

**DECOMPOSITION TREE AND  
INDECOMPOSABLE COVERINGS\***

ANDREW BREINER

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science*  
*Nebraska Wesleyan University*  
*5000 St. Paul Avenue, Lincoln, NE 68504, USA*  
**e-mail:** abreiner@nebrowesleyan.edu

JITENDER DEOGUN

*Department of Computer Science and Engineering*  
*University of Nebraska – Lincoln*  
*Lincoln, NE 68588–0115, USA*  
**e-mail:** deogun@cse.unl.edu

AND

PIERRE ILLE<sup>†</sup>

*C.N.R.S. – UMR 6206*  
*Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy*  
*163, Avenue de Luminy – Case 907*  
*13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France*  
**e-mail:** ille@iml.univ-mrs.fr

**Abstract**

Let  $G = (V, A)$  be a directed graph. With any subset  $X$  of  $V$  is associated the directed subgraph  $G[X] = (X, A \cap (X \times X))$  of  $G$  induced by  $X$ . A subset  $X$  of  $V$  is an interval of  $G$  provided that for  $a, b \in X$  and  $x \in V \setminus X$ ,  $(a, x) \in A$  if and only if  $(b, x) \in A$ ,

---

\*This work was supported, in part, by an NSF EPSCoR grant EPS-0346476 and by a Nebraska Research Initiative (NRI) grant on high performance wireless networks.

<sup>†</sup>This research was done while the third author was visiting the University of Nebraska – Lincoln.

and similarly for  $(x, a)$  and  $(x, b)$ . For example  $\emptyset, V$ , and  $\{x\}$ , where  $x \in V$ , are intervals of  $G$  which are the trivial intervals. A directed graph is indecomposable if all its intervals are trivial. Given an integer  $k > 0$ , a directed graph  $G = (V, A)$  is called an indecomposable  $k$ -covering provided that for every subset  $X$  of  $V$  with  $|X| \leq k$ , there exists a subset  $Y$  of  $V$  such that  $X \subseteq Y$ ,  $G[Y]$  is indecomposable with  $|Y| \geq 3$ . In this paper, the indecomposable  $k$ -covering directed graphs are characterized for any  $k > 0$ .

**Keywords:** interval, indecomposable,  $k$ -covering, decomposition tree.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C20, 05C75.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A *directed graph* or simply a *digraph*  $G$  consists of a nonempty and finite set  $V$  of *vertices* together with a collection  $A$  of ordered pairs of distinct vertices, called the set of *arcs* of  $G$ . Such a digraph is denoted by  $(V, A)$ . For example, given a nonempty and finite set  $V$ ,  $(V, \emptyset)$  is the *empty* digraph on  $V$  whereas  $(V, (V \times V) \setminus \{(x, x); x \in V\})$  is the *complete* digraph on  $V$ . Given a digraph  $G = (V, A)$ , with each nonempty subset  $X$  of  $V$  associate the *subdigraph*  $G[X] = (X, A \cap (X \times X))$  of  $G$  induced by  $X$ . A digraph  $G = (V, A)$  is a *poset* provided that for all  $x, y, z \in V$ , if  $(x, y), (y, z) \in A$ , then  $(x, z) \in A$ . Furthermore, a poset is a *linear ordering*, or is *linear*, if for all  $x, y \in V$  with  $x \neq y$ , either  $(x, y) \in A$  or  $(y, x) \in A$ . Finally, a poset  $G = (V, A)$ , which admits a maximum vertex, is called a *tree* if for each  $x \in V$ ,  $G[\{y \in V : (x, y) \in A\} \cup \{x\}]$  is linear.

