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#### Abstract

Let $D$ be a strong digraph. An arc subset $S$ is a $k$-restricted arc cut of $D$ if $D-S$ has a strong component $D^{\prime}$ with order at least $k$ such that $D \backslash V\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ contains a connected subdigraph with order at least $k$. If such a $k$-restricted arc cut exists in $D$, then $D$ is called $\lambda^{k}$-connected. For a $\lambda^{k}$ connected digraph $D$, the $k$-restricted arc connectivity, denoted by $\lambda^{k}(D)$, is the minimum cardinality over all $k$-restricted arc cuts of $D$. It is known that for many digraphs $\lambda^{k}(D) \leq \xi^{k}(D)$, where $\xi^{k}(D)$ denotes the minimum $k$-degree of $D . D$ is called $\lambda^{k}$-optimal if $\lambda^{k}(D)=\xi^{k}(D)$. In this paper, we will give some sufficient conditions for digraphs and bipartite digraphs to be $\lambda^{3}$-optimal.
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## 1. Introduction

It is well-known that the network can be modelled as a digraph $D$ with vertices $V(D)$ representing sites and arcs $A(D)$ representing links between sites of the network. Let $v \in V(D)$, the out-neighborhood of $v$ is the set $N^{+}(v)=\{x \in$ $V(D): v x \in A(D)\}$ and the out-degree of $v$ is $d^{+}(v)=\left|N^{+}(v)\right|$. The inneighborhood of $v$ is the set $N^{-}(v)=\{x \in V(D): x v \in A(D)\}$ and the in-degree of $v$ is $d^{-}(v)=\left|N^{-}(v)\right|$. The neighborhood of $v$ is $N(v)=N^{+}(v) \cup N^{-}(v)$.

[^0]Let $\delta^{+}(D), \delta^{-}(D)$ and $\delta(D)$ denote, respectively, the minimum out-degree, the minimum in-degree and the minimum degree of $D$.

For a pair nonempty vertex sets $X$ and $Y$ of $D,[X, Y]=\{x y \in A(D): x \in$ $X, y \in Y\}$. Specially, if $Y=\bar{X}$, where $\bar{X}=V(D) \backslash X$, then we write $\partial^{+}(X)$ or $\partial^{-}(Y)$ instead of $[X, Y]$. For $X \subseteq V(D)$, the subdigraph of $D$ induced by $X$ is denoted by $D[X]$. The underlying $\operatorname{graph} U(D)$ of $D$ is the unique graph obtained from $D$ by deleting the orientation of all arcs and keeping one edge of a pair of multiple edges. $D$ is connected if $U(D)$ is connected and $D$ is strongly connected (or, just, strong) if there exists a directed $(x, y)$-path and a directed $(y, x)$-path for any $x, y \in V(D)$. We define a digraph with one vertex to be strong. A connected (strong) component of $D$ is a maximal induced subdigraph of $D$ which is connected (strong). If $D$ has $p$ strong components, then these strong components can be labeled $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{p}$ such that there is no arc from $D_{j}$ to $D_{i}$ unless $j<i$. We call such an ordering an acyclic ordering of the strong components of $D$.

In a strong digraph $D$, we often use arc connectivity of $D$ to measure the reliability. An arc set $S$ is a arc cut of $D$ if $D-S$ is not strong. The arc connectivity $\lambda(D)$ is the minimum cardinality over all arc cuts of $D$. The arc cut $S$ of $D$ with cardinality $\lambda(D)$ is called a $\lambda$-cut. Whitney's inequality shows $\lambda(D) \leq$ $\delta(D)$. A strong digraph $D$ with $\lambda(D)=\delta(D)$ is called $\lambda$-optimal. However, only using arc connectivity to measure the reliability is not enough. In [12], Volkmann introduced the concept of restricted arc connectivity. An arc subset $S$ of $D$ is a resrtricted arc cut if $D-S$ has a strong component $D^{\prime}$ with order at least 2 such that $D \backslash V\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ contains an arc. If such an arc cut exists in $D$, then $D$ is called $\lambda^{\prime}$-connected. For a $\lambda^{\prime}$-connected digraph $D$, the restricted arc connectivity, denoted by $\lambda^{\prime}(D)$, is the minimum cardinality over all restricted arc cuts of $D$. The restricted arc cut $S$ of $D$ with cardinality $\lambda^{\prime}(D)$ is called a $\lambda^{\prime}$-cut. In [13], Wang and Lin introduced the notion of minimum arc degree. Let $x y \in A(D)$. Then

$$
\Omega(\{x, y\})=\left\{\partial^{+}(\{x, y\}), \partial^{-}(\{x, y\}), \partial^{+}(\{x\}) \cup \partial^{-}(\{y\}), \partial^{+}(\{y\}) \cup \partial^{-}(\{x\})\right\}
$$

The arc degree of $x y$ is $\xi^{\prime}(x y)=\min \{|S|: S \in \Omega(\{x, y\})\}$ and the minimum arc degree of $D$ is $\xi^{\prime}(D)=\min \left\{\xi^{\prime}(x y): x y \in A(D)\right\}$.

It was proved in $[3,13]$ that for many $\lambda^{\prime}$-connected digraphs, $\xi^{\prime}(D)$ is an upper bound of $\lambda^{\prime}(D)$. In [13], Wang and Lin introduced the concept of $\lambda^{\prime}$ optimality. A $\lambda^{\prime}$-connected digraph $D$ with $\xi^{\prime}(D)=\lambda^{\prime}(D)$ is called $\lambda^{\prime}$-optimal. As a generalization of restricted arc connectivity, in [10], Lin et al. introduced the concept of $k$-restricted arc connectivity.

