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#### Abstract

For an integer $s \geq 0, G$ is $s$-hamiltonian-connected if for any vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \leq s, G-S$ is hamiltonian-connected. Thomassen in 1984 conjectured that every 4 -connected line graph is hamiltonian (see [Reflections on graph theory, J. Graph Theory 10 (1986) 309-324]), and Kužel


and Xiong in 2004 conjectured that every 4-connected line graph is hamil-tonian-connected (see [Z. Ryjáček and P. Vrána, Line graphs of multigraphs and Hamilton-connectedness of claw-free graphs, J. Graph Theory 66 (2011) 152-173]). In this paper we prove the following.
(i) For $s \geq 3$, every $(s+4)$-connected line graph is $s$-hamiltonian-connected.
(ii) For $s \geq 0$, every $(s+4)$-connected line graph of a claw-free graph is $s$-hamiltonian-connected.
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## 1. Introduction

Graphs considered here are finite and loopless. Unless otherwise noted, we follow [1] for notation and terms. As in [1], $\kappa(G)$ and $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$ denote the connectivity and the edge-connectivity of a graph $G$, respectively. A graph is nontrivial if it contains edges. An edge cut $X$ is essential if $G-X$ has at least two nontrivial components. For an integer $k>0$, a graph $G$ is essentially $k$-edge-connected if $G$ does not have an essential edge cut $X$ with $|X|<k$. For a connected graph $G$, let $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(G)=\max \{k: G$ is essentially $k$-edge-connected $\}$, and for an integer $i \geq 0$, let $D_{i}(G)=\left\{u \in V(G): d_{G}(u)=i\right\}$. Throughout this paper, for an integer $n \geq 2, C_{n}$ denotes a cycle on $n$ vertices (called an $n$-cycle), $W_{n}$ denotes the graph obtained from an $n$-cycle by adding a new vertex and connecting it to every vertex of the $n$-cycle. If $S \subseteq V(G)$ or $S \subseteq E(G)$, then $G[S]$ is the subgraph induced in $G$ by $S$. We use $H \subseteq G$ to denote the fact that $H$ is a subgraph of $G$. For $H \subseteq G, x \in V(G), A \subseteq V(G), X \subseteq E(G)$, and $Y \subseteq E(G)-E(H)$, define $E_{G}(x)=\{e: e$ is incident to $x\}, N_{H}(x)=N_{G}(x) \cap V(H), d_{H}(x)=\left|N_{H}(x)\right|$, $G-A=G[V(G)-A], G-X=G[E(G)-X]$, and $H+Y=G[E(H) \cup Y]$. When $A=\{v\}$ and $X=\{e\}$, we use $G-v$ for $G-\{v\}$ and $G-e$ for $G-\{e\}$.

Let $O(G)$ denote the set of odd degree vertices of $G$. A graph $G$ is eulerian if $O(G)=\emptyset$ and $G$ is connected. A graph $G$ is supereulerian if $G$ has a spanning eulerian subgraph. A graph $G$ is claw-free if it does not contain $K_{1,3}$ as an induced subgraph. The line graph of a graph $G$, denoted by $L(G)$, has $E(G)$ as its vertex set, where two vertices in $L(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges in $G$ are adjacent. From the definition of a line graph, if $L(G)$ is not a complete graph, then $L(G)$ is $k$-connected if and only if $G$ is essentially $k$-edge-connected. The following are several fascinating conjectures in the literature.

Conjecture 1. (i) (Thomassen [20]) Every 4-connected line graph is hamiltonian.
(ii) (Matthews and Sumner [16]) Every 4-connected claw-free graph is hamiltonian.
(iii) (Kužel and Xiong [11]) Every 4-connected line graph is hamiltonian-connected.
(iv) (Ryjáček and Vrána [17]) Every 4-connected claw-free graph is hamiltonianconnected.

Ryjáček and Vrána in [17] indicated that the statements in Conjecture 1 are mutually equivalent. There have been many studies on these conjectures in the literature. Among them are the following.

Theorem 2 (Zhan [21]). Every 7 -connected line graph is hamiltonian-connected.
Theorem 3 (Kaiser and Vrána [9]). Every 5-connected line graph with minimum degree at least 6 is hamiltonian.

Theorem 4 (Kriesell [10]). Every 4-connected line graph of a claw-free graph is hamiltonian-connected.

For an integer $s \geq 0$, a graph $G$ is s-hamiltonian (or s-hamiltonian-connected, respectively) if for any vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \leq s, G-S$ is hamiltonian (or hamiltonian-connected, respectively). It is routine to observe that every $s$ hamiltonian graph is $(s+2)$-connected, and every $s$-hamiltonian-connected graph is $(s+3)$-connected. The converse, on the other hand, is not true, as $K_{m, m+1}$ is $m$-connected but nonhamiltonian.

Theorem 5 (Kaiser, Ryjáček, and Vrána [8]). Every 5-connected claw-free graph with minimum degree 6 is 1-hamiltonian-connected.

Theorem 6. Let $s$ be an integer.
(i) (Theorem 1.4 of [12]) For $s \geq 3$, every ( $s+4$ )-connected line graph is $(s-1)$ -hamiltonian-connected.
(ii) (Theorem 1.3 of [13]) For $s \geq 5$, every $(s+2)$-connected line graph is $s$ hamiltonian.
(iii) (Theorem 1.6 of [15])) For $s \geq 0$, every $(s+2)$-connected line graph of a claw-free graph is s-hamiltonian.
(iv) (Theorem 1.6 of [15])) Every 4-connected line graph of a claw-free graph is 1-hamiltonian-connected.

Motivated by Conjecture 1 as well as the results in [5, 12] and [13], the following conjecture was proposed.

Conjecture 7 [15]. Let $s$ be an integer.
(i) For $s \geq 2$, a line graph is $s$-hamiltonian if and only if it is $(s+2)$-connected.
(ii) For $s \geq 2$, a claw-free graph is s-hamiltonian if and only if it is $(s+2)$ connected.
(iii) For $s \geq 1$, a line graph is s-hamiltonian-connected if and only if it is $(s+3)$ connected.
(iv) For $s \geq 1$, a claw-free graph is s-hamiltonian-connected if and only if it is $(s+3)$-connected.
In [18], Ryjáček and Vrána showed that when $s=1$, Conjecture 7(iii) is equivalent to Conjecture 1(i). The main results in this paper are presented below.

Theorem 8. For $s \geq 3$, every $(s+4)$-connected line graph is $s$-hamiltonianconnected.

Theorem 9. For $s \geq 0$, every $(s+4)$-connected line graph of a claw-free graph is s-hamiltonian-connected.

Catlin's reduction method will be refreshed in Section 2, together with other useful tools developed in this paper for our proofs of the main results. The proof of Theorem 8 is presented in Section 3, and the proof of Theorem 9 is presented in Section 4. We would like to point out that some of the mechanisms developed in [15] will be utilized in the proof arguments of Theorem 9, as shown in Section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries

We view a trail of $G$ as a vertex-edge alternating sequence $v_{0}, e_{1}, v_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}, v_{k}$ such that all the $e_{i}$ 's are distinct and for each $i=1,2, \ldots, k, e_{i}$ is incident with both $v_{i-1}$ and $v_{i}$. The vertices in $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-1}$ are internal vertices of the trail. For edges $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$, an $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail of $G$ is a trail $T$ of $G$ whose first edge is $e^{\prime}$ and whose last edge is $e^{\prime \prime}$. An internally dominating ( $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}$ )-trail of $G$ is an ( $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}$ )-trail $T$ of $G$ such that every edge of $G$ is incident with an internal vertex of $T$, and a spanning $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail of $G$ is an internally dominating $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail $T$ of $G$ such that $V(T)=V(G)$. Harary and Nash-Williams [6] first showed the relationship between eulerian subgraphs in $G$ and hamiltonicity in $L(G)$. Theorem 10(ii) below is observed in [14].

