

GRAPHS WITH 3-RAINBOW INDEX $n - 1$ AND $n - 2$

XUELIANG LI^{1, 2, (a)}, INGO SCHIERMEYER^(b),

KANG YANG^{1, (a)} AND YAN ZHAO^{1, (a)}

^(a) *Center for Combinatorics and LPMC-TJKLC*
Nankai University
Tianjin 300071, China

^(b) *Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Algebra*
Technische Universität Bergakademie Freiberg
09596 Freiberg, Germany

e-mail: lxl@nankai.edu.cn
Ingo.Schiermeyer@tu-freiberg.de
yangkang@mail.nankai.edu.cn
zhaoyan2010@mail.nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a nontrivial connected graph of order n with an edge-coloring $c : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, where adjacent edges may be colored the same. A tree T in G is a *rainbow tree* if no two edges of T receive the same color. For a vertex set $S \subseteq V(G)$, a tree connecting S in G is called an S -tree. The minimum number of colors that are needed in an edge-coloring of G such that there is a rainbow S -tree for each k -subset S of $V(G)$ is called the k -rainbow index of G , denoted by $rx_k(G)$, where k is an integer such that $2 \leq k \leq n$. Chartrand *et al.* got that the k -rainbow index of a tree is $n - 1$ and the k -rainbow index of a unicyclic graph is $n - 1$ or $n - 2$. So there is an intriguing problem: Characterize graphs with the k -rainbow index $n - 1$ and $n - 2$. In this paper, we focus on $k = 3$, and characterize the graphs whose 3-rainbow index is $n - 1$ and $n - 2$, respectively.

Keywords: rainbow S -tree, k -rainbow index.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C05, 05C15, 05C75.

¹Supported by NSFC Nos. 11371205 and 11071130.

²Corresponding author.

1. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, finite and undirected. We follow the terminology and notations of Bondy and Murty [1]. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a nontrivial connected graph of order n with an edge-coloring $c : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, where adjacent edges may be colored the same. A path of G is a *rainbow path* if every two edges of the path have distinct colors. The graph G is *rainbow connected* if for every two vertices u and v of G , there is a rainbow path connecting u and v . The minimum number of colors for which there is an edge coloring of G such that G is rainbow connected is called the *rainbow connection number*, denoted by $rc(G)$. Results on the rainbow connectivity can be found in [2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. In the sequel we will simply denote the order of a graph G by $|G|$, i.e., $|G| = |V(G)|$.

These concepts were introduced by Chartrand *et al.* in [2]. In [3], they generalized the concept of rainbow path to rainbow tree. A tree T in G is a *rainbow tree* if no two edges of T receive the same color. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, a *rainbow S -tree* is a rainbow tree connecting S . Given a fixed integer k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, the edge-coloring c of G is called a *k -rainbow coloring* of G if for every k -subset S of $V(G)$, there exists a rainbow S -tree. In this case, G is called *k -rainbow connected*. The minimum number of colors that are needed in a *k -rainbow coloring* of G is called the *k -rainbow index* of G , denoted by $rx_k(G)$. Clearly, when $k = 2$, $rx_2(G)$ is the rainbow connection number $rc(G)$ of G . For every connected graph G of order n , it is easy to see that $rx_2(G) \leq rx_3(G) \leq \dots \leq rx_n(G)$.

The *Steiner distance* $d_G(S)$ of a set S of vertices in G is the minimum size of a tree in G connecting S . The *k -Steiner diameter* $sdiam_k(G)$ of G is the maximum Steiner distance of S among all sets S with k vertices in G . Then there is a simple upper bound and lower bound for $rx_k(G)$.

Observation 1.1 [3]. *For every connected graph G of order $n \geq 3$ and each integer k with $3 \leq k \leq n$, $k - 1 \leq sdiam_k(G) \leq rx_k(G) \leq n - 1$.*

They showed that the k -rainbow index of trees attains the upper bound.

Proposition 1.2 [3]. *Let T be a tree of order $n \geq 3$. For each integer k with $3 \leq k \leq n$, $rx_k(T) = n - 1$.*

They also showed that the k -rainbow index of a unicyclic graph is $n - 1$ or $n - 2$.

Theorem 1.3 [3]. *If G is a unicyclic graph of order $n \geq 3$ and girth $g \geq 3$, then*

$$(1) \quad rx_k(G) = \begin{cases} n - 2, & k = 3 \text{ and } g \geq 4, \\ n - 1, & g = 3 \text{ or } 4 \leq k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

A natural thought is that which graph of order n has the k -rainbow index $n - 1$ except for a tree and a unicyclic graph of girth 3? Furthermore, which graph of order n has the k -rainbow index $n - 2$ except for a unicyclic graph of girth at least 4? In this paper, we focus on $k = 3$. In addition, some known results are mentioned.

Observation 1.4 [3]. *Let G be a connected graph of order n containing two bridges e and f . For each integer k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, every k -rainbow coloring of G must assign distinct colors to e and f .*

Lemma 1.5 [4]. *Let G be a connected graph and $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ a partition of $V(G)$. If each V_i induces a connected subgraph H_i of G , then $rx_3(G) \leq k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k rx_3(H_i)$.*

Theorem 1.6 [4]. *Let G be a connected graph of order n . Then $rx_3(G) = 2$ if and only if $G = K_5$ or G is a 2-connected graph of order 4 or G is of order 3.*

Observation 1.7 [4]. *Let G be a connected graph of order n , and H be a connected spanning subgraph of G . Then $rx_3(G) \leq rx_3(H)$.*

Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Define the *cyclomatic number* of G as $c(G) = m - n + 1$. A graph G with $c(G) = k$ is called *k -cyclic*. According to this definition, if a graph G meets $c(G) = 0, 1, 2$ or 3 , then the graph G is called acyclic (or a tree), unicyclic, bicyclic, or tricyclic, respectively.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some basic results and notations are presented. In Section 3, we characterize the graphs whose 3-rainbow index is $n - 1$ and $n - 2$, respectively. For the latter case, we take two steps to finish our proof: we deal with it for bicyclic graphs first, and then for tricyclic graphs.

2. SOME BASIC RESULTS

First of all, we need more terminology and notations.

Definition 2.1. For a subgraph H of G and $v \in V(G)$, let $d(v, H) = \min\{d_G(v, x) : x \in V(H)\}$.

Next we define some new notations.

Definition 2.2. Let G be a connected graph of order n with $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. For any edge e of G with end-vertices v_k and v_r , if $k < r$ then we will write $e = v_k v_r$. It is clear that in this way any edge has a unique expression. Then, we define a *lexicographic ordering* between any two edges of G by $v_i v_j < v_s v_t$ if and only if $i < s$ or $i = s, j < t$.

Note that, the lexicographic ordering of a connected graph is unique. Given a coloring c of a connected graph G , denote by $c_\ell(G)$ a sequence of colors of the edges which are ordered by the lexicographic ordering.