Definition [10]. Let $D$ be a strong digraph. An arc subset $S$ is a $k$-restricted arc cut of $D$ if $D-S$ has a strong component $D^{\prime}$ with order at least $k$ such that
$D \backslash V\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ contains a connected subdigraph with order at least $k$. If such a $k$ restricted arc cut exists in $D$, then $D$ is called $\lambda^{k}$-connected. For a $\lambda^{k}$-connected digraph $D$, the $k$-restricted arc connectivity, denoted by $\lambda^{k}(D)$, is the minimum cardinality over all $k$-restricted arc cuts of $D$. The $k$-restricted arc cut $S$ of $D$ with cardinality $\lambda^{k}(D)$ is called a $\lambda^{k}$-cut.

Definition [10]. Let $D$ be a strong digraph. For any $X \subseteq V(D)$, let $\Omega(X)=$ $\left\{\partial^{+}\left(X_{1}\right) \cup \partial^{-}\left(X \backslash X_{1}\right): X_{1} \subseteq X\right\}$ and $\xi(X)=\min \{|S|: S \in \Omega(X)\}$. Define the minimum $k$-degree of $D$ to be

$$
\xi^{k}(D)=\min \{\xi(X): X \subseteq V(D),|X|=k, D[X] \text { is connected }\} .
$$

Clearly, $\lambda^{1}(D)=\lambda(D), \lambda^{2}(D)=\lambda^{\prime}(D), \xi^{1}(D)=\delta(D)$ and $\xi^{2}(D)=\xi^{\prime}(D)$. Let $D$ be a $\lambda^{k}$-connected digraph, where $k \geq 2$. Then $D$ is $\lambda^{k-1}$-connected and $\lambda^{k-1}(D) \leq \lambda^{k}(D)$. It was shown in [10] that $\xi^{k}(D)$ is an upper bound of $\lambda^{k}(D)$ for many digraphs. And a $\lambda^{k}$-connected digraph $D$ with $\lambda^{k}(D)=\xi^{k}(D)$ is called $\lambda^{k}$-optimal.

The research on the $\lambda^{k}$-optimality of digraph $D$ is considered to be a hot issue. In [11], Hellwig and Volkmann concluded many sufficient conditions for digraphs to be $\lambda$-optimal. Besides, sufficient conditions for digraphs to be $\lambda^{\prime}$-optimal were also given by several authors, for example by Balbuena et al. [1-4], Chen et al. [5,6], Grüter and Guo [7,8], Liu and Zhang [9], Volkmann [12] and Wang and Lin [13]. However, closely related conditions for $\lambda^{3}$-optimal digraphs have received little attention until recently. In [10], Lin et al. gave some sufficient conditions for digraphs to be $\lambda^{3}$-optimal. In this paper, we will give some sufficient conditions for digraphs to be $\lambda^{3}$-optimal. As corollaries, degree conditions or degree sum conditions for a digraph or a bipartite digraph to be $\lambda^{3}$-optimal are given. The main contributions in this paper are as following.

Theorem 1. Let $D$ be a digraph with $|V(D)| \geq 6$. If $\left|N^{+}(u) \cap N^{-}(v)\right| \geq 5$ for any $u, v \in V(D)$ with $u v \notin A(D)$, then $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal.

Theorem 2. Let $D=(X, Y, A(D))$ be a bipartite digraph with $|V(D)| \geq 6$. If $\left|N^{+}(u) \cap N^{-}(v)\right| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1$ for any $u, v \in V(D)$ in the same partite, then $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

We first introduce three useful lemmas.
Lemma 3 (Theorem 1.4 in [10]). Let $D$ be a strong digraph with $\delta^{+}(D) \geq 2 k-1$ or $\delta^{-}(D) \geq 2 k-1$. Then $D$ is $\lambda^{k}$-connected and $\lambda^{k}(D) \leq \xi^{k}(D)$.

Lemma 4. Let $D$ be a strong digraph with $\delta^{+}(D) \geq 2 k-1$ or $\delta^{-}(D) \geq 2 k-1$, and let $S=\partial^{+}(X)$ be a $\lambda^{k}$-cut of $D$, where $X$ is a subset of $V(D)$. If $D[X]$ contains a connected subdigraph $B$ with order $k$ such that $\left|N^{+}(x) \cap \bar{X}\right| \geq k$ for any $x \in X \backslash V(B)$ or $D[\bar{X}]$ contains a connected subdigraph $C$ with order $k$ such that $\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right| \geq k$ for any $y \in \bar{X} \backslash V(C)$, then $D$ is $\lambda^{k}$-optimal.
Proof. By Lemma 3, $D$ is $\lambda^{k}$-connected and $\lambda^{k}(D) \leq \xi^{k}(D)$. By symmetry, we only prove the case that $D[X]$ contains a connected subdigraph $B$ with order $k$ such that $\left|N^{+}(x) \cap \bar{X}\right| \geq k$ for any $x \in X \backslash V(B)$. The hypotheses imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{k}(D) & \leq\left|\partial^{+}(V(B))\right|=|[V(B), X \backslash V(B)]|+|[V(B), \bar{X}]| \\
& \leq k|X \backslash V(B)|+|[V(B), \bar{X}]| \leq \sum_{x \in X \backslash V(B)}\left|N^{+}(x) \cap \bar{X}\right|+|[V(B), \bar{X}]| \\
& =|[X \backslash V(B), \bar{X}]|+|[V(B), \bar{X}]|=|[X, \bar{X}]|=|S|=\lambda^{k}(D) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\lambda^{k}(D)=\xi^{k}(D)$ and $D$ is $\lambda^{k}$-optimal.
Lemma 5 (Lemma 4.1 in [10]). Let $D$ be a strong digraph with $|V(D)| \geq 6$ and $\delta(D) \geq 4$, and let $S$ be a $\lambda^{3}$-cut of $D$. If $D$ is not $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, then there exists a subset of vertices $X \subset V(D)$ such that $S=\partial^{+}(X)$ and both induced subdigraphs $D[X]$ and $D[\bar{X}]$ contain a connected subdigraph with order 3 .
Proof of Theorem 1. Clearly, $D$ is a strong digraph with $\delta(D) \geq 5$. By Lemma $3, D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-connected and $\lambda^{3}(D) \leq \xi^{3}(D)$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $D$ is not $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, that is, $\lambda^{3}(D)<\xi^{3}(D)$. Let $S$ be a $\lambda^{3}$-cut of $D$. By Lemma 5 , there exists a subset of vertices $X \subset V(D)$ such that $S=\partial^{+}(X)$ and both induced subdigraphs $D[X]$ and $D[\bar{X}]$ contain a connected subdigraph with order 3.