Theorem 10. Let $G$ be a graph with $|E(G)| \geq 3$. Each of the following holds.
(i) (Harary and Nash-Williams [6]) $L(G)$ is hamiltonian if and only if $G$ has a dominating eulerian subgraph.
(ii) ([14]) $L(G)$ is hamiltonian-connected if and only if for any pair of edges $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G), G$ has an internally dominating $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail.
Theorem 11. Let $G$ be a connected graph with at least three edges and $s>0$ an integer. The line graph $L(G)$ is s-hamiltonian-connected if and only if $G-S$ has an internally dominating $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail for any $S \subset E(G)$ with $|S| \leq s$, and for any pair of edges $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G-S)$.

We say that an edge $e \in E(G)$ is subdivided when it is replaced by a path of length 2 whose internal vertex, denoted by $v(e)$, has degree 2 in the resulting graph. The process of taking an edge $e$ and replacing it by the path of length 2 is called subdividing $e$. For a graph $G$ and edges $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$, let $G\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ denote the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing $e^{\prime}$, and let $G\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ denote the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing both $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$. Then $V\left(G\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)-V(G)=$ $\left\{v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$.

Proposition 12. For a graph $G$ and edges $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$, if $G\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ has a dominating (spanning, respectively) $\left(v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$-trail, then $G$ has an internally dominating (spanning, respectively) $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail.

Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ be an edge subset of $G$. The contraction $G / X$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by identifying the two ends of each edge in $X$ and then deleting the resulting loops. If $H$ is a subgraph of $G$, then we write $G / H$ for $G / E(H)$. If $v_{K}$ is the vertex in $G / H$ onto which the connected subgraph $K$ is contracted, then $K$ is called the preimage of $v_{K}$, and denoted by $P I\left(v_{K}\right)$. In [2] Catlin defined collapsible graphs. Given an even subset $R$ of $V(G)$, a subgraph $\Gamma$ of $G$ is called an $R$-subgraph if $O(\Gamma)=R$ and $G-E(\Gamma)$ is connected. A graph $G$ is collapsible if for any even subset $R$ of $V(G), G$ has an $R$-subgraph. In particular, $K_{1}$ is collapsible. Catlin [2] showed that for any graph $G$, one can obtain the reduction $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ by contracting all maximal collapsible subgraphs of $G$. A graph $G^{\prime}$ is reduced if $G^{\prime}$ has no nontrivial collapsible subgraphs. A vertex $x$ in $G^{\prime}$ is $c$-nontrivial (or c-trivial) if $|V(P I(x))| \geq 2$ (or $|V(P I(x))|=1$ ). By definition, every collapsible graph is supereulerian. We summarize some results on Catlin's reduction method and other related facts below.

Theorem 13. Let $G$ be a graph and let $H$ be a collapsible connected subgraph of $G$. Let $v_{H}$ denote the vertex onto which $H$ is contracted in $G / H$. Each of the following holds.
(i) (Catlin, Theorem 3 of [2]) $G$ is collapsible if and only if $G / H$ is collapsible. Therefore, $G$ is collapsible if and only if the reduction of $G$ is $K_{1}$.
(ii) (Catlin, implied by definition and Theorem 3 of [2]) $C_{2}, C_{3}$ are collapsible, and when $n \geq 4$, for any $e \in E\left(W_{n}\right), W_{n}(e)$ is collapsible.
(iii) (Theorem 2.3(iii) of [14]) If $G$ is collapsible, then for any pair of vertices $u, v \in V(G), G$ has a spanning $(u, v)$-trail.
(iv) (Theorem 2.3(iv) of [14]) For vertices $u, v \in V(G / H)-\left\{v_{H}\right\}$, if $G / H$ has a spanning $(u, v)$-trail, then $G$ has a spanning $(u, v)$-trail.
(v) (Theorem 3.3 of [14]) Let $G$ be a 3-edge-connected graph. If every 3-edge-cut $X$ has at least one edge in a 2-cycle or 3 -cycle of $G$, then, for any two edges $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G), G\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is collapsible.

Let $\tau(G)$ denote the maximum number of edge-disjoint spanning trees of $G$. Let $F(G)$ be the minimum number of additional edges that must be added to $G$ so that the resulting graph has two edge-disjoint spanning trees. The following theorem summarizes results related to $F(G)$ and supereulerian properties.

Theorem 14. Let $G$ be a connected graph and let $G^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $G$. Then each of the following holds.
(i) (Jaeger [7]) If $F(G)=0$, then $G$ is collapsible.
(ii) (Catlin [2]) If $F(G) \leq 1$, then $G^{\prime} \in\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}$. Therefore, $G$ is supereulerian if and only if $G^{\prime} \neq K_{2}$.
(iii) (Catlin et al. [3]) If $F(G) \leq 2$, then $G^{\prime} \in\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{2, t}\right\}$ for some integer $t \geq 1$. Therefore, $G$ is supereulerian if and only if $G^{\prime} \notin\left\{K_{2}, K_{2, t}\right\}$ for some odd integer $t$.
(iv) (Theorem 1.1 of [4]) Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Then $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 2 k$ if and only if for any edge subset $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leq k, \tau(G-X) \geq k$.

Lemma 15 ([15]). Assume that $K=v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{1}$ is a triangle in a connected graph $G$ with $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=3$. Also assume that $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, x\right\}$ and $e \in\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}\right\}$. Let $w$ be the new vertex in $G / K$ on which $K$ is contracted, and let $u(\neq w) \in$ $V(G / K)$. Let $T$ be a spanning $(u, w)$-trail in $G / K$. Then each of the following holds.
(i) For $e=v_{1} v_{2}, G(e)$ has a dominating $(u, v(e))$-trail $T_{1}$ such that $V(G(e))-$ $V\left(T_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{1}\right\}$.
(ii) For $e=v_{2} v_{3}$, if $x v_{1} \notin E(T)$, then $G(e)$ has a spanning $(u, v(e))$-trail $T_{2}$.

Lemma 16 ([15]). Let $s \geq 3$ be an integer and $G$ be a graph with $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(G) \geq s+2$. If $v \in D_{3}(G)$, then $\kappa^{\prime}(G-v) \geq 3$ and ess $^{\prime}(G-v) \geq s+1$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 8.

Let $s \geq 3$ be an integer, and let $G$ be a connected, essentially $s$-edge-connected graph such that $L(G)$ is not a complete graph. Then for any edge $v x \in E(G)$ with $d_{G}(v) \in\{1,2\}$, we have $d_{G}(x) \geq s+2-d_{G}(v)$. Following [19], the core of the graph $G$, written as $G_{0}$, is obtained by the following two operations repeatedly.

Operation 1. Delete each vertex of degree 1.
Operation 2. For each vertex $y$ of degree 2 with $E_{G}(y)=\{x y, y z\}$, contract exactly one edge in $E_{G}(y)$. This amounts to deleting vertex $y$ in $G$ with $d_{G}(y)=2$ and replacing $x y$ and $y z$ with a new edge $x z$.


