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Abstract

A path in an edge-colored graph $G$ is called a rainbow path if no two edges on the path have the same color. The graph $G$ is called rainbow connected if between every pair of distinct vertices of $G$, there is a rainbow path. Recently, Johnson et al. considered this concept with the additional requirement that the coloring of $G$ is proper. The proper rainbow connection number of $G$, denoted by $prc(G)$, is the minimum number of colors needed to properly color the edges of $G$ so that $G$ is rainbow connected. Similarly, the proper strong rainbow connection number of $G$, denoted by $psrc(G)$, is the minimum number of colors needed to properly color the edges of $G$ such that for any two distinct vertices of $G$, there is a rainbow geodesic (shortest path) connecting them. In this paper, we characterize those graphs with proper rainbow connection numbers equal to the size or within 1 of the
Moreover, we completely solve a question proposed by Johnson et al. by proving that if \( G = K_{p_1} \square \cdots \square K_{p_n} \), where \( n \geq 1 \), and \( p_1, \ldots, p_n > 1 \) are integers, then \( prc(G) = psrc(G) = \chi'(G) \), where \( \chi'(G) \) denotes the chromatic index of \( G \). Finally, we investigate some sufficient conditions for a graph \( G \) to satisfy \( prc(G) = rc(G) \), and make some slightly positive progress by using a relation between \( rc(G) \) and the girth of the graph.
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1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, finite, and undirected. We follow the terminology and notation of Bondy and Murty in [1] for those not defined here. For a connected graph \( G \), we use \( V(G), E(G), v(G), e(G), \Delta(G) \) and \( diam(G) \) to denote the vertex set, edge set, order, size, maximum degree and diameter of \( G \), respectively. Suppose that \( X \subset V(G) \), we use \( G[X] \) to denote the subgraph of \( G \) induced by \( X \), that is, the subgraph of \( G \) whose vertex set is \( X \) and whose edge set is the set of all those edges of \( G \) that have both ends in \( X \). An edge \( xy \) is called a leaf if one of its end vertices, say \( x \), has degree one, and \( x \) is called a pendant vertex. Let \( K_n \) and \( C_n \) denote a complete graph and a cycle on \( n \) vertices, respectively.

Let \( G \) be a nontrivial connected graph with an edge-coloring \( c : E(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \ldots, t\} \), \( t \in \mathbb{N} \), where adjacent edges may be colored with the same color. If adjacent edges of \( G \) receive different colors by \( c \), then \( c \) is a proper coloring. The minimum number of colors needed in a proper coloring of \( G \) is the chromatic index of \( G \) and denoted by \( \chi'(G) \). All colorings of graphs in this work are assumed to be colorings of the edges unless explicitly stated otherwise.

A path in an edge-colored graph \( G \) is called a rainbow path if no two edges on the path have the same color. The graph \( G \) is called rainbow connected if for any two distinct vertices of \( G \), there is a rainbow path connecting them. In this case, the coloring \( c \) is called a rainbow connection coloring (RC-coloring for short) of \( G \). For a connected graph \( G \), the rainbow connection number of \( G \), denoted by \( rc(G) \), is defined as the minimum number of colors that are needed to make \( G \) rainbow connected. Similarly, the graph \( G \) is called strong rainbow connected if between every pair of distinct vertices of \( G \), there is a rainbow geodesic (shortest path) connecting them. In this case, the coloring \( c \) is called a strong rainbow connection coloring (SRC-coloring for short) of \( G \). For a connected graph \( G \), the strong rainbow connection number of \( G \), denoted by \( src(G) \), is defined as the minimum number of colors that are required to make \( G \) strong rainbow connected.
Obviously, $rc(G) \leq src(G)$ for all connected graphs $G$. Moreover, $rc(G) = src(G) = 1$ if and only if $G$ is a complete graph. These concepts were first introduced by Chartrand et al. in [2] and have been well-studied since then. For further details, we refer the reader to a survey [4] (with an updated version available at [5]) and a book [6].

Recently, Johnson et al. [3] considered rainbow connection colorings with the additional requirement that the coloring is proper. The proper rainbow connection number of a connected graph $G$, denoted by $prc(G)$, is the minimum number of colors needed to properly color the edges of $G$ to make $G$ rainbow connected. This coloring $c$ is called a proper rainbow connection coloring (PRC-coloring for short) of $G$. This concept was defined in [3] along with a “strong” version, the proper strong rainbow connection number, requiring that the rainbow paths be geodesics, denoted by $psrc(G)$ (the coloring involved is written as PSRC-coloring for short). Some preliminary observations were made.