Let $Y=\bar{X}$, and let $X_{i}=\left\{x \in X:\left|N^{+}(x) \cap Y\right|=i\right\}, Y_{i}=\{y \in Y:$ $\left.\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right|=i\right\}, i=0,1,2$, and let $X_{3}=\left\{x \in X:\left|N^{+}(x) \cap Y\right| \geq 3\right\}, Y_{3}=$ $\left\{y \in Y:\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right| \geq 3\right\}$.

Claim 1. $\min \{|X|,|Y|\} \geq 4$.
Proof. Suppose that $|X|=3$. Then $\lambda^{3}(D)=|S|=\left|\partial^{+}(X)\right| \geq \xi(X) \geq \xi^{3}(D)$, contrary to the assumption. Suppose that $|Y|=3$. Then $\lambda^{3}(D)=|S|=$ $\left|\partial^{-}(Y)\right| \geq \xi(Y) \geq \xi^{3}(D)$, contrary to the assumption. Claim 1 follows.

Claim 2. $X_{0}=Y_{0}=\emptyset$.
Proof. By symmetry, we only prove that $X_{0}=\emptyset$ by contradiction. Suppose $X_{0} \neq \emptyset$ and let $x \in X_{0}$. Then for any $\bar{x} \in Y, x \bar{x} \notin A(D)$ and we have that $5 \leq\left|N^{+}(x) \cap N^{-}(\bar{x})\right|=\left|N^{+}(x) \cap N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap X\right|+\left|N^{+}(x) \cap N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap Y\right| \leq \mid N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap$ $X\left|+\left|N^{+}(x) \cap Y\right|=\left|N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap X\right|\right.$. It implies that $| N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap X \mid \geq 5$. Therefore $Y \subseteq Y_{3}$. So $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal by Lemma 4 , a contradiction to our assumption.

Combining Claim 2 with Lemma 4 , we have that $Y_{1} \cup Y_{2} \neq \emptyset$ and $X_{1} \cup X_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise we will obtain that $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, which is a contradiction. Next, we consider two cases.

Case 1. $X_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Let $x^{\prime} \in X_{1}$ and suppose $N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap Y=\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}$. Then for any $y \in Y \backslash\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}, x^{\prime} y \notin A(D)$, so we have that $5 \leq\left|N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}(y)\right|=$ $\left|N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}(y) \cap X\right|+\left|N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}(y) \cap Y\right| \leq\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right|+\left|N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap Y\right|=$ $\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right|+1$. So $\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right| \geq 4$ and $Y \backslash\left\{y^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq Y_{3}$. On the other hand, since $Y_{1} \cup Y_{2} \neq \emptyset$, so $y^{\prime} \in Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$. Besides, $5 \leq \delta(D) \leq \delta^{-}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\left|N^{-}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|=$ $\left|N^{-}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap Y\right|+\left|N^{-}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap X\right| \leq\left|N^{-}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap Y\right|+2$, thus $\left|N^{-}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap Y\right| \geq 3$. Let $y_{1}, y_{2} \in N^{-}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap Y$, then $D\left[y^{\prime}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ is connected and $\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right| \geq 4$ for any $y \in Y \backslash\left\{y^{\prime}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$. By Lemma 4, we have that $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, a contradiction.

Case 2. $X_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Let $x^{\prime} \in X_{2}$ and suppose $N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap Y=\left\{y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. Then for any $y \in Y \backslash\left\{y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right\}, x^{\prime} y \notin A(D)$, thus $5 \leq\left|N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}(y)\right|=\mid N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}(y) \cap$ $X\left|+\left|N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}(y) \cap Y\right| \leq\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right|+\left|N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap Y\right|=\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right|+2\right.$. So $\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right| \geq 3$ and $Y \backslash\left\{y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right\} \subseteq Y_{3}$. On the other hand, since $Y_{1} \cup Y_{2} \neq \emptyset$, $y^{\prime} \in Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$ or $y^{\prime \prime} \in Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$. If $\left|Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}\right|=1$, then we can prove that $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal by a proof similar to Case 1, which is a contradiction. If $Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}=\left\{y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, then we consider two subcases.

