Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 44 (2024) 777–790 https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgt.2470

# THE MATCHING EXTENDABILITY OF 7-CONNECTED MAXIMAL 1-PLANE GRAPHS

YUANQIU HUANG<sup>1</sup>

LICHENG ZHANG<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics Hunan Normal University Changsha 410081, P.R. China

e-mail: hyqq@hunnu.edu.cn lczhangmath@163.com

AND

YUXI WANG<sup>3</sup>

School of Mathematics and Statistics Hunan University of Finance and Economics Changsha, Hunan 410205, P.R. China e-mail: wangyuximath@163.com

# Abstract

A graph is 1-planar if it can be drawn in the plane such that each edge is crossed at most once. A graph, together with a 1-planar drawing is called 1-plane. A graph is said to be  $k (\geq 1)$ -extendable if every matching of size k can be extended to a perfect matching. It is known that the vertex connectivity of a 1-plane graph is at most 7. In this paper, we characterize the k-extendability of 7-connected maximal 1-plane graphs. We show that every 7-connected maximal 1-plane graph with even order is k-extendable for  $1 \leq k \leq 3$ . And any 7-connected maximal 1-plane graph is not k-extendable for  $4 \leq k \leq 11$ . As for  $k \geq 12$ , any 7-connected maximal 1-plane graph with n vertices is not k-extendable unless n = 2k.

**Keywords:** perfect matching, 7-connected maximal 1-plane graph, matching extendability.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C70, 05C10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Y. Huang is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province, China (Grant No. 2022JJ30028).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Corresponding author.

 $<sup>^3{\</sup>rm Y}.$  Wang is supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (Grant No. 19C0318).

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A drawing of a graph G = (V, E) is a mapping D that assigns to each vertex in V a distinct point in the plane and to each edge uv in E a continuous arc connecting D(u) and D(v). When there is no ambiguity, we do not distinguish between a graph-theoretical object (such as a vertex or an edge) and its drawing. All drawings considered here are such that no edge crosses itself, no two edges cross more than once, and no two incident edges cross.

A drawing of a graph is a 1-planar drawing if each edge is crossed at most once. A graph is 1-planar if it has a 1-planar drawing. A graph together with a 1planar drawing is a 1-plane graph. 1-planar (1-plane) graphs have been introduced in 1965 by Ringel [25]. One reason for interest in the class of 1-planar (1-plane) graphs is that they are closely related to the class of planar (plane) graphs. However, they have a number of qualitative differences. For instance, 1-planarity cannot be characterized in terms of forbidden minors [14]. For a graph with nvertices, it is possible to determine in linear time whether the graph is planar or not [15], while it is NP-complete to test whether a given graph is 1-planar [14], even for the graphs formed from planar graphs by adding a single edge [19]. In contrast to Fáry's theorem [17] for planar graphs, not every 1-planar graph has a 1-plane straight-line drawing [9]. More properties of 1-planar graphs are described in [2, 13, 28, 29]; see also [18] for a survey.

A graph is maximal 1-planar if we cannot add any edge from the complement so that the resulting graph is still 1-planar and simple. A graph is maximal 1plane if we cannot add any edge to it so that the resulting drawing is still 1plane and simple. It is obvious that a maximal 1-plane graph is not necessarily a maximal 1-planar graph. Maximal 1-planar (1-plane) graphs have also been studied extensively due to their interesting properties, including the recognition algorithm of maximal 1-plane graphs with a rotation system [10], edge density [3] and crossing number [20]. It is well-known that every 1-planar graph with  $n (\geq 3)$  vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges (see [8, 21]). A 1-planar graph is said to be an optimal 1-planar graph if it has exactly 4n - 8 edges.