For a connected graph G , to *contract* an edge $e = xy$ is to delete e and replace its ends by a single vertex incident to all the edges which were incident to either x or y . Let G' be the graph obtained by contracting some edges of G . Given a rainbow coloring of G' , when it comes back to G , we keep the colors of corresponding edges of G' in G and assign a new color to a new edge, which makes G 3-rainbow connected. Hence, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a connected graph, and G' be a connected graph obtained by contracting some edges of G . Then $rx_3(G) \leq rx_3(G') + |G| - |G'|$.*

Definition 2.4. Let G_0 be the graph obtained by contracting all the cut edges of G . Then G_0 is called the *basic graph* of G .

3. MAIN RESULTS

3.1. Characterize the graphs with $rx_3(G) = n - 1$

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a connected graph of order n . Then $rx_3(G) = n - 1$ if and only if G is a tree or G is a unicyclic graph with girth 3.*

Proof. If G is a tree or a unicyclic graph with girth 3, then by Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, $rx_3(G) = n - 1$. Conversely, suppose G is a graph with $rx_3(G) = n - 1$ but not a tree. Then G must contain cycles. Let $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ be a partition of $V(G)$ and each V_i induces a connected subgraph H_i of G . If G contains a cycle of length r at least 4, then let H_1 be the r -cycle, and each other subgraph a single vertex. We color H_1 with $r - 2$ dedicated colors, then by Lemma 1.5, $rx_3(G) \leq n - r + rx_3(H_1) = n - 2$. Suppose then G contains at least two triangles C_1 and C_2 . If C_1 and C_2 have a vertex in common, then let H_1 be the union of C_1 and C_2 , and each other subgraph a single vertex. We color both C_1 and C_2 with the same three dedicated colors, thus $rx_3(G) \leq n - 5 + rx_3(H_1) = n - 2$. If C_1 and C_2 are vertex disjoint, then let $H_1 = C_1$, $H_2 = C_2$, and each other subgraph a single vertex. We color H_1 with three new colors and H_2 with the same three colors as H_1 , thus $rx_3(G) \leq n - 5 + rx_3(H_1) + rx_3(H_2) = n - 2$. Combining the above two cases, G is a unicyclic graph with girth 3. Therefore, the result holds. ■

3.2. Characterize the graphs with $rx_3(G) = n - 2$

Next, we characterize the graphs whose 3-rainbow index is $n - 2$. We begin with a useful theorem from [4].

A 3-sun is a graph constructed from a cycle $C_6 = v_1v_2 \cdots v_6v_1$ by adding three edges v_2v_4 , v_2v_6 and v_4v_6 .




Figure 1. The basic graphs for Lemma 3.3.

Theorem 3.2 [4]. *Let G be a 2-edge-connected graph of order n ($n \geq 4$). Then $rx_3(G) \leq n - 2$, with equality if and only if $G = C_n$ or G is a spanning subgraph of one of the following graphs: a 3-sun, $K_5 - e$, K_4 , G_1 , G_2 , H_1 , H_2 , H_3 , where G_1 , G_2 are defined in Figure 1 and H_1 , H_2 , H_3 are defined in Figure 2.*

Since all the 2-edge-connected graphs with the 3-rainbow index $n - 2$ have been characterized in Theorem 3.2, it remains to characterize the graphs with 3-rainbow index $n - 2$ which have cut edges. Notice that the cut edges of a graph must be assigned distinct colors. Thus our main purpose is to check out how the addition of cut edges to G affects the 3-rainbow index of a 2-connected graph G when $rx_3(G) = n - 2$. In other words, share the colors of cut edges with the colors of the non-cut edges as many as possible.

Given a connected graph G of order n , and a coloring c of G , we always let A_1 be the set of colors assigned to the non-cut edges of G and A_2 the set of colors assigned to the cut edges of G . For each positive integer k , let $N_k = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. We always set that $A_2 = N_s$, where s is the number of cut edges of G . Note that A_1 and A_2 may intersect and suppose $|A_1 \cap A_2| = p$. We can interchange the colors of cut edges suitably such that $A_1 \cap A_2 = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$. Set $A_1 \setminus A_2 = \{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$, $t \leq m - s$ and $a_j \in N_{|c|}$.

For a connected graph G , a *block* is a maximal 2-connected subgraph. In this paper, we regard K_2 other than a block. An *internal cut edge* is a cut edge which is on the unique path joining some two blocks. Denote the cut edges of G by $e_1 = x_1y_1, \dots, e_p = x_py_p$ and the colors of these cut edges by $1, \dots, p$, respectively. Moreover, if x_iy_i is not an internal cut edge, we always set $d(x_i, B) \leq d(y_i, B)$ where B is an arbitrary block.

Let H be a connected subgraph of G . Denote by $i \in H$ if the color i appears in H . Given a graph G , let G_0 be its basic graph. Deleting the corresponding edges of G_0 in G , we obtain a forest. Each component corresponds to a vertex v in G_0 , denoted by $T(v)$. Denote by $U(v)$ the number of leaves of $T(v)$ in G and $U(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} U(v)$. Let $W(v)$ be the number of edges of $T(v)$ whose colors are appeared in A_1 , that is, $W(v) = |c(T(v)) \cap A_1|$.




Figure 2. The basic graphs for Lemma 3.4.

3.2.1. Bicyclic graphs with $rx_3(G) = n - 2$

First, we introduce some graph classes. Let G_i be the graphs shown in Figure 1. Define by \mathcal{G}_i^* the set of graphs whose basic graph is G_i , where $1 \leq i \leq 6$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_1 &= \{G \in \mathcal{G}_1^* : U(v_3) \leq 1\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_2 &= \{G \in \mathcal{G}_2^* : U(v_3) + U(v_i) \leq 1, i = 4, 6\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_3 &= \{G \in \mathcal{G}_3^* : U(v_i) + U(v_j) \leq 2, v_i v_j \in E(G_3)\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_4 &= \{G \in \mathcal{G}_4^* : U(v_i) \leq 2, i = 1, 3\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_5 &= \{G \in \mathcal{G}_5^* : U(v_2) + U(v_3) \leq 2, U(v_4) + U(v_5) \leq 2\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_6 &= \{G \in \mathcal{G}_6^* : U(v_2) = U(v_6) = 0, U(v_4) \leq 1, U(v_4) + U(v_i) \leq 2, i = 3, 5\}, \end{aligned}$$

and set $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{G}_6$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a connected bicyclic graph of order n . Then $rx_3(G) = n - 2$ if and only if $G \in \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. Suppose that G is a graph with $rx_3(G) = n - 2$ but $G \notin \mathcal{G}$. Let G_0 be the basic graph of G . Then G_0 is a 2-edge-connected bicyclic graph. If $G_0 \neq G_i$, then by Theorem 3.2, $rx_3(G_0) \leq |G_0| - 3$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.3, we have $rx_3(G) \leq rx_3(G_0) + |G| - |G_0| \leq n - 3$. Hence $G_0 = G_i$. Next we show that if $G \in \mathcal{G}_i^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_i$, then $rx_3(G) \leq n - 3$, where $1 \leq i \leq 6$. As pointed out before, all the cut edges of G are colored with $1, 2, \dots$. We only provide a coloring c_ℓ of G_0 , namely, color the corresponding edges of G , with parts of

