

## FALL COLORING OF GRAPHS I

RANGASWAMI BALAKRISHNAN\* AND T. KAVASKAR

*Srinivasa Ramanujan Centre*

*SASTRA University*

*Kumbakonam – 612 001, India*

\***e-mail:** mathbala@satyam.net.in

**e-mail:** t\_kavaskar@yahoo.com

### Abstract

A fall coloring of a graph  $G$  is a proper coloring of the vertex set of  $G$  such that every vertex of  $G$  is a color dominating vertex in  $G$  (that is, it has at least one neighbor in each of the other color classes). The fall coloring number  $\chi_f(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum size of a fall color partition of  $G$  (when it exists). Trivially, for any graph  $G$ ,  $\chi(G) \leq \chi_f(G)$ . In this paper, we show the existence of an infinite family of graphs  $G$  with prescribed values for  $\chi(G)$  and  $\chi_f(G)$ . We also obtain the smallest non-fall colorable graphs with a given minimum degree  $\delta$  and determine their number. These answer two of the questions raised by Dunbar *et al.*

**Keywords:** fall coloring of graphs, non-fall colorable graphs.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C15.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple connected undirected graph. A proper coloring of a graph  $G$  is a partition  $\Pi = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$  of the vertex set  $V$  of  $G$  into independent subsets of  $V$ . Each  $V_i$  is called a color class of  $\Pi$ . A vertex  $v \in V_i$  is a *color dominating vertex* (c.d.v.) with respect to  $\Pi$ , if it is adjacent to at least one vertex in each color class  $V_j, j \neq i$ . A  $k$ -coloring  $\Pi = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$  of  $G$  is a *fall coloring* of  $G$  if each vertex of

---

\*Current address: Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli – 620 024 India.

$G$  is a c.d.v. with respect to  $\Pi$ . In this case,  $\Pi$  is called a  $k$ -fall coloring of  $G$ . The least positive integer  $k$  for which  $G$  has a  $k$ -fall coloring is the fall chromatic number of  $G$  and denoted by  $\chi_f(G)$ . A graph  $G$  may or may not have a fall coloring. For example, the cycle  $C_n$  has a fall coloring if and only if  $n$  is multiple of 3 or even [3]. Trivially,  $\chi_f(K_n) = n$  and hence all complete graphs are fall colorable. Clearly, if  $G$  is fall colorable,  $\chi(G) \leq \chi_f(G) \leq \delta(G) + 1$ , where  $\delta(G)$  is the minimum degree of  $G$ .

In Sections 2 and 3, we answer two of the questions raised by Dunbar *et al.* — one relating to the existence of graphs with prescribed chromatic and fall chromatic numbers and the other relating to the determination of all smallest non-fall colorable graphs with prescribed minimum degree. Notation and terminology not mentioned here can be found in [2].

## 2. EXISTENCE OF GRAPHS $G$ WITH PRESCRIBED VALUES FOR $\chi$ AND $\chi_f$

In this section, we show that given any two positive integers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $2 < a < b$ , there exists an infinite sequence of graphs  $\{H_i\}$  with  $\chi(H_i) = a$  and  $\chi_f(H_i) = b$ . First we define a new graph  $G^*$  from a given graph  $G$ .

Let  $V(G) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ , and let  $G^*$  be the graph with vertex set  $V(G^*) = V(G) \cup V'(G)$ , where  $V'(G) = \{y_i : x_i \in V(G)\}$ ,  $V(G) \cap V'(G) = \emptyset$ , and edge set  $E(G^*) = E(G) \cup \{x_i y_j : i \neq j\}$ .

Lemma 2.1 brings out the relation between the chromatic numbers of  $G^*$  and  $G$ . The proof is straightforward.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $G$  is not complete, then  $\chi(G^*) = \chi(G) + 1$ .*

The following remarks will be used to determine, for any graph  $G$ , the fall chromatic number of  $G^*$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $G$  be a graph having a fall coloring. Then  $G$  has a universal vertex if and only if any fall color partition of  $G$  contains at least one singleton color class.

**Remark 2.3.** Consider the partition  $\{x_i, y_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, |V(G)|$  of  $V(G^*)$ . Clearly this partition is a fall color partition of  $G^*$ . Thus the graph  $G^*$  is fall colorable irrespective of  $G$  being fall colorable or not. Moreover,  $\chi_f(G^*) \leq |V(G)|$ .