In this paper, we are concerned with matching extendability for 1-planar graphs. Let G be a graph. A set  $M \subseteq E(G)$  is a matching if no two edges from M share a vertex. A matching M is perfect if it covers V(G) and M is extendable if G has a perfect matching containing M. Moreover, the graph G is said to be k-extendable if every matching of size k in G can be extended to a perfect matching. The study of matching extendability has more than 40 years' history. Among such research, Plummer [22] extensively studied matching extendability of the graphs on surfaces, and proved that no planar graph with minimum degree 3 is 3-extendable. In particular, 5-connected planar graphs have been investigated. Every 5-connected planar graph of even order is 2-extendable [24]. A graph G is

said to be E(m, n) if for every pair of disjoint matchings  $M, N \subseteq E(G)$  of size m and n, respectively, there is a perfect matching F in G such that  $M \subseteq F$  and  $F \cap N = \emptyset$ . Moreover, every 5-connected maximal planar graph of even order is E(1,3) [1].

Somewhat similarly, the maximal 1-plane graphs with the highest vertex connectivity, namely 7, are also what we care about in this paper. It should be noted that the study of matchings or matching extendability for 1-planar graphs is just getting started. In 2018, Fujisawa, Segawa, and Suzuki [12] investigated the matching extendability of optimal 1-planar graphs. The authors showed that every optimal 1-planar graph G of even order is 1-extendable. An edge in a 1plane graph G is called a *crossing edge* if it crosses with another edge, and a *non*crossing edge otherwise. If each edge of a cycle C is non-crossing, then the closed curve induced by the edges of C separates the plane into two regions, the bounded one (i.e., the interior of C) and the unbounded one (i.e., the exterior of C). A connected component (or component for short) of a graph is odd or even if it has an odd or even number of vertices, respectively. A cycle C is called a *barrier cycle* if each edge of C is a non-crossing edge, G - V(C) consists of two odd components and each of the two regions separated by C contains an odd component of G – V(C). The authors also showed that every optimal 1-planar graph G of even order is 2-extendable unless G contains a barrier 4-cycle, and every optimal 1planar graph G of even order is 3-extendable unless G contains a barrier 6-cycle. However, except for optimal 1-planar graphs, there are still many open questions in the field. This is because a necessary condition for a graph G to be  $k \geq 1$ extendable is that G be a graph that has a perfect matching. Unfortunately, we still know relatively little about which 1-planar (1-plane) graphs have a perfect matching. Hudák et al. [16] proved that every optimal 1-planar graph with even order and every 7-connected maximal 1-planar graph with even order have a perfect matching, respectively. Recently, Fabrici et al. [11] proved that every 4connected maximal 1-planar graph is Hamiltonian. Therefore, every 4-connected maximal 1-planar graph with even order has a perfect matching. However if the "maximal" condition above is removed, 1-plane graphs of given connectivity may not have a perfect matching. Fujisawa et al. [12] found a 1-plane graph with connectivity 4 of even order that does not have a perfect matching. Biedl [5] subsequently proved that for any N, there exists a 5-connected 1-planar graph with  $n \geq N$  vertices for which any matching has size at most  $\frac{n-2}{2}$ . It is still an open problem whether any 6-connected or 7-connected 1-planar graph has a perfect matching [7, 12].

In [12], it was shown that the connectivity of any optimal 1-planar graph G is either 4 or 6. Hence, there does not exist a 1-planar graph that is optimal and 7connected. In this paper, we prove some combinatorial properties of 7-connected maximal 1-plane graphs. Furthermore, we characterize the k-extendability of 7-connected maximal 1-plane graphs.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some necessary terminology, notations and lemmas. In Section 3, we provide some combinatorial properties of 7-connected maximal 1-plane graphs. Section 4 proves the main results of this paper (Theorems 16, 18 and 21).

## 2. Preliminaries

We consider here only finite simple graphs G with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G), unless otherwise stated. The *degree* of a vertex v of G is denoted by  $d_G(v)$ , and the *minimum vertex-degree* of G is denoted by  $\delta(G)$ . We denote by  $\overline{d}(G)$  the *average degree* of G,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in G} d_G(v)$ , where n is the order of G. If S is a set of vertices, the *vertex-induced subgraph* G[S] is the subgraph of G that has S as its set of vertices and contains all the edges of G that have both end-vertices in S. A *walk* is a finite sequence of edges that joins a sequence of vertices. A *trail* is a walk in which all edges are distinct. A *cycle* in a graph is a non-empty trail in that the only repeated vertices are the first and last vertices. A cycle that contains every vertex of a graph is called a *Hamiltonian cycle*. A graph is *Hamiltonian* if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle. Two paths connecting vertices u and v of a graph are *internally vertex-disjoint* if u and v are the only common vertices of the paths.