**Proposition 1** [3]. Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then we have

1. $\text{diam}(G) \leq rc(G) \leq src(G) \leq psrc(G) \leq e(G)$,

2. $rc(G) \leq prc(G)$,

and

3. $\chi'(G) \leq prc(G) \leq psrc(G)$.

**Theorem 1** [3], $prc(K_n) = psrc(K_n) = \chi'(K_n) = \begin{cases} n - 1 = \Delta(K_n) & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \\ n = \Delta(K_n) + 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$

It is easy to see that if $G$ is a tree, then $prc(G) = psrc(G) = e(G)$. The opposite direction does not hold since $prc(K_3) = psrc(K_3) = 3 = e(K_3)$, which brings us to the first question.

**Question 1** [3]. *Can we characterize the connected graphs $G$ such that $prc(G) = e(G)$?*

In Section 2, we characterize all the graphs $G$ with $prc(G) = e(G)$. Additionally, we characterize all the graphs with $prc(G) = e(G) - 1$.

The Cartesian product of simple graphs $G$ and $H$ is the graph $G \square H$ whose vertex set is $V(G) \times V(H)$ and whose edge set is the set of all pairs $(u_1, v_1)(u_2, v_2)$ such that either $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$ and $v_1 = v_2$, or $v_1v_2 \in E(H)$ and $u_1 = u_2$. The authors in [3] obtained an easy result about Cartesian products.

**Proposition 2** [3]. Suppose that $n, p_1, \ldots, p_n > 1$ are integers, and $G = K_{p_1} \square \cdots \square K_{p_n}$. Then $rc(G) = src(G) = n$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i - 1) \leq prc(G) \leq psrc(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi'(K_{p_i}).$$
These inequalities are all equal if all the $p_i$s are even since $\chi'(K_{p_i}) = p_i - 1$ (in fact, in this case $prc(G) = psrc(G) = \chi'(G)$). The authors in [3] asked the following question.

**Question 2** [3]. What happens when some of the $p_i$s are odd?

In Section 3, we prove that if $G = K_{p_1} \square \cdots \square K_{p_n}$ where $n \geq 1$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_n > 1$ are positive integers, then $prc(G) = psrc(G) = \chi'(G)$.

In the final section, we investigate some sufficient conditions for a graph $G$ to satisfy $prc(G) = rc(G)$, and make some slightly positive progress by using a relation between $rc(G)$ and the girth of the graph.

2. **Graphs with Large Proper Rainbow Connection Numbers**

Let $c$ be an edge-coloring of a graph $G$. We use $c(e)$ to denote the color of an edge $e$. For a subgraph $H$ of $G$, let $c(H)$ be the set of colors of the edges of $H$. First list some useful results.

**Proposition 3** [2]. Let $G$ be a nontrivial connected graph. Then

(i) $rc(G) = src(G) = e(G)$ if and only if $G$ is a tree,
(ii) $rc(G) = 2$ if and only if $src(G) = 2$.

**Proposition 4** [2]. For each integer $n \geq 4$, $rc(C_n) = src(C_n) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$.

Obviously, the following holds.

**Corollary 1.** For each integer $n \geq 4$, $prc(C_n) = psrc(C_n) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$.

Now, we are ready to characterize all connected graphs $G$ with $prc(G) = e(G)$.

**Theorem 2.** Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then $prc(G) = e(G)$ if and only if $G$ is a tree or $K_3$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 1, Proposition 3 and Theorem 1, it is easy to see that $prc(G) = e(G)$ if $G$ is a tree or $K_3$.

For the opposite direction, it suffices to prove that if $prc(G) = e(G)$, then $G$ is a tree or $K_3$. Suppose that $G$ is neither a tree nor $K_3$. Let $\ell$ be the circumference of $G$ and let $C$ denote a cycle of order $\ell$ in $G$. If $\ell \geq 4$, then construct a coloring of $G$ by coloring $C$ with $\left\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \right\rceil$ colors (by Corollary 1), and assigning distinct colors to the remaining edges of $G$. It can be checked that this is a $PRC$-coloring of $G$ with $\left\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \right\rceil + e(G) - \ell < e(G)$ colors. If $\ell = 3$, set $C = u_1 u_2 u_3 u_1$. Let $G_1, G_2, G_3$ denote the components of $G - E(C)$, where $u_i \in V(G_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since $G \neq K_3$, there exists a nontrivial component, say $G_1$. Give distinct colors to the edges
Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then $\text{prc}(G) = e(G) - 1$ if and only if $G \in \mathbb{H}'$ or $G \in \mathbb{H}''$.

