

MINIMAL REDUCIBLE BOUNDS FOR HOM-PROPERTIES OF GRAPHS

AMELIE BERGER AND IZAK BROERE

Department of Mathematics
Rand Afrikaans University
P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park
2006 South Africa

e-mail: abe@rau.ac.za

e-mail: ib@na.rau.ac.za

Abstract

Let H be a fixed finite graph and let $\rightarrow H$ be a hom-property, i.e. the set of all graphs admitting a homomorphism into H . We extend the definition of $\rightarrow H$ to include certain infinite graphs H and then describe the minimal reducible bounds for $\rightarrow H$ in the lattice of additive hereditary properties and in the lattice of hereditary properties.

Keywords: graph homomorphisms, minimal reducible bounds, additive hereditary graph property.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15, 05C55, 06B05.

1. DEFINITIONS

In general we follow the notation and terminology of [1]. Denote by \mathcal{I} the set of all finite undirected simple graphs. Any isomorphism-closed subset \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{I} is called a *property* of graphs. A property \mathcal{P} is *hereditary* if whenever a graph G is in \mathcal{P} , then all subgraphs of G are also in \mathcal{P} . A property \mathcal{P} is *additive* if whenever graphs G and H are in \mathcal{P} , then their disjoint union, denoted by $G \cup H$, is in \mathcal{P} too. When partially ordered under set inclusion, the poset of all additive hereditary properties forms a complete distributive lattice, which we will denote by \mathbb{L}^a . We use \mathbb{L} to denote the lattice of hereditary properties. A property is called *non-trivial* if it contains at least one non-null graph and it is not equal to \mathcal{I} .

Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$ be any properties of graphs. A *vertex* $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -*partition* of a graph G is a partition (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n) of $V(G)$ such that for

each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the induced subgraph $G[V_i]$ has the property \mathcal{P}_i . Any of the V_i may be empty. The property $\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n$ is defined as the set of all graphs having a vertex $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partition. If $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$ are all (additive) hereditary properties, then $\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n$ is an (additive) hereditary property too. For convenience, we will write $\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n$ as $\mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 \dots \mathcal{P}_n$, omitting the binary operation symbol.

An additive hereditary property \mathcal{R} is called *reducible in \mathbb{L}^a* if there exist non-trivial properties \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} in \mathbb{L}^a such that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$. Otherwise \mathcal{R} is called *irreducible*. A reducible property $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{L}^a$ is called a *minimal reducible bound* for property $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$ if $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ and there is no reducible property \mathcal{R}_1 satisfying $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{R}$. From this definition, each reducible property is the unique minimal reducible bound for itself. We use the symbol $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{P})$ to denote the class of all minimal reducible bounds for property \mathcal{P} . We do not know whether a minimal reducible bound exists for every property \mathcal{P} , and $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{P})$ is known for only a few properties \mathcal{P} . Similar definitions hold in \mathbb{L} .

Given any $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$ (or in \mathbb{L}), we define the class of all \mathcal{P} -maximal graphs by $\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{P}) = \{G \in \mathcal{P} : G + e \notin \mathcal{P} \text{ for any } e \in E(\overline{G})\}$. $\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{P})$ determines \mathcal{P} in the sense that $H \in \mathcal{P}$ iff there exists some \mathcal{P} -maximal graph G such that $H \subseteq G$.

A *homomorphism* from a graph G to a graph H is a mapping f of the vertex set $V(G)$ to the vertex set $V(H)$ which preserves edges, i.e. if $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$, then $\{f(u), f(v)\} \in E(H)$. We say that G is *homomorphic to H* if there exists a homomorphism from G to H , and we write $G \rightarrow H$. If $G \rightarrow H$, then $\chi(G) \leq \chi(H)$. If H is a finite graph, then the *hom-property generated by H* is the set $\rightarrow H = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : G \rightarrow H\}$. Note that $\rightarrow H$ is an additive hereditary property for any $H \in \mathcal{I}$.

In Section 2 we summarise some fundamental properties of hom-properties. In Section 3 we extend the definition of hom-properties to include $\rightarrow H$ where H may be an infinite union of finite graphs. We then describe $\mathbf{B}(\rightarrow H)$ in the lattice \mathbb{L}^a in Section 4 and consider some applications of these results in Section 5. Section 6 describes $\mathbf{B}(\rightarrow H)$ in the lattice \mathbb{L} .

2. FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTIES OF HOM-PROPERTIES

Given a graph G , a *core of G* is any subgraph G' of G such that $G \rightarrow G'$, and such that G is not homomorphic to any proper subgraph of G' . Every graph G has a unique core up to isomorphism (see [2]) which is denoted by $C(G)$. If $G = C(G)$, i.e. if G is not homomorphic to any of its proper subgraphs, then we call G a *core*. Since any graph homomorphic to G is

also homomorphic to $C(G)$, and any element of $\rightarrow C(G)$ is in $\rightarrow G$, we have that $\rightarrow G = \rightarrow C(G)$. Hence, given any hom-property, we can assume it is of the form $\rightarrow H$ where H is a core.

The $(\rightarrow H)$ -maximal graphs are known and described in [4]:

Given any $G \in \mathcal{I}$, with $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, its *multiplications* $G^{\ddot{\cdot}}$ are defined as follows:

1. $V(G^{\ddot{\cdot}}) = W_1 \cup W_2 \cup \dots \cup W_n$,
2. for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $|W_i| \geq 1$,
3. for any pair $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $W_i \cap W_j = \emptyset$,
4. The only edges of $G^{\ddot{\cdot}}$ are all the edges of the form $\{u, v\}$ where $u \in W_i, v \in W_j$ and $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G)$.