A separating set of a graph G is a set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  such that G - S has more than one connected component. The connectivity of G, written as  $\kappa(G)$ , is the minimum size of a vertex set S of G such that S is a separating set or G - S has only one vertex. A graph G is k-connected if its connectivity is at least k.

For any 1-plane drawing D of G, the associated plane graph  $D^{\times}$  is the plane graph that is obtained from D by turning all crossings of D into new vertices of degree four. A vertex in  $D^{\times}$  is called *false* if it corresponds to some crossing of D, and is *true* otherwise. A face or an edge of  $D^{\times}$  is called *false* if it is incident with some false vertex, and is *true* otherwise.

Let C be a cycle in a 1-plane graph G so that no two edges of C cross each other. Thus, the closed curve induced by the edges of C separates the plane into two regions  $C_{int}$  and  $C_{out}$ . We call C a *conflict cycle* if both regions  $C_{int}$  and  $C_{out}$  contain one or more vertices of G - V(C).

The following is a well-known fact giving the upper bound of the number of edges of 1-planar (1-plane) graphs.

**Lemma 1** [8, 21]. Let G be a 1-planar (1-plane) graph with at least 3 vertices. Then  $|E(G)| \leq 4|V(G)| - 8$ .

**Lemma 2** [6]. Any simple 1-plane graph with minimum degree 7 has at least 24 vertices and the lower bound is tight (see Figure 1).

THE MATCHING EXTENDABILITY OF 7-CONNECTED MAXIMAL 1-PLANE ...781



Figure 1. A 1-plane graph G with minimum degree 7 on 24 vertices.

**Lemma 3** [27]. Let G be a 4-connected planar graph. Then for any  $u, v \in V(G)$ ,  $G - \{u, v\}$  is Hamiltonian.

The *degree* of a face in a plane graph is the number of edges in its boundary. A simple connected plane graph in which all faces have degree three is called a *triangulation*. A *separating cycle* C in a plane graph is a cycle so that both the interior of C as well as the exterior of C contain one or more vertices.

**Lemma 4** [4]. A triangulation is k-connected if and only if it has no separating cycle of length at most k - 1.

The following two basic properties of k-extendable graphs were given by Plummer. They will be used in Theorems 18 and 21, respectively.

**Lemma 5** [23]. Let G be a graph of order  $n \ge 2k+2$  and  $k \ge 1$ . If G is k-extendable, then

- (i) G is (k-1)-extendable;
- (ii) G is (k+1)-connected.

In [12], Fujisawa *et al.* generalized Lemma 2.3 in [24] which only discusses the case of k = 1. We will see that Lemma 6 is also a tool when we discuss the 2-extendability and 3-extendability for 7-connected maximal 1-plane graphs.

**Lemma 6** [12]. Let G be a k-extendable graph and  $M = \{e_1, \ldots, e_{k+1}\}$  be a matching of G that is not extendable. Then there exists  $S \subset V(G)$  such that

- (i)  $S \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} V(e_i)$  and
- (ii) |S| = o(G S) + 2k,

where o(G-S) stands for the number of odd components of G-S.

3. Some Pproperties of 7-Connected Maximal 1-Plane Graphs

To prove our main theorems, we provide some combinatorial properties of 7connected maximal 1-plane graphs in this section.

**Lemma 7.** Let G be a 7-connected 1-plane graph. Then the minimum degree  $\delta(G)$  is 7.

**Proof.** By Lemma 1, we have  $|E(G)| \leq 4|V(G)| - 8$ . Then  $\delta(G) \leq \lfloor \overline{d}(G) \rfloor \leq \frac{2(4|V(G)|-8)}{|V(G)|}$ . Thus  $\delta(G) \leq 7$ . In converse, G has minimum degree at least 7, otherwise the neighbourhood of a vertex of degree less 7 yields a *j*-vertex-cut with j < 7, a contradiction. Thus  $\delta(G) = 7$ , as desired.