Figure 1. The core graph.
Let $\mathcal{O}_{1}(G)$ denote the graph obtained from $G$ by applying Operation 1 to each vertex of degree 1, and $\mathcal{O}_{2}(G)$ the graph obtained from $G$ by applying Operation 2 to each vertex of degree 2 . Thus $G_{0}=\mathcal{O}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}(G)\right)$. As shown in [19], we observe that $G_{0}$ is well-defined, and is 3-edge-connected and essentially $s$-edge-connected. By the definitions of these operations, any trail in $G$ is contracted to a trail in $G_{0}$. Conversely, for any trail $T^{\prime}$ in $G_{0}$, there is a trail $T$ in $G$ such that $T^{\prime}$ is the contraction image of $T$. We call $T$ a lift of $T^{\prime}$, or say that $T^{\prime}$ can be lifted to $T$.

In the rest of this section, we assume that $G$ is a connected, essentially $s$ -edge-connected graph, where $s \geq 6$ is an integer, and let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leq 3$. Let $H=G_{0}-\left(E\left(G_{0}\right) \cap X\right)$. If $H$ is not connected, then $H$ contains an isolated vertex $v$ with $E_{G}(v)=X=E_{G_{0}}(v)$ and $|X|=3$, and $H-v$ is essentially $s$-edgeconnected. If $H$ is connected, then $H$ is essentially ( $s-3$ )-edge-connected since $G$ is essentially s-edge-connected. Let $G_{X}= \begin{cases}H, & \text { if } H \text { is connected, } \\ H-v, & \text { if } H \text { is not connected. }\end{cases}$ Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{X} \text { is essentially }(s-3) \text {-connected. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}$ be the core of $G_{X}$. Then
(2) $\quad\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}$ is 3-edge-connected and essentially $(s-3)$-edge-connected.

Theorem 17 (Theorem 4.1 of [13]). Let $G$ be an essentially 7-edge-connected graph. If $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leq 3$, then $\tau\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right) \geq 2$.

Lemma 18. Let $G$ be an essentially 7-edge-connected graph. Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ be a subset with $|X| \leq 3$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \subseteq E(G)-X$. Then $G-X$ has an internally dominating $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail.

Proof. Let $G_{0}$ be the core of $G$. Notice that $G$ is essentially 7-edge-connected. By (2),
(3) $\quad\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}$ is 3-edge-connected and essentially 4-edge-connected.

Claim 1. Let $e=x y \in E(G)$. We assume that $d_{G_{X}}(y) \geq d_{G_{X}}(x)$ if $e \in E\left(G_{0}\right)$ but e $\notin E\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)$; otherwise, we assume that $d_{G}(y) \geq d_{G}(x)$. Then $y \in V\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)$. Therefore, $d_{\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}}(y) \geq 3$.

Proof. Notice that there are three possibilities for the location of $e: e \in E\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)$, $e \notin E\left(G_{0}\right)$, or $e \in E\left(G_{0}\right)$ and $e \notin E\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)$. If $e \in E\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)$, then both $x$ and $y$ are in $V\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)$.

If $e \notin E\left(G_{0}\right)$, then since $d_{G}(y) \geq d_{G}(x), d_{G}(x) \in\{1,2\}$. As $G$ is essentially 7 -edge-connected, $d_{G}(x)+d_{G}(y) \geq 9$, and so $d_{G}(y) \geq 9-d_{G}(x)$. Therefore, $d_{G_{0}}(y) \geq 7$ and $d_{G_{X}}(y) \geq 7-3=4$. This implies that $y \in V\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)$.

If $e \in E\left(G_{0}\right)$ and $e \notin E\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)$, then since $d_{G_{X}}(y) \geq d_{G_{X}}(x)$, we have $d_{G_{X}}(x) \in\{1,2\}$. By (1), $G_{X}$ is essentially 4-edge-connected. Then $d_{G_{X}}(x)+$ $d_{G_{X}}(y) \geq 6$. Thus, $d_{G_{X}}(y) \geq 6-d_{G_{X}}(x) \geq 4$. So $y \in V\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)$. Claim 1 holds.

For $i=1,2$, denote $e_{i}=x_{i} y_{i}$ in such a way that if $e_{i} \in E\left(G_{0}\right)$ but $e_{i} \notin$ $E\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)$, then the labeling of $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ satisfies $d_{G_{X}}\left(y_{i}\right) \geq d_{G_{X}}\left(x_{i}\right)$; otherwise we label $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ so that $d_{G}\left(y_{i}\right) \geq d_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)$. Let

$$
Q= \begin{cases}\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right), & \text { if } e_{1}, e_{2} \in E\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right), \\ \left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\left(e_{i}\right), & \text { if }\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cap E\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)=\left\{e_{i}\right\} \\ \left(G_{X}\right)_{0}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
v_{i}= \begin{cases}v\left(e_{i}\right), & \text { if } e_{i} \in E\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right), \\ y_{i}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

By Theorem 17, $\tau\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right) \geq 2$ and so $F(Q) \leq 2$. By Theorem 14(iii) and (3), $Q$ is collapsible. By Theorem 13(iii),
$Q$ has a spanning $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$-trail $T_{1}$.
Let $T_{2}$ be the lift of $T_{1}$ in $G_{X}$ and let $T_{3}$ be the lift of $T_{2}$ in $(G-X)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$. Let $T$ be a trail obtained from $T_{3}$ by replacing $v_{i}$ by $e_{i}$. Then $T$ is an $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail of $G-X$. Let $T=w_{1} f_{1} w_{2} f_{2} \cdots f_{k} w_{k}$, where $f_{1}=e_{1}$ and $f_{k}=e_{2}$, and let $\mathcal{I}=\left\{w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{k-1}\right\}$. Then $V\left(T_{1}\right)-\left\{v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. To show that $T$ is an internally dominating $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail in $G-X$, it suffices to show that every edge $e=x y$ of $G-X$ is incident with an internal vertex of $T$, i.e., either $x \in \mathcal{I}$ or $y \in \mathcal{I}$.

We assume that $d_{G_{X}}(y) \geq d_{G_{X}}(x)$ if $e \in E\left(G_{0}\right)$ but $e \notin\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}$; otherwise, we assume that $d_{G}(y) \geq d_{G}(x)$. By Claim $1, y \in V\left(\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}\right)$. By (4), $y \in V\left(T_{1}\right)$. As $d_{\left(G_{X}\right)_{0}}(y) \geq 3, y \notin\left\{v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}$ and so $y \in V\left(T_{1}\right)-\left\{v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.

Lemma 19. Every 7 -connected line graph is 3 -hamiltonian-connected.
Proof. Lemma 19 follows from Lemma 18 and Theorem 11.
Proof of Theorem 8. By Lemma 19, Theorem 8 holds when $s=3$. We assume that $s \geq 4$ and that Theorem 8 holds for smaller values of $s$. Let $G$ be a graph
with $\kappa(L(G)) \geq s+4$. For any $S \subseteq V(L(G))$ with $|S| \leq s$, pick $v_{e_{0}} \in S$. Assume that the edge in $G$ corresponding to $v_{e_{0}}$ in $L(G)$ is $e_{0}$. Let $G^{*}=G-e_{0}$. Since $\kappa(L(G)) \geq s+4, \kappa\left(L\left(G^{*}\right)\right)=\kappa\left(L(G)-v_{e_{0}}\right) \geq s+3$. It follows by induction that as $L\left(G^{*}\right)$ is $(s+3)$-connected, $L\left(G^{*}\right)$ is $(s-1)$-hamiltonian-connected, and so $L(G)-S=L\left(G^{*}\right)-\left(S-\left\{v_{e_{0}}\right\}\right)$ must be hamiltonian-connected. It follows by definition that $L(G)$ is $s$-hamiltonian-connected.