colors used in cut edges, and the position of cut edges will be determined as follows: $\{1, 2, \dots, q\} \subseteq T(v)$ means to assign colors $\{1, 2, \dots, q\}$ to q leaves of $T(v)$ arbitrarily. If $G \in \mathcal{G}_1^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_1$, then $U(v_3) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(G_1) = 1a_1a_2a_2a_12$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_3)$. If $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_2$, then $U(v_3) + U(v_4) \geq 2$ or $U(v_3) + U(v_6) \geq 2$. By contracting v_3v_4 or v_3v_6 , we obtain a graph G' belonging to $\mathcal{G}_1^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_1$. Then the coloring of G can be obtained easily from G' by Lemma 2.3. If $G \in \mathcal{G}_3^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_3$, then there is an edge $v_iv_j \in E(G_3)$ such that $U(v_i) + U(v_j) \geq 3$. By symmetry, there exist four cases for G : (1) $U(v_1) \geq 3$; (2) $U(v_1) \geq 2, U(v_2) \geq 1$; (3) $U(v_1) \geq 1, U(v_2) \geq 2$; (4) $U(v_2) \geq 3$. Set $c_\ell(G_3) = a_1a_2a_2123$ and set $\{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq T(v_1)$ for (1); $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_2), \{2, 3\} \subseteq T(v_1)$ for (2); $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_1), \{2, 3\} \subseteq T(v_2)$ for (3); $\{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq T(v_2)$ for (4). If $G \in \mathcal{G}_4^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_4$, then $U(v_1) \geq 3$ or $U(v_3) \geq 3$. By symmetry, suppose $U(v_1) \geq 3$ and set $c_\ell(G_4) = 123a_1a_1$ and $\{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq T(v_1)$. If $G \in \mathcal{G}_5^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_5$, then by contracting v_2v_3 or v_4v_5 , we obtain a graph G' belonging to $\mathcal{G}_4^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_4$. Now consider $G \in \mathcal{G}_6^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_6$. Then $U(v_2) \geq 1$, or $U(v_6) \geq 1$, or $U(v_4) \geq 2$, or $U(v_4) + U(v_3) \geq 3$, or $U(v_4) + U(v_5) \geq 3$. For the last two cases, it belongs to $\mathcal{G}_5^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_5$ by contracting v_3v_4 or v_4v_5 . If $U(v_2) \geq 1$, set $c_\ell(G_6) = a_3a_2a_4a_4a_2a_31$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_2)$. If $U(v_4) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(G_6) = a_31a_22a_1a_2a_1$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_4)$. It is not hard to check that the colorings above make G rainbow connected with $n - 3$ colors, thus $rx_3(G) \leq n - 3$.

Conversely, let G be a bicyclic graph such that $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Assume, to the contrary, that $rx_3(G) \leq n - 3$. Then there exists a rainbow coloring c such that $A_1 \cup A_2 = N_{n-3}$. By Theorem 3.2, we focus on the graphs with cut edges and $|A_1 \cap A_2| \geq 1$. We write $d_{G_i}(u, v, w)$ to mean that the number of edges of a $\{u, v, w\}$ -tree in G which correspond to the edges of G_i , the basic graph of G . We divide into three cases.

Case 1. $G \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2$. First, we assume that $G \in \mathcal{G}_2$ and we give the following claims. If there is a nontrivial path P_ℓ connecting B_1 and B_2 in G , then denote its ends by $v'_3 \in B_1$ and $v''_3 \in B_2$.

Claim 1. *Each block B_i has at most one edge which use the color from A_2 , where $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Moreover, if a color of A_2 appears in B_i , then the other edges of B_i must be assigned with different colors in $A_1 \setminus A_2$.*

Proof. Suppose two edges of B_1 are colored with 1, 2, respectively. We also set $d(x_i, B_1) \leq d(y_i, B_1)$, where x_iy_i belongs to P_ℓ . Since the cut edges colored with 1 and 2 should be contained in the rainbow tree whose vertices contain y_1 and y_2 , by deleting the edges assigned with 1 and 2 in B_1 , G is disconnected. Let w be a vertex in the component that does not contain y_1 . Then there is no rainbow tree connecting $\{y_1, y_2, w\}$, a contradiction. We can take the similar argument for the other cases when two edges of B_1 (B_2) are colored with 1 or two edges of B_2 are colored with 1, 2, respectively.

Now suppose $1 \in B_i \cap A_2$ and two edges of B_i have the same color a_1 . Let

w_1, w_2 be the end-vertices of the edge assigned with 1, then $\{y_1, w_1, w_2\}$ has no rainbow tree. \square

Claim 2. *The colors of the path P_ℓ cannot appear in A_1 .*

Proof. Assume e is the edge of P_ℓ colored with 1. The color 1 cannot appear in B_1 . Otherwise, suppose the three edges of B_1 are assigned with 1, a_1 and a_2 , respectively. Consider $\{v_1, v_2, v_5\}$, then $c(v_3''v_4), c(v_4v_5) \in \{2, a_3\}$ or $c(v_3''v_6), c(v_5v_6) \in \{2, a_3\}$. Without loss of generality, suppose $c(v_3''v_4), c(v_4v_5) \in \{2, a_3\}$, then by Claim 1, $c(v_3''v_6), c(v_5v_6) \in \{a_1, a_2\}$, thus $\{v_1, v_2, v_6\}$ has no rainbow tree. On the other hand, 1 cannot be in B_2 . It is easy to see that neither $c(v_3''v_4)$ nor $c(v_3''v_6)$ can be 1 by considering $\{v_1, v_2, v_6\}$. If $c(v_5v_6) = 1$, consider $\{v_1, v_5, v_6\}$, $\{v_2, v_5, v_6\}$, then $c(v_1v_3'), c(v_2v_3') \in A_2$, a contradiction to Claim 1. \square

By Claim 1, we have $1 \leq |A_1 \cap A_2| \leq 2$ and only colors 1 and 2 can exist in A_1 . We should discuss all the situations according to which cut edges are colored with 1, 2. By the definition of G , $U(v_3) = 1$ or $U(v_3) = 0$. By similarity, we only deal with the former case. First, assume $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 1$, then $A_1 = \{1, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. We consider the subcase when $1 \in T(v_3)$. In this subcase we claim that the color 1 appears in neither B_1 nor B_2 . Indeed, if $c(v_3''v_6) = 1$, since then every tree whose vertices contain y_1 must contain the cut edge colored with 1, $d_{G_2}(y_1, v_1, v_6) = 4$. Thus $\{y_1, v_1, v_6\}$ has no rainbow tree. If now $c(v_5v_6) = 1$, then consider $\{y_1, v_5, v_6\}$, $\{y_1, v_5, v_1\}$, $\{y_1, v_5, v_2\}$ successively, we have $c(v_1v_3') = c(v_2v_3') = c(v_3''v_6)$, leading to a contradiction when considering $\{v_1, v_2, v_6\}$. Else if $c(v_1v_3') = 1$, then $\{y_1, v_1, v_5\}$ has no rainbow tree. The last possibility is that $c(v_1v_2) = 1$, we may set $c(v_1v_3) = a_1$, $c(v_2v_3) = a_2$. Consider $\{y_1, v_1, v_4\}$, $\{y_1, v_2, v_4\}$, $\{y_1, v_1, v_6\}$, $\{y_1, v_2, v_6\}$ successively, we have $c(v_3''v_4) = c(v_3''v_6) = a_3$ and 1 cannot appear in B_2 , hence $\{v_1, v_4, v_6\}$ has no rainbow tree. The other subcases are similar.

