

## GENERALIZED HAMMING GRAPHS: SOME NEW RESULTS

AMARI BEDRANE

AND

BERRACHEDI ABDELHAFID

*LIFORCE Laboratory*  
*RO Department, USTHB, Algiers, Algeria*

**e-mail:** bedrane.amari@gmail.com

### Abstract

A projection of a vertex  $x$  of a graph  $G$  over a subset  $S$  of vertices is a vertex of  $S$  at minimal distance from  $x$ . The study of projections over quasi-intervals gives rise to a new characterization of quasi-median graphs.

**Keywords:** generalized median graphs, Hamming graphs, quasi-median graphs, quasi-Hilbertian graphs.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 2010 MSC 05C75, 05C12.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected, without loops or multiple edges. We denote by  $d(u, v)$  the length of a shortest  $(u, v)$ -path in the graph  $G$ . The *interval*  $I(u, v)$  is the set of vertices of  $G$  lying on shortest  $(u, v)$ -paths:  $I(u, v) = \{x : d(u, x) + d(x, v) = d(u, v)\}$ . The *quasi-interval*  $I^*(u, v)$  is the set of vertices  $x$  such that any shortest  $(u, x)$ -path and shortest  $(x, v)$ -path have only  $x$  as common vertex. That is,  $I^*(u, v) = \{x : I(u, x) \cap I(x, v) = \{x\}\}$ . This notion was introduced by Nebeský [10]. The *projection* (introduced by Berrachedi [4]) of a vertex  $x$  of a graph  $G$  over a subset  $S$  of vertices, is a subset of vertices of  $S$  which are at minimal distance from  $x$ . It is denoted by  $P(x, S)$ . A graph  $G$  is *Hilbertian* if  $|P(x, I(u, v))| = 1$ , for all  $u, v, x \in G$ . A graph  $G$  is *quasi-Hilbertian* if, for all  $u, v$  and  $x$  in  $G$ ,  $|P(x, I^*(u, v))| = 1$ . Quasi-median graphs have been introduced by Mulder [9] as a natural generalization of median graphs, in fact,

median graphs are just the bipartite quasi-median graphs. Many researchers are interested in studying this class of graphs. Among prominent examples of median graphs let us mention hypercubes, trees and grids. Berrachedi [4] proved that a graph  $G$  is median if and only if  $G$  is Hilbertian. From the fact that a quasi-interval is an enlarged interval and in median graphs a quasi-interval is also an interval, then another generalization of Hilbertian graphs is to consider graphs which are quasi-Hilbertian. In this paper, our aim is to show that the class of quasi-median graphs is the same as the class of quasi-Hilbertian graphs.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some classical definitions and notation following that of [7, 9]. Then we give a mini-review of some interesting results on median graphs, and results obtained analogously for quasi-median graphs. A connected subgraph  $H$  of a graph  $G$  is called *convex* if for any two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  from  $H$  all shortest  $(u, v)$ -paths are contained in  $H$ . The *convex closure* of a subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  is defined as the smallest convex subgraph of  $G$  which contains  $H$ . The *Cartesian product*  $G \square H$  of two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  is the graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  and  $(a, x)(b, y) \in E(G \square H)$  whenever  $ab \in E(G)$  and  $x = y$ , or  $a = b$  and  $xy \in E(H)$ . A *clique* in  $G$  is a set of vertices  $K \subseteq V(G)$  in which any two distinct vertices are adjacent. If  $K$  is a clique and  $K = V(G)$ , then  $G$  is the *complete graph*  $K_n$ , where  $n$  is the number of vertices of  $G$ . The graph  $K_4 - e$  is the complete graph on four vertices minus an edge.  $K_{n,m}$  is the *complete bipartite graph*, where  $n$  and  $m$  are the number of vertices of the first and the second part of the partition. For  $u \in V(G)$ ,  $N(u)$  is the set of vertices adjacent to the vertex  $u$ . A Cartesian product of complete graphs is called a *Hamming graph*, a Cartesian power of the  $K_2$  is called a *hypercube*. A graph  $G$  satisfies the *triangle property* if for any vertices  $u, x, y \in V(G)$ , where  $d(u, x) = d(u, y) = k$  such that  $xy \in E(G)$ , there exists a common neighbour  $v$  of  $x$  and  $y$  with  $d(u, v) = k - 1$ . A graph  $G$  satisfies the *quadrangle property* if for any  $u, x, y, z \in V(G)$  such that  $d(u, x) = d(u, y) = d(u, z) - 1$  and  $d(x, y) = 2$ , with  $z$  a common neighbour of  $x$  and  $y$ , there exists a common neighbour  $v$  of  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $d(u, v) = d(u, x) - 1$ . A graph which fulfils the quadrangle property and the triangle property is called a *weakly modular graph*.

