

*Discussiones Mathematicae*  
*Graph Theory* 39 (2019) 605–608  
doi:10.7151/dmgt.2097

NOTE

## MINIMUM EDGE CUTS IN DIAMETER 2 GRAPHS

ALLAN BICKLE

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Penn State Altoona*  
*3000 Ivyside Park*  
*Altoona, PA 16601 USA*

**e-mail:** allanbickle.wordpress.com

AND

ALLEN SCHWENK

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Western Michigan University*  
*1903 W. Michigan*  
*Kalamazoo, MI 49008 USA*

**e-mail:** schwenk@wmich.edu

### Abstract

Plesnik proved that the edge connectivity and minimum degree are equal for diameter 2 graphs. We provide a streamlined proof of this fact and characterize the diameter 2 graphs with a nontrivial minimum edge cut.

**Keywords:** edge connectivity, diameter.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C40.

Let  $G$  be a graph. For  $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ , let  $[S, T]$  be the set of edges with one end in  $S$  and the other in  $T$ . An edge cut of a graph  $G$  is a set  $X = [S, T]$ , of edges so that  $G - X$  has more components than  $G$ . The edge connectivity  $\lambda(G)$  of a connected graph is the smallest size of an edge cut. A disconnected graph has  $\lambda(G) = 0$ . Often we can express an edge cut as  $[S, \bar{S}]$ , where  $\bar{S} = V(G) \setminus S$ .

Denote the minimum degree of  $G$  by  $\delta(G)$ . It is well-known that  $\lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$ , since the edges incident with a vertex of minimum degree form an edge cut. Plesnik proved that this is an equality for diameter 2 graphs. We present a shorter proof.

**Theorem 1** [3]. *If  $G$  has diameter 2, then  $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $[S, \bar{S}]$  be a minimum edge cut. Now  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  cannot both have vertices  $u$  and  $v$  that are not incident with  $[S, \bar{S}]$ , for then  $\text{diam}(G) \geq d(u, v) \geq 3$ . Say  $S$  has every vertex incident with  $[S, \bar{S}]$ . Thus  $|S| \leq |[S, \bar{S}]| = \lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$ . Each vertex in  $S$  is incident with at most  $|S| - 1$  edges in  $G[S]$ , and so at least  $\delta(G) - |S| + 1$  edges in  $[S, \bar{S}]$ . Thus

$$\lambda(G) = |[S, \bar{S}]| \geq |S|(\delta(G) - |S| + 1).$$

This last expression attains its minimum value of  $\delta(G)$  when  $|S| = 1$  or  $|S| = \delta(G)$ . In both cases we have  $\lambda(G) \geq \delta(G)$ , so  $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$ . ■

The following corollary follows from the proof of this theorem.

**Corollary 2** [1]. *If  $G$  has diameter 2, then one of the subgraphs on one side of a minimum edge cut is either  $K_1$  or  $K_{\delta(G)}$ .*

A trivial edge cut is an edge cut whose deletion isolates a single vertex. To study those diameter 2 graphs with a nontrivial minimum edge cut, we define the following set of graphs.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be the set of graphs that contains the Cartesian product  $K_{\frac{n}{2}} \square K_2$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , and those graphs that can be constructed as follows. Let  $H_1$  be a graph with order  $d > 1$  and  $\delta(H_1) \geq d - r - 1$  and  $H_2$  be a graph with order  $r$ . Add a perfect matching between  $K_d$  and  $H_1$  and join all the vertices of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  (see Figure 1).




Figure 1. A graph in  $\mathbb{G}$  with  $d = 3$ ,  $H_1 = P_3$ , and  $H_2 = 2K_1$ .