Thus each vertex v_i of G is replaced by a non-empty set of vertices W_i (also denoted by $v_i^{\ddot{\cdot}}$) and if $u \in W_i, v \in W_j$, then u and v are adjacent in $G^{\ddot{\cdot}}$ iff v_i and v_j are adjacent in G . W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n are independent sets called the *multivertrices* of $G^{\ddot{\cdot}}$. We also write $G^{\ddot{\cdot}}$ as $G^{\ddot{\cdot}}(W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n)$ to emphasize its structure, and $G^{\ddot{\cdot}}(k)$ for $G^{\ddot{\cdot}}(W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n)$ if $|W_i| = k$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By mapping all the vertices in W_i to v_i for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, it is readily seen that $G^{\ddot{\cdot}} \rightarrow G$, i.e. $G^{\ddot{\cdot}} \in \rightarrow G$ and that $C(G^{\ddot{\cdot}}) = G$ if G is a core.

Kratochvíl, Mihók and Semanišín proved in [4] that every $(\rightarrow H)$ -maximal graph is a multiplication of a subgraph of H that is itself a core. Thus for every $(\rightarrow H)$ -maximal graph G , there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that G is contained in $H^{\ddot{\cdot}}(k)$.

The following lemma describes properties of hom-properties that will be used often in what follows. We use the notation $H + G$ for the *join* of two graphs H and G , i.e. for the graph obtained from $H \cup G$ by adding all edges joining vertices of H to vertices of G . A graph that is the join of two non-null graphs is called *decomposable*, while a graph that is not decomposable is called *indecomposable*.

Lemma 1. 1. $\rightarrow K_1$ is the set of all edgeless graphs, also denoted by \mathcal{O} .

We have $\rightarrow K_1 = \rightarrow H$ for any edgeless graph H , since $C(H) = K_1$.

2. $\rightarrow K_2$ is the set of all bipartite graphs and $\rightarrow K_2 = \rightarrow H$ for any graph H with chromatic number 2, since $C(H) = K_2$.
3. For any graphs H and G , $\rightarrow (H + G) = (\rightarrow H)(\rightarrow G)$ (see [3]).
4. $\rightarrow H$ is irreducible in \mathbb{L}^a iff H is indecomposable (see [3]).
5. For any graphs H and G , $\rightarrow H \subseteq \rightarrow G$ iff $H \rightarrow G$ iff $H \in \rightarrow G$ (see [2]).

3. THE HOM-PROPERTY $\rightarrow H$ FOR INFINITE H

Although each hom-property is an additive hereditary property and is thus an element of the complete lattice \mathbb{L}^a , the hom-properties do not form a complete sublattice of \mathbb{L}^a . For example $\vee\{\rightarrow R : R \text{ is a triangle-free core}\}$ cannot be a hom-property: If $\vee\{\rightarrow R : R \text{ is a triangle-free core}\} = \rightarrow H$ for some graph H , then $\rightarrow R \subseteq \rightarrow H$ for each triangle-free core R . This would imply that $\chi(R) \leq \chi(H)$ for each triangle-free core R , which is not true, since triangle-free graphs of arbitrarily high chromatic number can be constructed.

To enable the supremum and infimum (intersection) of an arbitrary set of hom-properties to again be a hom-property, we extend the definition of hom-properties by including $\rightarrow H$, where H is any union of finite graphs. For such a graph H we define $\rightarrow H$ by $\rightarrow H = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : G \rightarrow H\}$, i.e. $\rightarrow H$ is the set of all *finite* graphs admitting a homomorphism into H . Since the set of all finite graphs is countable, and since only one copy of each connected component of H is sufficient, we can always assume that H is a countable union of finite cores and that these cores are pairwise non-isomorphic. Unlike in the case where H is finite, H itself need no longer have a core e.g. $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3 \cup \dots$ has no core, and H need not have a finite chromatic number.

Extending the definition of hom-properties to allow $\rightarrow H$ where H is either finite or a countable union of finite graphs makes the hom-properties a complete sublattice of \mathbb{L}^a , i.e. the supremum and infimum of any set of hom-properties is again a hom-property, as the following two results show.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ be a set of graphs, each of which is finite or a countable union of finite graphs. Then $\vee\{\rightarrow H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} = \rightarrow (\cup\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\})$.*

Proof. In the lattice \mathbb{L}^a , $\vee\{\rightarrow H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is the least additive hereditary property which contains each $\rightarrow H_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$. We show that $\rightarrow (\cup\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\})$ satisfies this.

Clearly, if $G \in \rightarrow H_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in A$, then $G \in \rightarrow (\cup\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\})$. Therefore $\rightarrow H_\alpha \subseteq \rightarrow (\cup\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\})$ for each $\alpha \in A$.

Now suppose that $\rightarrow H_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ for each $\alpha \in A$, for some property $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$. We show that $\rightarrow (\cup\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}$: Let $G \in \rightarrow (\cup\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\})$. By definition, G is finite, and hence there is a homomorphism from G to a finite union of H_α 's, say $G \in \rightarrow H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_n$. Since each connected component

of G is homomorphically mapped to exactly one H_i , G has a decomposition $G = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_n$, such that $G_i \rightarrow H_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. But then we have $G_i \in \mathcal{P}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. As each G_i is in \mathcal{P} , by the additivity of \mathcal{P} , G is in \mathcal{P} too. ■

Theorem 3. *Let $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ be a set of graphs, each of which is finite or a countable union of finite graphs. Then $\bigwedge \{\rightarrow H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \Rightarrow (\bigcup \{R : R \text{ is a core contained in a multiplication of a finite subgraph of } H_\alpha \text{ for each } \alpha \in A\})$.*

Proof. Suppose $G \in \bigcap \{\rightarrow H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$. Then $G \rightarrow C(G)$ and $C(G) \in \bigcap \{\rightarrow H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$. Then for each $\alpha \in A$, $C(G) \in \rightarrow H_\alpha$ and so $C(G)$ is contained in a multiplication of a finite subgraph of H_α . So we have $G \in \rightarrow C(G) \subseteq \rightarrow (\bigcup \{R : R \text{ is a core contained in a multiplication of a finite subgraph of } H_\alpha \text{ for each } \alpha \in A\})$.