Given a digraph  $G = (V, A)$ , a subset  $X$  of  $V$  is an *interval* [6] (or an *autonomous set* [4, 7, 8] or a *clan* [3] or a *homogeneous set* [2, 5] or a *module* [10]) of  $G$  provided that for any  $a, b \in X$  and  $x \in V \setminus X$ ,  $(a, x) \in A$  if and only if  $(b, x) \in A$ , and  $(x, a) \in A$  if and only if  $(x, b) \in A$ . This generalizes the classic notion of the interval of a linear ordering. As recalled by the following well known proposition, the intervals of a digraph and the usual intervals of a linear ordering share the same properties.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $G = (V, A)$  be a digraph.*

1.  $\emptyset, V$ , and  $\{x\}$ , where  $x \in V$ , are intervals of  $G$ .
2. Given subsets  $X$  and  $W$  of  $V$ , if  $X$  is an interval of  $G$ , then  $X \cap W$  is an interval of  $G[W]$ .

3. Given an interval  $X$  of  $G$ , an interval of  $G[X]$  is an interval of  $G$  as well.
4. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are intervals of  $G$ , then  $X \cap Y$  is an interval of  $G$ .
5. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are intervals of  $G$  such that  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ , then  $X \cup Y$  is an interval of  $G$ .
6. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are intervals of  $G$  such that  $X \setminus Y \neq \emptyset$ , then  $Y \setminus X$  is an interval of  $G$ .
7. Given intervals  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $G$  such that  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ , for any  $x, x' \in X$  and  $y, y' \in Y$ ,  $(x, y) \in A$  if and only if  $(x', y') \in A$ .

As indicated in the first assertion of the previous result, for every digraph  $G = (V, A)$ ,  $\emptyset$ ,  $V$ , and  $\{x\}$ , where  $x \in V$ , are intervals of  $G$  which are the *trivial intervals*. A digraph is then said to be *indecomposable* [6, 9] (or *prime* [2] or *primitive* [3]) if all its intervals are trivial; otherwise, it is *decomposable*. Among the simplest instances of decomposable digraphs are the complete, empty or linear digraphs having at least 3 vertices.

Given a digraph  $G = (V, A)$ ,  $I(G)$  denotes the family of the subsets  $S$  of  $V$  such that  $G[S]$  is indecomposable with  $|S| \geq 3$ . We are interested in the subsets of  $V$  which are covered by an element of  $I(G)$ .

**Observation 1.** *A digraph  $G = (V, A)$  is indecomposable if and only if for every  $X \subseteq V$  such that  $|X| \leq 3$ , there exists  $S \in I(G)$  such that  $X \subseteq S$ .*

**Proof.** Obviously, if  $G$  is indecomposable with  $|V| \geq 3$ , then  $V \in I(G)$  and hence all the subsets of  $V$  are covered by an element of  $I(G)$ . For the converse, consider an interval  $I$  of  $G$  such that  $|I| \geq 2$ . We must show that  $I = V$ . Let  $a \neq b \in I$ . For each  $x \in V$ , there is  $S_x \in I(G)$  such that  $a, b, x \in S_x$ . It follows from the second assertion of Proposition 1 that  $I \cap S_x$  is an interval of  $G[S_x]$ . As  $G[S_x]$  is indecomposable and as  $a, b \in I \cap S_x$ ,  $I \cap S_x = S_x$  and in particular  $x \in I$ . Therefore  $I = V$ . ■

To be more precise, we introduce the following. Given an integer  $k > 0$ , a digraph  $G = (V, A)$  is an *indecomposable  $k$ -covering*, or simply is  *$k$ -covering*, provided that for every subset  $X$  of  $V$  with  $|X| \leq k$ , there exists  $Y \in I(G)$  such that  $X \subseteq Y$ . Given  $k \geq 3$ , it follows from Observation 1 that a digraph is indecomposable if and only if it is  $k$ -covering. In what follows, we characterize the 1-covering digraphs and the 2-covering digraphs in terms of decomposition tree defined as follows (see [1] for details). We need the following strengthening of the notion of interval. Given a digraph  $G =$

$(V, A)$ , a subset  $X$  of  $V$  is a *strong interval* [4, 8] of  $G$  provided that  $X$  is an interval of  $G$  and for every interval  $Y$  of  $G$ , if  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ , then  $X \subseteq Y$  or  $Y \subseteq X$ . The family of the nonempty strong intervals of a digraph  $G$ , ordered by inclusion, constitutes a tree, called the decomposition tree of  $G$  and denoted by  $\mathbb{D}(G)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We use the following property of strong intervals (for instance, see [3, Lemma 4.10]).