### 2.1. Median graphs

A vertex  $x$  is a *median* of the triple of vertices  $u, v$  and  $w$  if

1.  $d(u, x) + d(x, v) = d(u, v)$ ;
2.  $d(v, x) + d(x, w) = d(v, w)$ ;

$$3. d(w, x) + d(x, u) = d(w, u).$$

A graph  $G$  is a *median graph* if any three vertices  $u, v$  and  $w$  in  $G$  have a unique median. Mulder gave the following characterization of median graphs using the procedure of convex expansions, see [9] for the necessary details.

**Theorem 1** (Mulder [9]). *A graph  $G$  is a median graph if and only if  $G$  can be obtained from  $K_1$  by a sequence of convex expansions.*

**Theorem 2** (Mulder [8]). *A graph  $G$  is a hypercube if and only if  $G$  is a regular median graph.*

A *retraction*  $f$  from a graph  $G$  to a subgraph  $H$  is a mapping  $f$  of the vertex set  $V(G)$  of  $G$  onto the vertex set  $V(H)$  of  $H$  such that for every edge  $uv$  in  $G$  the image  $f(u)f(v)$  is an edge in  $H$ , and  $f(w) = w$  for all vertices  $w$  of  $H$ . Using retraction, Bandelt [2] characterized hypercubes as median graphs.

**Theorem 3** (Bandelt [2]). *The retracts of hypercubes are precisely the median graphs.*

Berrachedi in [4] introduced the class of Hilbertian graphs, using projections over intervals, he showed the following.

**Theorem 4** (Berrachedi [4]). *Let  $G$  be a graph. Then  $G$  is Hilbertian if and only if  $G$  is a median graph.*

Other characterizations of median graphs using projections over intervals and convex sets are given by Berrachedi and Mollard in [5].

## 2.2. Quasi-median graphs

A triple of vertices  $(x, y, z)$  is a quasi-median of  $(u, v, w)$  if we have:

1.  $d(u, x) + d(x, y) + d(y, v) = d(u, v);$   
 $d(v, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, w) = d(v, w);$   
 $d(w, z) + d(z, x) + d(x, u) = d(w, u).$
2.  $d(x, y) = d(y, z) = d(z, x) = k.$
3.  $k$  is minimal under the two above conditions.

Mulder [9] defines a quasi-median graph  $G$  as follows.

- (i) Each ordered triple of vertices of  $G$  has a unique quasi-median;
- (ii)  $G$  does not admit  $K_4 - e$  as induced subgraph;
- (iii) Each induced  $C_6$  in  $G$  has  $K_3 \square K_3$  or  $Q_3$  as convex closure.

He characterized the quasi-median graphs with the quasi-median expansion procedure.

**Theorem 5** (Mulder [9]). *A graph  $G$  is quasi-median if and only if  $G$  can be obtained from  $K_1$  by a sequence of quasi-median expansions.*

**Theorem 6** (Mulder [9]). *A graph  $G$  is a Hamming graph if and only if  $G$  is a regular quasi-median graph.*

**Theorem 7** (Wilkeit [11]). *The retracts of Hamming graphs are precisely the quasi-median graphs.*

Chung *et al.* [6], characterized quasi-median graphs as weakly modular graphs without  $K_4 - e$  or  $K_{2,3}$  as induced subgraph.

**Theorem 8** (Chung *et al.* [6]). *A graph  $G$  is quasi-median if and only if  $G$  is weakly modular and does not contain  $K_4 - e$  or  $K_{2,3}$  as an induced subgraph.*

More characterizations of quasi-median graphs can be found in [1, 3, 6, 9, 11].

### 3. QUASI-HILBERTIAN GRAPHS

In this section we shall prove that quasi-Hilbertian graphs are precisely quasi-median graphs. Chung *et al.* [6], established a relation between the quasi-median graphs and weakly modular graphs. We use their relation and some proprieties of quasi-Hilbertian graphs to prove that quasi-Hilbertian graphs are precisely quasi-median graphs.

**Theorem 9** (the main result). *A graph  $G$  is a quasi-median graph if and only if  $G$  is a quasi-Hilbertian graph.*

This Theorem will be proved using a series of Lemmas that follow.