## 4. Graphs with Property $\mathcal{K}(s)$ and Proof of Theorem 9

Throughout this section, we assume that $s \geq 0$ is an integer. Following [15], we shall introduce a property of graphs which will play an important role in our arguments.
([15]) Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the graph family such that a (connected) graph $G$ is in $\mathcal{K}$ if and only if $G$ satisfies each of the following.
(KS1) For any $w \in D_{3}(G)$, the subgraph induced by $N_{G}(w)$ contains at least one edge.
(KS2) Let $w \in N_{G}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap N_{G}\left(x_{2}\right)$, where $x_{1}, x_{2} \in D_{3}(G)$ and $x_{1} x_{2} \notin E(G)$. If $N_{G}(w)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, v\right\}$, then either $v x_{1} \notin E(G)$ or $v x_{2} \notin E(G)$.
(KS3) Let $w_{1}, w_{2} \in N_{G}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap N_{G}\left(x_{2}\right)$, where $x_{1}, x_{2} \in D_{3}(G)$ and $x_{1} x_{2} \notin$ $E(G)$. If $w_{1} w_{2} \in E(G)$, then $N_{G}\left(w_{1}\right) \cup N_{G}\left(w_{2}\right) \subseteq N_{G}\left(x_{1}\right) \cup N_{G}\left(x_{2}\right) \cup\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$.

By definition, $K_{4} \in \mathcal{K}$ and every claw-free graph satisfies (KS1) and (KS3). Since (KS2) is violated for the graphs $W_{4}$ and $W_{5}$, we have $W_{4}, W_{5} \notin \mathcal{K}$. For an integer $s \geq 0$, a graph $G$ is said to have Property $\mathcal{K}(s)$ if $G$ is in $\mathcal{K}-\left\{K_{4}\right\}$ and satisfies both $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(G) \geq s+4$.

Lemma 20 [15]. If the graph $G$ has Property $\mathcal{K}(s)$, then there is a set $\triangle(G)$ of edge-disjoint triangles in $G$ such that $D_{3}(G) \subseteq V(L)$, where $L$ is the subgraph induced by $\bigcup_{K \in \triangle(G)} E(K)$, and $D_{3}(G) \cap V(K) \neq \emptyset$ for each $K \in \triangle(G)$.

Let $G$ have Property $\mathcal{K}(s)$ and $v \in D_{3}(G)$. By Lemma 20, there is a triangle in $\triangle(G)$ that contains $v$. We denote this triangle by $\triangle_{v}$. Thus, for $v, u \in D_{3}(G)$, we have either $E\left(\triangle_{v}\right)=E\left(\triangle_{u}\right)$ or $E\left(\triangle_{v}\right) \cap E\left(\triangle_{u}\right)=\emptyset$. Fix a given subset $E^{\prime} \subseteq E(G)$. Define $\triangle^{\prime}(G)=\left\{\triangle_{v} \in \triangle(G): v \in D_{3}(G)\right.$ and $\left.E\left(\triangle_{v}\right) \cap E^{\prime}=\emptyset\right\}$ and $\triangle^{*}(G)=\triangle(G)-\triangle^{\prime}(G)$. Then $\triangle(G)=\triangle^{\prime}(G)$ if $E^{\prime} \cap E(\triangle(G))=\emptyset$. Let $G_{1}=G / \triangle(G)$ and $G_{1}^{*}=G / \Delta^{\prime}(G)$ be the graphs obtained from $G$ by contracting the edges in $\triangle(G)$ and $\triangle^{\prime}(G)$, respectively. Thus if $E^{\prime} \cap E(\triangle(G))=\emptyset$, then $G_{1}=G_{1}^{*}$. We call $G_{1}$ a $\triangle$-contraction of $G$ and $G_{1}^{*}$ a $\triangle$-contraction of $G$ with respect to $E^{\prime}$. Since $G$ is 3 -edge-connected and essentially ( $s+4$ )-edge-connected, we have
(5) $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right) \geq 4$ and $e s s^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right) \geq s+4$, and $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right) \geq 3$ and $e s s^{\prime}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right) \geq s+4$.

By Theorem 14(iv), for any $X \subseteq E\left(G_{1}\right)$ with $|X| \leq 2, \tau\left(G_{1}-X\right) \geq 2$, and so $F\left(G_{1}-X\right)=0$. Let $t$ be the number of different triangles in $\triangle^{*}(G)$ and let $\Delta^{*}(G)=\left\{\triangle_{v_{1}}, \ldots, \triangle_{v_{t}}\right\}$ with $V\left(\triangle_{v_{i}}\right)=\left\{v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i}\right\}$. Then $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$ and $E\left(\triangle_{v_{i}}\right) \cap E^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$ (Figure 2). Since $G_{1}^{*}$ is 3-edge-connected and essentially 4 -edge-connected, we have either $d_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(u_{i}\right) \geq 4$ or $d_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(w_{i}\right) \geq 4$. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(w_{i}\right) \geq 4 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. $G_{1}^{*}=G_{1} / \Delta^{\prime}(G)$.
Lemma 21. (i) If $t=0$, then $G_{1}^{*}=G_{1}$.
(ii) If $t=1$, then for any edge $e \in E\left(G_{1}^{*}\right), \tau\left(G_{1}^{*}-e\right) \geq 2$.
(iii) If $s=0$ and $t=2$, then $\tau\left(G_{1}^{*}\right) \geq 2$.
(iv) If $s \geq 1$ and $t=2$, then for any $e \in E\left(G_{1}^{*}\right), \tau\left(G_{1}^{*}-e\right) \geq 2$.

Proof. If $t=0$, then $\triangle^{*}(G)=\emptyset$. Thus $G_{1}^{*}=G_{1}$. If $t=1$, then $\triangle^{*}(G)=\left\{\triangle_{v_{1}}\right\}$ with $V\left(\triangle_{v_{1}}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, u_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$. By $(6), d_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(w_{1}\right) \geq 4$. Let $Q_{1}$ be the graph obtained from $G_{1}^{*}$ by adding the new edge $v_{1} u_{1}$. Actually this new edge and the edge $v_{1} u_{1}$ in the triangle $\triangle_{v_{1}}$ are parallel. We denote this new edge by $\left(v_{1} u_{1}\right)^{\prime}$. Then $Q_{1}$ is 4 -edge-connected. Thus, for any edge $e \in E\left(G_{1}^{*}\right), \tau\left(G_{1}^{*}-e\right)=\tau\left(Q_{1}-\left\{e,\left(v_{1} u_{1}\right)^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ $\geq 2$.

If $t=2$, then $\triangle^{*}(G)=\left\{\triangle_{v_{1}}, \triangle_{v_{2}}\right\}$ with $V\left(\triangle_{v_{i}}\right)=\left\{v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i}\right\}(i=1,2)$. By (6), $d_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(w_{i}\right) \geq 4$. If $s=0$, then we set $Q_{2}$ to be the graph obtained from $G_{1}^{*}$ by adding the new edges $v_{1} v_{2}$ and $u_{1} u_{2}$. Thus, $Q_{2}$ is 4 -edge-connected. So $\tau\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)=\tau\left(Q_{2}-\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, u_{1} u_{2}\right\}\right) \geq 2$. If $s \geq 1$, then $G_{1}^{*}$ is essentially 5 -edgeconnected. Thus, for $x \in\left\{u_{1}, w_{1}, u_{2}, w_{2}\right\}, d_{G_{1}^{*}}(x) \geq 4$. Let $Q_{3}$ be the graph obtained from $G_{1}^{*}$ by adding the new edge $v_{1} v_{2}$. Then $Q_{3}$ is 4 -edge-connected. So for any edge $e \in E\left(G_{1}^{*}\right), \tau\left(G_{1}^{*}-e\right)=\tau\left(Q_{3}-\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, e\right\}\right) \geq 2$.

