

NOTE

## A NOTE ON *PM*-COMPACT BIPARTITE GRAPHS<sup>1</sup>

JINFENG LIU

*Center for Combinatorics and LPMC-TJKLC*  
*Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China*

AND

XIUMEI WANG

*Department of Mathematics, Zhengzhou University*  
*Zhengzhou 450001, China*

**e-mail:** wangxiumei@zzu.edu.cn

### Abstract

A graph is called perfect matching compact (briefly, *PM*-compact), if its perfect matching graph is complete. Matching-covered *PM*-compact bipartite graphs have been characterized. In this paper, we show that any *PM*-compact bipartite graph  $G$  with  $\delta(G) \geq 2$  has an ear decomposition such that each graph in the decomposition sequence is also *PM*-compact, which implies that  $G$  is matching-covered.

**Keywords:** perfect matching, *PM*-compact graph, matching-covered graph.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C70.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, graphs under consideration are loopless, undirected, finite and connected. Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . A subset  $M$  of  $E(G)$  is called a *perfect matching* of  $G$  if no two edges in  $M$  are adjacent and  $M$  covers all vertices of  $G$ . The *perfect matching graph* of  $G$ , denoted by  $PM(G)$ , is the graph in which each perfect matching of  $G$  is a vertex and two vertices  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are adjacent in  $PM(G)$  if and only if the symmetric difference of  $M_1$  and

---

<sup>1</sup>This work is supported by NSFC (grant no. 11101383, 11201121, and 11201432).

$M_2$  is an alternating cycle. The *perfect matching polytope* of  $G$  is the convex hull of the incidence vectors of all perfect matchings of  $G$ . Chvátal [4] shows that two vertices of the perfect matching polytope are adjacent if and only if the symmetric difference of the two perfect matchings is a cycle. This implies that  $PM(G)$  is the 1-skeleton graph of the perfect matching polytope of  $G$ . Naddef and Pulleyblank [5] show that if  $PM(G)$  is bipartite then  $PM(G)$  is a hypercube and otherwise  $PM(G)$  is Hamilton-connected. Bian and Zhang [1] give a sharp upper bound of the number of edges for the graphs whose perfect matching graphs are bipartite. Padberg and Rao [6] show that, for  $n \geq 4$ , the diameter of  $PM(K_{2n})$  is 2 and, for  $n \in \{2, 3\}$ , the diameter of  $PM(K_{2n})$  is 1.

Let  $G$  be a graph which has perfect matchings. If  $PM(G)$  is a complete graph, i.e., the diameter of the 1-skeleton graph of the perfect matching polytope of  $G$  is 1, we call  $G$  *perfect matching compact*, or *PM-compact* for short. Clearly,  $K_4$  and  $K_6$  are *PM-compact*. Let  $v$  be a vertex of degree 2 of  $G$  which has two distinct neighbors. The *bicontraction* of  $v$  is the graph obtained from  $G$  by contracting both edges incident with  $v$ . The *retract* of  $G$  is the graph obtained from  $G$  by successively bicontracting vertices of degree 2 until either there are no vertices of degree 2 or at most two vertices remain. A graph with two vertices and at least two parallel edges is denoted by  $K_2^*$ . A graph is *matching-covered* if every edge of it appears in a perfect matching. Let  $\delta(G)$  denote the minimum degree of  $G$ . For bipartite graphs, the following result is obtained in [7].

**Theorem 1.** (i) *Let  $G$  be a matching-covered bipartite graph. Then  $G$  is PM-compact if and only if the retract of  $G$  is  $K_{3,3}$  or  $K_2^*$ .*

(ii) *The graph  $K_{3,3}$  is the only simple matching-covered PM-compact bipartite graph  $G$  with  $\delta(G) \geq 3$ .*

Let  $H$  be a subgraph of a graph  $G$ . An *ear* of  $G$  with respect to  $H$  is a path of odd length in  $G$  which has both ends, but no edges or interior vertices, in  $H$ . We call an ear *trivial* if it is an edge. An *ear decomposition* of a bipartite graph  $G$  is a sequence of subgraphs  $(G_0, G_1, \dots, G_r)$ , where  $G_0 = K_2$ ,  $G_r = G$ , and for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $G_i$  is the union of  $G_{i-1}$  and an ear  $P_i$  of  $G_i$  with respect to  $G_{i-1}$ . Clearly,  $G_1$  is an even cycle and  $G = K_2 + P_1 + \dots + P_r$ . In [3] Theorem 4.1.1 and Theorem 4.1.6 imply the following.