Thus $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 2$, $A_1 = \{1, 2, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. By Claim 1, set $1 \in B_1$, $2 \in B_2$, and the other edges in each block have distinct colors. If $1, 2 \in T(v_3)$, assume that $d(y_1, T(v_3)) > d(y_2, T(v_3))$, there always exist two vertices which come from different blocks such that there is no rainbow tree connecting them and y_1 . If $1 \in T(v_3)$, $2 \in T(v_1)$, the most difficult case is that $c(v_1v_2) = 1$, $c(v_5v_6) = 2$. In this case, consider $\{y_2, v_5, v_6\}$, forcing that one of $v_1v_3', v_3''v_4, v_3''v_6, v_4v_5$ is colored with 1, contradicting Claim 1. With an analogous argument, we would get a contradiction if 1, 2 are in other cut edges of G .

For $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$, it can be obtained by contracting an edge of a graph in \mathcal{G}_2 . Then by Lemma 2.3, $rx_3(G) \geq n - 2$.

Case 2. $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$. First note that each path from v_1 to v_5 in G_3 can have at most one color in A_2 . Thus $|A_1 \cap A_2| \leq 3$. On the other hand, noticing that $d_{G_3}(v_2, v_3, v_4) = 3 > 2$, all the cases satisfying $W(v_1) = W(v_5) = 0$ and $W(v_2), W(v_3), W(v_4) \leq 1$ are easy to get a contradiction, so we omit them here.

First, assume $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 1$, then $A_1 = \{1, a_1, a_2\}$. If $1 \in T(v_1)$, then consider $\{y_1, v_2, v_3\}$, $\{y_1, v_2, v_4\}$ and $\{y_1, v_3, v_4\}$ successively, v_1v_2 , v_1v_3 , v_1v_4 must be colored with distinct colors from $A_1 \setminus \{1\}$, which is impossible.

Assume now $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 2$, then $A_1 = \{1, 2, a_1, a_2\}$. If $1, 2 \in T(v_1)$, then consider $\{y_1, y_2, v_5\}$, without loss of generality, set $c(v_1v_2) = a_1$, $c(v_2v_5) = a_2$. Thus $c(v_1v_3)$ can be neither 1 nor 2, otherwise there is no rainbow $\{y_1, y_2, v_3\}$ -tree. On the other hand, $c(v_1v_3)$ cannot be a_1 , otherwise $c(v_3v_5) = i$, $i = 1, 2$, then $\{y_i, v_2, v_3\}$ has no rainbow tree. Meanwhile, v_1v_3 cannot be colored with a_2 , otherwise $c(v_3v_5) = i$ ($i = 1, 2$), then $\{y_i, v_3, v_5\}$ has no rainbow tree. If $1 \in T(v_1)$, $2 \in T(v_5)$, then every path from v_1 to v_5 must color $\{a_1, a_2\}$, a contradiction to $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 3$. If $1, 2 \in T(v_2)$, then by the same reason, we conclude that $c(v_1v_2)$, $c(v_2v_5) \notin \{1, 2\}$ and we may set $c(v_1v_3) = 1$. But now $\{y_1, v_1, v_3\}$ has no rainbow tree. If $1 \in T(v_1)$, $2 \in T(v_2)$. By considering $\{y_1, y_2, v_3\}$, $\{y_1, y_2, v_4\}$, $\{y_1, y_2, v_5\}$, we may set $c(v_1v_3) = c(v_1v_4) = c(v_2v_5) = a_1$, this force $c(v_3v_5) = i$ ($i = 1, 2$). However, there is no rainbow tree connecting $\{y_i, v_3, v_5\}$.

Thus $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 3$, $A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, a_1, a_2\}$. If $1, 2, 3 \in T(v_1)$, since $U(v_1) \leq 2$, we may assume that y_2 is on the unique path from y_1 to v_1 . Thus one path from v_1 to v_5 must be colored with $\{a_1, a_2\}$, a contradiction to $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 3$. If $1, 2 \in T(v_2)$, $3 \in T(v_5)$, and without loss of generality, y_2 is on the unique path from y_1 to v_2 . Considering $\{y_1, v_3, y_3\}$ and $\{y_1, v_4, y_3\}$, we may set $c(v_1v_2) = a_1$, $c(v_1v_3) = c(v_1v_4) = a_2$. But there is no rainbow $\{y_1, v_3, v_4\}$ -tree. Each other case is similar or easier.

Case 3. $G \in \mathcal{G}_4 \cup \mathcal{G}_5 \cup \mathcal{G}_6$. First let $G \in \mathcal{G}_6$. Similarly, each path from v_2 to v_6 in G_6 can have at most one color in A_2 . Thus we have $1 \leq |A_1 \cap A_2| \leq 3$. Assume first $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 1$, $A_1 = \{1, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$.

We only focus on the case that $1 \in T(v_4)$. To make sure there are rainbow trees connecting $\{y_1, v_1, v_3\}$ and $\{y_1, v_1, v_5\}$, only $c(v_2v_6)$ can be 1, but now $\{y_1, v_2, v_6\}$ has no rainbow tree.

Assume then $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 2$, $A_1 = \{1, 2, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. If $1, 2 \in T(v_1)$, we may set $c(v_1v_2) = a_1$, $c(v_2v_3) = a_2$, $c(v_3v_4) = a_3$ by considering $\{y_1, y_2, v_4\}$. $c(v_5v_6)$ can be neither 1 nor 2, otherwise $\{y_1, y_2, v_5\}$ has no rainbow tree. Moreover, $c(v_4v_5)$ can be neither 1 nor 2, otherwise when $c(v_4v_5) = i$ ($i = 1, 2$), there is no rainbow $\{y_i, v_4, v_5\}$ -tree. Thus v_1v_6 , v_2v_6 must use colors $\{1, 2\}$, but now $\{y_1, y_2, v_6\}$ has no rainbow tree. If $1, 2 \in T(v_3)$, first we claim that at most one edge of the triangle $v_1v_2v_6$ uses a color from $\{1, 2\}$. Otherwise, if $c(v_1v_2)$, $c(v_2v_6) \in \{1, 2\}$, then $\{y_1, y_2, v_1\}$ has no rainbow tree. If $c(v_1v_6)$, $c(v_2v_6) \in \{1, 2\}$, then the rest non-cut edges must color $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. It is easy to verify that either $\{y_1, v_1, v_5\}$ or $\{y_1, v_1, v_4\}$ has no rainbow tree. So the longest path from v_2 to v_6 has an edge colored with 1 or 2. However, we will show that it is impossible. It is easy to check that $c(v_2v_3), c(v_3v_4) \notin \{1, 2\}$. If $c(v_5v_6) \in \{1, 2\}$, then we may set $c(v_5v_6) = 1$. Consider $\{y_1, v_1, v_5\}$ and $\{y_1, v_5, v_6\}$, then $c(v_1v_2) = c(v_2v_6) = 2$, a contradiction.

It is similar to check that $c(v_4v_5)$ cannot be 1 or 2, a contradiction.