**Proof.** First suppose $G \in \mathbb{H}'$ or $G \in \mathbb{H}''$. Then $\text{prc}(G) \leq e(G) - 1$ by Theorem 2. Let $C_3$ be the triangle of $G$ and $T$ the nontrivial component of $G - E(C_3)$. In any $\text{PRC}$-coloring of $G$, there must be at least three colors used in the triangle as well as $e(T)$ colors used in $T$ different from two of the colors used in the triangle. Hence, $\text{prc}(G) \geq e(T) + 2 = e(G) - 1$. Thus, we have $\text{prc}(G) = e(G) - 1$.

Next, we need to verify the converse. Let $G$ be a connected graph with $\text{prc}(G) = e(G) - 1$. By Theorem 2, there is a cycle in $G$. Recall that $\text{prc}(K_3) = 3$ and $\text{prc}(C_n) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil < n - 1$ for $n \geq 4$. Let $\ell$ denote the circumference of $G$ and let $C$ denote a cycle of order $\ell$ in $G$. If $\ell \geq 4$, then consider a coloring of $G$ by using $\left\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \right\rceil$ colors on the edges of $C$ and distinct colors on the remaining edges of $G$. It can be checked that this is a $\text{PRC}$-coloring of $G$ with $\left\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \right\rceil + e(G) - \ell < e(G) - 1$ colors. Thus, $\ell = 3$ and set $C = u_1u_2u_3u_1$. Let $G_1, G_2, G_3$ denote the components of $G - E(C)$, where $u_i \in V(G_i), i = 1, 2, 3$. If there exist at least two nontrivial components among $G_1, G_2$ and $G_3$, say $G_1$ and $G_2$, we construct a coloring of $G$ using at most $e(G) - 2$ colors. Give distinct colors to the edges in $G - E(C)$ and the edge $u_1u_2$. Assign one color used in $E(G_1)$ to the edge $u_2u_3$ and one color used in $E(G_2)$ to the edge $u_1u_3$. It can be verified that $G$ is proper rainbow connected with $e(G) - 2$ colors. Together with $\text{prc}(K_3) = e(K_3)$, we conclude that there exists exactly one nontrivial component among $G_1, G_2$ and $G_3$, say $G_1$. Suppose $G_1$ is not a tree, which means that $G_1$ contains a cycle $K_3$, since $\ell = 3$. If $G_1 = K_3$, that is, $G \cong G_0$, then the edge-coloring of $G_0$ as shown in...
Figure 2 makes $G$ proper rainbow connected, meaning that $\text{prc}(G) \leq e(G) - 2$ in this case. Otherwise, by Theorem 2 we first give a $\text{PRC}$-coloring of $G_1$ with at most $e(G_1) - 1$ colors. Next, give the edges incident with $u_1$ distinct colors and the remaining edge $u_2u_3$ a color used in $E(G_1)$. Hence, we obtain a $\text{PRC}$-coloring of $G$ with at most $e(G) - 2$ colors. This means that $G_1$ must be a tree.