Conversely, suppose $G \in \rightarrow (\bigcup \{R : R \text{ is a core contained in a multiplication of a finite subgraph of } H_\alpha \text{ for each } \alpha \in A\})$. Then there exists a homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow (\bigcup \{R : R \text{ is a core contained in a multiplication of a finite subgraph of } H_\alpha \text{ for each } \alpha \in A\})$. Consider any connected component K of G : It is mapped by f to one of these cores, say R . By the definition of R , $R \in \bigcap \{\rightarrow H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ and so $K \in \rightarrow R \subseteq \bigcap \{\rightarrow H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$. But then $\bigcap \{\rightarrow H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is an additive property containing each connected component of G and we conclude that G itself is in $\bigcap \{\rightarrow H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$. ■

4. MINIMAL REDUCIBLE BOUNDS FOR $\rightarrow H$ IN \mathbb{L}^a

In this section we describe the set of all minimal reducible bounds for $\rightarrow H$ in the lattice \mathbb{L}^a , first dealing with the case where H is finite, and then with the infinite case. The following lemma and its corollary are useful for both cases.

Lemma 4. *Let H be a finite core or a countable union of finite cores. If \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are non-trivial properties in \mathbb{L} with $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ such that $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$ then there exists a partition (V_1, V_2) of $V(H)$ with $V_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $V_2 \neq \emptyset$ such that $\rightarrow H \subseteq (\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2]) \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$ and $\rightarrow H[V_1] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\rightarrow H[V_2] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$.*

Proof. First suppose that H is finite and let $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. We will show that there exists a partition (V_1, V_2) of $V(H)$ with $V_1 \neq \emptyset$ and

$V_2 \neq \emptyset$ such that $H[V_1]^{\ddot{}}(k) \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $H[V_2]^{\ddot{}}(k) \in \mathcal{Q}$ for all $k \geq 1$. Then all maximal elements of $\rightarrow H[V_1]$ are in \mathcal{P} and so $\rightarrow H[V_1] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, and similarly $\rightarrow H[V_2] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$.

Fix $k \geq 1$. Since $H^{\ddot{}}(2k-1) \in \rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$, $H^{\ddot{}}(2k-1)$ has a $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -partition. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $v_i^{\ddot{}}(2k-1)$ has at least k vertices in the \mathcal{P} part or at least k vertices in the \mathcal{Q} part. By deleting $k-1$ vertices from each $v_i^{\ddot{}}(2k-1)$, we can ensure that the remaining $v_i^{\ddot{}}(k)$ is completely in the \mathcal{P} part or completely in the \mathcal{Q} part. We can also ensure that neither the \mathcal{P} nor the \mathcal{Q} part is empty: One of the $v_i^{\ddot{}}(k)$ can be moved to the empty part if necessary.

We now have disjoint sets I_1 and I_2 such that $I_1 \cup I_2 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $(\{v : v \in v_i^{\ddot{}}(k), i \in I_1\}, \{v : v \in v_i^{\ddot{}}(k), i \in I_2\})$ forms a $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ partition of $H^{\ddot{}}(k)$.

Since \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are hereditary properties, each such pair (I_1, I_2) induces a $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -partition of $H^{\ddot{}}(r)$ for each $r \leq k$, with each $v_i^{\ddot{}}(r)$ entirely in the \mathcal{P} part or entirely in the \mathcal{Q} part. Since there are only finitely many partitions (I_1, I_2) of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, there exists a pair (I_1^*, I_2^*) which serves for infinitely many values of k , and hence for every value of k . Let $V_1 = \{v_i \in V(H) : i \in I_1^*\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_i \in V(H) : i \in I_2^*\}$. Then $H[V_1]^{\ddot{}}(k) \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $H[V_2]^{\ddot{}}(k) \in \mathcal{Q}$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Suppose now that H is a countable union of finite graphs, $H = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots$. Denote by G_n the graph $H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_n$, $n \geq 1$, and let \mathcal{G} be the set of all G_n i.e. $\mathcal{G} = \{G_n : n \geq 1\}$.

For each $n \geq 1$, $\rightarrow G_n \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$ and so by the finite case above, there exists a partition (W_1^n, W_2^n) of $V(G_n)$ with neither part empty such that $\rightarrow G_n[W_1^n] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\rightarrow G_n[W_2^n] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. Restricted to $V(H_1)$, each (W_1^n, W_2^n) induces a partition of $V(H_1)$ such that $\rightarrow H_1[W_1^n] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\rightarrow H_1[W_2^n] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. Since $V(H_1)$ has only finitely many partitions, there exists a partition of $V(H_1)$ with these properties induced by infinitely many (W_1^n, W_2^n) . Call this partition (V_1^1, V_2^1) and note that $\rightarrow H_1[V_1^1] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\rightarrow H_1[V_2^1] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$.

Now delete from \mathcal{G} all those G_n whose corresponding (W_1^n, W_2^n) do not induce (V_1^1, V_2^1) and call the resulting set \mathcal{G}' . Suppose that $i \geq 2$ is the least integer such that G_i is in \mathcal{G}' . For each $n \geq i$ for which $G_n \in \mathcal{G}'$, the partition (W_1^n, W_2^n) of $V(G_n)$ restricted to $V(G_i)$ induces a partition of $V(G_i)$. Since $V(G_i)$ has only finitely many partitions, there exists a partition of $V(G_i)$ induced by infinitely many (W_1^n, W_2^n) . This partition of $V(G_i)$ induces (V_1^2, V_2^2) in $V(H_1)$. Label the partitions induced by this partition of $V(G_i)$ in $V(H_2), V(H_3), \dots, V(H_i)$ by $(V_1^2, V_2^2)(V_1^3, V_2^3), \dots, (V_1^i, V_2^i)$, respectively. For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, i$ we have $\rightarrow H_k[V_1^k] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\rightarrow H_k[V_2^k] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$.