**Lemma 8.** Any 7-connected maximal 1-plane simple graph G has at least 24 vertices, and the lower bound is tight (see Figure 1).

**Proof.** By Lemma 7, the minimum degree of G is 7. Furthermore, we get  $|V(G)| \ge 24$  by Lemma 2. It is not difficult to verify that G in Figure 1 is 7-connected and maximal. Thus, 24 is a sharp lower bound.

**Proposition 9.** Let G be a 7-connected 1-plane graph. Then G does not contain any conflict 3-cycle.

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists a conflict 3-cycle C in G. Let u, v be two vertices which lie the inside and outside of G - C, respectively. We see that u is connected to v by at most 6 internally vertex-disjoint paths (see Figure 2), and thus G is at most 6-connected by Menger's Theorem. This contradicts the 7-connectivity of G.



Figure 2. Connecting two vertices u and v by at most 6 internally vertex-disjoint paths.

We define the *plane skeleton* S(G) of a 1-plane graph G to be the subgraph of G containing all non-crossing edges of G. A 1-plane graph G is *near optimal*, if

The Matching Extendability of 7-Connected Maximal 1-Plane ... 783

(i) any face of a plane skeleton S(G) is either triangular or quadrangular, (ii) any quadrangular face bounded by  $v_0v_1v_2v_3v_0$  of S(G) contains the unique crossing point created by a pair of crossing edges  $v_0v_2$  and  $v_1v_3$  and (iii) no two triangular faces of S(G) share any edge. A 1-plane graph is said to be *locally optimal* if there are four non-crossing edges  $v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_1$  for any pair of edges  $v_1v_3$  and  $v_2v_4$  that cross each other. Clearly, any near optimal 1-plane graph is locally optimal.

Lemma 10 [26]. Every 5-connected maximal 1-plane graph G is near optimal.

**Lemma 11.** Let G be a 7-connected maximal 1-plane graph. By arbitrarily removing one edge from each pair of crossing edges in G, we obtain a spanning 4-connected subgraph of G that is a triangulation.

**Proof.** Let G' be a graph obtained by removing one edge from each pair of crossing edges in G. Since G is near optimal by Lemma 10, G' is a triangulation. Moreover, G' is 3-connected by Lemma 4. Suppose that there exits a separating 3-cycle C = abca in G'. Denote by  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  two components of G' - C. We have that  $F_i$  ( $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ) and  $F_{3-i}$  cannot lie inside and outside of G' - C, respectively, for otherwise C is a conflict 3-cycle of G, which would contradict Proposition 9. Combining this with Lemma 4 for k = 4, G' is 4-connected, as desired.

In [12], the authors introduced three operations on locally optimal 1-plane graph that still preserve the local optimality.

**Lemma 12** [12]. Let G be a locally optimal 1-plane graph. Then each of the following operations on G preserve the local optimal 1-planarity: (a) deleting a vertex; (b) contracting a non-crossing edge (and deleting any multiple edges that may arise); (c) deleting a crossing edge.

Let G be a graph and S be a subset of V(G). As in [12], we also consider a bipartite graph B(G, S) as follows: (i) remove all even components of G - S, (ii) shrink each odd component of G - S to a separate vertex and delete any multiple edges thus formed, and (iii) delete the edges joining vertices in S. For example, let G be the graph on the left of Figure 3 and let  $S = \{u, v, w\}$ .  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  are the even components of G - S and  $F_3$ ,  $F_4$  and  $F_5$  are the odd components of G - S. First we remove the even components  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  (delete all vertices in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  together with their incident edges). We shrink odd components  $F_3$ ,  $F_4$  and  $F_5$  into separate vertices x, y and z, respectively. We then delete all multiple edges formed in the process of shrinking  $F_3$ ,  $F_4$  and  $F_5$ . Finally, deleting all the edges uw and vw in E(G[S]) gives the bipartite graph B(G, S), see the right of Figure 3.

Combining this with the above three operations, the authors got the following result in [12].



Figure 3. A graph G on the left and B(G, S) on the right.