It is easy to verify when $|G_1| \leq 3$, so we just need to consider two cases under the assumption that $|G_1| \geq 4$. We first consider the case that $G_1$ is a path. If $u_1$ is a pendant vertex of $G_1$, then $G \in \mathcal{A}'$ and satisfies $\text{prc}(G) = e(G) - 1$. Otherwise, let $vv'$ and $ww'$ be the two leaves of $G_1$, where $v$ and $w$ are two pendant vertices of $G_1$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $d(u_1, w) \geq d(u_1, v)$. Then $d(u_1, w) \geq 2$ since $|G_1| \geq 4$. No matter whether $u_1$ is just the vertex $v'$, we give a coloring of $G$ as follows: we first color $e(G_1)$ and $u_1u_2$ with $e(G) - 2$ different colors; then let $c(u_1u_3) = c(ww')$ and $c(u_2u_3) = c(vv')$. It is easy to check that this is a $\text{PRC}$-coloring of $G$ with at most $e(G) - 2$ colors, a contradiction. Next we consider the case that $G_1$ is not a path. If $G_1$ is a star and $u_1$ is the center of $G_1$, then $G \in \mathcal{A}'$ and satisfies $\text{prc}(G) = e(G) - 1$. Otherwise, there exists a vertex $u$ of degree at least 3 in $G_1$ and $d(u, u_1)$ is as large as possible. Let $vv'$ and $ww'$ be two leaves of $G$, where $v$ and $w$ are two pendant vertices of $G$ whose distances from $u$ are as small as possible. We first color $e(G_1)$ and $u_2u_3$ with different colors; then let $c(u_1u_2) = c(vv')$ and $c(u_1u_3) = c(ww')$. Thus, we obtain a $\text{PRC}$-coloring of $G$ with at most $e(G) - 2$ colors, a contradiction completing the proof.

![Figure 2. Example edge-colorings of $G_0$, $K_2 \square K_3$ and $K_2 \square K_3$, respectively.](image)

3. Cartesian Products of Complete Graphs

Suppose that $n \geq 1$, and $p_1, \ldots, p_n > 1$ are integers. Let $G = K_{p_1} \square \cdots \square K_{p_n}$. In this section, we further study the class of graphs considered in [3]. We first state Vizing’s theorem.

**Theorem 4** [1]. If $G$ is a simple graph, then either $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ or $\Delta(G) + 1$.

**Lemma 1** [1]. For every loopless graph $G$,

$$\chi'(G) \geq \max \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{2e(H)}{v(H) - 1} \right\rceil : H \subseteq G, v(H) \text{ odd, } v(H) \geq 3 \right\}.$$
By Lemma 1, it follows that $\chi'(K_3 \Box K_3) = 5$. Moreover, we can give $K_3 \Box K_3$ a PSRC-coloring with 5 colors as shown in Figure 2. Hence, $\text{psrc}(K_3 \Box K_3) = 5 = \chi'(K_3 \Box K_3) = \Delta(K_3 \Box K_3) + 1$. Does $\text{prc}(G) = \text{psrc}(G) = \chi'(G)$ always hold when all the $p_i$s are odd?

What happens for the other cases? If $p_1 = \cdots = p_n = 2$, then $G$ is an $n$-cube $Q_n$, and $\text{prc}(Q_n) = \text{psrc}(Q_n) = \chi'(Q_n) = \Delta(Q_n) = n$ as showed in [3]. Moreover, we know that $\text{psrc}(K_3 \Box K_2) = \text{psrc}(K_3 \Box K_2) = 3 = \chi'(K_3 \Box K_2) = \Delta(K_3 \Box K_2)$ from Figure 2. In the following, we list two properties concerning the Cartesian product.

**Proposition 5.** For two simple connected graphs $G$ and $H$, $G \Box H \cong H \Box G$.

**Lemma 2** [1]. If $H$ is a nontrivial graph with $\chi'(H) = \Delta(H)$, then $\chi'(G \Box H) = \Delta(G \Box H)$ for any simple graph $G$.

Now, we state the main result of this section, also a complete solution to Question 2.

**Theorem 5.** Suppose that $n \geq 1$, and $p_1, \ldots, p_n > 1$ are integers. Let $G = K_{p_1} \Box \cdots \Box K_{p_n}$. Then $\text{prc}(G) = \text{psrc}(G) = \chi'(G)$.

**Proof.** Since $\chi'(G) \leq \text{prc}(G) \leq \text{psrc}(G)$, we only need to prove that $\text{psrc}(G) = \chi'(G)$. By Theorem 1, we have $\text{psrc}(G) = \chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ when $n = 1$ and $p_1$ is odd; $\text{psrc}(G) = \chi'(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$ when $n = 1$ and $p_1$ is even. In the following, we assume that $n \geq 2$. We need to consider the following two cases according to the parities of the $p_i$s.

**Case 1.** Suppose $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ are all odd. Note that $v(G) = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$ and $e(G) = \frac{p_1-1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - 1)$. By Lemma 1, we have

$$\chi'(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{2e(G)}{v(G) - 1} \right\rceil > \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - 1) = \Delta(G).$$

Moreover, $\chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ by Theorem 4. Thus $\text{psrc}(G) \geq \chi'(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$.