**Remark 2.4.** In any fall coloring of  $G^*$ , all the vertices of  $V'(G)$  either receive the same color or else receive distinct colors. Also,  $V'(G)$  is an independent subset of  $G^*$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *If  $G$  has fall coloring and  $G$  has no universal vertex, then  $\chi_f(G^*) = \chi_f(G) + 1$ .*

**Proof.** As  $G$  has no universal vertex, by Remark 2.2, in any fall color partition of  $G$ , each color class contains at least two vertices. Consequently, if  $k = \chi_f(G)$ , then  $G$  has a  $k$ -fall color partition with each color class containing at least two vertices. Give a new color  $k + 1$  to all vertices of  $V'(G)$  which yields a  $(k + 1)$ -fall coloring of  $G^*$ . Thus  $\chi_f(G^*) \leq \chi_f(G) + 1$ .

Suppose  $\chi_f(G^*) \leq \chi_f(G)$ . If  $l = \chi_f(G^*)$ , then  $l < n$ , where  $n = |V(G)|$ . By Remark 2.4, all vertices of  $V'(G)$  receive the same color, say,  $l$ . Then the remaining  $(l - 1)$  colors must appear in  $G$  and this coloring induces a  $(l - 1)$ -fall coloring of  $G$  and hence  $\chi_f(G) \leq l - 1$ , contradiction to the assumption that  $\chi_f(G^*) \leq \chi_f(G)$ . Therefore  $\chi_f(G^*) = \chi_f(G) + 1$ . ■

**Theorem 2.6.** *For any graph  $G$ ,  $\chi_f(G^*) = |V(G)|$  if and only if*

- (i)  $G$  has no fall coloring or
- (ii)  $G$  has a fall coloring and contains a universal vertex.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\chi_f(G^*) = |V(G)|$ . If  $G$  has no fall coloring, then we are done. If not,  $G$  has a fall coloring. Suppose  $G$  has no universal vertex, then by Theorem 2.5,  $\chi_f(G^*) = \chi_f(G) + 1$  and by Remark 2.2, in any fall color partition of  $G$ , each color class contains at least two vertices. Thus  $|V(G)| \geq 2\chi_f(G)$  and  $|V(G)| \geq 4$ . Therefore,  $\chi_f(G^*) \leq \frac{|V(G)|}{2} + 1$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $\chi_f(G^*) = |V(G)|$ .

Conversely, assume (i) so that  $G$  has no fall coloring and  $k = \chi_f(G^*) < |V(G)|$ . Then by Remark 2.4, if  $\Pi$  is a  $k$ -fall coloring of  $G^*$ , then  $V'(G)$  will be a color class receiving the same color, say,  $k$  of  $\Pi$ . Now it is clear that in a fall coloring of a graph  $H$ , the union  $S$  of any subset of color classes will induce a fall coloring on the subgraph of  $H$  induced by  $S$ . Therefore,  $\Pi - V'(G)$  will be a fall coloring of  $G$ , a contradiction.

Now assume (ii) so that  $G$  has a fall coloring and that  $G$  has a universal vertex. By Remark 2.2, any fall color partition of  $G$  contains at least one singleton color class. Suppose  $k = \chi_f(G^*) < |V(G)|$ . By Remark 2.4, in any  $k$ -fall color partition of  $G^*$ , all vertices of  $V'(G)$  receive the same color, say,  $k$ , and the remaining  $(k - 1)$ -colors are present in  $G$ . These  $(k - 1)$  colors

induce a  $(k - 1)$ -fall coloring of  $G$ , say  $\Pi$ . By our assumption,  $\Pi$  contains at least one singleton color class, say,  $V_i = \{x\}$ , then its corresponding vertex  $y$  in  $V'(G)$  is not adjacent to the vertex  $x$  (the only vertex of color  $i$ ), a contradiction. ■

**Corollary 2.7.** *For any positive integers  $a, b$  with  $3 \leq a < b$ , there is an infinite sequence of graphs  $\{H_i\}$  with  $\chi(H_i) = a$  and  $\chi_f(H_i) = b$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G_{a,b}$  be a graph obtained by attaching  $b - a + 1$  pendant edges at a vertex of  $K_{a-1}$ . Then  $|V(G_{a,b})| = b$ . If  $a = 3$ , then  $G_{a,b}$  has a fall coloring and being a star it has a universal vertex. If  $a \geq 4$ , then  $G_{a,b}$  has no fall coloring (as the condition  $\chi \leq \delta + 1$  is violated). Therefore by Theorem 2.6,  $\chi_f(G^*) = b$ .