Now assume that $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 3$, $A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. If $1 \in T(v_1)$, then $2, 3 \in T(v_3)$. Again, we may set $c(v_1v_2) = a_1$, $c(v_2v_3) = a_2$. Thus $c(v_1v_6), c(v_2v_6) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If $c(v_1v_6), c(v_2v_6) \in \{1, i\}$, then there is a contradiction by considering $\{y_1, y_i, v_6\}$ ($i = 2, 3$). Thus we may set that $c(v_1v_6) = 2$, $c(v_2v_6) = 3$. By considering $\{y_1, y_3, v_4\}$ and $\{y_1, y_3, v_5\}$, we get that $c(v_3v_4) = c(v_5v_6) = a_3$, $c(v_4v_5) = 1$, but now $\{y_1, v_4, v_5\}$ has no rainbow tree. If $1 \in T(v_3)$, $2, 3 \in T(v_5)$, then we set $v_3v_4 = a_1$, $v_4v_5 = a_2$. If $c(v_2v_6) = i$, $c(v_5v_6) = j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then $\{y_i, y_j, v_6\}$ has no rainbow tree. The only possibility is $c(v_2v_3) = 2$, $c(v_2v_6) = 3$, $c(v_5v_6) = a_3$. However, $\{y_1, y_2, v_1\}$ has no rainbow tree.

For $G \in \mathcal{G}_5$, notice that $|A_1 \cap A_2| \leq 3$. If $U(v_2) = 0$ or $U(v_5) = 0$, then G can be obtained by contracting an edge of a graph in \mathcal{G}_6 . Then by Lemma 2.3, $rx_3(G) \geq n - 2$. Thus we need to consider the case when $W(v_2) \geq 1$ and $W(v_5) \geq 1$. If $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 2$, then suppose $1 \in T(v_2)$, $2 \in T(v_5)$. Consider $\{y_1, y_2, v_3\}$, $\{y_1, y_2, v_4\}$, we have $c(v_2v_3), c(v_2v_5), c(v_4v_5) \in \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $c(v_2v_3) = c(v_4v_5)$. But now $c(v_3v_4) = i$ ($i = 1, 2$), then there is no rainbow $\{y_i, v_3, v_4\}$ -tree. If $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 3$, then $A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, a_1, a_2\}$. If $1 \in T(v_1)$, $2 \in T(v_2)$, $3 \in T(v_5)$, then consider $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, we have that two of v_1v_2, v_1v_5, v_2v_5 have colors outside A_2 , contradicting to $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 3$. If $1 \in T(v_2)$, $2 \in T(v_5)$, $3 \in T(v_2)$ and we may assume that y_3 is on the unique path from y_1 to v_2 . Then consider $\{y_1, v_3, y_3\}$ and $\{y_1, v_4, y_3\}$, we have $c(v_2v_3) = c(v_4v_5)$, thus $c(v_3v_4)$ cannot be in A_2 , contradicting to $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 3$. If $1 \in T(v_2)$, $2 \in T(v_5)$, $3 \in T(v_i)$ ($i = 3, 4$), then consider $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, we have that $c(v_2v_5)$ is in $A_1 \setminus A_2$, contradicting $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 3$.

Finally, for a graph G belonging to \mathcal{G}_4 , it can be obtained by contracting an edge of a graph in $\mathcal{G}_3 \cup \mathcal{G}_6$. Then by Lemma 2.3, $rx_3(G) \geq n - 2$.

Combining all the cases above, we have $rx_3(G) \geq n - 2$ for $G \in \mathcal{G}$. By Theorem 3.1, it follows that $rx_3(G) = n - 2$. \blacksquare

3.2.2. Tricyclic graphs with $rx_3(G) = n - 2$

Define by \mathcal{H}_i^* the set of graphs whose basic graph is H_i , where H_i is shown in Figure 2 and $1 \leq i \leq 8$.

Now, we introduce another graph class \mathcal{H} . Set $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{H}_8$, where

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \{G \in \mathcal{H}_1^* : U(G) = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \{G \in \mathcal{H}_2^* : U(v_i) \leq 1, U(v_j) = 0, i = 5, 6, j = 1, 3, 4\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = \{G \in \mathcal{H}_3^* : U(v_2) \leq 1, U(v_5) + U(v_6) \leq 1, U(v_i) = 0, i = 1, 3, 4\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_4 = \{G \in \mathcal{H}_4^* : U(v_i) \leq 1, U(v_j) \leq 2, U(v_i) + U(v_j) \leq 1, U(v_j) + U(v_k) \leq 3, \\ i = 1, 5, j, k = 2, 3, 4\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_5 = \{G \in \mathcal{H}_5^* : U(v_i) \leq 1, U(v_j) = 0, i = 1, 3, 5, j = 2, 4, 6\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_6 = \{G \in \mathcal{H}_6^* : U(v_3) = 0, U(v_i) \leq 1, U(v_1) + U(v_5) \leq 1, i = 1, 2, 4, 5\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_7 = \{G \in \mathcal{H}_7^* : U(v_2) + U(v_4) \leq 1, U(v_3) + U(v_5) \leq 1, U(v_5) + U(v_1) \leq 1,$$

$$U(v_j) + U(v_{j+1}) \leq 1, \quad j = 1, 2, 4\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_8 = \{G \in \mathcal{H}_8^* : U(v_i) \leq 2, \quad U(v_i) + U(v_j) + U(v_k) \leq 3, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a connected tricyclic graph of order n . Then $rx_3(G) = n - 2$ if and only if $G \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $rx_3(G) = n - 2$ but $G \notin \mathcal{H}$. Let G_0 be the basic graph of G . Similar to Lemma 3.3, we have $G_0 = H_i$ and we can rainbow color G with $n - 3$ colors for $G \in \mathcal{H}_i^* \setminus \mathcal{H}_i, i = 1, \dots, 8$.

If $G \in \mathcal{H}_1^* \setminus \mathcal{H}_1$, then if $U(v_2) \geq 1$, set $c_\ell(H_1) = a_41a_1a_2a_2a_4a_3a_3a_4$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_2)$; if $U(v_3) \geq 1$, set $c_\ell(H_1) = a_4a_1a_11a_3a_4a_2a_2a_4$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_3)$; if $U(v_4) \geq 1$, set $c_\ell(H_1) = a_3a_2a_2a_11a_3a_4a_4a_1$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_4)$.

If $G \in \mathcal{H}_2^* \setminus \mathcal{H}_2$, then if $U(v_3) \geq 1$ ($U(v_1) \geq 1$ is similar), set $c_\ell(H_2) = a_3a_21a_2a_3a_1a_1a_2$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_3)$; if $U(v_4) \geq 1$, set $c_\ell(H_2) = a_1a_3a_21a_2a_3a_3a_1$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_4)$; if $U(v_6) \geq 2$ ($U(v_5) \geq 2$ is similar), set $c_\ell(H_2) = a_31a_22a_2a_3a_11$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_3)$.