**Theorem 2.** *A bipartite graph  $G$  is matching-covered if and only if  $G$  has an ear decomposition.*

This theorem implies that for an ear decomposition of a matching-covered bipartite graph, each member of the sequence is matching-covered. If  $G$  is a matching-covered graph, then  $G$  is 2-connected, and so has minimum degree at least 2. In this paper, we show that a *PM-compact* bipartite graph  $G$  with  $\delta(G) \geq 2$  has an

ear decomposition such that each member of the decomposition sequence is *PM*-compact, which implies that  $G$  is matching-covered. Thus the characterization of *PM*-compact bipartite graphs is complete. (Note that each pendant edge (of which one end has degree 1) of a graph is contained in all perfect matchings. Using the obtained results, it is easy to characterize *PM*-compact bipartite graphs with minimum degree one.)

## 2. MAIN RESULT

A vertex  $v$  of a graph  $G$  is said to be *pendant* if its degree is 1 in  $G$ . A bipartite graph  $G$  with bipartition  $(X, Y)$  is denoted by  $G[X, Y]$ . The following lemma is an immediate consequence of Exercise 16.1.13 in [2].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $G[X, Y]$  be a bipartite graph. Then  $G$  has a unique perfect matching if and only if*

- (i) *each of  $X$  and  $Y$  contains a pendant vertex, and*
- (ii) *when the pendant vertices and their neighbors are deleted, the resulting graph (if nonempty) has a unique perfect matching.*

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G$  be a *PM*-compact graph and  $H$  a subgraph of  $G$  which has a perfect matching. If either (i)  $H$  is a spanning subgraph of  $G$  or (ii)  $G - V(H)$  has a perfect matching, then  $H$  is *PM*-compact.*

**Proof.** If (i) holds, the assertion follows directly from the definition of *PM*-compact graphs.

If (ii) holds, let  $M$  be a perfect matching of  $G - V(H)$ . Suppose that  $M'_1$  and  $M'_2$  are two distinct perfect matchings of  $H$ . Then  $M_1 = M'_1 \cup M$  and  $M_2 = M'_2 \cup M$  are two perfect matchings of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is *PM*-compact,  $M_1 \Delta M_2$  is an alternating cycle of  $G$ . So  $M'_1 \Delta M'_2 = M_1 \Delta M_2$  is an alternating cycle of  $H$ , and hence  $H$  is *PM*-compact. ■

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $G$  be a *PM*-compact bipartite graph with  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ . Then  $G$  has an ear decomposition  $(G_0, G_1, \dots, G_r)$  such that each  $G_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , is *PM*-compact.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$  such that  $G - V(H)$  has a unique perfect matching  $M^*$ . If a nontrivial ear  $P$  of  $G$  with respect to  $H$  is an  $M^*$ -alternating path, then we call  $P$  a *normal ear*.

**Claim.** *The graph  $G$  has a normal ear with respect to  $H$ .*

**Proof.** To show this, write  $G^* = G - V(H)$ . Let  $P^*$  be a longest  $M^*$ -alternating path in  $G^*$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be the two ends of  $P^*$ . We assert that both  $x$  and  $y$

are covered by  $M^* \cap E(P^*)$  and each have a unique neighbor in  $G^*$ , that is, their other neighbors are all in  $H$ . We show this by way of contradiction. If  $x$  is not covered by  $M^* \cap E(P^*)$ , let  $y'$  be the vertex matched to  $x$  under  $M^*$  (clearly,  $y' \in V(G^*)$ ); otherwise, let  $y'$  be an arbitrary neighbor of  $x$  in  $G^* - E(P^*)$ . When  $y' \notin V(P^*)$ ,  $P^* + xy'$  is an  $M^*$ -alternating path which is longer than  $P^*$ . But this contradicts the choice of  $P^*$ . When  $y' \in V(P^*)$ , let  $C^*$  be the union of the edge  $xy'$  and the segment of  $P^*$  from  $x$  to  $y'$ . Since  $G$  is bipartite,  $C^*$  is an even cycle which is an  $M^*$ -alternating cycle. Hence  $M^* \triangle E(C^*)$  is another perfect matching of  $G^*$ , which contradicts the uniqueness of  $M^*$ . Therefore  $x$  is covered by  $M^* \cap E(P^*)$  and has only one neighbor in  $G^*$  (namely, a member of  $V(P^*)$ ). By symmetry,  $y$  also has these properties. The assertion follows.

Since  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ , by the above assertion,  $x$  and  $y$  have neighbors in  $H$ . Let  $x_1, y_1 \in V(H)$  be two neighbors of  $y$  and  $x$ , respectively. The above assertion also implies that the length of  $P^*$  is odd. Since  $G$  is bipartite, we have  $x_1 \neq y_1$ . Write  $P = P^* + xy_1 + yx_1$ . By the above assertion again,  $P$  is an  $M^*$ -alternating path with odd length. So  $P$  is a normal ear of  $G$  with respect to  $H$ . The claim follows.  $\square$

We now proceed inductively to get an ear decomposition of  $G$ . For an even cycle  $C$  of  $G$ , if  $G - V(C)$  has a perfect matching, we call  $C$  a *PM-alternating cycle*.