Since  $G_{a,b}$  is not complete and by Lemma 2.1,  $\chi(G_{a,b}^*) = a$  (as  $\chi(G_{a,b}) = a - 1$ ).

This construction can be used to generate an infinite sequence  $\mathcal{H}_{a,b} = \{H_i\}$  of graphs with  $\chi = a$  and  $\chi_f = b$  as follows:

Start with  $G_{a,b}$  and get  $H_1 = G_{a,b}^*$ . Form  $H_2$  by concatenating a copy of  $G_{a,b}^*$  at a vertex of  $H_1$ , and in general, form  $H_i$  by concatenating a copy of  $G_{a,b}^*$  at a vertex of  $H_{i-1}$  (Recall that a concatenation of a graph  $G$  with a graph  $H$  is the graph got by linking  $G$  and  $H$  by the identification of a vertex of  $G$  with a vertex of  $H$ ). Each graph in  $\mathcal{H}_{a,b} = \{H_i\}$  has  $\chi(H_i) = a$  and  $\chi_f(H_i) = b$ . ■

### 3. SMALLEST NON-FALL COLORABLE GRAPHS WITH GIVEN MINIMUM DEGREE

In this section, we determine the smallest (with respect to both order and size) non-fall colorable graphs with given minimum degree  $\delta$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The graph  $G = \overline{C_{p_1} \cup C_{p_2} \cup \dots \cup C_{p_l}}$ , (where  $\cup$  stands for disjoint union), has no fall coloring if and only if for at least one  $i$ ,  $p_i$  is odd and  $p_i \geq 5$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $G$  has no fall coloring and that no  $p_i$  is odd and greater than or equal to 5 (that is, if  $p_i$  is odd, then  $p_i = 3$ ). Without loss of generality, let  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  be even and  $p_{r+1}, \dots, p_l$  be odd. Then it is easy to give a fall color partition of  $G$  as follows: Just pair off the consecutive

vertices of  $C_{p_i}$  for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and treat each such part as a color class (for instance, for  $C_{2k}$ , color the vertices consecutively by  $1, 1; 2, 2; \dots; k, k$ ), and in the case when  $j \geq r + 1$ , we can treat each of  $V(C_{p_j}) = V(C_3)$  as a color class. Thus, we get a contradiction.

Conversely, assume that for at least one  $i$ ,  $p_i \geq 5$  and odd. Then  $G$  has no fall coloring, the reason being some vertex of  $C_{p_i}$  cannot be a c.d.v. in  $G$ . ■

**Theorem 3.2.** *Any graph  $G$  with  $|V(G)| \leq \delta(G) + 2$ , where  $\delta(G)$  is the minimum degree of  $G$ , has a fall coloring.*

**Proof.** There are only two cases to consider.

(i)  $|V(G)| = \delta(G) + 1$ . In this case  $G = K_{\delta(G)+1}$  and hence  $G$  has a fall coloring.

(ii)  $|V(G)| = \delta(G) + 2$ . Let  $S = \{x \in V(G) : d(x) = \delta(G)\}$  and  $T = V(G) - S$ . Then  $\langle T \rangle$ , the subgraph induced by  $T$ , is a clique in  $G$  and for every  $x \in S$ , there exists a unique vertex  $y (\neq x)$  in  $S$  such that  $xy \notin E(G)$ . Thus  $|S|$  must be even and there are exactly  $\frac{|S|}{2}$  pairs of nonadjacent vertices in  $G$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq r := \frac{|S|}{2}$ , let  $S_i$  be the pair  $\{x_i, y_i\}$  of vertices in  $S$  such that  $x_i y_i \notin E(G)$ . Let  $T = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$ .

Define  $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, r, r + 1, \dots, r + k\}$  by

$$c(v) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } v \in S_i, \\ r + j & \text{if } v = u_j \text{ for some } j, 1 \leq j \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $c$  is a proper coloring of  $G$  and every vertex of  $G$  is a c.d.v.. Thus  $G$  has a fall coloring. ■

Hence a smallest non-fall colorable graph of minimum degree  $\delta$  must be of order at least  $\delta + 3$  and size at least  $\frac{\delta(\delta+3)}{2}$ .