The next lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 9. For any edge subset $X$ of $G$ with $|X|=s$, to prove that $L(G)$ is $s$-hamiltonian connected, it suffices to prove that for any two edges $e_{1}, e_{2} \in G-X, G-X$ has an internally dominating $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail. By Theorem 8 , we only need to consider $s \in\{0,1,2\}$.

Lemma 22. Let $X$ be an edge subset of $G$, and $s=|X| \in\{0,1,2\}$. If $G$ satisfies Property $\mathcal{K}(s)$, then for any two edges $e_{1}, e_{2} \in G-X, G-X$ has an internally dominating $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail $T$ such that $V(G)-V(T) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=3}^{s+3} D_{i}(G)$.

Proof. Let $E^{\prime}=X \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ and let $G_{1}$ be a $\triangle$-contraction of $G$ and $G_{1}^{*}$ be a $\triangle$ contraction of $G$ with respect to $E^{\prime}$. If $\triangle^{*}(G) \neq \emptyset$, then we assume that $\triangle^{*}(G)=$ $\left\{\triangle_{v_{1}}, \ldots, \triangle_{v_{t}}\right\}$ with $V\left(\triangle_{v_{i}}\right)=\left\{v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i}\right\}(i=1, \ldots, t)$. Thus $E\left(\triangle_{v_{i}}\right) \cap E^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $t \in\{0,1, \ldots, 2+s\}$. By (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right) \subseteq D_{i}(G) \text { for } i=3, \ldots, s+3 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since a triangle is collapsible, to prove Lemma 22, by Proposition 12 and Theorem 13 (iv), it suffices to prove that $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$ trail $T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=3}^{s+3} D_{i}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 1. If $s=0$, then for any two edges $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}$ in $G_{1}^{*}, G_{1}^{*}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is collapsible. Therefore, $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$.

Proof. Since $s=0$, we have $X=\emptyset$ and $t \in\{0,1,2\}$. Thus $G_{1}^{*}-X=G_{1}^{*}$. By (5), $G_{1}^{*}$ is 3 -edge-connected and essentially 4-edge-connected. Therefore, $G_{1}^{*}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is 2-edge-connected. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $G_{1}^{*}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$. By Lemma 21(i)-(iii), $\tau\left(G_{1}^{*}\right) \geq 2$. Thus $F\left(G_{1}^{*}-\left\{e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right) \leq 2$. As $F\left(G_{1}^{*}-\left\{e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right)=F\left(G_{1}^{*}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$, we have $F\left(G_{1}^{*}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \leq 2$. Since $G_{1}^{*}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ has only two vertices of degree two and since $G_{1}^{*}$ is essentially 4-edge-connected, $G^{\prime}$ has at most two vertices of degree two. By Theorem $14(\mathrm{iii}), G^{\prime}=K_{1}$. So $G_{1}^{*}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is collapsible. By Theorem 13(iii), $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$. Claim 1 holds.

Claim 2. Assume that $s=1$. Let $X=\{f\}$.
(i) If $t=0$, then $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$.
(ii) If $t \in\{1,2,3\}$, then $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ such that $\left|V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)\right| \leq 1$ and $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T) \subseteq$ $D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. Furthermore, if $t \in\{1,2\}$ and $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)=\{v\}$, then $E_{G}(v)=\left\{f, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$.

Proof. As $s=1, G_{1}^{*}$ is 3-edge-connected and essentially 5-edge-connected. Thus, $\left(G_{1}^{*}-f\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is 2-edge-connected and $\left|D_{2}\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-f\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)\right| \leq 3$. If $t=0$, then $G_{1}^{*}=G_{1}$ and so $F\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-f\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right) \leq 1$. By Theorem $14(\mathrm{ii}),\left(G_{1}^{*}-f\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is collapsible. So $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$.

If $t \in\{1,2\}$, then by Lemma 21(ii) and Lemma 21(iv), $F\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-f\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right) \leq$ 2. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $\left(G_{1}^{*}-f\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$. Since $\left|D_{2}\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-f\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)\right| \leq 3$
and since $G_{1}^{*}$ is essentially 5 -edge-connected, we have $\left|D_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 4$. By Theorem 14(iii), $G^{\prime} \in\left\{K_{1}, K_{2,2}, K_{2,3}, K_{2,4}\right\}$. If $G^{\prime}=K_{1}$, by Theorem 13(iii), $\left(G_{1}^{*}-f\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail. If $G^{\prime} \in\left\{K_{2,3}, K_{2,4}\right\}$, then $v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right) \in D_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. By Theorem 13(iv), $\left(G_{1}^{*}-f\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail. If $G^{\prime}=K_{2,2}$, then $v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right) \in D_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ such that $v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)$ are not adjacent. Let $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\left\{v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}=\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}$. Then either $\operatorname{PI}(v)$ or $P I\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ is trivial. Without loss of generality, we assume that $P I(v)$ is trivial. So $f$ is incident to $v$, and $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ such that $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-f\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)=\{v\}$, where $E_{G}(v)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, f\right\}$.

If $t=3$, then $f \in X^{\prime}$ and $\triangle^{*}(G)=\left\{\triangle_{v_{1}}, \triangle_{v_{2}}, \triangle_{v_{3}}\right\}$, where for $1 \leq i \leq 3, v_{i} \in$ $D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f \in E\left(\triangle_{v_{1}}\right), e_{1} \in E\left(\triangle_{v_{2}}\right)$ and $e_{2} \in E\left(\triangle_{v_{3}}\right)$. By Lemma 16, $G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$ is 3 -edge-connected and essentially 4 -edge-connected. By Claim 1, $\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T^{\prime}$. Let $T=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}\left(T^{\prime}-f\right)+\left\{v_{1} u_{1}, v_{1} w_{1}\right\}, & \text { if } f \in E\left(T^{\prime}\right) . \text { Then } T \text { is a dominating } \\ T^{\prime}, & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$. $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ such that $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T) \subseteq$ $\left\{v_{1}\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. Claim 2 holds.

Claim 3. If $s=2$, then $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ such that $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=3}^{5} D_{i}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$.
Proof. Since $s=2, G_{1}^{*}$ is 3-edge-connected and essentially 6 -edge-connected. Let $X=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}$. Then $G_{1}^{*}-X$ is connected and essentially 4 -edge-connected. So $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is connected. As $s=2$, we have $t \in\{0,1,2,3,4\}$.
Claim 3.1. If $G_{1}^{*}-X$ is not 2 -edge-connected, then Claim 3 holds.
Proof. Assume that $G_{1}^{*}-X$ is not 2-edge-connected. Let $e$ be a cut edge of $G_{1}^{*}-X$, and let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be components of $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)-e$. Then $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, e\right\}$ is a 3 -edge cut of $G_{1}^{*}$. As $G_{1}^{*}$ is essentially 6 -edge-connected, we may assume that $V\left(H_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. Then $E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, e\right\}$. Thus $t \leq 3$. Consider $G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$. Then $d_{G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}}(x) \geq 4$ for any $x \in N_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)$. Since $t \leq 3, G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$ contains at most two vertices of degree 3 . By Lemma $16, G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$ is 3 -edge-connected and essentially 5 -edge-connected. Thus $\tau\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v\right)-e_{1}\right) \geq 2$. This implies that $F\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v\right)-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}\right) \leq 1$ and so $F\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right) \leq 1$. By Theorem 14(ii), $\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is collapsible. Let $T$ be a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail of $\left(G_{1}^{*}-\right.$ $\left.v_{1}\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$. Then $T$ is a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail of $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ with $V\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)-V(T)=\left\{v_{1}\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. Claim 3.1 holds.