Subcase 2.1. $y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \in A(D)$ or $y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime} \in A(D)$. Since $y^{\prime \prime} \in Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$ and $\delta(D) \geq 5$, then there exists $y_{1} \in N^{-}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap Y$ such that $y_{1} \neq y^{\prime}$. Therefore $D\left[y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y_{1}\right]$ is connected and $\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right| \geq 3$ for any $y \in Y \backslash\left\{y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y_{1}\right\}$. By Lemma 4, we have that $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. $y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \notin A(D)$ and $y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime} \notin A(D)$. Since $y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \notin A(D)$ and $y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime} \notin$ $A(D)$, then $5 \leq\left|N^{+}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|=\left|N^{+}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap X\right|+\mid N^{+}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap$ $Y\left|\leq\left|N^{-}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap X\right|+\left|N^{+}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap Y\right| \leq 2+\left|N^{+}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap Y\right|\right.$. Therefore $\left|N^{+}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap Y\right| \geq 3$. Let $y_{1} \in N^{+}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap Y$. Then $D\left[y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y_{1}\right]$ is connected and $\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X\right| \geq 3$ for any $y \in Y \backslash\left\{y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y_{1}\right\}$. By Lemma 4, we have that $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, a contradiction.

The proof is complete.
From Theorem 1, we have following corollaries.
Corollary 6. Let $D$ be a digraph with $|V(D)| \geq 6$. If $d^{+}(u)+d^{-}(v) \geq|V(D)|+3$ for any $u, v \in V(D)$ with $u v \notin A(D)$, then $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal.

Corollary 7 (Theorem 1.7 in [10]). Let $D$ be a digraph with $|V(D)| \geq 6$. If $\delta(D) \geq \frac{|V(D)|+3}{2}$, then $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal.

Remark 8. To show the condition that " $\left|N^{+}(u) \cap N^{-}(v)\right| \geq 5$ for any $u, v \in V(D)$ with $u v \notin A(D)$ "in Theorem 1 is sharp, we give a class of digraphs. Let $m, k$ be positive integers with $m \geq 3$, and let $D$ be a digraph with $|V(D)|=4 m+4$.

Define the vertex set of $D$ as $V(D)=B \cup C$, where $B=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, w_{0}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.w_{m}\right\}$ and $C=\left\{y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}, z_{0}, \ldots, z_{m}\right\}$. And define the arc set of $D$ as $A(D)=$ $A(D[B]) \cup A(D[C]) \cup M_{1} \cup M_{2} \cup M_{3} \cup M_{4}$, where $A(D[B]) \cup A(D[C])=\{u v:$ for any $u, v \in B$ or $C\}, M_{1}=\left\{x_{i} y_{k(\bmod m+1)}: 0 \leq i \leq m\right.$ and $\left.0 \leq k-i \leq 1\right\}$, $M_{2}=\left\{w_{i} z_{k(\bmod m+1)}: 0 \leq i \leq m\right.$ and $\left.0 \leq k-i \leq 2\right\}, M_{3}=\left\{y_{i} x_{k(\bmod m+1)}:\right.$ $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq k-i \leq 2\}$ and $M_{4}=\left\{z_{i} w_{k(\bmod m+1)}: 0 \leq i \leq m\right.$ and $0 \leq k-i \leq 2\}$.

Clearly, $D$ is strong and there exists $0 \leq i, j \leq m$ such that $\mid N^{+}\left(x_{i}\right) \cap$ $N^{-}\left(y_{j}\right) \mid=4$ and $x_{i} y_{j} \notin A(D)$. And $\partial^{+}(B)$ is a 3 -restricted edge cut with $\left|\partial^{+}(B)\right|=(2+3) \cdot(m+1)=5 m+5$. On the other hand, $\xi^{3}(D)=\xi\left(\left\{x_{l}, x_{p}, x_{q}\right\}\right)=$ $\left|\partial^{+}\left(\left\{x_{l}, x_{p}, x_{q}\right\}\right)\right|=3 \cdot(2 m+3)-6=6 m+3$, where $0 \leq l, p, q \leq m$. So $\lambda^{3}(D)$ $\leq\left|\partial^{+}(B)\right|=5 m+5<6 m+3=\xi^{3}(D)$ for $m \geq 3$. Thus $D$ is not $\lambda^{3}$-optimal.

Besides, in $D$, there exists $0 \leq i, j \leq m$ such that $x_{i} y_{j} \notin A(D)$ and $d^{+}\left(x_{i}\right)+$ $d^{-}\left(y_{j}\right)=2 \cdot(2 m+3)=|V(D)|+2<|V(D)|+3$, and $\delta(D)=2 m+3=$ $\frac{|V(D)|}{2}+1<\frac{|V(D)|+3}{2}$. So this example also shows that the conditions that " $d^{+}(u)+d^{-}(v) \geq|V(D)|+3$ for any $u, v \in V(D)$ with $u v \notin A(D)$ " in Corollary 6 and " $\delta(D) \geq \frac{|V(D)|+3}{2}$ " in Corollary 7 are sharp.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

We first introduce several useful lemmas.
Lemma 9 (Lemma 2.1 in [10]). Let $D$ be a strong digraph and $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ disjoint subsets of $V(D)$. If $D\left[X_{1}\right]$ contains a connected subdigraph with order at least $k$ and $D\left[Y_{1}\right]$ contains a strong subdigraph with order at least $k$, then $D$ is $\lambda^{k}$ connected and each arc set in $\left\{\partial^{-}\left(Y_{1}\right), \partial^{+}\left(Y_{1}\right)\right\} \cup \Omega\left(X_{1}\right)$ is a $k$-restricted arc cut of $D$.
Lemma 10. Let $D=(X, Y, A(D))$ be a strong bipartite digraph with $\delta^{+}(D) \geq 3$ or $\delta^{-}(D) \geq 3$. Then $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-connected and $\lambda^{3}(D) \leq \xi^{3}(D)$.