If $G \in \mathcal{H}_3^* \setminus \mathcal{H}_3$, then if $U(v_2) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_3) = a_12a_2a_32a_2a_11$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_2)$; if $U(v_5) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_3) = 2a_2a_31a_2a_11a_3$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_5)$; if $U(v_5) + U(v_6) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_3) = 1a_12a_2a_3a_1a_2a_3$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_6), \{2\} \subseteq T(v_5)$; if $U(v_3) \geq 1$, set $c_\ell(H_3) = a_1a_2a_21a_1a_3a_3a_2$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_3)$; if $U(v_4) \geq 1$, set $c_\ell(H_3) = a_2a_11a_1a_2a_3a_3a_2$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_4)$.

If $G \in \mathcal{H}_4^* \setminus \mathcal{H}_4$, then there are four cases for the graph G :

- (1) $U(v_i) \geq 3$ ($i = 2, 3, 4$);
- (2) $U(v_i) \geq 2$ ($i = 1, 5$);
- (3) $U(v_i) + U(v_j) \geq 2$ ($i \in \{1, 5\}, j \in \{2, 3, 4\}$);
- (4) $U(v_i) \geq 2$ and $U(v_j) \geq 2, i, j \in \{2, 3, 4\}$.

If G is a graph in Case (1), then there exists a graph in $\mathcal{G}_3^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_3$ which is a subgraph of G . Thus the result is obvious. If $U(v_1) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_4) = a_2a_2a_1a_121a_2$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_1)$; if $U(v_1) + U(v_2) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_4) = a_1a_2a_22a_21a_1$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_1), \{2\} \subseteq T(v_2)$; if $U(v_2) \geq 2$ and $U(v_4) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_4) = 3421a_2a_2a_1$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_2), \{3, 4\} \subseteq T(v_4)$.

If $G \in \mathcal{H}_5^* \setminus \mathcal{H}_5$, then $U(v_i) \geq 1$ ($i = 2, 4, 6$) or $U(v_i) \geq 2$ ($i = 1, 3, 5$). If G is a graph in the former case, there exists a graph in $\mathcal{G}_6^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_6$ which is a subgraph of G . If $U(v_3) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_5) = a_22a_1a_2a_31a_3a_2$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_3)$; if $U(v_5) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_5) = 1a_22a_1a_3a_2a_3a_1$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_5)$.

If $G \in \mathcal{H}_6^* \setminus \mathcal{H}_6$, then $U(v_3) \geq 1$ or $U(v_i) \geq 2$ ($i = 1, 2, 4, 5$) or $U(v_1) + U(v_5) \geq 2$. If $U(v_3) \geq 1$, set $c_\ell(H_6) = a_2a_11a_1a_2a_2a_1$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_3)$; if $U(v_1) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_6) = a_2a_1a_11a_212$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_1)$; if $U(v_2) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_6) = a_21a_1a_1a_212$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_2)$; if $U(v_1) + U(v_5) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_6) = a_1a_1a_2a_221a_1$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_1), \{2\} \subseteq T(v_5)$.

If $G \in \mathcal{H}_7^* \setminus \mathcal{H}_7$, then $U(v_i) \geq 2$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) or $U(v_1) + U(v_2) \geq 2$ or $U(v_2) + U(v_3) \geq 2$ or $U(v_4) + U(v_5) \geq 2$ or $U(v_2) + U(v_4) \geq 2$ or $U(v_3) +$

$U(v_5) \geq 2$ or $U(v_1) + U(v_5) \geq 2$. If $U(v_1) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_7) = a_1a_2a_212a_1a_1$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_3)$; if $U(v_2) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_7) = a_2a_1a_1a_1a_212$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_2)$; if $U(v_3) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_7) = a_2a_1a_12a_1a_21$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_3)$; if $U(v_1) + U(v_2) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_7) = a_2a_1a_1a_1a_221$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_1)$, $\{2\} \subseteq T(v_2)$; if $U(v_2) + U(v_3) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_7) = a_2a_1a_12a_2a_21$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_2)$, $\{2\} \subseteq T(v_3)$; if $U(v_2) + U(v_4) \geq 2$, set $c_\ell(H_7) = a_212a_1a_2a_1a_2$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_2)$, $\{2\} \subseteq T(v_4)$.

If $G \in \mathcal{H}_8^* \setminus \mathcal{H}_8$, then $U(v_i) \geq 3$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) or $U(v_i) + U(v_j) + U(v_k) \geq 4$, $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. If G is a graph in the former case, then a graph belonging to $\mathcal{G}_4^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_4$ is a subgraph of G . If $U(v_1) + U(v_2) + U(v_4) \geq 4$, set $c_\ell(H_8) = 1a_1a_1423$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_1)$, $\{3\} \subseteq T(v_2)$, $\{4\} \subseteq T(v_4)$; if $U(v_2) + U(v_3) \geq 4$, set $c_\ell(H_8) = 12a_1a_134$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq T(v_2)$, $\{3, 4\} \subseteq T(v_3)$.

It is not hard to check that the colorings above make G rainbow connected with $n - 3$ colors, thus $rx_3(G) \leq n - 3$.

Conversely, let G be a tricyclic graph such that $G \in \mathcal{H}$. Similar to Lemma 3.3, we only need to consider the case that G has cut edges and $|A_1 \cap A_2| \geq 1$. Assume, to the contrary, that $rx_3(G) \leq n - 3$. Then there exists a rainbow coloring c of G using colors in N_{n-3} .

For $G \in \mathcal{H}_1$, if there is a nontrivial path P' connecting B_1 and B_2 in G , then denote its ends by $v'_3(\in B_1)$ and $v''_3(\in B_2)$ and if there is a nontrivial path P'' connecting B_2 and B_3 in G , then denote its ends by $v'_5(\in B_2)$ and $v''_5(\in B_3)$. Similar to Claim 2 in Lemma 3.3, the colors in the path P' and P'' cannot appear in A_1 , which implies $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 0$, contradicting $|A_1 \cap A_2| \geq 1$. For $G \in \mathcal{H}_5$, notice that $d_{H_5}(v_1, v_3, v_5) = 4$ and $|A_1 \setminus A_2| = 3$, the result holds. The same argument applies to the case when $G \in \mathcal{H}_6$. Thus, we mainly discuss the rest cases for G as follows.

Case 1. $G \in \mathcal{H}_2$. We have $1 \leq |A_1 \cap A_2| \leq 4$ and $|A_1 \setminus A_2| = 3$. If there is a nontrivial path P' connecting B_1 and B_2 in G , then denote its ends by $v'_4(\in B_1)$ and $v''_4(\in B_2)$. We can also claim that $c(P') \cap A_1 = \emptyset$. Noticing that $d_{H_2}(v_2, v_5, v_6) = 4 > 3$, we only check the case when $W(v_2) \geq 2$. Since the case of $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 1$ or $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 4$ is easy to check, we consider the remaining two cases. Assume $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 2$, $A_1 = \{1, 2, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $1, 2 \in T(v_2)$, consider $\{y_1, y_2, v_5\}$ and we may set $c(v_2v_3) = a_1$, $c(v_3v'_4) = a_2$, $c(v''_4v_5) = a_3$. If 1 and 2 are in B_1 , and 1 appears in v_1v_2 or $v_1v'_4$, then we have $c(v''_4v_6) = a_3$ by considering $\{y_1, y_2, v_6\}$, and thus $c(v_5v_6) \notin A_2$, but now $\{y_1, v_5, v_6\}$ has no rainbow tree. So one of 1, 2, say 1, is in B_2 and $c(v_5v_6) = 1$. Now we have $c(v''_4v_6) \neq a_3$ and $c(v_1v_2), c(v_1v'_4), c(v''_4v_6) \in \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ by considering $\{y_1, y_2, v_6\}$. Then every $\{y_1, v_5, v_6\}$ -tree of size 5 cannot have the color 2. Thus there is no rainbow $\{y_1, v_5, v_6\}$ -tree.