**Lemma 10.** *A quasi-median graph is quasi-Hilbertian.*

**Proof.** Let  $u, v, w$  be three vertices of a quasi-median graph  $G$ . We assume that  $P(u, I^*(v, w))$  contains at least two vertices  $x$  and  $x'$ . We take the triple  $(x, v, w)$ . As known in [9], there exists a unique vertex  $y$  in  $I(x, v) \cap I(v, w)$  with  $I(x, v) \cap I(v, w) = I(v, y)$ . Also, with the triple  $(x, y, w)$  we get  $I(x, w) \cap I(y, w) = I(w, z)$ . In the same way, starting by the triple  $(x', v, w)$ , we find  $I(x', v) \cap I(v, w) = I(v, y')$  and  $I(x', w) \cap I(y', w) = I(w, z')$ . Thus,  $(x, y, z)$  and  $(x', y', z')$  are two quasi-medians of  $(u, v, w)$  in  $G$ , which is a contradiction. ■

**Lemma 11.** *A quasi-Hilbertian graph is  $K_{2,3}$ -free.*

**Proof.** Let  $u, v, w, x$  and  $y$  be five vertices that induce a  $K_{2,3}$  in the quasi-Hilbertian graph  $G$ . Let  $v, w$  and  $u$  be the vertices of degree 2. Consider the quasi-interval  $I^*(v, w)$ . Since  $I(v, u) \cap I(u, w) \supseteq \{u, x, y\}$ ,  $u \notin I^*(v, w)$ . The vertices  $v, w, x$  and  $y$  are in  $I^*(v, w)$ . As  $d(u, x) = d(u, y) = 1$ ,  $P(u, I^*(v, w)) \supseteq \{x, y\}$ . This contradicts the fact that  $G$  is a quasi-Hilbertian graph. ■

**Lemma 12.** *A quasi-Hilbertian graph is  $K_4 - e$ -free.*

**Proof.** Let  $u, v, w$  and  $z$  be four vertices that induce a  $K_4 - e$  in the quasi-Hilbertian graph  $G$ . Let  $u$  and  $w$  be the vertices of degree 2. Consider the quasi-interval  $I^*(v, w)$ . The vertices  $v, w$  and  $z$  are in  $I^*(v, w)$ , but  $u \notin I^*(v, w)$ . As  $d(u, v) = d(u, z) = 1$ ,  $P(u, I^*(v, w)) \supseteq \{v, z\}$ . This contradicts the fact that  $G$  is a quasi-Hilbertian graph. ■

**Lemma 13.** *In a quasi-Hilbertian graph  $G$ , for all  $vw \in E(G)$  and for all  $x \in I^*(v, w) \setminus \{v, w\}$ , we have  $d(v, x) = d(w, x) = 1$ .*

**Proof.** By contrary. Let  $vw$  be an edge in a quasi-Hilbertian graph  $G$  and  $x \in I^*(v, w) \setminus \{v, w\}$ . Let us consider the two possible cases.

*Case 1.*  $d(v, x) \neq d(w, x)$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $d(v, x) < d(w, x)$ , then  $d(v, x) + 1 \leq d(w, x)$ , which implies that  $I(v, x) \subset I(w, x)$ . Thus  $I(v, x) \cap I(w, x) = I(v, x)$ , this is a contradiction with  $x \in I^*(v, w) \setminus \{v, w\}$ .

*Case 2.*  $d(v, x) = d(w, x) > 1$ . We suppose that  $d(v, x)$  is minimal. Let  $x_1$  be a vertex in  $I(v, x) \cap N(v)$ . As  $I(x_1, v) \cap I(v, x) = \{v, x_1\}$ ,  $v \notin I^*(x_1, x)$ .  $I(x, w) \cap I(w, x_1) \neq \{w\}$ , otherwise  $P(v, I^*(x, x_1)) \supseteq \{w, x_1\}$ . Necessarily, there exists  $x_2 \in I(x, w) \cap I(w, x_1) \setminus \{w\}$  and  $d(x_1, x_2) = 1$ . If  $v \in N(x_2)$  and  $w \notin N(x_1)$ , then  $K_4 - e$  will be an induced subgraph. The same result holds if  $v \notin N(x_2)$  and  $w \in N(x_1)$ . If  $v \in N(x_2)$  and  $w \in N(x_1)$ , then  $P(v, I^*(x, x_1)) \supseteq \{x_1, x_2\}$  and  $P(w, I^*(x, x_1)) \supseteq \{x_1, x_2\}$ . Thus  $d(v, x_2) = d(w, x_1) = 2$ . From the minimality of  $d(v, x)$ , we have  $d(x, x_1) = d(x, x_2) = 1$ , so that  $P(x_1, I^*(v, w)) \supseteq \{v, x\}$ . Contradiction with the fact that  $G$  is a quasi-Hilbertian graph. Consequently, we have  $d(v, x) = d(w, x) = 1$ , for all  $x \in I^*(v, w) \setminus \{v, w\}$  with  $vw \in E(G)$ . ■

**Lemma 14.** *For every two adjacent vertices  $v$  and  $w$  of a quasi-Hilbertian graph  $G$ , the quasi-interval  $I^*(v, w)$  induces a complete subgraph.*