**Proposition 13** [12]. Let G be an optimal 1-planar graph and S be a subset of vertices of G. Then B(G, S) is planar.

We noticed that the proof of Proposition 13 in [12] only uses the property that the optimal 1-planar graph is locally optimal. That is to say, if the "optimal" condition is reduced to "locally optimal", B(G, S) is also planar.

**Lemma 14.** Let G be a locally optimal 1-plane graph and S be a subset of vertices of G. Then B(G, S) is planar.

**Proof.** As analyzed above, the proof of Proposition 13 in Reference [12] can still be applied to locally optimal 1-plane graphs. For the sake of completeness and comprehension for the reader, we sketch the idea here. First, we remove all even components of G - S. Next, we contract each non-crossing edge and remove all multiple edges appearing in any odd component of G - S. By (a) and (b) of Lemma 12, the resulting graph is locally optimal 1-plane as well. We then claim that any odd component  $X_i$  can be shrunk into one vertex by shrinking non-crossing edges and deleting some edges in S. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $|V(X_1)| \ge 2$ . Then  $X_1$  contains a crossing edge uv. Let xy be the edge which crosses uv. Then we can find four non-crossing edges ux, xv, vy, yusince the graph we have is locally optimal. Since each edge is a crossing edge in  $X_1$ , we have  $\{x, y\} \in S$ . Then the edge  $xy \in E(G[S])$ , and here we delete xy. Thus uv can continue to be contracted. By (c) of Lemma 12, the resulting graph is a locally optimal 1-plane graph as well.

By repeating the above contractions and deletions of edges, we obtain a locally optimal 1-plane graph G' whose vertex set is  $S \cup X$ , where each vertex of X corresponds to an odd component of G - S. Notice that the edge between  $X_i$  and S and the edge between  $X_j$   $(j \neq i)$  and S do not cross, because G is locally optimal. At the end of the procedure, we delete the edges joining vertices in S to obtain B(G, S). Then we see that any crossing is eliminated, and thus B(G, S) is planar.

The Matching Extendability of 7-Connected Maximal 1-Plane ...785

**Corollary 15.** Let G be a 5-connected maximal 1-plane graph and S be a subset of vertices of G. Then B(G, S) is planar.

**Proof.** By Lemma 10, G is near optimal, and furthermore, G is locally optimal. Thus B(G, S) is planar by Lemma 14.

# 4. The Matching Extendability of 7-Connected Maximal 1-Plane Graphs

This section proves the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 16.** For every integer k where  $1 \le k \le 3$ , every 7-connected maximal 1-plane graph G of even order is k-extendable.

**Proof.** Let uv be an arbitrary edge of G. By Lemma 11, G has a spanning 4connected plane subgraph T. Then it follows from Lemma 3 that  $T - \{u, v\}$  has a Hamiltonian cycle. Since  $T - \{u, v\}$  has an even number of vertices, we obtain a perfect matching M of  $T - \{u, v\}$ , and thus  $M \cup \{uv\}$  is a perfect matching of G. Thus G is 1-extendable.

Suppose that G is not 2-extendable. Since G is 1-extendable, as we proved above, there exists a set  $S \subset V(G)$  that satisfies (i), (ii) of Lemma 6 for k = 1. By (i) of Lemma 6, we have  $|S| \ge 4$ . We now consider the bipartite graph B(G, S). From the process of construction of B(G, S), |V(B(G, S))| - |S| = o(G - S). Furthermore, by (ii) of Lemma 6, o(G - S) = |S| - 2. Thus |V(B(G, S))| - |S| = |S| - 2. Since G is 7-connected, any odd component of G - S is adjacent to at least 7 vertices in S. From the process of construction of B(G, S), for edges between an odd component of G - S and S, only multiple edges (if arise) are deleted when the odd component is shrunk to a vertex. Thus any vertex of B(G, S) - S is adjacent to at least 7 vertices in S. Therefore,

(1) 
$$|E(B(G,S))| \ge 7(|V(B(G,S)) - |S|) = 7(|S| - 2) = 7|S| - 14.$$

Moreover, it follows from Corollary 15 that B(G, S) is planar. Using Euler's formula on bipartite planar graphs, one has

(2)  
$$|E(B(G,S))| \le 2|V(B(G,S))| - 4$$
$$= 2(|S| + |V(B(G,S))| - |S|) - 4$$
$$= 2(|S| + |S| - 2) - 4$$
$$= 4|S| - 8.$$

Combining (1) with (2), we have  $|S| \leq 2$ , which contradicts the fact that  $|S| \geq 4$ . Thus G is 2-extendable.