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $X$  be a strong interval of a digraph  $G = (V, A)$ . For every  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $Y$  is a strong interval of  $G[X]$  if and only if  $Y$  is a strong interval of  $G$ .*

The last assertion of Proposition 1 permits to define the quotient of a digraph by an interval partition. Given a digraph  $G = (V, A)$ , a partition  $P$  of  $V$  is an *interval partition* of  $G$  if all its elements are intervals of  $G$ . For such a partition  $P$ , the *quotient* of  $G$  by  $P$  is the digraph  $G/P = (P, A/P)$  defined in the following way. Given  $X \neq Y \in P$ ,  $(X, Y) \in A/P$  if there exist  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  such that  $(x, y) \in A$ .

In the sequel, for a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets,  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$  denotes the union of the elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ . As shown by the following, the notions of interval and of quotient are compatible (for instance, see [3, Theorem 4.17]).

**Proposition 3.** *Given an interval partition  $P$  of a digraph  $G = (V, A)$ , both assertions below are satisfied.*

1. *If  $X$  is an interval of  $G$ , then  $\{Y \in P : Y \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$  is an interval of  $G/P$ .*
2. *If  $Q$  is an interval of  $G/P$ , then  $\bigcup Q$  is an interval of  $G$ .*

Let  $P$  be an interval partition of a digraph  $G = (V, A)$ . A subset  $S$  of  $V$  is called *transversal* according to  $P$  if for every  $X \in P$ ,  $|X \cap S| = 1$ . Clearly, for any transversal subset  $S$  of  $V$  according to  $P$ ,  $G[S]$  and  $G/P$  are isomorphic. More generally, let  $S$  be a subset of  $V$  such that for all  $X \in P$ ,  $|X \cap S| \leq 1$ . Then,  $G[S]$  and  $(G/P)[Q]$  are isomorphic, where  $Q$  is the family of the elements of  $P$  which intersect  $S$ . Gallai [4, 8] succeeded in associating in an intrinsic manner a unique quotient with each digraph.

Given a digraph  $G = (V, A)$  with  $|V| \geq 2$ ,  $P(G)$  denotes the family of the maximal strong intervals of  $G$ , with respect to inclusion, which are distinct from  $V$ . The Gallai decomposition theorem is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1** (Gallai [4, 8]). *Given a digraph  $G = (V, A)$  with  $|V| \geq 2$ ,  $P(G)$  realizes an interval partition of  $G$  and the corresponding quotient  $G/P(G)$  is complete, empty, linear or indecomposable.*