**Proof.** Let  $I^*(v, w)$  be the quasi-interval such that  $d(v, w) = 1$ , and  $x, y \in I^*(v, w)$  such that  $x \neq y$ . From Lemma 13, we have

$$\begin{cases} d(v, x) = d(w, x) = d(v, w) = 1, \\ d(v, y) = d(w, y) = d(v, w) = 1. \end{cases}$$

If  $x = v$  or  $x = w$ , then  $d(x, y) = 1$ . The same result hold if  $y = v$  or  $y = w$ . Else, if  $d(x, y) \neq 1$ , then the vertices  $v, w, x$  and  $y$  induce a forbidden  $K_4 - e$ . ■

**Lemma 15.** *A quasi-Hilbertian graph satisfies the triangle property.*

**Proof.** Consider three vertices  $u, v$  and  $w$  of a quasi-Hilbertian graph such that  $d(u, v) = d(u, w) = k$  and  $d(w, v) = 1$ . If  $k = 1$ , we have the triangle property. Suppose that  $k \geq 2$ . Since  $I^*(w, v)$  induce a complete subgraph,  $u$  is not in  $I^*(w, v)$ . So, there exists  $x$  in  $I(w, u) \cap I(u, v) \setminus \{u\}$  such that  $x \in I^*(w, v)$ . Hence,  $d(x, v) = d(w, x) = 1$  and  $d(u, x) = k - 1$ . ■

**Lemma 16.** *A quasi-Hilbertian graph satisfies the quadrangle property.*

**Proof.** Let  $u, v, w$  and  $z$  be four vertices in a quasi-Hilbertian graph such that  $d(u, v) = d(u, z) = d(u, w) - 1 = k$ ,  $d(z, v) = 2$ , and  $w \in I(v, z)$ .

Consider the quasi-interval  $I^*(u, z)$ . If  $k = 1$ , we have the quadrangle property. Suppose that  $k \geq 2$ .  $I(u, v) \cap I(v, z) \neq \{v\}$ , otherwise  $P(w, I^*(u, z)) \supseteq \{z, v\}$ . Necessarily, there exists  $x \in I(z, v) \cap I(v, u) \setminus \{v\}$ , then  $d(z, x) = d(v, x) = 1$  and  $d(u, x) = k - 1$ . ■

**Proof of Theorem 9.** From Lemma 10, a quasi-median graph is quasi-Hilbertian. As a quasi-Hilbertian graph is weakly modular (Lemmas 15 and 16), and does not contain  $K_{2,3}$  or  $K_4 - e$  as an induced subgraph, it is a quasi-median graph (Theorem 8). ■

Theorems 9 and 6 give a new characterization of Hamming graphs.

**Theorem 17.** *A graph  $G$  is a Hamming graph if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} G \text{ is regular,} \\ \text{for all } u, v, w \in G \text{ we have } |P(w, I^*(u, v))| = 1. \end{cases}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Badji and A. Berrachedi, *Generalized median graphs*, in: Actes du Colloque sur l'opt. et les sys. d'infor., COSI'04 (UMM Tizi Ouzou, Algerie, 2004) 216–225.
- [2] H.J. Bandelt, *Retracts of hypercubes*, J. Graph Theory **8** (1984) 501–510.  
doi:10.1002/jgt.3190080407
- [3] H.J. Bandelt, H.M. Mulder and E. Wilkeit, *Quasi-median graphs and algebras*, J. Graph Theory **18** (1994) 681–703.  
doi:10.1002/jgt.3190180705
- [4] A. Berrachedi, *A new characterization of median graphs*, Discrete Math. **128** (1994) 385–387.  
doi:10.1016/0012-365X(94)90128-7
- [5] A. Berrachedi and M. Mollard, *Median graphs and hypercubes, some new characterizations*, Discrete Math. **208/209** (1999) 71–75.  
doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(99)00063-1

- [6] F.R.K. Chung, R.L. Graham and M.E. Saks, *A dynamic location problem for graphs*, *Combinatorica* **9** (1989) 111–131.  
doi:10.1007/BF02124674
- [7] W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, *Product Graphs: Structure and Recognition* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2000).
- [8] H.M. Mulder, *n-cubes and median graphs*, *J. Graph Theory* **4** (1980) 107–110.  
doi:10.1002/jgt.3190040112
- [9] H.M. Mulder, *The Interval Function of a Graph* (Mathematical Centre Tracks **132**, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980).
- [10] L. Nebeský, *Algebraic properties of Husimi trees*, *Časopis Pešt. Math.* **107** (1982) 116–123.
- [11] E. Wilkeit, *The retracts of Hamming graphs*, *Discrete Math.* **102** (1992) 197–218.  
doi:10.1016/0012-365X(92)90054-J

Received 13 June 2016

Revised 16 January 2017

Accepted 16 January 2017