We now repeat the procedure: delete from \mathcal{G}' all those G_n whose corresponding (W_1^n, W_2^n) do not induce $(V_1^1, V_2^1), (V_1^2, V_2^2), \dots, (V_1^i, V_2^i)$ and call the resulting set \mathcal{G}'' . If $j \geq i+1$ is the least integer such that $G_j \in \mathcal{G}''$, choose a partition of $V(G_j)$ that is induced by infinitely many of the (W_1^n, W_2^n) which satisfy $G_n \in \mathcal{G}''$, etc.

Following this procedure, we obtain for each $n \geq 1$ a partition (V_1^n, V_2^n) of $V(H_n)$ which satisfies $\rightarrow H_n[V_1^n] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\rightarrow H_n[V_2^n] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. With $V_1 = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} V_1^n$ and $V_2 = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} V_2^n$, we have a partition of $V(H)$. If either V_1 or V_2 is empty, move an arbitrary vertex into this set. By the construction of V_1 and V_2 , $\rightarrow H[V_1] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\rightarrow H[V_2] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. ■

Corollary 5. *Let H be a finite core or a countable union of finite cores. If \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are non-trivial properties in \mathbb{L}^a such that $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$ then there exists a partition (V_1, V_2) of $V(H)$ with $V_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $V_2 \neq \emptyset$ such that $\rightarrow H \subseteq (\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2]) \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$ and $\rightarrow H[V_1] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\rightarrow H[V_2] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$.*

We can now describe the minimal reducible bounds for the hom-properties in \mathbb{L}^a .

4.1. Finite H

Let H be a finite core such that $\rightarrow H$ is irreducible in \mathbb{L}^a (i.e. H is indecomposable). Let \mathbf{H} be the set of all hom-properties $\rightarrow C_1 + C_2 = (\rightarrow C_1)(\rightarrow C_2)$ formed as follows:

For each partition (V_1, V_2) of $V(H)$ with $V_1 \neq \emptyset$, $V_2 \neq \emptyset$, let $C_1 = C(H[V_1])$ and $C_2 = C(H[V_2])$.

Lemma 6. $\rightarrow H \subseteq \rightarrow C_1 + C_2$ for each $\rightarrow C_1 + C_2 \in \mathbf{H}$.

Proof. This will follow if we can show that there is a homomorphism from H to $C_1 + C_2$. By the definition of C_1 and C_2 , there exist homomorphisms $f_1 : V_1 \rightarrow V(C_1)$ and $f_2 : V_2 \rightarrow V(C_2)$. Define $f : V(H) \rightarrow V(C_1 + C_2)$ by $f(x) = f_i(x)$ if $x \in V_i$, $i = 1, 2$. ■

Since H is a finite graph, the set \mathbf{H} is finite and thus minimal elements (under inclusion of properties) exist. These minimal elements of \mathbf{H} are precisely all the minimal reducible bounds of $\rightarrow H$, i.e. they form $\mathbf{B}(\rightarrow H)$.

Theorem 7. $\mathbf{B}(\rightarrow H) = \text{Min}_{\subseteq} \mathbf{H}$.

Proof. We must show that if there are non-trivial properties \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} in \mathbb{L}^a such that $\rightarrow H \subset \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$, then there exists a $\rightarrow C_1 + C_2 \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $\rightarrow H \subset \rightarrow C_1 + C_2 \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$. This follows immediately by Corollary 5: there exists a $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ partition (V_1, V_2) of $V(H)$ with $V_1 \neq \emptyset$, $V_2 \neq \emptyset$ such that $\rightarrow H \subseteq \rightarrow H[V_1] \rightarrow H[V_2] \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$, and so $\rightarrow H \subseteq (\rightarrow C(H[V_1])) (\rightarrow C(H[V_2])) \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$. \blacksquare

All the minimal reducible bounds in \mathbb{L}^a for a hom-property $\rightarrow H$, where H is finite, can thus be found by forming the finite set \mathbf{H} (by considering all partitions (V_1, V_2) of $V(H)$ with $V_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $V_2 \neq \emptyset$, and then forming the hom-properties $\rightarrow (C(H[V_1]) + C(H[V_2]))$ and then determining which of these reducible properties are minimal under inclusion.

4.2. Infinite H

We now consider minimal reducible bounds in \mathbb{L}^a for an irreducible $\rightarrow H$, where H is an infinite union of finite cores. By Corollary 5, if a minimal reducible bounds exists for such a $\rightarrow H$, it is of the same form as in the finite case, i.e. it has the form $(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$ for some partition (V_1, V_2) of $V(H)$ with $V_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $V_2 \neq \emptyset$. We can again form the set \mathbf{H} for an infinite graph H , $\mathbf{H} = \{(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2]) : (V_1, V_2) \text{ is a partition of } V(H) \text{ and } V_1 \neq \emptyset, V_2 \neq \emptyset\}$ and clearly $\rightarrow H \subseteq (\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$ for each $(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$ in \mathbf{H} . However \mathbf{H} will now be an infinite set and the existence of minimal elements is no longer trivial. In the following theorem we show that \mathbf{H} has minimal elements and that every element of \mathbf{H} contains a minimal element. These minimal elements thus form $\mathbf{B}(\rightarrow H)$, the set of all minimal reducible bounds for $\rightarrow H$.

Theorem 8. *Let H be an countable union of finite cores. Then the set \mathbf{H} contains minimal elements, and each element of \mathbf{H} contains a minimal element of \mathbf{H} .*

Proof. We will first use Zorn's lemma to show that $\mathbf{H} = \{(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2]) : (V_1, V_2) \text{ is a partition of } V(H), V_1 \neq \emptyset, V_2 \neq \emptyset\}$ has minimal elements. This will follow if we can show that every chain in \mathbf{H} has a lower bound in \mathbf{H} .