Proof. By symmetry, we only consider the case that $\delta^{-}(D) \geq 3$. Let $X^{\prime}$ be a subset of $V(D)$ with $\left|X^{\prime}\right|=3$ such that $D\left[X^{\prime}\right]$ is connected and $\xi^{3}(D)=\xi\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\left|X^{\prime} \cap X\right|=1$ and $\left|X^{\prime} \cap Y\right|=2$. Let $X^{\prime} \cap X=\{x\}$ and $X^{\prime} \cap Y=\{y, z\}$. Let $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{p}$ be an acyclic ordering of the strong components of $D \backslash X^{\prime}$.

First, we claim that $V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, we have that $V\left(D_{1}\right) \subseteq X$ and $\left|V\left(D_{1}\right)\right|=1$. Let $V\left(D_{1}\right)=\{u\}$. Then $N^{-}(u) \subseteq\{y, z\}$. So $3 \leq \delta^{-}(D) \leq d^{-}(u)=$ $\left|N^{-}(u)\right| \leq|\{y, z\}|=2$, a contradiction. Next, we aim to prove $\left|V\left(D_{1}\right)\right| \geq 3$.

Since $N^{-}(v) \subseteq\{x\} \cup\left(V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap X\right)$ for any $v \in V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap Y$, we have $3 \leq$ $\delta^{-}(D) \leq d^{-}(v)=\left|N^{-}(v)\right| \leq\left|\{x\} \cup\left(V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap X\right)\right|=|\{x\}|+\left|V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap X\right|=$ $1+\left|V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap X\right|$. Thus $\left|V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap X\right| \geq 2$ and $\left|V\left(D_{1}\right)\right|=\left|V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap X\right|+\mid V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap$ $Y \mid \geq 2+1=3$. It follows that $\left|V\left(D_{1}\right)\right| \geq 3$. Since $D\left[X^{\prime}\right]$ is connected and
$D\left[X^{\prime}\right] \subseteq D \backslash V\left(D_{1}\right)$, by Lemma 9 , each arc set in $\Omega\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is a 3 -restricted arc cut of $D$. Therefore, $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-connected and $\lambda^{3}(D) \leq \xi\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\xi^{3}(D)$.

Lemma 11. Let $D=(X, Y, A(D))$ be a strong bipartite digraph with $\delta^{+}(D) \geq 3$ or $\delta^{-}(D) \geq 3$, and let $S=\partial^{+}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ be a $\lambda^{3}$-cut of $D$, where $X^{\prime}$ is a subset of $V(D)$. If $D\left[X^{\prime}\right]$ contains a connected subdigraph $B$ with order 3 such that $\mid N^{+}(x)$ $\cap \overline{X^{\prime}} \mid \geq 2$ for any $x \in X^{\prime} \backslash V(B)$ or $D\left[\overline{X^{\prime}}\right]$ contains a connected subdigraph $C$ with order 3 such that $\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$ for any $y \in \overline{X^{\prime}} \backslash V(C)$, then $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal.

Proof. By Lemma $10, D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-connected and $\lambda^{3}(D) \leq \xi^{3}(D)$. By symmetry, we only prove the case that $D\left[X^{\prime}\right]$ contains a connected subdigraph $B$ with order 3 such that $\left|N^{+}(x) \cap \overline{X^{\prime}}\right| \geq 2$ for any $x \in X^{\prime} \backslash V(B)$. The hypotheses imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{3}(D) & \leq\left|\partial^{+}(V(B))\right|=\left|\left[V(B), X^{\prime} \backslash V(B)\right]\right|+\left|\left[V(B), \overline{X^{\prime}}\right]\right| \\
& \leq 2\left|X^{\prime} \backslash V(B)\right|+\left|\left[V(B), \overline{X^{\prime}}\right]\right| \leq \sum_{x \in X^{\prime} \backslash V(B)}\left|N^{+}(x) \cap \overline{X^{\prime}}\right|+\left|\left[V(B), \overline{X^{\prime}}\right]\right| \\
& =\left|\left[X^{\prime} \backslash V(B), \overline{X^{\prime}}\right]\right|+\left|\left[V(B), \overline{X^{\prime}}\right]\right|=\left|\left[X^{\prime}, \overline{X^{\prime}}\right]\right|=|S|=\lambda^{3}(D) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\lambda^{3}(D)=\xi^{3}(D)$ and $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal.
By a proof similar to that of Lemma 4.1 shown in [10], we can get the following Lemma 12.

Lemma 12. Let $D=(X, Y, A(D))$ be a strong bipartite digraph with $\delta(D) \geq 3$, and let $S$ be a $\lambda^{3}$-cut of $D$. If $D$ is not $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, then there exists a subset of vertices $X^{\prime} \subset V(D)$ such that $S=\partial^{+}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and both induced subdigraphs $D\left[X^{\prime}\right]$ and $D\left[\overline{X^{\prime}}\right]$ contain a connected subdigraph with order 3 .