**Theorem 3.** *A graph has diameter 2 and contains a non-trivial minimum edge cut if and only if it is in set  $\mathbb{G}$ .*

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) It is readily checked that a graph  $G \in \mathbb{G}$  has diameter 2,  $\delta(G) = d = \lambda(G)$ , and contains a nontrivial minimum edge cut.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $G$  have diameter 2 and contain a non-trivial minimum edge cut  $[S, \bar{S}]$ , and let  $d = \delta(G)$ . Then (say)  $S = K_d$ , and the order of  $\bar{S}$  is at least  $d$ . If it is exactly  $d$ , then  $G = K_{\frac{n}{2}} \square K_2$ . If not, then  $\bar{S}$  contains vertices not adjacent to any vertex of  $K_d$ . Let  $H_2$  be the subgraph induced by these vertices and  $H_1 = \bar{S} - H_2$ . Then each vertex of  $H_2$  is adjacent to each vertex of  $H_1$  since otherwise  $G$  would not have diameter 2. Since  $G$  has minimum degree  $d$ ,  $H_1$  must have minimum degree at least  $d - r - 1$ . ■

**Corollary 4.** *If  $G \in \mathbb{G}$ , then it has between  $d$  and  $\max\{n - d, 3d - 1\}$  trivial minimum edge cuts.*

**Proof.** The number of trivial minimum edge cuts is the number of vertices of minimum degree. All the vertices of  $K_d$  have minimum degree, so this is at least  $d$ . Now  $K_{\frac{n}{2}} \square K_2$  has  $n = 2d$  such vertices. If  $G$  is regular, then it has at most  $d + d + (d - 1)$  vertices since each vertex in  $H_1$  has degree at least  $1 + n(H_2)$ . If  $n(H_2) \geq d$  then each vertex in  $H_1$  has degree more than  $d$ , so there are at most  $n - d$  minimum degree vertices. ■

**Corollary 5.** *All graphs in set  $\mathbb{G}$  have a single non-trivial minimum edge cut except for  $C_4$  and  $C_5$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G \in \mathbb{G}$ , so  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ . If  $\delta(G) = 2$ , then  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  have two and five nontrivial edge cuts, respectively. Now  $C_5 + e$  has a single non-trivial minimum edge cut. Let  $u$  and  $v$  be the vertices in  $H_1$ . If there are at least two vertices in  $H_2$ , then  $G$  has a spanning subgraph with  $n - 4$   $u - v$  paths of length 2 and one  $u - v$  path of length 3. Hence the result holds for  $\delta(G) = 2$ .

Let  $d = \delta(G) > 2$ . Assume the result holds for graphs with minimum degree  $d - 1$ . Then no nontrivial minimum edge cut separates vertices in  $K_d$ . Now  $H = G - K_d$  has  $\text{diam}(H) \leq 2$  and  $\delta(H) \geq d - 1$ . Now  $H$  is not  $C_4$  or  $C_5$ , so it has at most one nontrivial minimum edge cut. If it has such a cut, then there are at least  $d - 1$  vertices on each side of it, so  $n(H_2) \geq d - 2$ . Then  $H$  contains spanning subgraph  $K_{d, n(H_2)}$ . But this graph has no nontrivial minimum edge cut, so neither does  $H$ . Then  $G$  has no other nontrivial minimum edge cut. ■

Finally, we consider the nature of minimum edge cuts in almost all graphs.

**Theorem 6.** *Almost all graphs have a single minimum edge cut, which is trivial.*

**Proof.** In random graph theory, it is known that almost all graphs have diameter 2 [1]. This implies that  $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$  for almost all graphs. Erdős and Wilson

[2] showed that almost all graphs have a unique vertex of maximum degree. By symmetry, almost all graphs have a unique vertex of minimum degree.

Those graphs with a minimum non-trivial edge cut have the structure described in Theorem 3, including at least  $\delta(G) > 1$  vertices of minimum degree. Hence almost all graphs have a single minimum edge cut, which is trivial. ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, *Graphs and Digraphs*, 4th Ed. (CRC Press, 2005).
- [2] P. Erdős and R.J. Wilson, *On the chromatic index of almost all graphs*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **23** (1977) 255–257.  
doi:10.1016/0095-8956(77)90039-9
- [3] J. Plesnik, *Critical graphs of a given diameter*, *Acta Fac. Rerum Natur. Univ. Comenian. Math.* **30** (1975) 71–93.

Received 12 June 2017  
Accepted 18 November 2017