Recall  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ . By Lemma 3,  $G$  has at least two perfect matchings. Since each cycle in the symmetric difference of any two perfect matchings of  $G$  is a *PM-alternating cycle* of  $G$ ,  $G$  has *PM-alternating cycles*. Let  $C$  be a *PM-alternating cycle* of  $G$ , and set  $H_1 = C$ . If  $G - V(H_1)$  has two perfect matchings  $M'_1$  and  $M'_2$ , let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be the two disjoint perfect matchings in  $H_1$ . Then  $M_1 = M'_1 \cup E_1$  and  $M_2 = M'_2 \cup E_2$  are two perfect matchings of  $G$ . Since  $M_1 \triangle M_2$  contains at least two alternating cycles, namely,  $C$  and an alternating cycle in  $M'_1 \triangle M'_2$ ,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are not adjacent in  $PM(G)$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $G$  is *PM-compact*. So either  $G - V(H_1)$  has a unique perfect matching, say  $M'$ , or  $G - V(H_1)$  is null.

For the former case, by the above claim,  $G$  has a normal ear  $P_2$  with respect to  $H_1$ . Set  $H_2 = H_1 + P_2$ . If  $H_2$  is not spanning, then  $M' \setminus E(P_2)$  is the unique perfect matching of  $G - V(H_2)$ . So we can proceed to find a normal ear  $P_3$  of  $G$  with respect to  $H_2$ . Continue in this way until  $H_k = H_{k-1} + P_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , is a spanning subgraph of  $G$ . Write  $E' = E(G) \setminus E(H_k)$ . Then each edge in  $E'$  is a trivial ear of  $G$  with respect to  $H_k$ . Write  $r = k + |E'|$ . Then we get an ear decomposition  $(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k, \dots, H_r)$  of  $G$ , where  $H_i = H_{i-1} + P_i$  such that  $P_i$  is a normal ear of  $H_i$  with respect to  $H_{i-1}$  for each  $2 \leq i \leq k$  and a trivial ear (an edge in  $E'$ ) of  $H_i$  with respect to  $H_{i-1}$  for each  $k + 1 \leq i \leq r$ .

For the latter case,  $H_1$  is a spanning subgraph of  $G$ . Then each edge in  $E' = E(G) \setminus E(H_1)$  is a trivial ear of  $G$  with respect to  $C$ . Since  $G = H_1 + E'$ , we are done.

Let  $(G_0, G_1, \dots, G_r)$  be an arbitrary ear decomposition of  $G$ . Recall that  $G_0$  is  $K_2$  and  $G_1$  is an even cycle. To complete the proof, we show that for each  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ ,  $G_i$  is *PM*-compact. Note that  $G - V(G_i)$  either is null or has a perfect matching (which is unique). Thus either  $G_i$  is a spanning subgraph of  $G$  or  $G - V(G_i)$  has a unique perfect matching. Since  $G_i$  also has a perfect matching, by Lemma 4,  $G_i$  is *PM*-compact. ■

Note that in the proof of Theorem 5, we show a stronger assertion that for each ear decomposition of a *PM*-compact bipartite graph  $G$  with  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ , each member in the decomposition sequence is *PM*-compact.

By Theorem 2 and Theorem 5, we get the following.

**Corollary 6.** *Any PM-compact bipartite graph  $G$  with  $\delta(G) \geq 2$  is matching-covered.*

### Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to referee for his/her helpful comments which have improved the presentation of this paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bian and F. Zhang, *The graph of perfect matching polytope and an extreme problem*, Discrete. Math. **309** (2009) 5017–5023.  
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2009.03.009
- [2] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008).
- [3] L. Lovász and M.D. Plummer, *Matching Theory* (Elsevier Science Publishers, B.V. North Holland, 1986).
- [4] V. Chvátal, *On certain polytopes associated with graphs*, J. Combin. Theory (B) **18** (1975) 138–154.  
doi:10.1016/0095-8956(75)90041-6
- [5] D.J. Naddef and W.R. Pulleyblank, *Hamiltonicity in  $(0-1)$ -polytope*, J. Combin. Theory (B) **37** (1984) 41–52.  
doi:10.1016/0095-8956(84)90043-1
- [6] M.W. Padberg and M.R. Rao, *The travelling salesman problem and a class of polyhedra of diameter two*, Math. Program. **7** (1974) 32–45.  
doi:10.1007/BF01585502
- [7] X.M. Wang, Y.X. Lin, M.H. Carvalho, C.L. Lucchesi, G. Sanjith and C.H.C. Little, *A characterization of PM-compact bipartite graphs and near-bipartite graphs*, (2012) in submission.

Received 19 July 2012  
Revised 22 October 2012  
Accepted 22 October 2012