Assume then $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 3$ and $A_1 = \{1, 2, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. If $1, 2, 3 \in T(v_2)$, first we claim that $v_2v_3, v_3v'_4$ cannot use colors from A_2 both. Otherwise assume $c(v_2v_3) = 1$, $c(v_3v_4) = 2$, $c(v_1v_2) = a_1$, $c(v_1v'_4) = a_2$, and by considering

$\{y_1, y_2, v_5\}$ and $\{y_1, y_2, v_6\}$, we have $c(v_4''v_5) = c(v_4''v_6) = a_3$, and $c(v_5v_6)$ can be a_1 or a_2 . However, there is no rainbow $\{y_1, v_5, v_6\}$ -tree or $\{y_2, v_5, v_6\}$ -tree. With the same reason, we conclude that exactly one edge of the unique 4-cycle of H_2 can be colored with a color from A_2 . Thus there are two cases by symmetry. If $c(v_1v_2) = 1, c(v_1v_3) = 2, c(v_5v_6) = 3$, then consider y_1 and y_2 , together with v_5, v_6 respectively, we have $c(v_4''v_5) = c(v_4''v_6)$, which is impossible. If $c(v_1v_4') = 1, c(v_1v_3) = 2, c(v_5v_6) = 3$, then consider y_1 and y_3 , together with v_5, v_6 respectively. suppose $c(v_4''v_5) = a_1, c(v_4''v_6) = a_2$ and $c(v_3v_4') = a_3, c(v_1v_2), c(v_2v_3) \in \{a_1, a_2\}$, but now there is no rainbow $\{y_3, v_5, v_6\}$ -tree. If $1, 2 \in T(v_2), 3 \in T(v_6)$, similarly set $c(v_1v_2) = a_1, c(v_1v_4') = a_2, c(v_4''v_6) = a_3$. First we can easily claim that the color 3 cannot appear in B_2 . Thus there are three possibilities for the color 3. If $c(v_3v_4') = 3$, then consider $\{y_1, y_3, v_3\}$ and $\{y_2, y_3, v_3\}$, we have $c(v_1v_3), c(v_2v_3) \in \{1, 2\}$. Consider $\{y_1, y_2, v_5\}$, one of $c(v_4''v_5)$ and $c(v_5v_6)$ is a_3 , but now there is no rainbow $\{y_2, y_3, v_5\}$ -tree. The case when $c(v_1v_3) = 3$ is similar to the case of $c(v_3v_4') = 3$. If $c(v_2v_3) = 3$, then similarly we get $c(v_1v_3), c(v_2v_3) \in \{1, 2\}$ and one of $c(v_4''v_5)$ and $c(v_5v_6)$ is a_3 . Consider $\{y_1, y_3, v_5\}$, this forces one of $c(v_4''v_5)$ and $c(v_5v_6)$ is 2, it is impossible.

Case 2. $G \in \mathcal{H}_3$. Then $1 \leq |A_1 \cap A_2| \leq 4$ and $|A_1 \setminus A_2| = 3$. If there is a nontrivial path P' connecting B_1 and B_2 in G , then denote its ends by $v_4'(\in B_1)$ and $v_4''(\in B_2)$. Similarly, it is easy to check that $c(P') \cap A_1 = \emptyset$. We only focus on the case that $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 1$, where $A_1 = \{1, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. If $1 \in T(v_6)$, consider $\{y_1, v_1, v_3\}$, set $c(v_4''v_6) = a_1, c(v_1v_4') = a_2, c(v_3v_4') = a_3$. Then $c(v_5v_6) \neq 1$, otherwise there is no rainbow tree connecting $\{y_1, v_1, v_5\}$ or $\{y_1, v_3, v_5\}$ depending on $c(v_4''v_5)$. Similarly, $c(v_4''v_5) \neq 1$. Next $c(v_2v_4') \neq 1$ by considering $\{y_1, v_2, v_5\}$. Suppose $c(v_1v_2) = 1$, to make sure there is a rainbow $\{y_1, v_1, v_2\}$ -tree and $\{y_1, v_2, v_3\}$ -tree, we have $c(v_2v_4') = a_3$ and $c(v_2v_3) = a_2$. But now $\{v_2, v_3, v_5\}$ has no rainbow tree. If $1 \in T(v_2)$, then consider $\{y_1, v_5, v_6\}, \{y_1, v_1, v_5\}, \{y_1, v_1, v_6\}, \{y_1, v_3, v_5\}, \{y_1, v_3, v_6\}$ successively. Set $c(v_2v_4') = a_1$, then $c(v_1v_2), c(v_1v_4'), c(v_2v_3), c(v_3v_4'), c(v_4''v_5)$ and $c(v_4''v_6)$ can only be a_2 or a_3 . It is easy to check that $\{v_2, v_3, v_5\}$ has no rainbow tree.

Case 3. $G \in \mathcal{H}_4$. $1 \leq |A_1 \cap A_2| \leq 4$ and $|A_1 \setminus A_2| = 2$. First notice that $d_{H_4}(v_2, v_3, v_4) = 3$, the case that $W(v_1) = W(v_5) = 0, W(v_2), W(v_3), W(v_4) \leq 1$ is evident. Assume $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 1$, then $1 \in T(v_1)$, the case is similar with the case that $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$ in Lemma 3.3. Assume now $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 2$, if $1 \in T(v_1), 2 \in T(v_5)$, by considering all the trees containing y_1 and y_2 , without loss of generality, set $c(v_1v_5) = a_1, c(v_1v_2) = 1(2), c(v_2v_5) = a_2$. Moreover, by considering $\{y_1(y_2), v_2, v_3\}$ and $\{y_1(y_2), v_2, v_4\}$, the remaining two paths of length 2 from v_1 to v_5 must be colored with $2(1), a_2$, respectively. However, there is no rainbow $\{y_2(y_1), v_3, v_4\}$ -tree. If $1, 2 \in T(v_2)$, by considering $\{y_1, y_2, v_4\}$, set $c(v_2v_5) = a_1, c(v_4v_5) = a_2$. Since the two possible rainbow trees connecting $\{y_1, v_3, v_4\}$ and $\{y_2, v_3, v_4\}$ are the same, we may set $c(v_3v_5) = 1$. It is easy to see

that $c(v_1v_2)$, $c(v_1v_3)$ cannot use colors from A_2 by considering $\{y_1, y_2, v_3\}$, and $c(v_1v_4) = 2$ by considering $\{y_1, v_3, v_4\}$. But now if $c(v_1v_5) = 1$ or $c(v_1v_5) = 2$, there is no rainbow $\{y_1, v_2, v_5\}$ -tree or $\{y_2, v_1, v_4\}$ -tree, respectively.