For the case k = 3, we use arguments similar to those for k = 2. For brevity, some details of the proof have been omitted. Suppose that G is not 3-extendable. As G is 2-extendable, we can take  $S \subset V(G)$  which satisfies (i), (ii) of Lemma 6 for k = 2. We consider similarly the bipartite graph B(G, S). By (i) and (ii) of Lemma 6, we have  $|S| \ge 6$  and |V(B(G,S))| - |S| = |S| - 4. Since G is 7-connected, each vertex of B(G, S) - S is adjacent to at least seven vertices in S. Therefore,

(3) 
$$|E(B(G,S))| \ge 7(|S|-4) = 7|S|-28.$$

Moreover, by Corollary 15, B(G, S) is planar. Thus one has

(4)  
$$|E(B(G,S))| \le 2|V(B(G,S))| - 4$$
$$= 2(|S| + |V(B(G,S)) - |S|) - 4$$
$$= 2(|S| + |S| - 4) - 4$$
$$= 4|S| - 12.$$

Combining (3) with (4), we have  $|S| \le 5$ , which contradicts the fact that  $|S| \ge 6$ . This concludes the proof.

The following corollary is obtained immediately from Theorem 16.

**Corollary 17.** Every 7-connected maximal 1-plane graph G of even order has a perfect matching.

**Theorem 18.** For every integer k where  $4 \le k \le 11$ , any 7-connected maximal 1-plane graph G of even order is not k-extendable.

**Proof.** We first consider the case of k = 4. By Lemma 7, we let v be a vertex of degree 7 and assume that the neighbors of v in clockwise order are  $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots$ ,  $v_6$ . Let D be a 1-plane drawing chosen arbitrarily and  $D^{\times}$  be the associated plane graph of D.

We first give the following two claims.

**Claim 19.** The vertex v is incident with exactly one true triangular face and six false triangular faces in  $D^{\times}$ .

**Proof.** Since G is near optimal by Lemma 10,  $D^{\times}$  is a triangulation. Thus v is incident with exactly 7 triangular faces in  $D^{\times}$ . Note that any two false vertices are not adjacent. Then since  $d_G(v)$  is odd, v is incident with at least one true triangular face, say  $vv_0v_1v$  in  $D^{\times}$ . Furthermore we claim that  $vv_0v_1v$  is a unique true triangular face incident with v. By (iii) of the definition of near optimal 1plane graphs, we assume that  $vv_0$  and  $vv_1$  are incident with false triangular faces  $vz_1v_0v$  and  $vz_2v_1v$ , respectively. By (ii) of the definition of near optimal 1-plane

786

THE MATCHING EXTENDABILITY OF 7-CONNECTED MAXIMAL 1-PLANE ...787

graphs,  $vz_1v_0v$  lies in a quadrangular face of the plane skeleton S(G), and  $z_1$  is the unique crossing point in  $vv_0v_6v_5v$ . And similarly  $vz_2v_1$  lies in a quadrangular face of S(G), say  $vv_1v_2v_3v$ . So  $vv_3v_4v$  cannot be a true triangular face. Otherwise  $vv_4v_5v$  is also a true triangular face. Here we see that faces  $vv_3v_4v$  and  $vv_4v_5v$ share  $vv_4$ . This contradicts (iii) of the definition of near optimal 1-plane graphs. Similarly,  $vv_4v_5v$  is not a true triangular face. Thus  $vv_4$  can only be a crossing edge, as shown in Figure 4. Thus we proved Claim 19.



Figure 4.  $G[v \cup N_G(v)]$ .