To complete the section, we review easily verified properties of the decomposition tree. Given a digraph  $G = (V, A)$ ,  $\mathbb{I}(G)$  denotes the family of the elements  $X$  of  $\mathbb{D}(G)$  satisfying  $|P(G[X])| \geq 3$  and  $G[X]/P(G[X])$  is indecomposable. For every nonempty subset  $S$  of  $V$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_S(G)$  denotes the family of the elements of  $\mathbb{D}(G)$  that contain  $S$ . It results from the definition of a strong interval that  $\mathbb{D}_S(G)$  is linearly ordered by inclusion. Consequently, it admits a minimum element denoted by  $\overline{S}$ . The result below precises the Gallai decomposition of  $G[\overline{S}]$  whenever  $S \in I(G)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G = (V, A)$  be a digraph. For every subset  $S$  of  $V$ , if  $S \in I(G)$ , then  $\overline{S} \in \mathbb{I}(G)$  and  $S$  is included in a transversal subset of  $\overline{S}$  according to  $P(G[\overline{S}])$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be an element of  $I(G)$ . By the second assertion of Proposition 1, for every  $X \in P(G[\overline{S}])$ ,  $X \cap S$  is an interval of  $G[S]$ . It follows from the indecomposability of  $G[S]$  that  $S \subseteq X$  or  $|X \cap S| \leq 1$ . Since  $\overline{S}$  is the minimum element of  $\mathbb{D}_S(G)$  under inclusion,  $X \notin \mathbb{D}_S(G)$  and hence  $|X \cap S| \leq 1$ . Consequently, there exists a transversal subset  $S'$  of  $\overline{S}$  according to  $P(G[\overline{S}])$  such that  $S \subseteq S'$ . As previously mentioned,  $G[S']$  and  $G[\overline{S}]/P(G[\overline{S}])$  are isomorphic. By Theorem 1,  $G[S']$  is complete, empty, linear or indecomposable. Since  $G[S]$  is indecomposable with  $|S| \geq 3$ ,  $G[S']$  is also and thus  $\overline{S} \in \mathbb{I}(G)$ . ■

### 3. INDECOMPOSABLE 1-COVERINGS AND 2-COVERINGS

We begin with an easy characterization of 1-covering digraphs.

**Proposition 4.** *Given a digraph  $G = (V, A)$  with  $|V| \geq 2$ ,  $G$  is 1-covering if and only if  $\bigcup \mathbb{I}(G) = V$ .*

**Proof.** If  $G$  is 1-covering, then for every  $x \in V$ , there is  $S \in I(G)$  such that  $x \in S$ . Consequently,  $x \in \overline{S}$  and, by Lemma 1,  $\overline{S} \in \mathbb{I}(G)$ . The converse is immediate as well. Indeed, given  $x \in V$ , there is  $X \in \mathbb{I}(G)$  such that  $x \in X$ . It suffices to consider a transversal subset of  $X$  according to  $P(G[X])$  which contains  $x$ . ■

Now, we investigate the 2-covering digraphs that bear the main results.

**Theorem 2.** *Given a digraph  $G = (V, A)$  with  $|V| \geq 2$ ,  $G$  is 2-covering if and only if  $\mathbb{I}(G) = \mathbb{D}(G) \setminus \{\{x\}; x \in V\}$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $G$  is 2-covering and consider  $X \in \mathbb{D}(G)$  such that  $|X| \geq 2$ . Let  $C$  and  $D$  be distinct elements of  $P(G[X])$  and consider  $c \in C$  and  $d \in D$ . Since  $G$  is 2-covering, there exists an element  $S$  of  $I(G)$  which contains  $c$  and  $d$ . As  $X \cap S$  is an interval of  $G[S]$  and as  $c \neq d \in X \cap S$ ,  $X \cap S = S$ . It follows that  $\overline{S} = X$  and, by Lemma 1,  $X \in \mathbb{I}(G)$ .

Conversely, let  $x$  and  $y$  be distinct vertices of  $G$ . By the minimality of  $\overline{\{x, y\}}$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  do not belong to the same element of  $P(G[\overline{\{x, y\}}])$ . Thus, there exists a transversal subset  $S$  of  $\overline{\{x, y\}}$  according to  $P(G[\overline{\{x, y\}}])$  which includes  $\{x, y\}$ . Since  $G[S]$  and  $G[\overline{\{x, y\}}]/P(G[\overline{\{x, y\}}])$  are isomorphic and since  $\overline{\{x, y\}} \in \mathbb{I}(G)$ ,  $S \in I(G)$ . ■

Theorem 2 and the next proposition provide a characterization of 2-covering digraphs in terms of intervals.