Next we show that $\text{psrc}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ by induction on $n$. Let $H_k = K_{p_1} \Box \cdots \Box K_{p_k}$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$. Thus $H_k = H_{k-1} \Box K_{p_k}$. It follows from Theorem 1 that $\text{psrc}(K_{p_i}) = \chi'(K_{p_i}) = p_i = \Delta(K_{p_i}) + 1$ for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $n = 2$, that is, $G = H_2$, we use $(a_i, b_j)$ to denote the vertex in $H_2$, where $a_i \in V(K_{p_i})$ (1 $\leq i \leq p_1$) and $b_j \in V(K_{p_2})$ (1 $\leq j \leq p_2$). Then the vertex sets $U_j = \{(a, b_j) : a \in V(K_{p_1})\}$ and $V_i = \{(a_i, b) : b \in V(K_{p_2})\}$ form complete graphs $K_{p_1}$ and $K_{p_2}$, respectively.

Now we give a PSRC-coloring of $H_2$ with $\Delta(H_2) + 1$ colors as follows. Let each $H_2[V_i]$ be edge-colored with $p_2$ colors so that the coloring is proper. Let each $H_2[U_j]$ be edge-colored with $p_1 - 1$ colors and one color that does not appear on
the edges incident with $b_j$ so that the coloring is proper. Note that this coloring of $H_2$ is also proper. Since the diameter of $H_2$ is 2, $H_2$ is proper strong rainbow connected with $p_1 + p_2 - 1 = \Delta(H_2) + 1$ colors, implying that $\text{psrc}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ in this case.

We assume that there exists a $PSRC$-coloring of $H_{n-1}$ with $\Delta(H_{n-1}) + 1$ colors. Now we consider the graph $G = H_n$. Note that $H_n = H_{n-1} \square K_{p_n}$. We use $(a_i, b_j)$ to denote the vertex in $H_n$, where $a_i \in V(H_{n-1}) (1 \leq i \leq v(H_{n-1}))$ and $b_j \in V(K_{p_n}) (1 \leq j \leq p_n)$. Then the vertex sets $U_j = \{(a, b_j) : a \in V(H_{n-1})\}$ and $V_i = \{(a_i, b) : b \in V(K_{p_n})\}$ form graphs $H_{n-1}$ and $K_{p_n}$, respectively.

Now we provide a $PSRC$-coloring of $H_n$ as follows. Let each $H_n[V_i]$ be edge-colored with $p_n$ colors so that the coloring is proper. Let each $H_n[U_j]$ be edge-colored with $\Delta(H_{n-1})$ colors and one color that does not appear on the edges incident with $b_j$ so that the coloring is proper strong rainbow. Obviously, this coloring of $H_n$ is also proper. For two vertices $u = (a_i, b_j)$ and $v = (a_i', b_j')$ ($1 \leq i \neq i' \leq v(H_{n-1})$ and $1 \leq j \neq j' \leq p_n$), there exists a rainbow geodesic $P'$ between $u$ and $(a_i', b_j)$ in $H_n[U_j]$. Thus the path $P = uP'(a_i', b_j)v$ is a rainbow geodesic from $u$ to $v$ since the color of the edge between $(a_i', b_j)$ and $v$ does not appear on $P'$. For the other two vertices, it can be easily checked that there exists a rainbow geodesic between them. Thus this coloring defined above with $\Delta(G) + 1$ colors makes $H_n$ proper strong rainbow connected. So $\text{psrc}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$, and hence, $\text{psrc}(G) = \chi'(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$ in this case.

Case 2. Suppose that at least one number of $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ is even. By Theorem 1, Proposition 5 and Lemma 2, it follows that $\text{psrc}(G) \geq \chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$.

Next we show that $\text{psrc}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$. If $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ are all even, then $\text{psrc}(G) = \Delta(G)$ by Proposition 2. Otherwise, we prove that $\text{psrc}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ by induction on $n$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $p_1, \ldots, p_{d-1}$ ($d \geq 1$) are even and $p_{d+1}, \ldots, p_n (n \geq 2)$ are odd. By Theorem 1, we have $\chi'(K_{p_i}) = p_i - 1$ if $1 \leq i \leq d$, and $\chi'(K_{p_i}) = p_i$ if $d + 1 \leq i \leq n$. If $n = 2$, we give a coloring of $G = H_2$ which is similar to that defined in Case 1, only with the difference that each $H_2[U_j]$ is edge-colored with $p_1 - 2$ colors and one color that does not appear on the edges incident with $b_j$ so that the coloring is proper. Similarly, we can prove that under this coloring with $p_1 + p_2 - 2 = \Delta(H_2)$ colors, $H_2$ is proper strong rainbow connected, implying that $\text{psrc}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ in this case.