By Claim 3.1, we may assume that $G_{1}^{*}-X$ is 2 -edge-connected. Then ( $G_{1}^{*}-$ $X)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is also 2-edge-connected. If $t=0$, then $f_{1}, f_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $G_{1}^{*}=G_{1}$. So $\left(G_{1}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has at most three vertices of degree 2 . Let $G^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $\left(G_{1}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$. Then $\left|D_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 4$. By (5), $G_{1}$ is 4-edge-connected.

By Theorem 14(iv), we have $\tau\left(G_{1}-X\right) \geq 2$ and so $F\left(\left(G_{1}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right) \leq 2$. Since $\left(G_{1}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is 2-edge-connected and $G_{1}$ is essentially 6 -edge-connected, $G^{\prime} \in\left\{K_{1}, K_{2,2}, K_{2,3}\right\}$. If $G^{\prime}=K_{1}$, by Theorem 13(iii), $\left(G_{1}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail. If $G^{\prime}=K_{2,3}$, then $v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right) \in D_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. By Theorem 13(iv), $\left(G_{1}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail. If $G^{\prime}=$ $K_{2,2}$, then $v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right) \in D_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ such that $v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)$ are not adjacent. Let $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\left\{v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}=\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}$. Then either $P I(v)$ or $P I\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ is trivial. Without loss of generality, we assume that $P I(v)$ is trivial. So $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are incident to $v$ and $\left(G_{1}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ such that $V\left(\left(G_{1}-\right.\right.$ $\left.X)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)=\{v\} \subseteq D_{4}\left(G_{1}\right)$, where $E_{G}(v)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}$. Next we just need to consider $t \in\{1,2,3,4\}$.
Claim 3.2. If $f_{1}, f_{2} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)$, then Claim 3 holds.
Proof. In this case, $\left|\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cap E\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \leq 1$ and $t \in\{1,2\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $e_{2} \notin E\left(G_{1}\right)$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $t=1$. Then $\triangle^{*}(G)=\left\{\triangle_{v_{1}}\right\}$ with $v_{1} \in D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$ and $V\left(\triangle_{v_{1}}\right)=$ $\left\{v_{1}, u_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$, and $e_{2} \in E\left(\triangle_{v_{1}}\right)$. Let $E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{1} u_{1}, v_{1} w_{1}, v_{1} z\right\}$. By Lemma 16, $G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$ is 3 -edge-connected and essentially 5 -edge-connected. Since $G_{1}^{*}$ is essentially 6 -edge-connected, we have $d_{G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}}(y) \geq 4$ for $y \in\left\{u_{1}, w_{1}, z\right\}$. Thus $G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$ is 4 -edge-connected, and so $\tau\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}\right) \geq 2$.

If $e_{2} \in\left\{v_{1} u_{1}, v_{1} w_{1}\right\}$, assume that $e_{2}=v_{1} w_{1}$. Let $a= \begin{cases}z, & \text { if } e_{1}=v_{1} z, \\ u_{1}, & \text { if } e_{1}=v_{1} u_{1}, \\ v\left(e_{1}\right), & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$ and let $H=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}, & \text { if } e_{1} \in\left\{v_{1} z, v_{1} u_{1}\right\} . \text {. Then } F(H) \leq 1 \\ \left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}\right)\left(e_{1}\right), & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$. and $H$ is 2-edge-connected. By Theorem 14(ii), $H$ has a spanning ( $w_{1}, a$ )-trail. This trail can be extended to a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ with $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)=\left\{v_{1}\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$.

If $e_{2} \notin\left\{v_{1} u_{1}, v_{1} w_{1}\right\}$, then $e_{2}=u_{1} w_{1}$. If $e_{1} \in E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)$, then $e_{1}=v_{1} b$, where $b \in\left\{w_{1}, u_{1}, z\right\}$. As $F\left(\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}\right)\left(e_{2}\right)\right) \leq 1,\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}\right)\left(e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(b, v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail. This trail can be extended to a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ with $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)=$ $\left\{v_{1}\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. If $e_{1} \notin E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)$, then as $F\left(\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}\right)\left(e_{1}\right)\right) \leq 1$, $\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}\right)\left(e_{1}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(w_{1}, v\left(e_{1}\right)\right)$-trail $T^{\prime}$. If $e_{2} \notin E\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, then this trail $T^{\prime}$ can be extended to a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-\right.$ $X)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ with $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)=\left\{v_{1}\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. If $e_{2} \in E\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, then $T=T^{\prime}-\left\{w_{1} u_{1}\right\}+\left\{v_{1} u_{1}, v_{1} w_{1}, w_{1} v\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}$ is a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$.

Case 2. $t=2$. Then $e_{1}, e_{2} \notin E\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $\triangle^{*}(G)=\left\{\triangle_{v_{1}}, \triangle_{v_{2}}\right\}$ with $v_{i} \in$ $D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$ and $V\left(\triangle_{v_{i}}\right)=\left\{v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i}\right\}(i=1,2)$. For $i=1,2$, we assume that $e_{i} \in E\left(\triangle_{v_{i}}\right), E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{i}\right)=\left\{v_{i} u_{i}, v_{i} w_{i}, v_{i} z_{i}\right\}$, and let $x_{i}$ be the vertex on which $\triangle_{v_{i}}$ is
contracted in $G_{1}$. If $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}, x_{2} z_{2}\right\}$ is not connected, then $z_{1}=z_{2}$ and $f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}, x_{2} z_{2}$ are incident to $z_{1}$ of degree 4. Thus $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, v_{1} z_{1}, v_{2} u_{2}, v_{2} w_{2}\right\}$ is an essential 5 -edge cut in $G_{1}^{*}$, a contradiction. So $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}, x_{2} z_{2}\right\}$ is connected. Similarly, $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}\right\}$ and $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{2} z_{2}\right\}$ are 2-edgeconnected.

Consider $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}\right\}$. By (5), $G_{1}$ is 4 -edge-connected. By Theorem 14(iv), $F\left(G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}\right\}\right) \leq 1$ and $F\left(G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}, x_{2} z_{2}\right\}\right) \leq 2$. By Theorem 14(ii), $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}\right\}$ is collapsible. Thus $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}\right\}$ has a spanning $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$-trail $T$. If $e_{2} \neq u_{2} w_{2}$, then by Lemma $15,\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ with $V\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)-V(T) \subseteq\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. So we may assume that $e_{2}=u_{2} w_{2}$. Similarly, we assume that $e_{1}=u_{1} w_{1}$. By Lemma 15, $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\} \cap\left\{x_{1} z_{1}, x_{2} z_{2}\right\}=\emptyset$.