Suppose to the contrary that $\mathcal{C} = \{(\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha]) : \alpha \in A\}$ is an infinite chain in \mathbf{H} that does not have a lower bound in \mathbf{H} . Then given any element of the chain, there exists an infinite chain of elements of \mathcal{C} below it.

Suppose $H = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots$. For each $\alpha \in A$, the partition (V_1^α, V_2^α) of $V(H)$ induces a partition of $V(H_1)$. Since $V(H_1)$ has only finitely many partitions, there exists a partition $(V_{1,1}, V_{2,1})$ of $V(H_1)$ that is induced infinitely many times and that satisfies: given any $\alpha \in A$, there exists $\alpha' \in A$ such that $(\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha])$ and $(V_1^{\alpha'}, V_2^{\alpha'})$ induces $(V_{1,1}, V_{2,1})$ in $V(H_1)$. (If for each induced partition of $V(H_1)$ occurring infinitely many times, there exists an α such that every $\alpha' \in A$ satisfying $(\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha])$ induces some different partition of $V(H_1)$, then, since these α are finite, we can choose the one among them corresponding to the least element of \mathcal{C} . This element of \mathcal{C} contains only finitely many other elements of \mathcal{C} below it, contradicting our hypothesis.) We have $H_1[V_{1,1}] \in \rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$ and $H_2[V_{1,2}] \in \rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$.

Now form A' from A by deleting all those α for which (V_1^α, V_2^α) does not induce $(V_{1,1}, V_{2,1})$. For any $\alpha \in A$, there exists α' in A' such that $(\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha])$ and $H_1[V_{1,1}] \in \rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$ and $H_1[V_{2,1}] \in \rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$. We now have a new infinite chain, $\mathcal{C}' = \{(\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha]) : \alpha \in A'\}$, and we repeat the procedure using H_2 and \mathcal{C}' , to form \mathcal{C}'' , etc. For each H_i we obtain a partition $(V_{1,i}, V_{2,i})$ of $V(H_i)$ and after completing the procedure i times, we have a chain of $(\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha])$ such that for all α in the new index set, the partition (V_1^α, V_2^α) of $V(H)$ induces the partition $(V_{1,j}, V_{2,j})$ of $V(H_j)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, i$. Also, for any $\alpha \in A$, there exists α' in the new index set such that $(\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha])$ and $H_j[V_{1,j}] \in \rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$ and $H_j[V_{2,j}] \in \rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, i$.

Now let $V_1 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} V_{1,i}$ and let $V_2 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} V_{2,i}$. There are now two possibilities: either both V_1 and V_2 are non-empty, or one of them (say V_2) is empty while the other (V_1) equals $V(H)$.

Suppose first that both V_1 and V_2 are non-empty. Then $(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$ is itself in \mathbf{H} . We will show that $(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$ is a lower bound for the chain \mathcal{C} .

Let $\alpha \in A$ and let $G \in (\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$. Then there exists a partition (A, B) of $V(G)$ such that $G[A] \rightarrow H[V_1]$ and $G[B] \rightarrow H[V_2]$. Since both $G[A]$ and $G[B]$ are finite, there exists an integer n such that $G[A] \rightarrow \cup\{H_i[V_{1,i}] : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $G[B] \rightarrow \cup\{H_i[V_{2,i}] : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Now by the remark at the end of the previous paragraph, after n steps of the procedure, there exists an α' in the modified index set of the chain with $(\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha])$ and such that $H_i[V_{1,i}] \in \rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$ and $H_i[V_{2,i}] \in \rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence $G[A] \in \rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$

and $G[B] \in \rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$, so $G \in (\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha])$, i.e. $(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2]) \subseteq (\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha])$.

Now suppose that V_2 is empty and that $V_1 = V(H)$. We claim that in this case, any element of \mathbf{H} of the form $(\rightarrow H[W_1])(\rightarrow H[W_2])$ where W_2 is independent, is a lower bound for the chain \mathcal{C} . To prove this, fix such an element of \mathbf{H} . Suppose it is $(\rightarrow H[W_1])(\rightarrow H[W_2])$, with W_2 independent. Let $\alpha \in A$ and let $G \in (\rightarrow H[W_1])(\rightarrow H[W_2])$. We must show that $G \in (\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha])$: Since G is finite, there exists an integer n such that $G \in (\rightarrow (H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_n)[W_1])(\rightarrow (H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_n)[W_2])$. Now there exists an $\alpha' \in A$ such that $(\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha])$ and $(V_1^{\alpha'}, V_2^{\alpha'})$ induces $(V_{1,i}, V_{2,i}) = (V(H_i), \emptyset)$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $(H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_n)[W_1] \rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$ (the inclusion map) and $(H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_n)[W_2] \rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$ (since W_2 is independent and $V_2^{\alpha'}$ is non-empty.) Hence $G \in (\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\rightarrow H[V_1^\alpha])(\rightarrow H[V_2^\alpha])$.

We can conclude by Zorn's lemma that the set \mathbf{H} has minimal elements. By fixing an element of \mathbf{H} and considering only chains of elements of \mathbf{H} each of which is contained in that fixed element, the same argument as above shows that each element of \mathbf{H} contains at least one of these minimal elements of \mathbf{H} . Hence, as in the case where H is finite, the minimal elements of \mathbf{H} form $\mathbf{B}(\rightarrow H)$ when H is an infinite union of finite graphs. \blacksquare

5. SOME APPLICATIONS

In the following applications, we allow the graph H to be either finite or a countable union of finite graphs and we show the existence of minimal reducible bounds of certain types in \mathbb{L}^a for $\rightarrow H$. In this section we assume throughout that $\rightarrow H$ is irreducible, while if H is finite it is assumed to be a core.