We assume that there exists a $PSRC$-coloring of $H_{n-1}$ with $\Delta(H_{n-1})$ colors. Now we consider the graph $G = H_n = H_{n-1} \square K_{p_n}$. We provide a coloring of $H_n$ which is analogous to that defined in Case 1, only with the difference that each $H_n[U_j]$ is edge-colored with $\Delta(H_{n-1}) - 1$ colors and one color that does not appear on the edges incident with $b_j$ so that the coloring is proper strong rainbow. Analogously, we show that this coloring with $\Delta(G)$ colors makes $H_n$ proper strong rainbow connected. So $\text{psrc}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$, and hence, $\text{psrc}(G) = \chi'(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$ in this case.
The proof is thus complete.

4. **Forcing \(\text{prc}(G)\) to Equal \(\text{rc}(G)\)**

In the previous section, we have considered Cartesian products of complete graphs. How about Cartesian products of general graphs? We consider two kinds of simple graphs, paths and cycles. Since \(\text{prc}(G) \geq \text{rc}(G) \geq \text{diam}(G)\), the lower bounds of the following observations are immediate. For the upper bounds, color every copy within each dimension to be rainbow connected (and therefore strong rainbow connected) and for each dimension, use a disjoint set of colors.

**Observation 1.** Given integers \(d \geq 1\) and \(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_d \geq 3\), if \(G = P_{t_1} \Box P_{t_2} \Box \cdots \Box P_{t_d}\), then \(\text{psrc}(G) = \text{prc}(G) = \text{rc}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (t_i - 1)\).

**Observation 2.** Given integers \(d \geq 1\) and \(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_d \geq 4\), if \(G = C_{t_1} \Box C_{t_2} \Box \cdots \Box C_{t_d}\), then \(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \left\lfloor \frac{t_i}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \text{rc}(G) \leq \text{prc}(G) \leq \text{psrc}(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left\lceil \frac{t_i}{2} \right\rceil\).

Based on these observations, the following question is natural.

**Question 3.** Is it possible to classify the class of graphs \(G\) satisfying \(\text{prc}(G) = \text{rc}(G)\), or satisfying \(\text{psrc}(G) = \text{src}(G)\)?

When \(\Delta(G)\) is large, certainly \(\text{prc}(G)\) must be large. On the other hand, when \(\text{diam}(G)\) is large, \(\text{rc}(G)\) must be large. Many rainbow connection colorings are proper edge-colorings, especially for strong rainbow connection colorings. Based on this, it might be tempting to ask if \(\text{diam}(G) \gg \Delta(G)\) might imply \(\text{prc}(G) = \text{rc}(G)\) or \(\text{psrc}(G) = \text{src}(G)\). Here \(\gg\) is used to mean “is sufficiently larger than” so here the assumption is that \(\text{diam}(G)\) is much larger than \(\Delta(G)\). Unfortunately, it turns out that this question has a negative answer, as seen in the following result.

**Theorem 6.** Let \(G = P_{t} \Box K_{k}\), where \(t \gg k \geq 4\). Then \(\text{rc}(G) = \text{src}(G) = t\), \(\text{prc}(G) > \text{rc}(G)\) and \(\text{psrc}(G) > \text{src}(G)\).

**Proof.** We first point out that \(\text{diam}(G) = t\) and \(\Delta(G) = k + 1\). It can be easily checked that \(\text{rc}(G) = \text{src}(G) = t\). Suppose that \(\text{prc}(G) = \text{rc}(G)\). Let \(A, B, C, D\) denote four vertices in the copy of \(K_k\) representing an end-vertex of the \(P_t\), respectively. And let \(A', B', C', D'\) be the corresponding vertices of the \(K_k\) representing the opposite end-vertex of the \(P_t\), respectively. Consider the assumed rainbow path between \(A\) and \(B'\). Without loss of generality, assume the rainbow path connecting them is \(A \cdots A'B'\) (\(A \cdots A'\) means the dashed lines corresponding to the copy of \(P_t\) between \(A\) and \(A'\) in Figure 3). Note that \(X \cdots X' (X \in \{A, B, C, D\})\) must be a rainbow path, otherwise, the length of a
rainbow path connecting $X$ and $X'$ is at least $t+1$, contradicting the assumption $prc(G) = t$. Let $c(A\cdots A') = \{1, 2, \ldots, t-1\}$ and $c(A'B') = t$.