**Proposition 5.** *Given a digraph  $G = (V, A)$  with  $|V| \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbb{I}(G) = \mathbb{D}(G) \setminus \{\{x\}; x \in V\}$  if and only if both assertions below are satisfied*

1. *all the intervals of  $G$  are strong intervals of  $G$ ;*
2. *for each  $X \in \mathbb{D}(G) \setminus \{\{x\}; x \in V\}$ ,  $|P(G[X])| \geq 3$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\mathbb{I}(G) = \mathbb{D}(G) \setminus \{\{x\}; x \in V\}$ . Consider an interval  $I$  of  $G$  such that  $|I| \geq 2$ . Denote by  $Q$  the family of the elements of  $P(G[\overline{I}])$  which intersect  $I$ . For every  $X \in Q$ ,  $X$  is a strong interval of  $G[\overline{I}]$  and hence  $X$  is a strong interval of  $G$  by Proposition 2. Therefore  $X \subseteq I$  or  $I \subseteq X$ . Since  $P(G[\overline{I}]) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(G)$ , it follows from the minimality of  $\overline{I}$  that  $|Q| \geq 2$ . Consequently, for all  $X \in Q$ ,  $X \subseteq I$  and thus  $I = \bigcup Q$ . By Proposition 3,  $Q$  is an interval of  $G[\overline{I}]/P(G[\overline{I}])$ . As  $\mathbb{I}(G) = \mathbb{D}(G) \setminus \{\{x\}; x \in V\}$ ,  $G[\overline{I}]/P(G[\overline{I}])$  is indecomposable. Since  $|Q| \geq 2$ ,  $Q = P(G[\overline{I}])$ . It follows that  $I = \overline{I}$  and  $I$  is a strong interval of  $G$ . The second assertion is immediate.

Conversely, given  $X \in \mathbb{D}(G) \setminus \{\{x\}; x \in V\}$ , we want to show that  $X \in \mathbb{I}(G)$ . By contradiction, assume that  $X \notin \mathbb{I}(G)$ . By Theorem 1,  $G[X]/P(G[X])$  is complete, empty or linear. Since  $|P(G[X])| \geq 3$ ,  $G[X]/P(G[X])$  admits a non-trivial interval  $Q$ . By Proposition 3,  $\bigcup Q$  is an interval of  $G[X]$ . It follows from the maximality of the elements of  $P(G[X])$  that  $\bigcup Q$  is not a strong interval of  $G[X]$ . Since  $X$  is a strong interval of  $G$ , it follows from Proposition 2 that  $\bigcup Q$  is not a strong interval of  $G$ . But  $\bigcup Q$  is an interval of  $G$  by the third assertion of Proposition 1 because  $\bigcup Q$  is an interval of  $G[X]$  and  $X$  is an interval of  $G$ . ■

Lastly, we study the decomposable and 2-covering digraphs. Given a digraph  $G = (V, A)$ , we utilize the family  $I^*(G)$  of the elements  $X$  of  $I(G)$  satisfying: for every  $Y \in I(G)$ , if  $|X \cap Y| \geq 2$ , then  $Y \subseteq X$ . In terms of decomposition tree,  $I^*(G)$  is expressed as follows.

**Proposition 6.** *For every digraph  $G = (V, A)$  with  $|V| \geq 2$ ,  $I^*(G) = I(G) \cap \mathbb{D}(G)$ .*

**Proof.** Given  $X \in I(G) \cap \mathbb{D}(G)$ , consider  $Y \in I(G)$  such that  $|X \cap Y| \geq 2$ . Since  $X$  is an interval of  $G$ ,  $X \cap Y$  is an interval of  $G[Y]$  by the second assertion of Proposition 1. As  $G[Y]$  is indecomposable,  $X \cap Y = Y$ . Therefore  $X \in I^*(G)$ .