Proposition 9. *If H is a graph with chromatic number 3, then \mathcal{O}^3 is the unique minimal reducible bound for $\rightarrow H$.*

Proof. Since $\chi(H) = 3$, there exists a partition (V_1, V_2) of $V(H)$ such that $H[V_1]$ is an independent set of vertices and $H[V_2]$ has chromatic number 2, i.e. $\rightarrow C(H[V_1]) \rightarrow C(H[V_2]) = \rightarrow K_1 + K_2 = \rightarrow K_3 = \mathcal{O}^3$.

If $\rightarrow H \subset \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ for any other $\rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_2 \in \mathbf{H}$, then either C_1 or C_2 must contain an edge (since $\chi(C_1) + \chi(C_2) \geq 3$) and hence $K_1 + K_2 \in \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_2$, i.e. $\rightarrow H \subset \rightarrow K_1 + K_2 = \mathcal{O}^3 \subseteq \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_2$. \blacksquare

Proposition 10. *If H is a graph with chromatic number 4, then all minimal reducible bounds of $\rightarrow H$ are of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$ for some graph $X \subset H$.*

Proof. Since $\chi(H) = 4$, there exists a partition (V_1, V_2) of $V(H)$ such that $\chi(H[V_1]) = 2$ and $\chi(H[V_2]) = 2$, i.e. $\rightarrow C(H[V_1]) \rightarrow C(H[V_2]) = \rightarrow K_2 + K_2 = \rightarrow K_1 + K_3 = \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow K_3)$.

Consider all partitions (V_1, V_2) of $V(H)$. If $H[V_1]$ or $H[V_2]$ is independent, we get a reducible bound for $\rightarrow H$ of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow H[V_1])$ or $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow H[V_2])$. If neither $H[V_1]$ nor $H[V_2]$ is independent, then $K_2 \rightarrow H[V_1]$ and $K_2 \rightarrow H[V_2]$, so $\rightarrow K_2 + K_2 = \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow K_3) \subseteq \rightarrow H[V_1] \rightarrow H[V_2]$.

We can now conclude that all the minimal elements of \mathbf{H} are of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$ for some graph $X \subset H$. ■

Proposition 11. *If H is a graph with chromatic number 5, then $\rightarrow H$ has a minimal reducible bound of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$ for some graph $X \subset H$.*

Proof. Since $\chi(H) = 5$, there exists a bound of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X) = (\rightarrow K_1)(\rightarrow X)$ for $\rightarrow H$ with $X \subset H$ and $\chi(X) = 4$. Suppose that $\rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is any other element of \mathbf{H} satisfying $\rightarrow H \subseteq \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$. Since $\chi(H) = \chi(K_1) + \chi(X) = 5$, we must have $\chi(X_1) + \chi(X_2) = 5$ and this is only possible if one of X_1 or X_2 has chromatic number at most 2.

Say $\chi(X_1) \leq 2$. Then we can assume that $X_1 = K_1$ or $X_1 = K_2$. In the first case, $\rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 = \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow X_2 = \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X_2)$, while in the second, $\rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 = (\rightarrow K_1)(\rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow X_2)$. By Corollary 5, there exists a bound for $\rightarrow H$ of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y)$ with $Y \subset H$ satisfying $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y) \subseteq (\rightarrow K_1)(\rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow X_2)$. In either case there exists a bound for $\rightarrow H$ of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y)$ with $Y \subset H$ satisfying $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y) \subseteq \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, so we conclude that \mathbf{H} has a minimal element of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y)$ for some $Y \subset H$. ■

Proposition 12. *If H is a graph with chromatic number either infinite or finite and greater than or equal to 6, and if K_4 is not a subgraph of H , then $\rightarrow H$ has a minimal reducible bound of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$ for some $X \subset H$.*

Proof. There exists a bound for $\rightarrow H$ of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$ where $X \subset H$, and $\chi(X) \geq 5$, which is minimal of this type.

Suppose $\rightarrow H \subset (\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$ where $(\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2) \in \mathbf{H}$ is not of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y)$ for any graph Y . If the chromatic number of either X_1 or X_2 is one, say $\chi(X_1) = 1$, then $(\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2) = \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X_2)$, contradicting our assumption on the form of $(\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2)$. If one of X_1 or X_2 has chromatic number 2, say $\chi(X_1) = 2$, then $(\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2) = \mathcal{O}$

($\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X_2)$) and by Corollary 5 there exists an element of \mathbf{H} of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y)$ between $\rightarrow H$ and $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X_2)$, contradicting the minimality of $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$.

Thus $\chi(X_1) \geq 3$ and $\chi(X_2) \geq 3$ so that both X_1 and X_2 contain an odd cycle, say S_1 and S_2 respectively. But then $S_1 + S_2 \in (\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$, so $V(S_1 + S_2)$ has an $(\mathcal{O}, (\rightarrow X))$ -partition, say (V_1, V_2) . Thus $(S_1 + S_2)[V_1]$ is an independent subgraph of either S_1 or S_2 , and (since $\chi(S_1) = 3$ and $\chi(S_2) = 3$), $(S_1 + S_2)[V_2]$ must contain K_4 as a subgraph, a contradiction since $(S_1 + S_2)[V_2] \in \rightarrow X$, and any K_4 in $(S_1 + S_2)[V_2]$ would force a K_4 in $X \subset H$.

We conclude that \mathbf{H} has a minimal element of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y)$ for some $Y \subset H$. \blacksquare

Proposition 13. *If H is a graph with finite chromatic number satisfying $\chi(H) = n \geq 6$, and $K_{n-1} \subset H$, then $\rightarrow H$ has a minimal reducible bound of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$ for some $X \subset H$.*

Proof. There exists an element $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X) \in \mathbf{H}$ with $\chi(X) = n - 1$. Suppose now that $\rightarrow H \subset (\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2]) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$, with $(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2]) \in \mathbf{H}$. Then $\chi(H[V_1]) + \chi(H[V_2]) = n$. Since $K_{n-1} \subset H$, there exists $K_i \subseteq H[V_1]$ and $K_j \subseteq H[V_2]$ with $i + j = n - 1$.