Proof of Theorem 2. Since $|V(D)| \geq 6$, for any $u, v \in V(D)$ in the same partite, $\left|N^{+}(u) \cap N^{-}(v)\right| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1 \geq 3$. Therefore $D$ is strong and $\delta(D) \geq 3$. By Lemma $10, D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-connected and $\lambda^{3}(D) \leq \xi^{3}(D)$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $D$ is not $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, that is, $\lambda^{3}(D)<\xi^{3}(D)$. Let $S$ be a $\lambda^{3}$-cut of $D$. Then by Lemma 12 , there exists a subset of vertices $X^{\prime} \subset V(D)$ such that $S=\partial^{+}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and both induced subdigraphs $D\left[X^{\prime}\right]$ and $D\left[\overline{X^{\prime}}\right]$ contain a connected subdigraph with order 3.

Let $\overline{X^{\prime}}=X^{\prime \prime}$, and let $X_{X}^{\prime}=X^{\prime} \cap X, X_{Y}^{\prime}=X^{\prime} \cap Y, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}=X^{\prime \prime} \cap X$ and $X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}=X^{\prime \prime} \cap Y$. And let $X_{X i}^{\prime}=\left\{x \in X_{X}^{\prime}:\left|N^{+}(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|=i\right\}, X_{Y i}^{\prime}=\left\{y \in X_{Y}^{\prime}:\right.$ $\left.\left|N^{+}(y) \cap X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right|=i\right\}, X_{X i}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{x \in X_{X}^{\prime \prime}:\left|N^{-}(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|=i\right\}, X_{Y i}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{y \in X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}:\right.$ $\left.\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X_{X}^{\prime}\right|=i\right\}, i=0,1$, and $X_{X 2}^{\prime}=\left\{x \in X_{X}^{\prime}:\left|N^{+}(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 2\right\}$, $X_{Y 2}^{\prime}=\left\{y \in X_{Y}^{\prime}:\left|N^{+}(y) \cap X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 2\right\}, X_{X 2}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{x \in X_{X}^{\prime \prime}:\left|N^{-}(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2\right\}$, $X_{Y 2}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{y \in X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}:\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X_{X}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2\right\}$.
Claim 1. $\min \left\{\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right|,\left|X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|,\left|X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right|,\left|X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\} \geq 2$.

Proof. If, on the contrary $\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right|=1$, let $X_{X}^{\prime}=\{v\}$. Then $\left|N(v) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$ for $D\left[X^{\prime}\right]$ contains a connected subdigraph with order 3. Let $y_{1}, y_{2} \in N(v) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}$. Then $D\left[v, y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ is connected, and for any $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime} \backslash\left\{v, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}, N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\{v\} \cup$ $\left(N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap X^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we have $3 \leq \delta(D) \leq d^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left|N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq|\{v\}|+\left|N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap X^{\prime \prime}\right|=$ $1+\left|N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap X^{\prime \prime}\right|$. Therefore $\left|N^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap X^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 2$. By Lemma 11, $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, a contradiction to our assumption. Thus $\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$. Similarly, we can prove that $\min \left\{\left|X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|,\left|X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right|,\left|X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\} \geq 2$.
Claim 2. Either $X_{X 0}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ or $X_{X 0}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$ and either $X_{Y 0}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ or $X_{Y 0}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$.
Proof. If $X_{X 0}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $X_{X 0}^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $x \in X_{X 0}^{\prime} \subseteq X$ and $\bar{x} \in$ $X_{X 0}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq X$ such that $\left|N^{+}(x) \cap N^{-}(\bar{x})\right| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1$. On the other hand, since $x \in X_{X 0}^{\prime}$ and $\bar{x} \in X_{X 0}^{\prime \prime}, N^{+}(x) \subseteq X_{Y}^{\prime}$ and $N^{-}(\bar{x}) \subseteq X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}$, which implies that $N^{+}(x) \cap N^{-}(\bar{x})=\emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus either $X_{X 0}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ or $X_{X 0}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. Similarly, we can obtain that either $X_{Y 0}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ or $X_{Y 0}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$.

We consider the following two cases.
Case 1. $X_{X 0}^{\prime}=X_{Y 0}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ or $X_{X 0}^{\prime \prime}=X_{Y 0}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. By symmetry, we only prove the case that $X_{X 0}^{\prime}=X_{Y 0}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.

Claim 1.1. Either $X_{X 1}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ and $X_{Y 1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ or $X_{X 1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $X_{Y 1}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.
Proof. Since $D$ is not $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, by Lemma 11, we have that $X_{X 1}^{\prime} \cup X_{Y 1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $X_{X 1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $X_{Y 1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Take $x_{1} \in X_{X 1}^{\prime}$. Then for any $\bar{x} \in X_{X}^{\prime \prime}$, we have that $\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)| \mid}{4}\right\rceil+1 \leq\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap N^{-}(\bar{x})\right|=\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap X^{\prime}\right|+\mid N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap$ $N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap X^{\prime \prime}\left|\leq\left|N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap X^{\prime}\right|+\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap X^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap X^{\prime}\right|+1\right.$. It implies that $\left|N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap X^{\prime}\right| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil \geq 2$. So $X_{X}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq X_{X 2}^{\prime \prime}$. By a similar proof, we can also prove that $X_{Y}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq X_{Y 2}^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal by Lemma 11, a contradiction. The proof of Claim 1.1 is complete.

Without loss of generality, let $X_{X 1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $X_{Y 1}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.
Case 1.1. $\left|X_{X 1}^{\prime}\right|=1$. Let $x_{1} \in X_{X 1}^{\prime}$. Then $3 \leq \delta(D) \leq d^{+}\left(x_{1}\right)=\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right)\right|=$ $\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|+\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|+1$, therefore $\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right| \geq$ 2. Let $y_{1}, y_{2} \in N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}$. Then $D\left[x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ is connected, and for any $v \in X^{\prime} \backslash\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\},\left|N^{+}(v) \cap X^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 2$. By Lemma 11, $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, a contradiction.

