - m - n + 1) \leq b = f^+(u_{2m}) \leq 8mn - 4n + 1 \tag{3}$$

giving $n \leq \frac{(2m-1)(m-1)+1}{(2m-1)(2m-5)}$. By simple calculus, we have $n \leq \frac{11}{5}$. When $n = 2$, we get $m = 3$. This is not a case.

For (II), there are exactly $(2n-1)(m-1) + 2m - 2 = 2mn + m - 2n - 1$ edges incident to the vertices u_{2i} for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. Each label of these edges contributes to the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f^+(u_{2i})$ exactly once. Thus, $(m-1)c \geq \frac{1}{2}(2mn + m - 2n - 1)(2mn + m - 2n)$. Therefore, we will get

$$(2n+1)(2mn + m - 2n) \leq 2c = 2f^+(u_{2m}) \leq 16mn - 8n + 2. \tag{4}$$

However, if $n \geq 5$ and $m \geq 3$, $(2n+1)(2mn + m - 2n) \geq 11(2mn + m - 2n) \geq 16mn + 18n + 11m - 22n = 16mn - 4n + 11m$, contradicting (4). When $n = 4$, we get $m = 2$, contradicting $m \geq 3$. When $n = 3$, we get $2 \leq m \leq 20$, contradicting $m \geq 21$. So, $\chi_{la}(G(2m, 2n-1)) \geq 4$ under each of the given condition.

Define $f : E(G(2m, 2n-1)) \to [1, 4mn - 2n + 1]$ such that $f(u_{2m}u_1) = (2m-1)(2n-1)+1$, $f(u_{2i}u_{2i+1}) = (2m-1)(2n-1)+i+1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, $f(u_{2i-1}u_{2i}) = (2m-1)(2n-1)+2m+1-i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $f(u_iv_j) = a_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2m-1, 1 \leq j \leq 2n-1$, where $a_{i,j}$ is the (i,j)-entry of a $(2m-1, 2n-1)$-magic rectangle with constant row sum $(2n-1)(2mn-m-n+1)$ and constant column sum $(2m-1)(2mn-m-n+1)$. One may check that f is a bijection with $g_1 = f^+(v_j) = (2m-1)(2mn-m-n+1)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2n-1$, $g_2 = f^+(u_{2i}) = (2n-1)(2mn-m-n+1)+2(2m-1)(2n-1)+2m+2 = (2n+3)(2mn-m-n+1)+2m$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, $g_3 = f^+(u_{2i-1}) = (2n-1)(2mn-m-n+1)+2(2m-1)(2n-1)+2m+1 = (2n+3)(2mn-m-n+1)+2m-1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $g_4 = f^+(u_{2m}) = 2(2m-1)(2n-1)+m+2 = 4(2mn-m-n+1)+m$. Clearly, $g_2 > g_3 > g_4$. It is routine to verify that $g_1 \neq g_2, g_3, g_4$. Thus, $\chi_{la}(G(2m, 2n-1)) \leq 4$. The theorem holds. ■

Example 3.21. The following are labelings that give $\chi_{la}(G(5,2)) = \chi_{la}(G(6,2)) = \chi_{la}(G(6,3)) = 3$.



Note that $G(5,2)$ and $G(6,2)$ are two graphs we have not considered before.

Problem 3.22. For $m \geq 5$, find $\chi_{la}(G(m,n))$ for $G(m,n)$ not being a graph in Theorem 3.20 and Example 3.21.

Little is known about bipartite graphs G with $\chi_{la}(G) = 2$ (see [1, Theorems 2.11 and 2.12]). For $m \geq 2, i \geq 1$, let $B(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_m)$ be the union of K_{2,n_i} with bipartition (X_i, Y_i), where $X_i = \{x_{i-1}, x_i\}$, $Y_i = \{y_{i,1}, y_{i,2}, \ldots, y_{i,n_i}\}$ and $x_m = x_0$.

It is known from [1, Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.12] that $\chi_{la}\big(B\big(1^{[m]}\big)\big) = \chi_{la}(C_{2m}) = 3$ and $\chi_{la}\big(B\big(n^{[2]}\big)\big) = \chi_{la}(K_{2,2n}) = 2$ for $n \geq 2$. The following theorem gives another family of bipartite graphs with χ_{la} equal to 2.

Theorem 3.23. *Suppose $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$. We have $\chi_{la}\big(B\big(n^{[m]}\big)\big) = 2$ if n is even or both m and n are odd; $2 \leq \chi_{la}\big(B\big(n^{[m]}\big)\big) \leq 3$ for odd n and even m.*

Proof. First note that the edges in each $K_{2,n}$ are $x_{i-1}y_{i,j}$ and $x_iy_{i,j}$ for $1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n$.