Assume $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 3$, then $1, 2 \in T(v_2)$, $3 \in T(v_4)$. Similarly as above, we may set $c(v_2v_5) = a_1$, $c(v_4v_5) = a_2$, $c(v_3v_5) = 1$, $c(v_1v_5) = 3$, one of $c(v_1v_2)$, $c(v_1v_4)$ is 2. However, there is no rainbow $\{y_2, y_3, v_1\}$ -tree.

Finally assume $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 4$ and $1, 2 \in T(v_2)$, $3 \in T(v_3)$, $4 \in T(v_4)$, consider $\{y_1, y_3, y_4\}$ and $\{y_2, y_3, y_4\}$, at least four of the non-cut edges must be colored with $\{a_1, a_2\}$. This contradicts $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 4$.

Case 4. $G \in \mathcal{H}_7$. Since $d_{H_7}(v_1, v_3, v_4) = 3$, we only focus on the case $1 \in T(v_2)$. Consider all the three vertices containing y_1 , it is not hard to obtain a contradiction.

Case 5. $G \in \mathcal{H}_8$. First notice $d_{H_8}(v_1, v_2, v_3) = 2$, the case that $W(v_1), W(v_2), W(v_3), W(v_4) \leq 1$ is evident. Assume $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 2$, then $1, 2 \in T(v_1)$. Consider $\{y_1, y_2, v_2\}$, $\{y_1, y_2, v_3\}$, $\{y_1, y_2, v_4\}$ successively, we have $c(v_1v_2) = c(v_1v_3) = c(v_1v_4) = a_1$. However, there is no rainbow tree connecting $\{y_1, v_2, v_3\}$ or $\{y_2, v_2, v_3\}$, a contradiction. Now focus on $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 3$, then $1, 2 \in T(v_1)$, $3 \in T(v_2)$. Consider $\{y_1, y_3, v_3\}$, $\{y_1, y_3, v_4\}$, $\{y_2, y_3, v_3\}$ and $\{y_2, y_3, v_4\}$ successively, $c(v_1v_3)$, $c(v_1v_4)$, $c(v_2v_3)$, $c(v_2v_4)$ must be 1 or 2. Again, there is no rainbow $\{y_1, y_2, v_3\}$ -tree.

By the detailed analysis above, we have $rx_3(G) \geq n - 2$ for $G \in \mathcal{H}$. By Theorem 3.1, it follows that $rx_3(G) = n - 2$. ■

3.2.3. Characterize the graphs with $rx_3(G) = n - 2$

We begin with a lemma about a connected 5-cyclic graph.

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a connected 5-cyclic graph of order n . Then $rx_3(G) = n - 2$ if and only if $G = K_5 - e$.*

Proof. Let $G \neq K_5 - e$ and $rx_3(G) = n - 2$, by Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 3.2, $rx_3(G) \leq n - 3$, a contradiction. Conversely, suppose $G = K_5 - e$, by Theorem 1.6, $rx_3(G) \geq 3$, on the other hand, $rx_3(G) \leq rx_3(C_5) = 3$. Thus $rx_3(G) = n - 2$. ■

For $n \geq 3$, the *wheel* W_n is a graph constructed by joining a vertex v_0 to every vertex of a cycle $C_n : v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} = v_1$.

A third graph class is defined as follows. Let \mathcal{J}_1 be a class of graphs such that every graph is obtained from a graph in \mathcal{H}_5 by adding an edge v_4v_6 . Let \mathcal{J}_2 be a class of graphs such that every graph is obtained from a graph in \mathcal{H}_7 where $U(v_2) = 0$ and $U(v_5) = 0$ by adding an edge v_2v_5 . Set $\mathcal{J} = \{\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2, W_4\}$.

Now we are ready to show our second main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a connected graph of order n ($n \geq 6$). Then $rx_3(G) = n - 2$ if and only if G is unicyclic with the girth at least 4 or $G \in \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{J}$ or $G = K_5 - e$.*

Proof. Let G be a t -cyclic graph with $rx_3(G) = n - 2$, but not a graph listed in the theorem. By Proposition 1.2, Theorem 1.3, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we need to consider the cases $t \geq 4$. If $t = 4$, by Theorem 3.2, the basic graph of G should be a 3-sun or the basic graph of \mathcal{J}_2 or W_4 . If $G \notin \mathcal{J}_1$ or $G \notin \mathcal{J}_2$, then by the similar arguments with Lemma 3.3, we have $rx_3(G) \leq n - 3$, a contradiction. Suppose the basic graph of G is W_4 and there are some cut edges in G . If $U(v_0) \geq 1$, then a graph belonging to $\mathcal{G}_6^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_6$ and satisfying $U(v_3) \geq 1$ is a subgraph of G . If $U(v_1) \geq 1$ (other cases are similar), then set $c_\ell(W_4) = a_21a_1a_1a_2a_2a_1$ and $\{1\} \subseteq T(v_1)$. If $t \geq 5$, by Theorem 3.2, the basic graph of G should be $K_5 - e$, since $n \geq 6$, by the similar argument with $t = 4$, we have $rx_3(G) \leq n - 3$, a contradiction.

Conversely, by Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.6, Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, suppose G is a graph such that $G \in \mathcal{J}_1$ or $G \in \mathcal{J}_2$. Assume, to the contrary, that $rx_3(G) \leq n - 3$. Then there exists a rainbow coloring c of G using $n - 3$ colors. Both cases can be considered similar to the case that $G \in \mathcal{H}_5$ or $G \in \mathcal{H}_7$ in Lemma 3.4, a contradiction. ■

Acknowledgement

The authors are very grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which helped to improve the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory* (GTM 244, Springer, 2008).
- [2] G. Chartrand, G. Johns, K. McKeon and P. Zhang, *Rainbow connection in graphs*, *Math. Bohem.* **133** (2008) 85–98.
- [3] G. Chartrand, F. Okamoto and P. Zhang, *Rainbow trees in graphs and generalized connectivity*, *Networks* **55** (2010) 360–367.
doi:10.1002/net.20339
- [4] L. Chen, X. Li, K. Yang and Y. Zhao, *The 3-rainbow index of a graph*, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **35** (2015) 81–94.
doi:10.7151/dmgt.1780
- [5] Y. Caro, A. Lev, Y. Roditty, Zs. Tuza and R. Yuster, *On rainbow connection*, *Electron. J. Combin.* **15** (2008) #R57.
- [6] G. Chartrand, S. Kappor, L. Lesniak and D. Lick, *Generalized connectivity in graphs*, *Bull. Bombay Math. Colloq.* **2** (1984) 1–6.

- [7] G. Chartrand, G. Johns, K. McKeon and P. Zhang, *The rainbow connectivity of a graph*, *Networks* **54** (2009) 75–81.
doi:10.1002/net.20296
- [8] X. Li, Y. Shi and Y. Sun, *Rainbow connections of graphs: A survey*, *Graphs Combin.* **29** (2013) 1–38.
doi:10.1007/s00373-012-1243-2
- [9] X. Li and Y. Sun, *Rainbow Connections of Graphs* (Springer Briefs in Math., Springer, 2012).

Received 23 September 2013

Revised 21 February 2014

Accepted 24 February 2014