Naturally, any such graph  $G$  must be  $\delta$ -regular graph and order  $\delta + 3$  and hence its complement must be a disjoint union of cycles.

We can take  $G = \overline{C_{p_1} \cup C_{p_2} \cup \dots \cup C_{p_l}}$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^l p_i = \delta(G) + 3$ , all  $p_i \geq 3$  and at least one  $p_i$  is odd and  $p_i \geq 5$ . Then, clearly,  $G$  is a  $\delta(G)$ -regular graph and by Theorem 3.1,  $G$  has no fall coloring. This  $G$  is our required graph. Clearly,  $G$  is not unique if  $\delta \geq 6$  and unique if  $\delta = 5$ .

The smallest non-fall colorable graphs with  $\delta \leq 4$  have been determined earlier in [3]. The extremal graph, for  $\delta = 2$ , is  $\overline{C_5} \cong C_5$ , and for  $\delta = 4$ , it is  $\overline{C_7}$ . These coincide with the extremal graphs given in [3]. For  $\delta = 3$ ,

there are two smallest non-fall colorable graphs, namely,  $\overline{P_3 \cup K_3}$  and the wheel on 6 vertices and these are given in [3]. In this case, as  $\delta + 3 = 6$  does not have a partition in the way we required, we do not get the smallest non-fall colorable graphs by our result. However, if we treat  $\overline{C_5 \cup C_1}$  as a degenerate case, we get the wheel on 6 vertices. For  $\delta \geq 4$ , our result gives all the smallest non-fall colorable graphs. Their exact number (where  $\delta \geq 4$ ) can be obtained as follows: Let  $N(k)$  denote the number of partitions of  $k$  in which each part is of size at least 3 and one part is odd and of size at least 5. Then  $N(k)$  gives the number of smallest non-fall colorable graphs of order  $k$  (with minimum degree  $k - 3$ ).

Let  $p(n)$  be the well-known partition function of  $n$  [1]. Sort each partition from smallest part to largest part. Then,  $p(n) - p(n - 1) - p(n - 2) + p(n - 3)$  gives the number of partitions of  $n$  not beginning with a 1 or 2. Doubling each part of a partition of  $\frac{n}{2}$  gives an even partition of  $n$ , and so the number of even partitions which do not begin with 2 is  $p(\frac{n}{2}) - p(\frac{n}{2} - 1)$ . The remaining partitions to be excluded are those with smallest part equal to 3, whose remaining parts are even. Removing the first  $m$  copies of 3 (a fixed portion of the partition), the remaining even partitions can be given by  $p(\frac{n-3m}{2})$ , and to ensure that the even portion does not begin with two, we subtract  $p(\frac{n-3m}{2} + 1)$ . Let  $p(n) = 0$  if  $n$  is not an integer, and we have the following expression for  $N(k)$ :

$$N(k) = (p(k) - p(k - 1) - p(k - 2) + p(k - 3)) - \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor k/3 \rfloor} \left( p\left(\frac{k - 3m}{2}\right) - p\left(\frac{k - 3m}{2} + 1\right) \right).$$

For example,  $N(8) = 1$  and  $N(11) = 4$ .  $N(8)$  corresponds to the unique graph  $\overline{C_3 \cup C_5}$ , while  $N(11)$  corresponds to the four graphs  $\overline{C_{11}}$ ,  $\overline{C_4 \cup C_7}$ ,  $\overline{C_5 \cup C_6}$  and  $\overline{C_3 \cup C_3 \cup C_5}$ .

### Acknowledgement

We thank C. Adiga for helpful discussions. Our thanks are also due to the referee for the helpful comments. This research was supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India grant DST / SR / S4 / MS: 234 / 04 dated March 31, 2006.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions* (Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998). Reprint of the 1976 original.
- [2] R. Balakrishnan and K. Ranganathan. *A Textbook of Graph Theory* (Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000).
- [3] J.E. Dunbar, S.M. Hedetniemi, S.T. Hedetniemi, D.P. Jacobs, J. Knisely, R.C. Laskar and D.F. Rall, *Fall colorings of graphs*, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **33** (2000) 257–273. Papers in honour of Ernest J. Cockayne.
- [4] R.C. Laskar and J. Lyle, *Fall coloring of bipartite graphs and cartesian products of graphs*, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **157** (2009) 330–338.

Received 18 March 2009

Revised 27 July 2009

Accepted 17 August 2009