Case 1.2. $\left|X_{X 1}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X_{X 1}^{\prime}$. Then $\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1 \leq \mid N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap$ $N^{-}\left(x_{2}\right)\left|=\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(x_{2}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|+\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(x_{2}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq\right| N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap$ $N^{-}\left(x_{2}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\left|+\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(x_{2}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|+1\right.$. So $| N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap$
$N^{-}\left(x_{2}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime} \left\lvert\, \geq\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil \geq 2\right.$. Let $y_{1} \in N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(x_{2}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{3}(D) & \leq \xi\left(\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right\}\right) \leq\left|\partial^{+}\left(\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right\}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\left[\left\{x_{1}\right\}, X_{Y}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}\right]\right|+\left|\left[\left\{x_{1}\right\}, X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right|+\left|\left[\left\{x_{2}\right\}, X_{Y}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}\right]\right|+\left|\left[\left\{x_{2}\right\}, X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right| \\
& +\left|\left[\left\{y_{1}\right\}, X_{X}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}\right]\right|+\left|\left[\left\{y_{1}\right\}, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right| \\
& \leq 2 \cdot\left(\left|X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|-1\right)+2+\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right|-2+\left|\left[\left\{y_{1}\right\}, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right| \leq|S|=\lambda^{3}(D)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, a contradiction.
Case 2. $X_{X 0}^{\prime}=X_{Y 0}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$ or $X_{X 0}^{\prime \prime}=X_{Y 0}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. By symmetry, we only prove the case that $X_{X 0}^{\prime}=X_{Y 0}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $X_{Y 0}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $X_{X 0}^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, by Case $1, D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, a contradiction. On the other hand, since for any $u \in X_{Y 0}^{\prime}, N^{+}(u) \subseteq X_{X}^{\prime}$, we have $\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1 \leq$ $\delta(D) \leq d^{+}(u)=\left|N^{+}(u)\right| \leq\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right|$. Therefore $\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1$. Similarly, we can also prove that $\left|X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|+\left|X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right| & =|V(D)|-\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right|-\left|X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \\
& \leq|V(D)|-2 \cdot\left(\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1\right) \leq \frac{|V(D)|}{2}-2 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Claim 2.1. $\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|+1$ or $\left|X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq\left|X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right|+1$.
Proof. Otherwise, we have that $\left|X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|+\left|X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right|+\left|X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 2 \cdot\left(\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1\right)$ $\geq \frac{|V(D)|}{2}+2$, a contradiction to (1).

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|+1$ in the following discussion.

Claim 2.2. $\left|N^{+}(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 3$ and $\left|N^{-}(y) \cap X_{X}^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$ for any $x \in X_{X}^{\prime}$ and $y \in X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}$.
Proof. By symmetry, we only prove that for any $x \in X_{X}^{\prime},\left|N^{+}(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 3$. Since $X_{X 0}^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$, for any $x \in X_{X}^{\prime}$ and $\bar{x} \in X_{X 0}^{\prime \prime},\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1 \leq\left|N^{+}(x) \cap N^{-}(\bar{x})\right|=$ $\left|N^{+}(x) \cap N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|+\left|N^{+}(x) \cap N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq\left|N^{-}(\bar{x}) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|+\left|N^{+}(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|=$ $\left|N^{+}(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|$, so $\left|N^{+}(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1 \geq 3$.
Claim 2.3. $X_{Y 2}^{\prime}=X_{X 2}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$.
Proof. Here, we only prove that $X_{Y 2}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. The proof of the statement that $X_{X 2}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$ is similar. Suppose, by a contradiction, there exists $y \in X_{Y 2}^{\prime}$. Let
$x_{1}, x_{2} \in N^{+}(y) \cap X_{X}^{\prime \prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{3}(D) & \leq \xi\left(\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, y\right\}\right) \leq\left|\partial^{+}(\{y\}) \cup \partial^{-}\left(\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\partial^{+}(\{y\})\right|+\left|\partial^{-}\left(\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}\right)\right|-2=\left|\left[\{y\}, X_{X}^{\prime}\right]\right|+\left|\left[\{y\}, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right| \\
& +\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime},\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right]\right|+\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime \prime},\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right]\right|+\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime},\left\{x_{2}\right\}\right]\right|+\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime \prime},\left\{x_{2}\right\}\right]\right|-2 \\
& \leq\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right|+\left|\left[\{y\}, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right|+\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime},\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right]\right|+2\left|X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime},\left\{x_{2}\right\}\right]\right|-2 \\
& \leq 3 \max \left\{\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right|,\left|X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\}+\left|\left[\{y\}, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right|+\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime},\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right]\right| \\
& +\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime},\left\{x_{2}\right\}\right]\right|-2 \leq|S|=\lambda^{3}(D) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal, a contradiction.
Claim 2.4. For any $x \in X_{X}^{\prime},\left|N(X) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$.
Proof. Let $X_{Y}^{\prime}=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{p}\right\}$ and let $S^{*}=\left\{s^{*}: s^{*} \in N^{+}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y_{j}\right) \cap X_{X}^{\prime}\right.$, where $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$ and $i \neq j\}$. Then $D\left[S^{*} \cup X_{Y}^{\prime}\right]$ is strong. Besides, by Claim 2.3, we have that for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$ and $i \neq j, y_{i}, y_{j} \in X_{Y 0}^{\prime} \cup X_{Y 1}^{\prime}$. Therefore $\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1 \leq\left|N^{+}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y_{j}\right)\right|=\left|N^{+}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y_{j}\right) \cap X_{X}^{\prime}\right|+\mid N^{+}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap$ $N^{-}\left(y_{j}\right) \cap X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\left|\leq\left|N^{+}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y_{j}\right) \cap X_{X}^{\prime}\right|+\left|N^{+}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq\right| N^{+}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y_{j}\right) \cap$ $X_{X}^{\prime} \mid+1$. So $\left|N^{+}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y_{j}\right) \cap X_{X}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil \geq 2$. Similarly, we can prove that $\left|N^{+}\left(y_{j}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap X_{X}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$. On the other hand, since $\left|X_{Y}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$, we have $\left|S^{*} \cup X_{Y}^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$. For any $x \in S^{*}$, clearly, $\left|N(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$. Next, we claim that for any $x \in X_{X}^{\prime} \backslash S^{*},\left|N^{+}(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime},\{x\}\right]\right|$.