If $i \geq \chi(H[V_1])$, then $C(H[V_1]) = K_i$, so $(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2]) = (\rightarrow K_1)(\rightarrow K_{i-1} \rightarrow H[V_2])$ and by Corollary 5, there exists a bound for $\rightarrow H$ of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y)$ for some $Y \subset H$, contained in $(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$. However if $i < \chi(H[V_1])$, then $j \geq \chi(H[V_2])$ and $C(H[V_2]) = K_j$, and once again $(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$ contains a bound for $\rightarrow H$ of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y)$ for some $Y \subset H$.

We conclude that \mathbf{H} has a minimal element of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y)$ for some $Y \subset H$. \blacksquare

Proposition 14. *If H is a triangle-free graph with finite chromatic number satisfying $\chi(H) \geq 6$, then $\rightarrow H$ has a minimal reducible bound not of the form $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}$ for any $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$.*

Proof. Since $\chi(H) \geq 6$, there exists $(\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2) \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $\chi(X_1) \geq 3, \chi(X_2) \geq 3, \chi(X_1) + \chi(X_2) = \chi(H)$. Suppose $(\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2) = \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$ for some $X \subset H$. X_1 and X_2 each contain an odd cycle, say S_1 , and S_2 respectively. We then have that $S_1 + S_2 \in \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$ so $V(S_1 + S_2)$ has an $(\mathcal{O}, \rightarrow X)$ -partition, say (V_1, V_2) . Since $(S_1 + S_2)[V_1]$ is an independent subset of either S_1 or S_2 , $(S_1 + S_2)[V_2]$ must contain a triangle, forcing H to

contain a triangle, contradicting our hypothesis. So $(\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2)$ is not of the form \mathcal{OP} for any $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$.

Suppose now that $\rightarrow H \subset \mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X) \subset (\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2)$ for some $X \subset H$. Since $\chi(H) = \chi(X_1) + \chi(X_2)$, it must be true that $\chi(X) = \chi(H) - 1$. Let G be any finite subgraph of X with $\chi(G) = \chi(X)$. The graph $G + \{v\}$ is in $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow X)$ and therefore in $(\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2)$, and so $V(G + \{v\})$ has a $(\rightarrow X_1, \rightarrow X_2)$ -partition (V_1, V_2) . Suppose that $v \in V_1$. If $\{w \in V(G) : w \in V_1\}$ is not an independent set of vertices, then $(G + v)[V_1]$ contains a triangle, and so X_1 contains a triangle, which is not possible. If $\{w \in V(G) : w \in V_1\}$ is an independent set of vertices, then $\chi((G + v)[V_2]) \geq \chi(H) - 2$. But $(G + v)[V_2] \in \rightarrow X_2$ and $\chi(X_2) \leq \chi(H) - 3$, again a contradiction. Hence no bound of the form \mathcal{OP} with $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$ can occur between $\rightarrow H$ and $(\rightarrow X_1)(\rightarrow X_2)$.

We conclude that \mathbf{H} has a minimal element not of the form $\mathcal{O}(\rightarrow Y)$ for any $Y \subset H$. ■

The previous result is not true if we allow $\chi(H)$ to be infinite since the set of all triangle-free graphs, \mathcal{I}_1 , has the unique minimal reducible bound \mathcal{OI}_1 (see [1], [6]). \mathcal{I}_1 is the hom-property $\rightarrow \cup\{R : R \text{ is a triangle free core}\}$, with infinite chromatic number.

Corollaries 12 and 14 show that if H has a finite chromatic number greater than or equal to 6, and H is triangle-free, then $\rightarrow H$ has a minimal reducible bound of the form \mathcal{OP} for some $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$ and a minimal reducible bound not of this form.

6. MINIMAL REDUCIBLE BOUNDS FOR $\rightarrow H$ IN \mathbb{L}

We now describe the minimal reducible bounds of a hom-property $\rightarrow H$ in the lattice of hereditary properties, \mathbb{L} . Again, we will describe the case for a finite H first, and then draw conclusions about an infinite H . The following lemma and its corollary are useful in both the finite and infinite cases.

Lemma 15. *Let H be a finite graph or a countable union of finite graphs. If $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$, where \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are non-trivial properties in \mathbb{L} such that $\mathcal{O} \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, then $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Suppose first that H is finite, and suppose that the cardinality of the largest edgeless graph in \mathcal{Q} is k . For any $m > k$, $H^{\cdot\cdot}(m) \in \mathcal{PQ}$ and by the restriction on \mathcal{Q} , $H^{\cdot\cdot}(m - k)$ must be in \mathcal{P} . This is true for any $m > k$ so that $H^{\cdot\cdot}(r) \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $r \geq 1$, i.e. $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{P}$.

If H is infinite, then since $\rightarrow H' \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$ for any finite subgraph H' of H , by the finite case we can conclude that $\rightarrow H' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ for every finite subgraph H' of H . Since any graph in $\rightarrow H$ is contained in some $\rightarrow H'$ where H' is a finite subgraph of H , we can conclude that $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{P}$. ■

Corollary 16. *Let H be a finite graph or a countable union of finite graphs. If $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$, where \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are non-trivial properties in \mathbb{L} such that $\mathcal{O} \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, then $\rightarrow H \subseteq (\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$.*

Proof. The proof is immediate as $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and, since \mathcal{Q} is non-trivial, $K_1 \in \mathcal{Q}$. ■

We now describe the minimal reducible bounds for hom-properties in \mathbb{L} .