Notice that $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}, x_{2} z_{2}\right\}$ is connected and $F\left(G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.x_{2} z_{2}\right\}\right) \leq 2$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}, x_{2} z_{2}\right\}$. By Theorem $14(\mathrm{iii}), G^{\prime} \in\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{2, \ell}\right\}$. Since $G_{1}$ is 4 -edge-connected and essentially 6 -edge-connected, and since $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are the vertices on which $\triangle_{v_{1}}$ and $\triangle_{v_{2}}$ are contracted in $G_{1}$, we have $G^{\prime} \neq K_{2, \ell}$. If $G^{\prime}=K_{1}$, then $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}, x_{2} z_{2}\right\}$ has a spanning $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$-trail. By Lemma $15(\mathrm{ii}),\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail. If $G^{\prime}=K_{2}$, then we assume that $G^{\prime}=a b$. Thus either $P I(a)$ or $P I(b)$ is trivial. Without loss of generality, we assume that $P I(a)$ is trivial. Since $G_{1}^{*}$ is essentially 6 -edge-connected, we have $a \in V\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. As $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{1} z_{1}\right\}$ and $G_{1}-\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, x_{2} z_{2}\right\}$ are 2-edge-connected, we have $E_{G_{1}}(a) \cap$ $\left\{x_{1} z_{1}, x_{2} z_{2}\right\} \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a=z_{1}$. Thus $x_{1} \in V(P I(b))$. Since $E_{G_{1}^{*}}(a) \cup\left\{v_{1} u_{1}, v_{1} w_{1}\right\}-\left\{z_{1} v_{1}\right\}$ is essentially edge-cut of $G_{1}^{*}$ and since $G_{1}^{*}$ is essentially 6 -edge-connected, $d_{G_{1}}(a) \geq 5$. Thus $E_{G_{1}}(a)=$ $\left\{a b, z_{1} x_{1}, z_{2} x_{2}, f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}, a=z_{1}=z_{2}$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in P I(b)$. Let $T$ be a spanning ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ )-trail in $P I(b)$. As $e_{1}=u_{1} w_{1}$ and $e_{2}=u_{2} w_{2}$, by Lemma 15(ii), $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)$ $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)-a$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T^{\prime}$. This trail $T^{\prime}$ is a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ with $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)=\{a\} \subseteq$ $D_{5}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. We finish the proof of Claim 3.2.

By Claim 3.2, we may assume that $f_{1} \notin E\left(G_{1}\right)$. In addition, we assume that $\triangle_{v_{1}} \in \triangle^{*}(G)$ such that $f_{1} \in E\left(\triangle_{v_{1}}\right)$. Let $E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{1} u_{1}, v_{1} w_{1}, v_{1} z_{1}\right\}$, where $V\left(\triangle_{v_{1}}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, u_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$.
Claim 3.3. If $E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right) \cap\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}=\emptyset$, then Claim 3 holds.
Proof. In this case, $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)$. By Lemma 16, $G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$ is 3-edgeconnected and essentially 5 -edge-connected. If $f_{2} \notin E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)$, then $f_{2} \in E\left(G_{1}^{*}-\right.$ $\left.v_{1}\right)$. By Claim 2, $\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-f_{2}\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T^{\prime}$ such that $V\left(\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-f_{2}\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V\left(T^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\{y\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)$. Let $T=$ $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(T^{\prime}-f_{1}\right)+\left\{v_{1} u_{1}, v_{1} w_{1}\right\}, \\ T^{\prime},\end{array}\right.$ if $f_{1} \in E\left(T^{\prime}\right)$
othererwise . Then $T$ is a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right)\right.$,
$\left.v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ such that $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T) \subseteq\left\{v_{1}, y\right\} \subseteq$ $D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. If $f_{2} \in E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)$, then by Claim 2, $\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-u_{1} w_{1}\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ such that $V\left(\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-f_{2}\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T) \subseteq$ $\{y\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)$. This trail $T$ is also a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ such that $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T) \subseteq\left\{v_{1}, y\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. Claim 3.3 holds.

By Claim 3.3, we assume that $e_{1} \in E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)$. Let $e_{1}=v_{1} b_{1}$, where $b_{1} \in$ $\left\{w_{1}, u_{1}, z_{1}\right\}$. Consider $f_{2}$. If $f_{2} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)$, then $t \in\{1,2\}$; if $f_{2} \notin E\left(G_{1}\right)$, then we may assume that $f_{2} \in E\left(\triangle_{v_{i}}\right)$ for some $\triangle_{v_{i}} \in \triangle^{*}(G)$. Thus by Claim 3.3, $e_{2} \in E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{i}\right)$. So we still have $t \in\{1,2\}$.

Claim 3.4. If $t=1$, then Claim 3 holds.
Proof. In this case, $\triangle^{*}(G)=\left\{\triangle_{v_{1}}\right\}$. Since $G_{1}^{*}$ is 3-edge-connected and essentially 6 -edge-connected and since $v_{1}$ is only the vertex of degree three, $G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$ is 4-edge-connected. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{2}, u_{1} w_{1}\right\}\right) \geq 2 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $e_{2} \in E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)$, then we assume that $e_{2}=v_{1} b_{2}$, where $b_{2} \in\left\{u_{1}, w_{1}, z_{1}\right\}-\left\{b_{1}\right\}$. By (9), $\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{2}, u_{1} w_{1}\right\}$ is collapsible. Thus $\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{2}, u_{1} w_{1}\right\}$ has a spanning $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$-trail. This trail can be extended to a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$ trail $T$ in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ with $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)=\left\{v_{1}\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. If $e_{2} \notin E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)$, by $(9), F\left(\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{2}, u_{1} w_{1}\right\}\right)\left(e_{2}\right)\right) \leq 1$. By Theorem 14(ii), $\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{2}, u_{1} w_{1}\right\}\right)\left(e_{2}\right)$ is collapsible. Thus $\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{2}, u_{1} w_{1}\right\}\right)\left(e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(b_{1}, v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail. This trail can be extended to a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ with $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)=$ $\left\{v_{1}\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. Claim 3.4 holds.

By Claim 3.4, we assume that $t=2$. Then $\triangle^{*}(G)=\left\{\triangle_{v_{1}}, \triangle_{v_{2}}\right\}$, where $v_{i} \in D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right), V\left(\triangle_{v_{i}}\right)=\left\{v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{e_{2}, f_{2}\right\} \cap E\left(\triangle_{v_{2}}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{v_{2} u_{2}, v_{2} w_{2}, v_{2} z_{2}\right\}$. Since $G_{1}^{*}$ is essentially 6 -edge-connected, $d_{G_{1}^{*}}(x) \geq 5$ for $x \in$ $\left\{u_{1}, w_{1}, u_{2}, w_{2}\right\}$. By Lemma $16, G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$ is 3 -edge-connected and essentially 5 -edge-connected. Since $v_{2}$ is the only vertex of degree 3 in $G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$, we have $\tau\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{2}\right\}\right) \geq 2$, and so $F\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{u_{1} w_{1}, f_{2}\right\}\right) \leq 1$.

Consider $G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$. Then $\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{u_{1} w_{1}, f_{2}\right\}$ is essentially 3 -edge-connected. If $\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{u_{1} w_{1}, f_{2}\right\}$ has a cut edge $f^{\prime}$, then we assume that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are components of $\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{u_{1} w_{1}, f_{2}, f^{\prime}\right\}$. Since $G_{1}^{*}$ is essentially 6 -edge-connected, we have either $H_{1}$ or $H_{2}$ is trivial. Without loss of generality, we assume that $V\left(H_{1}\right)=\left\{u_{1}\right\}$. Then $E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(u_{1}\right)=\left\{u_{1} v_{1}, u_{1} w_{1}, f_{2}, f^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left(E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(u_{1}\right) \cup E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right)\right)-$ $\left\{u_{1} v_{1}\right\}$ is an essential 5 -edge cut in $G_{1}^{*}$, a contradiction. So $\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{u_{1} w_{1}, f_{2}\right\}$ is 2 -edge-connected.