Since  $vv_0v_1v$  is a true triangular face, by (iii) of the definition of near optimal 1-plane graphs,  $v_0v_1$  is incident with a triangular false face. So  $v_0v_1$  is incident with a quadrangular face bounded by  $v_0v_1uwv_0$  of the plane skeleton S(G) that contains the unique crossing point created by a pair of crossing edges  $v_0u$  and  $v_1w$ .

Claim 20. Neither u nor w is  $v_i$  for  $2 \le i \le 6$ .

**Proof.** Recall that a cycle C of a 1-plane graph G is called a conflict cycle if no two edges of C cross each other and each of the two regions separated by C contains at least one vertex of G - V(C). According to the symmetry of  $v_0$  and  $v_1$ , it is sufficient for us to consider the following three cases.

Case 1.  $u = v_k$  for  $2 \le k \le 3$ . For  $2 \le k \le 3$ , we can find that  $vv_0u(=vv_0v_k)v$  is a conflict 3-cycle of G, which contradicts Proposition 9.

Case 2.  $u = v_5$ . We see that  $v_0u(=v_0v_5)$  and  $v_1w$  cross each other, and  $v_0u$  is crossed by  $vv_6$ . This contradicts the 1-planarity of G.

Case 3.  $u = v_6$ . If  $u = v_6$ , then  $v_0u(=v_0v_6)$  is crossed by  $v_1w$ . This contradicts the fact that  $v_0v_6$  is a non-crossing edge by Claim 19.

Therefore, Claim 20 holds.

Here we notice that the set of vertices  $\{v_0, w, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$  forms an 8-cycle

 $v_0wv_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_0$ . We choose a matching  $M = \{v_0w, v_1v_2, v_3v_4, v_5v_6\}$ . M cannot be extended since v cannot be saturated by any perfect matching of G that contains M. Thus G is not 4-extendable.

Suppose that G is k-extendable for  $5 \le k \le 11$ . By Lemma 8,  $|V(G)| \ge 24$ . Thus  $|V(G)| \ge 2k + 2$  and we see that G is (k - 1)-extendable by Lemma 5(i). Hence, G is 4-extendable, a contradiction.

**Theorem 21.** For every integer  $k \ge 12$ , any 7-connected maximal 1-plane graph G with even order n is not k-extendable unless n = 2k.

**Proof.** If n < 2k, then we cannot find k matching edges of G. Thus G is not k-extendable. If n = 2k, then any k independent edges themselves form a perfect matching of G by Corollary 17. As for  $n \ge 2k+2$ , if G is k-extendable for  $k \ge 12$ , then the vertex connectivity of G is at least 13 by Lemma 5, a contradiction.

### 5. Remarks

In this paper, we have characterized the k-extendability of 7-connected maximal 1-plane graphs. The matching extendability that we consider here is classical. What about some general versions of matching extension, like E(m, n)extendability or [k, n]-extendability, for 7-connected maximal 1-plane graphs or optimal 1-planar graphs? We also noticed that a bottleneck in the study of matchings or matching extendability for 1-plane graphs is how to remove the maximality condition in our theorems. That is to say, the following problem still remains open: Does every 7-connected 1-plane graph of even order have a perfect matching ([7, 12])?

### Acknowledgement

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees for many comments and suggestions.

### References

- R.E.L. Aldred and M.D. Plummer, Edge proximity and matching extension in planar triangulations, Australas. J. Combin. 29 (2004) 215–224.
- [2] C. Bachmaier, F.J. Brandenburg, K. Hanauer, D. Neuwirth and J. Reislhuber, NICplanar graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 232 (2017) 23–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2017.08.015
- [3] J. Barát and G. Tóth, Improvements on the density of maximal 1-planar graphs, J. Graph Theory 88 (2018) 101–109. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22187