6.1. Finite H

Theorem 17. *If H is a finite indecomposable core then the minimal reducible bounds for $\rightarrow H$ in \mathbb{L} are the minimal elements of \mathbf{H} as well as the property $(\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4 and Corollary 16 we know that if $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$, where \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are non-trivial properties in \mathbb{L} , then if $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, we have a minimal element of \mathbf{H} between $\rightarrow H$ and $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$, while if $\mathcal{O} \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, then $(\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\})$ lies between $\rightarrow H$ and $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$. Note that the case $\mathcal{O} \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{O} \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ cannot occur since by Lemma 15, if $\mathcal{O} \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, then $\rightarrow H \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, and since H is assumed to have at least one vertex, all multiplications of this vertex must be in \mathcal{P} i.e. $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$.

To complete the proof of the theorem, we must show that $(\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\})$ is not contained in any minimal element of \mathbf{H} , and that no minimal element of \mathbf{H} is contained in $(\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\})$.

First suppose to the contrary that $\rightarrow H[V_1] + H[V_2]$ is a minimal element of \mathbf{H} satisfying $\rightarrow H[V_1] + H[V_2] \subseteq (\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\})$. By Lemma 15 we then have $\rightarrow H[V_1] + H[V_2] \subseteq \rightarrow H$, and so $H[V_1] + H[V_2] \rightarrow H$. If this homomorphism is a surjection, then H is decomposable, a contradiction, while if this homomorphism is not a surjection, then we can use it to map H into a proper subgraph of itself, a contradiction to the fact that H is a core.

Now suppose that $\rightarrow (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$ is a minimal element of \mathbf{H} and that $(\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\}) \subseteq \rightarrow (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$. Now $H + K_1 \in (\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\}) \subseteq \rightarrow (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$, so we have the inclusions $\rightarrow H \subseteq \rightarrow (H + K_1) = (\rightarrow H)(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \rightarrow (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$. By Lemma 4 there exists an element

$\rightarrow (H[W_1] + H[W_2])$ in \mathbf{H} satisfying $\rightarrow H \subseteq \rightarrow (H[W_1] + H[W_2]) \subseteq (\rightarrow H)(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \rightarrow (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$, and $\rightarrow H[W_1] \subseteq \rightarrow H$ and $\rightarrow H[W_2] = \mathcal{O}$. By the minimality of $\rightarrow (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$ in \mathbf{H} , the two elements of \mathbf{H} must be equal, and so we have $(\rightarrow H)(\mathcal{O}) = \rightarrow (H[W_1] + H[W_2])$ i.e. $(\rightarrow H)(\mathcal{O}) = \rightarrow H[W_1] \rightarrow H[W_2]$. By the unique factorisation theorem [3], and the fact that $\rightarrow H[W_2] = \mathcal{O}$, we can conclude that $\rightarrow H = \rightarrow H[W_1]$ and $\mathcal{O} = \rightarrow H[W_2]$. But then we have a homomorphism from H to $H[W_1]$, a proper subgraph of H , contradicting the fact that H is a core. ■

6.2. Infinite H

Theorem 18. *If H is an infinite union of finite graphs, then the minimal elements of the set $\mathbf{H} \cup \{(\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\})\}$ are the minimal reducible bounds for $\rightarrow H$ in \mathbb{L} .*

This result immediately follows from Lemma 4 and Corollary 16. The sharper result from the finite case is no longer true since when H is infinite, it may be possible that $(\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\})$ is properly contained in a minimal element of \mathbf{H} e.g. \mathcal{I}_1 has the unique minimal reducible bound in \mathbb{L}^a of $\mathcal{I}_1 \mathcal{O}$, the unique minimal element of \mathbf{H} . In \mathbb{L} however, we have $\mathcal{I}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_1\{K_1\} \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_1 \mathcal{O}$, so that \mathcal{I}_1 has unique minimal reducible bound $\mathcal{I}_1\{K_1\}$.

It is not true that $(\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\})$ is contained in every minimal element of \mathbf{H} , since if $(\rightarrow H)(\{K_1\}) \subseteq (\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$ where $(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$ is minimal in \mathbf{H} , then we have $\rightarrow H \subseteq (\rightarrow H)(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq (\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$. (The second inclusion follows since any graph G in $(\rightarrow H)(\mathcal{O})$ is in $\rightarrow (H' + K_1)$ for some finite subgraph H' of H , and since $H' + K_1 \in \rightarrow H[V_1] \rightarrow H[V_2]$, we have that $\rightarrow (H' + K_1) \in \rightarrow H[V_1] \rightarrow H[V_2]$.) By Lemma 4 there should be another element of \mathbf{H} between $\rightarrow H$ and $(\rightarrow H)(\mathcal{O})$. By the minimality of $\rightarrow (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$, we now have that $(\rightarrow H)(\mathcal{O}) = (\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$. However (Corollary 14) if H is infinite and triangle-free with finite chromatic number at least six, \mathbf{H} contains at least one minimal element which does not contain the factor \mathcal{O} .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Borowiecki, I. Broere, M. Frick, P. Mihók and G. Semanišin, *A survey of Hereditary Properties of Graphs*, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* **17** (1997) 5–50.

- [2] P. Hell and J. Nešetřil, *The core of a graph*, Discrete Math. **109** (1992) 117–126.
- [3] J. Kratochvíl and P. Mihók, *Hom properties are uniquely factorisable into irreducible factors*, to appear in Discrete Math.
- [4] J. Kratochvíl, P. Mihók and G. Semanišin, *Graphs maximal with respect to hom-properties*, Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory **17** (1997) 77–88.
- [5] J. Nešetřil, *Graph homomorphisms and their structure*, in: Y. Alavi and A. Schwenk, eds., Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Applications: Proceedings of the Seventh Quadrennial International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Graphs **2** (1995) 825–832.
- [6] J. Nešetřil, V. Rödl, *Partitions of Vertices*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **17** (1976) 675–681.

Received 19 January 1999

Revised 7 September 1999