If $e_{2} \in E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup\left\{u_{1} w_{1}\right\}$, then $f_{2} \in E\left(\triangle_{v_{2}}\right)$. We assume that $e_{2}$ is incident to $b_{3}$, where $b_{3} \in\left\{u_{1}, w_{1}, z_{1}\right\}$. By Theorem 14(ii), $\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{u_{1} w_{1}, f_{2}\right\}$ is collapsible. Thus $\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{u_{1} w_{1}, f_{2}\right\}$ has a spanning $\left(b_{1}, b_{3}\right)$-trail $T^{\prime}$. Thus $T=v\left(e_{1}\right) T^{\prime} v\left(e_{2}\right)$ is a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ such that $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)=\left\{v_{1}\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. So we may assume that $e_{2} \notin E_{G_{1}^{*}}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup\left\{u_{1} w_{1}\right\}$.

As $\tau\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{f_{2}\right\}\right) \geq 2, F\left(\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{u_{1} w_{1}, f_{2}\right\}\right)\left(e_{2}\right)\right) \leq 2$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{u_{1} w_{1}, f_{2}\right\}\right)\left(e_{2}\right)$. By Theorem 14(iii), $G^{\prime} \in\left\{K_{1}, K_{2, \ell}\right\}$ $(\ell \geq 3)$. Since $d_{G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right) \geq 4$ and $d_{G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}}\left(w_{1}\right) \geq 4$ and since $G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}$ is 3-edgeconnected and essentially 5 -edge-connected, $G^{\prime}=K_{1}$. Notice that $e_{1}=v_{1} b_{1}$. Then $\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-v_{1}\right)-\left\{u_{1} w_{1}, f_{2}\right\}\right)\left(e_{2}\right)$ has a spanning $\left(b_{1}, v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$. This trail can be extended to a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ in $\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ with $V\left(\left(G_{1}^{*}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T)=\left\{v_{1}\right\} \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)$. We finish the proof of Claim 3.

We finish the proof of Lemma 22.
We need one more notation. Let $e=x y \in E\left(W_{5}\right)$ with $x, y \in D_{3}\left(W_{5}\right)$ and let $H$ be a graph and $e^{\prime}=x^{\prime} y^{\prime} \in E(H)$. Define a new graph $H \oplus W_{5}$ to be a graph obtained from the disjoint union of $H-e^{\prime}$ and $W_{5}$ by identifying $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ to form a new vertex, also called $x$, and by identifying $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ to form a new vertex, also called $y$.
Lemma 23 [15]. Suppose that $s \geq 0$ and that $G$ is a claw-free graph such that $\kappa(L(G)) \geq s+4$. Let $G_{0}$ be the core of $G$ and let $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3} \in D_{3}\left(G_{0}\right)$ be vertices with $N_{G_{0}}\left(w_{2}\right)=\left\{w_{1}, w_{3}, v\right\}$. If $v w_{1}, v w_{3} \in E\left(G_{0}\right)$, then each of the following holds.
(i) $s=0$.
(ii) Either $G=G_{0} \in\left\{K_{4}, W_{4}, W_{5}\right\}$, or there exists a subgraph $H$ of $G$ with $\kappa^{\prime}(H) \geq 3$ and ess ${ }^{\prime}(H) \geq 4$ such that $G_{0}=H \oplus W_{5}$ (see Figure 3).


Figure 3. $K_{k+2} \oplus W_{5}$ in Lemma 23.
Proof of Theorem 9. Let $X$ be any edge subset of $G$ with $|X|=s$. To prove that $L(G)$ is $s$-hamiltonian connected, it suffices to prove that for any two edges
$e_{1}, e_{2} \in G-X, G-X$ has an internally dominating $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail. By Theorem 8, we assume that $s \in\{0,1,2\}$. Let $G_{0}$ be the core of $G$. Then it suffices to assume that $X \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \subseteq E\left(G_{0}\right)$, and to show $G_{0}-X$ has an internally dominating $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail $T$ with $V\left(G_{0}\right)-V(T) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=3}^{s+3} D_{i}\left(G_{0}\right)$. By contradiction, we assume that $G$ is a counterexample to Theorem 9 with $\left|V\left(G_{0}\right)\right|$ minimized. Then there exist edges $X \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \subseteq E\left(G_{0}\right)$ such that $\left(G_{0}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ does not have a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\left(G_{0}-X\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V(T) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=3}^{s+3} D_{i}\left(G_{0}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (10) and Theorem 13 (iii), we assume that $G_{0} \notin\left\{K_{4}, W_{4}, W_{5}\right\}$ and $G_{0}^{*}$ is not collapsible. By Lemma 22, $G_{0}$ does not have Property $\mathcal{K}(s)$. As $G_{0}$ is clawfree, $(\mathrm{KS} 2)$ is violated. Thus there exist $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3} \in D_{3}\left(G_{0}\right)$ with $N_{G_{0}}\left(w_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{w_{1}, w_{3}, v\right\}$ and $v w_{1}, v w_{3} \in E\left(G_{0}\right)$. By Lemma 23, we have $s=0$ and $G_{0}=$ $H \oplus W_{5}$ for a subgraph $H$ of $G_{0}$ with $\kappa^{\prime}(H) \geq 3$ and ess $^{\prime}(H) \geq 4$. Assume that $V\left(W_{5}\right)=\left\{v, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{5}\right\}$ with $w_{4} w_{5} \in E(H) \cap E\left(W_{5}\right)$, as depicted in Figure 3. As $H$ is claw-free, every 3 -edge-cut of $H$ has at least one edge in a 3 -cycle. By Theorem $13(\mathrm{v})$, for any two edges $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(H), H\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is collapsible. Thus $H$ and $H\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ are collapsible.

If $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cap E\left(W_{5}\right)=\emptyset$, then by the minimality of $G_{0}, H\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T_{1}$ with $V\left(H\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)-V\left(T_{1}\right) \subseteq D_{3}(H)$. Thus the subgraph induced by $E\left(T_{1}\right) \cup\left\{v w_{5}, v w_{4}, w_{5} w_{1}, w_{1} w_{2}, w_{2} w_{3}, w_{3} w_{4}\right\}$ is a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail in $G_{0}^{*}$, contrary to (10). If $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E\left(W_{5}\right)$, then by inspection, $W_{5}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail $T_{2}$ that contains either $w_{4}$ or $w_{5}$. As $H$ is collapsible, $H$ has a spanning eulerian subgraph $T_{3}$. Thus $T_{4}=G_{0}^{*}\left[\left(E\left(T_{2}\right)-E\left(T_{3}\right)\right) \cup\left(E\left(T_{3}\right)-E\left(T_{2}\right)\right)\right]$ is a dominating $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail in $G_{0}^{*}$ with $V\left(G_{0}^{*}\right)-V\left(T_{4}\right) \subseteq D_{3}\left(G_{0}\right)$, contrary to (10). Thus we assume that $e_{1} \in E(H)-E\left(W_{5}\right)$ and $e_{2} \in E\left(W_{5}\right)-E(H)$. By Theorem 13(ii), $W_{5}\left(e_{2}\right)$ is collapsible. By Theorem $13(\mathrm{v}), H\left(e_{1}\right)$ is collapsible. Thus $G_{0}^{*}$ is collapsible, a contradiction.
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