First suppose that the color $t$ does not appear on the path $B'\cdots B$. Then consider the rainbow path $P$ connecting $B'$ and $C$. If $P$ is $B'\cdots BC$, then $c(BC) = t$. Thus the rainbow path connecting $C'$ and $B$ must be $C'\cdots CB$ since the coloring is proper. This yields a contradiction since there does not exist a rainbow path connecting $C'$ and $A$. If $P$ is $B'C'\cdots C$, then $c(B'C') \in \{1, 2, \ldots, t-1\}$, say $c(B'C') = 1$. Moreover, the color 1 does not appear on the path $C'\cdots C$. Note that the rainbow path connecting $A'$ and $C$ is $A'\cdots AC$ and $c(AC) = t$ since the coloring must be proper. Similarly, the rainbow path connecting $C'$ and $B$ is $C'\cdots CB$ and $c(CB) = 1$. Thus, there does not exist a rainbow path connecting $C'$ and $A$, a contradiction.

Now, assume that the color $t$ does appear on the path $B'\cdots B$ and the color 1 does not. Then the rainbow path connecting $B$ to $A'$ is $BA\cdots A'$ and $c(AB) = t$. Note that the rainbow path connecting $C$ to $A'$ is $C\cdots CA'$ and let $c(C'A') = x$ $(1 \leq x \leq t - 1)$. Next consider the rainbow path $P$ connecting $B'$ and $C$. If $P$ is $B'C'\cdots C$, then $c(B'C') = x$, contradicting the assumption that the coloring is proper. If $P$ is $B'\cdots BC$, then $c(BC) = 1$. Suppose that the rainbow path from $C'$ to $B$ is $C'\cdots CB$, then $x = 1$. But there does not exist a rainbow path connecting $C'$ and $A$, a contradiction. So the rainbow path from $C'$ to $B$ is $C'B'\cdots B$, and then $c(C'B') = 1$. Since the coloring is proper, the rainbow path connecting $B'$ and $D$ is $B'D'\cdots D$. Let $c(B'D') = 2(\neq x)$. Hence the rainbow path from $D'$ to $B$ is $D'\cdots DB$ and $c(DB) = 2$. This yields a contradiction since there does not exist a rainbow path connecting $D'$ and $C$. Therefore, $prc(G) > t$ and $psrc(G) > t$.

It turns out that using a restriction on the girth yields slightly more. If $g(G) \geq 5$, then every strong rainbow connection coloring is also a proper coloring so $psrc(G) = src(G)$. This restriction still does not quite achieve the goal for $prc(G)$ though. For example, let $G$ be a graph obtained from $s$ cycles $C_t$ with a
common vertex. Then $g(G) = t$ and $prc(G) \geq \Delta(G) = 2s$. Moreover, $rc(G) \leq t$.

If $s \gg t$, then $prc(G) \gg rc(G)$.

We conclude with some slightly positive progress by using a relation between $rc(G)$ and the girth of the graph.

**Proposition 6.** If $rc(G) < g(G) - 2$, then any minimum rainbow connection coloring of $G$ is also proper.

This means that if $rc(G) < g(G) - 2$, then $prc(G) = rc(G)$. In particular, sufficiently long cycles satisfy this restriction.

**Proof.** For a contradiction, consider a rainbow connection coloring of $G$ using $rc(G)$ colors, and suppose this coloring is not proper. Let $uvw$ be a monochromatic copy of $P_3$. Since $u$ cannot be connected to $w$ by a rainbow path through $v$, such a path, say $P$, must go elsewhere in the graph. Then $C = uPwvu$ is a cycle in $G$, meaning that $|C| \geq g(G)$ so $P$ must use at least $|C| - 2 \geq g(G) - 2$ colors, contradicting the assumption that $rc(G) < g(G) - 2$ colors were used. ■
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