Suppose $n \ge 2$ is even. Define a bijection $f : E(G) \to [1, 2mn]$ such that

$$f(x_{i-1}y_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (i-1)n + j & \text{for odd } j \in [1, n-1]; \\ (2m - i + 1)n - j + 1 & \text{for even } j \in [2, n], \end{cases}$$
$$f(x_iy_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (2m - i + 1)n - (j-1) & \text{for odd } j \in [1, n-1]; \\ (i-1)n + j & \text{for even } j \in [2, n], \end{cases}$$

where $1 \le i \le m$.

Recall that $x_m = x_0$. It is easy to verify that $f^+(y_{i,j}) = 2mn + 1$ and $f^+(x_i) = 2mn^2 + n$ for $1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n$. Hence, $\chi_{la}(G) \le 2$. Since $\chi_{la}(G) \ge \chi(G) = 2$, we have $\chi_{la}(G) = 2$ for even $n \ge 2$.

Suppose n is odd and m is odd. Let A be a magic (m, n)-rectangle. For $1 \le i \le m$, let $(f(x_iy_{i,1}), \dots, f(x_i, y_{i,n}))$ be the i-th row of A and let $f(x_{i-1}y_{i,j}) = 2mn + 1 - f(x_iy_{i,j})$ for $1 \le j \le n$. Clearly $f^+(y_{i,j}) = 2mn + 1$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n$. Since the row sum of A is $n(mn+1)/2$, $f^+(x_i) = n(2mn+1)$ for $1 \le i \le m$. Here, $\chi_{la}(G) \le 2$ and hence $\chi_{la}(G) = 2$.

Suppose n is odd and m is even. Define a bijection $f : E(G) \to [1, 2mn]$

$$f(x_{i-1}y_{i,j}) = (i-1)n + j;$$
$$f(x_iy_{i,j}) = (2m - i + 1)n - j + 1,$$

where $1 \le i \le m$.

It is easy to verify that $f^+(y_{i,j}) = 2mn + 1$, $f^+(x_0) = n(mn + n + 1)$ and $f^+(x_i) = n(2mn + n + 1)$ for $1 \le i \le m - 1$. Thus, $\chi_{la}(G) \le 3$. ■

Example 3.24. The following is a local antimagic labeling according to the construction described in the proof above, which induces a 2-coloring for $B(3^{[3]})$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 7 & 6 \\ 9 & 5 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 & 8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

	$y_{1,1}$	$y_{1,2}$	$y_{1,3}$	$y_{2,1}$	$y_{2,2}$	$y_{2,3}$	$y_{3,1}$	$y_{3,2}$	$y_{3,3}$
x_1	2	7	6	10	14	18	$*$	$*$	$*$
x_2	$*$	$*$	$*$	9	5	1	15	16	11
x_3	17	12	13	$*$	$*$	$*$	4	3	8

It is clear that each row sum is 57 and each column sum is 19.

Example 3.25. The following is a local antimagic labeling inducing a 2-coloring for $B(3^{[4]})$.

	$y_{1,1}$	$y_{1,2}$	$y_{1,3}$	$y_{2,1}$	$y_{2,2}$	$y_{2,3}$	$y_{3,1}$	$y_{3,2}$	$y_{3,3}$	$y_{4,1}$	$y_{4,2}$	$y_{4,3}$
x_1	1	5	17	23	19	10	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$
x_2	$*$	$*$	$*$	2	6	15	22	16	14	$*$	$*$	$*$
x_3	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	3	9	11	21	18	13
x_4	24	20	8	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	4	7	12

It is easy to see that the row sum is always 75 and the column sum is always 25.

Problem 3.26. Determine $\chi_{la}(B(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_m))$ for $B(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_m) \neq B(n^{[m]})$.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for the valuable comments and suggestions that helped improve this paper. The first author would like to dedicate this paper to his beloved parents on the occasion of their 51^{st} wedding anniversary.

References

[1] S. Arumugam, K. Premalatha, M. Bača and A. Semaničová-Feňovčíková, *Local antimagic vertex coloring of a graph*, Graphs Combin. **33** (2017) 275–285. doi:10.1007/s00373-017-1758-7

[2] J. Bensmail, M. Senhaji and K. Szabo Lyngsie, *On a combination of the* 1-2-3 *conjecture and the antimagic labelling conjecture*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. **19** (2017) #21.

[3] F.S. Chai, A. Das and C. Midha, *Construction of magic rectangles of odd order*, Australas. J. Combin. **55** (2013) 131–144.

[4] G.C. Lau, H.K. Ng and W.C. Shiu, *Affirmative solutions on local antimagic chromatic number* (2018). arXiv:1805.02886

[5] J. Haslegrave, *Proof of a local antimagic conjecture* (2017). arXiv:1705.09957v1.

[6] J.P. De Los Reyes, A. Das and C.K. Midha, *A matrix approach to construct magic rectangles of even order*, Australas. J. Combin. **40** (2008) 293–300.

[7] X. Yu, J. Hu, D. Yang, J. Wu and G. Wang, *Local antimagic labeling of graphs*, Appl. Math. Comput. **322** (2018) 30–39. doi:10.1016/j.amc.2017.10.008

Received 21 May 2018
Revised 27 August 2018
Accepted 27 August 2018