Conversely, let  $X \in I^*(G)$ . By Lemma 1,  $\overline{X} \in \mathbb{I}(G)$  and there exists a transversal subset  $S$  of  $\overline{X}$  according to  $P(G[\overline{X}])$  such that  $X \subseteq S$ . As shown previously, all the transversal subsets of  $\overline{X}$  with respect to  $P(G[\overline{X}])$  induce indecomposable subdigraphs of  $G$  and hence belong to  $I(G)$ . In particular,  $S \in I(G)$  and, since  $|X \cap S| \geq 2$ ,  $S \subseteq X$  and so  $X = S$ . For each  $x \in \overline{X}$ , there is  $y \in S$  such that  $x$  and  $y$  are contained in the same element of  $P(G[\overline{X}])$ . Clearly,  $(S \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{x\}$  is a transversal subset of  $\overline{X}$  according to  $P(G[\overline{X}])$  with  $|X \cap ((S \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{x\})| \geq 2$ . Consequently,  $(S \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{x\} = X$  for all  $x \in \overline{X}$  and thus  $X = \overline{X}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{D}(G)$ . ■

For decomposable and 2-covering digraphs, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 3.** *Given a 2-covering digraph  $G = (V, A)$ ,  $G$  is decomposable if and only if  $I^*(G)$  contains a proper subset of  $V$ .*

**Proof.** To begin, assume that  $G$  is decomposable. Let  $X$  be a minimal non-trivial interval of  $G$  under inclusion. We prove that  $X \in I^*(G)$ . By Proposition 6, it suffices to show that  $X \in I(G) \cap \mathbb{D}(G)$ . Since  $G$  is

2-covering, it follows from Theorem 2 and Proposition 5 that  $X \in \mathbb{I}(G)$ . Furthermore, all the elements of  $P(G[X])$  are intervals of  $G$  by the third assertion of Proposition 1. As  $X$  is a minimal non-trivial interval of  $G$ , we obtain  $P(G[X]) = \{\{x\}; x \in X\}$  so that  $G[X]$  and  $G[X]/P(G[X])$  are isomorphic. Since  $X \in \mathbb{I}(G)$ ,  $G[X]/P(G[X])$  is indecomposable and hence  $X \in I(G)$ .

Conversely, consider  $X \in I^*(G)$  such that  $X \subsetneq V$ . By Proposition 6,  $X$  is a strong interval of  $G$ . As  $X \in I(G)$ ,  $|X| \geq 3$ . Therefore,  $X$  is a non-trivial interval of  $G$ . ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. McConnell and F. de Montgolfier, *Linear-time modular decomposition of directed graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **145** (2005) 198–209.
- [2] A. Cournier and M. Habib, *An efficient algorithm to recognize prime undirected graphs*, in: Graph-theoretic Concepts in Computer Science, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 657, E.W. Mayr, (Editor), (Springer, Berlin, 1993) 212–224.
- [3] A. Ehrenfeucht and G. Rozenberg, *Theory of 2-structures. I. Clans, basic subclasses, and morphisms*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **70** (1990) 277–303.
- [4] T. Gallai, *Transitiv orientierbare Graphen*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **18** (1967) 25–66.
- [5] M. Habib, *Substitution des structures combinatoires, théorie et algorithmes*, Ph.D. Thesis, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris VI, 1981.
- [6] P. Ille, *Indecomposable graphs*, Discrete Math. **173** (1997) 71–78.
- [7] D. Kelly, *Comparability graphs*, in: Graphs and Orders, I. Rival, (Editor), Reidel (Dordrecht, 1985) 3–40.
- [8] F. Maffray and M. Preissmann, *A translation of Tibor Gallai's paper: transitiv orientierbare Graphen*, in: Perfect Graphs, J.J. Ramirez-Alfonsin and B.A. Reed, (Editors) (Wiley, New York, 2001) 25–66.
- [9] J. Schmerl and W. Trotter, *Critically indecomposable partially ordered sets, graphs, tournaments and other binary relational structures*, Discrete Math. **113** (1993) 191–205.
- [10] J. Spinrad,  *$P_4$ -trees and substitution decomposition*, Discrete Appl. Math. **39** (1992) 263–291.

Received 11 June 2008

Revised 29 March 2010

Accepted 6 April 2010