Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 45 (2025) 411–414 https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgt.2517

CORRIGENDUM TO "MINIMUM EDGE CUTS IN DIAMETER 2 GRAPHS"

Allan Bickle

Department of Mathematics Purdue University 610 Purdue Mall, West Lafayette, IN 47907 e-mail: allanbickle.wordpress.com

AND

Allen Schwenk

Department of Mathematics Western Michigan University 1903 W. Michigan, Kalamazoo, MI 49008 e-mail: schwenk@wmich.edu

Abstract

In [*Minimum edge cuts in diameter 2 graphs*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 39 (2019) 605–608] we proved several results on the structure of diameter 2 graphs with a nontrivial minimum edge cut. An error led to several results being incorrect. Here we state and prove the corresponding correct results.

Keywords: edge connectivity, diameter. 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C40.

Let G be a graph. For $S, T \subseteq V(G)$, let [S, T] be the set of edges with one end in S and the other in T. An *edge cut* of a graph G is a set X = [S, T], of edges so that G - X has more components than G. The *edge connectivity* $\lambda(G)$ of a connected graph is the smallest size of an edge cut. Often we can express an edge cut as $[S, \overline{S}]$, where $\overline{S} = V(G) \setminus S$. A *trivial edge cut* is an edge cut whose deletion isolates a single vertex. Denote the *minimum degree* of G by $\delta(G)$.

In [1], we presented a short proof of the result of Plesnik [3] that if a graph G has diameter 2, then $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$. We also presented several results on the structure of diameter 2 graphs with a nontrivial minimum edge cut. In Theorem 3 of [1], we incorrectly assumed that $n(H_1) = d$, when actually we only have $n(H_1) \leq d$. This led to Theorem 3, Corollary 4, and Corollary 5 being incorrect. Here, we state and prove the corresponding correct results.

CORRECTED RESULTS

Corollary 1 [2]. If G has diameter 2, then one of the subgraphs on one side of a minimum edge cut is either K_1 or $K_{\delta(G)}$.

Definition. Let \mathbb{G} be the set of graphs that contains the Cartesian product $K_{\frac{n}{2}} \square K_2, n \ge 4$, and those graphs with the following structure. The vertices can be partitioned into three sets, S_1, S_2 , and S_3 . Set S_1 induces K_d, S_2 has $n_2 \le d$ vertices, and S_3 has n_3 vertices, $n_2 + n_3 > d$. There are d edges joining a vertex of S_1 and a vertex of S_2 so that each vertex in $S_1 \cup S_2$ is incident with at least one edge. All possible edges between S_2 and S_3 are present. There are enough extra edges with both ends in S_2 or S_3 so that $\delta(G) \ge d$.

In the examples of graphs in \mathbb{G} below, the sets (S_1, S_2, S_3) induce graphs $(K_3, P_3, \overline{K}_2)$ at left and $(K_3, \overline{K}_2, K_2)$ at right.



Theorem 2. A graph has diameter 2 and contains a non-trivial minimum edge cut if and only if it is in set \mathbb{G} .

Proof. (\Leftarrow) It is readily checked that a graph $G \in \mathbb{G}$ has $\delta(G) = d = \lambda(G)$, and contains a nontrivial minimum edge cut. Each graph G has diameter 2 since each pair of vertices in S_1 and S_3 has a unique common neighbor.

 (\Rightarrow) Let G have diameter 2 and contain a non-trivial minimum edge cut $X = [S_1, \overline{S}_1]$, and let $d = \delta(G)$. Then (say) $G[S_1] = K_d$, and the order of \overline{S} is at least d. If it is exactly d, then $G = K_{\frac{n}{2}} \Box K_2$.

If not, then \overline{S} contains vertices not adjacent to any vertex of K_d . Let S_3 be the set of these vertices and $S_2 = \overline{S}_1 \setminus S_3$. Then each vertex of S_2 is incident with at least one edge of X, and each vertex of S_1 is incident with exactly one edge of X. Then each vertex of S_3 is adjacent to each vertex of S_2 since otherwise some pair of vertices in S_1 and S_3 will have distance more than 2. Since $\delta(G) = d$, there are enough extra edges with both ends in S_2 or S_3 so that each vertex has degree at least d.

Corollary 3. If $G \in \mathbb{G}$, it has between d and $\max\{n-1, 3d-1\}$ trivial minimum edge cuts.

Proof. The number of trivial minimum edge cuts is the number of vertices of minimum degree. All the vertices of K_d have minimum degree, so this is at least

d. Now $K_{\frac{n}{2}} \square K_2$ has n = 2d such vertices. If G is regular, then it has at most d + d + (d - 1) vertices since each vertex in S_2 has degree at least $1 + |S_3|$. If $|S_3| \ge d$, then each vertex in S_2 has degree more than d, so there are at most n - 1 minimum degree vertices.

Theorem 4. All graphs in set \mathbb{G} have a single non-trivial minimum edge cut except for C_4 and those constructed as follows. Let a vertex v be adjacent to s, $\frac{d}{2} \leq s \leq d$, vertices each in two copies of K_d , $d \geq 2$, and add a matching between d-s vertices in each K_d not adjacent to v.

For d = 2, the three possible graphs in \mathbb{G} with more than one non-trivial minimum edge cut are C_4 , C_5 , and $K_1 + 2K_2$.

Proof. Let $G \in \mathbb{G}$, so $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Let $\delta(G) = 2$ and $|S_2| = 2$. Note that C_4 and C_5 have two and five nontrivial edge cuts, respectively. Now $C_5 + e$ has a single non-trivial minimum edge cut. Let u and v be the vertices in S_2 . If there are at least two vertices in S_3 , then G has a spanning subgraph with n - 4 u - v paths of length 2 and one u - v path of length 3. Hence the result holds for $\delta(G) = 2$.

Let $\delta(G) = 2$, $|S_2| = 1$, and $v \in S_2$. If there is another nontrivial edge cut, it must separate $S_1 \cup v$ from K_2 (by Corollary 1). Thus $G = K_1 + 2K_2$.

Let $d = \delta(G) > 2$. Then no nontrivial minimum edge cut separates vertices in K_d . Assume there is another nontrivial edge cut X. One component of G - Xcontains all vertices of S_1 and at least one of S_2 . Thus the other component of G - X must be $H = K_d$ by Corollary 1. Now there are $s \leq d$ vertices of H in S_3 and d - s vertices of H in S_2 . If there are r other vertices in S_2 , then X contains at least $rs + d - s \geq d$ edges. Equality requires r = 1, so let v be the one vertex in $S_2 - H$. Also, each vertex in $S_2 \setminus v$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex of S_1 . Then v is adjacent to exactly s vertices in S_1 , so $s \geq \frac{d}{2}$. Then G can be constructed as described and has exactly two non-trivial minimum edge cuts.

Acknowledgement

Thanks to Mieczyslaw Borowiecki for pointing out the error in our original paper.

References

- A. Bickle and A. Schwenk, *Minimum edge cuts in diameter 2 graphs*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **39** (2019) 605–608. https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgt.2097
- [2] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, Graphs and Digraphs, 4th Ed. (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2005).
- [3] J. Plesnik, Critical graphs of a given diameter, Acta Fac. Rerum Natur. Univ. Comnian. Math. 30 (1975) 71–93.

A. BICKLE AND A. SCHWENK

Received 17 April 2023 Revised 30 August 2023 Accepted 30 August 2023 Available online 23 September 2023

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/