# 788

- [4] I. Baybars, On k-path hamiltonian maximal planar graphs, Discrete Math. 40 (1982) 119–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(82)90193-5
- [5] T. Biedl, Are highly connected 1-planar graphs Hamiltonian? (2019). arXiv:1911.02153
- [6] T. Biedl, A note on 1-planar graphs with minimum degree 7, Discrete Appl. Math.
  289 (2021) 230-232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2020.10.004
- T. Biedl and J. Wittnebel, Matchings in 1-planar graphs with large minimum degree, J. Graph Theory 99 (2022) 217–230. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22736
- [8] R. Bodendiek, H. Schumacher and K. Wagner, *Über 1-optimale Graphen*, Math. Nachr. 117(1) (1984) 323–339. https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.3211170125
- [9] W. Didimo, Density of straight-line 1-planar graph drawings, Inform. Process. Lett. 113(7) (2013) 236-240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipl.2013.01.013
- [10] P. Eades, S.-H. Hong, N. Katoh, G. Liotta, P. Schweitzer and Y. Suzuki, A linear time algorithm for testing maximal 1-planarity of graphs with a rotation system, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 513 (2013) 65–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2013.09.029
- I. Fabrici, J. Harant, T. Madaras, S. Mohr, R. Soták and C.T. Zamfirescu, Long cycles and spanning subgraphs of locally maximal 1-planar graphs, J. Graph Theory 95 (2020) 125–137. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22542
- [12] J. Fujisawa, K. Segawa and Y. Suzuki, The matching extendability of optimal 1planar graphs, Graphs Combin. 34 (2018) 1089–1099. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-018-1932-6
- [13] J.P. Gollin, K. Hendrey, A. Methuku, C. Tompkins and X. Zhang, *Counting cliques in 1-planar graphs* (2021). arXiv:2109.02906
- [14] A. Grigoriev and H.L. Bodlaender, Algorithms for graphs embeddable with few crossings per edge, Algorithmica 49 (2007) 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00453-007-0010-x
- [15] J. Hopcroft and R. Tarjan, Efficient planarity testing, J. ACM 21 (1974) 549–568. https://doi.org/10.1145/321850.321852
- D. Hudák, T. Madaras and Y. Suzuki, On properties of maximal 1-planar graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 32 (2012) 737–747. https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgt.1639
- [17] F. István, On straight-line representation of planar graphs, Acta Univ. Szeged. Sect. Sci. Math. 11 (1948) 229–233.

- [18] S.G. Kobourov, G. Liotta and F. Montecchiani, An annotated bibliography on 1planarity, Comput. Sci. Rev. 25 (2017) 49–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosrev.2017.06.002
- [19] V.P. Korzhik and B. Mohar, Minimal obstructions for 1-immersions and hardness of 1-planarity testing, J. Graph Theory 72 (2013) 30–71. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.21630
- [20] Z. Ouyang, Y. Huang and F. Dong, The maximal 1-planarity and crossing numbers of graphs, Graphs Combin. 37 (2021) 1333–1344. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-021-02320-x
- J. Pach and G. Tóth, Graphs drawn with few crossings per edge, Combinatorica 17 (1997) 427–439. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01215922
- M.D. Plummer, A theorem on matchings in the plane, Ann. Discrete Math. 41 (1988) 347–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5060(08)70473-4
- [23] M.D. Plummer, On n-extendable graphs, Discrete Math. 31 (1980) 201–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(80)90037-0
- M.D. Plummer, Extending matchings in planar graphs IV, Discrete Math. 109 (1992) 207–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(92)90292-N
- [25] G. Ringel, *Ein Sechsfarbenproblem auf der Kugel*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.
  29 (1965) 107–117. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02996313
- [26] Y. Suzuki, 1-Planar Graphs, in: Beyond Planar Graphs, S.-H. Hong and T. Tokuyama (Ed(s)), (Springer, 2020) 47–68. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-6533-5\_4
- [27] R. Thomas and X.X. Yu, 4-connected projective-planar graphs are Hamiltonian, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 62 (1994) 114–132. https://doi.org/10.1006/jctb.1994.1058
- [28] W. Yang, Y. Wang, W. Wang and K.-W. Lih, IC-planar graphs are 6-choosable, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 35 (2021) 1729–1745. https://doi.org/10.1137/20M133261X
- [29] X. Zhang, H.-J. Wang and L. Xu, Equitable coloring of three classes of 1-planar graphs, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser. 34 (2018) 362–372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10255-018-0752-z

Received 26 April 2022 Revised 25 August 2022 Accepted 26 August 2022 Available online 17 September 2022

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/