Suppose there exists $x^{*} \in X_{X}^{\prime} \backslash S^{*}$ such that $\left|N^{+}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|>\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime},\left\{x^{*}\right\}\right]\right|$. Since $D\left[S^{*} \cup X_{Y}^{\prime}\right]$ is strong and $\left|S^{*} \cup X_{Y}^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$, we have $X^{\prime} \backslash\left\{x^{*}\right\}$ is a 3-restricted edge cut. Therefore $\left|\partial^{+}\left(X^{\prime} \backslash\left\{x^{*}\right\}\right)\right|=|S|-\left|N^{+}\left(x^{*}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime},\left\{x^{*}\right\}\right]\right|<|S|$, a contradiction to the minimality of $S$. Thus $\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime},\{x\}\right]\right| \geq\left|N^{+}(x) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|$. By Claim 2.2, we have that $\left|\left[X_{Y}^{\prime},\{x\}\right]\right| \geq 3$. The proof of Claim 2.4 is complete.

Let $x_{1} \in X_{X}^{\prime}$ such that $\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq\left|N^{+}(u) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right|$ for any $u \in X_{X}^{\prime}$, and let $y_{1}, y_{2} \in N\left(x_{1}\right) \cap X_{Y}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{3}(D) & \leq\left|\partial^{+}\left(\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}\right)\right|=\left|\left[\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}, X^{\prime} \backslash\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}\right]\right|+\left|\left[\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}, X^{\prime \prime}\right]\right| \\
& \leq 2\left(\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right|-1\right)+\left|X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|-2+\left|\left[\left\{x_{1}\right\}, X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right|+\left|\left[\left\{y_{1}\right\}, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right|+\left|\left[\left\{y_{2}\right\}, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right| \\
& \leq 3\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right|-5+\left|\left[\left\{x_{1}\right\}, X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right|+\left|\left[\left\{y_{1}\right\}, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right| \\
& +\left|\left[\left\{y_{2}\right\}, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right|\left(\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|X_{Y}^{\prime}\right|+1\right) \leq\left|\left[\left\{x_{1}\right\}, X_{Y}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right| \times\left|X_{X}^{\prime}\right| \\
& +\left|\left[\left\{y_{1}\right\}, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right|+\left|\left[\left\{y_{2}\right\}, X_{X}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right| \leq|S|=\lambda^{3}(D) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $D$ is $\lambda_{3}$-optimal, a contradiction.
The proof is complete.

From Theorem 2, we have following corollaries.
Corollary 13. Let $D=(X, Y, A(D))$ be a strong bipartite digraph with $\delta(D) \geq 3$. If for any $u, v \in V(D)$ in the same partite, $d^{+}(u)+d^{-}(v) \geq|V(D)|-1$, then $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal.

Corollary 14. Let $D=(X, Y, A(D))$ be a strong bipartite digraph with $|V(D)| \geq$ 6. If $\delta(D) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{|V(D)|}{2}\right\rfloor$, then $D$ is $\lambda^{3}$-optimal.

(Unordered edges represent two arcs with the same end-vertices and opposite directions.)
Figure 1. The example from Remark 15.
Remark 15. To show that the condition " $\left|N^{+}(u) \cap N^{-}(v)\right| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|V(D)|}{4}\right\rceil+1$ for any $u, v \in V(D)$ in the same partite" in Theorem 2 is sharp, we consider the digraph $T$ shown in Figure 1. Clearly, $|V(D)| \geq 6$ and $D$ is strong. There exists $x_{1}, y_{1}$ in the same partite such that $\left|N^{+}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(y_{1}\right)\right|=2<3=\left\lceil\frac{|V(T)|}{4}\right\rceil+1$. Clearly, $\partial^{+}\left(\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}\right)$ is a 3-restricted edge cut and $\xi^{3}(T)=\left|\partial^{+}\left(\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}\right)\right|=5$. Therefore, $\lambda^{3}(T) \leq\left|\partial^{+}\left(\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}\right)\right|=4<5=\xi^{3}(T)$ and $T$ is not $\lambda^{3}$ optimal.

Besides, since $d^{+}\left(x_{3}\right)+d^{-}\left(y_{4}\right)=6<7=|V(T)|-1$ and $\delta(T)=3<4=$ $\left\lfloor\frac{|V(D)|}{2}\right\rfloor$, this example also shows that the conditions " $d^{+}(u)+d^{-}(v) \geq|V(D)|-1$ for any $u, v \in V(D)$ in the same partite" in Corollary 13 and " $\delta(D) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{|V(D)|}{2}\right\rfloor$ " in Corollary 14 are sharp.
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