

MINIMUM COVERINGS OF CROWNS WITH CYCLES AND STARS

JENQ-JONG LIN

Department of Finance
Ling Tung University, Taichung 40852, Taiwan
e-mail: jjlin@teamail.ltu.edu.tw

AND

MIN-JEN JOU¹

Department of Information Technology
Ling Tung University, Taichung 40852, Taiwan
e-mail: mjjou@teamail.ltu.edu.tw

Abstract

Let F , G and H be graphs. A (G, H) -decomposition of F is a partition of the edge set of F into copies of G and copies of H with at least one copy of G and at least one copy of H . For $R \subseteq F$, a (G, H) -covering of F with padding R is a (G, H) -decomposition of $F + E(R)$. A (G, H) -covering of F with the smallest cardinality is a *minimum (G, H) -covering*. This paper gives the solution of finding the minimum (C_k, S_k) -covering of the crown $C_{n,n-1}$.

Keywords: cycle, star, covering, decomposition, crown.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C51, 05C70.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F , G and H be graphs. A G -decomposition of F is a partition of the edge set of F into copies of G . If F has a G -decomposition, we say that F is G -decomposable. A (G, H) -decomposition of F is a partition of the edge set of F into copies of G and copies of H with at least one copy of G and at least one copy of H . If F has a (G, H) -decomposition, we say that F is (G, H) -decomposable.

¹Corresponding author.

A (G, H) -decomposition of F may not exist, a natural question of interest is to see: What is the minimum number of edges needed to be added to the edge set of F so that the resulting graph is (G, H) -decomposable, and what does the collection of added edges look like? For $R \subseteq F$, a (G, H) -covering of F with *padding* R is a (G, H) -decomposition of $F + E(R)$. A (G, H) -covering of F with the smallest cardinality is a *minimum (G, H) -covering*. Moreover, the cardinality of the minimum (G, H) -covering of F is called the (G, H) -covering number of F , denoted by $c(F; G, H)$.

As usual K_n denotes the complete graph with n vertices and $K_{m,n}$ denotes the complete bipartite graph with parts of sizes m and n . A k -star, denoted by S_k , is the complete bipartite graph $K_{1,k}$. The vertex of degree k in S_k is the *center* of S_k and any vertex of degree 1 is an *end-vertex* of S_k . Let $\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k \rangle_x$ denote the k -star with center x and end-vertices y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k . A k -cycle (respectively, k -path), denoted by C_k (respectively, P_k), is a cycle (respectively, path) with k edges. Let (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) and $v_1 v_2 \dots v_k$ denote the k -cycle and $(k - 1)$ -path through vertices v_1, \dots, v_k in order, respectively. A *spanning subgraph* H of a graph G is a subgraph of G with $V(H) = V(G)$. A *1-factor* of G is a spanning subgraph of G with each vertex incident with exactly one edge. For positive integers ℓ and n with $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, the *crown* $C_{n,\ell}$ is a bipartite graph with bipartition (A, B) where $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ and $B = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\}$, and edge set $\{a_i b_j : i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, j \equiv i+1, i+2, \dots, i+\ell \pmod{n}\}$. In the sequel of the paper, (A, B) always means the bipartition of $C_{n,\ell}$ defined here. Note that $C_{n,n-1}$ is the graph obtained from the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ with a 1-factor removed.

The existence problems for (C_k, S_k) -decomposition of $K_{m,n}$ and $C_{n,n-1}$ have been completely settled by Lee [1] and Lee and Lin [4], respectively. Lee [2] obtained the maximum packing and minimum covering of the balanced complete bipartite multigraph $\lambda K_{n,n}$ with (C_k, S_k) . Lee and Chen [3] gave the maximum packing and minimum covering of λK_n with (P_k, S_k) . This paper gives the solution of finding the minimum (C_k, S_k) -covering of the crown $C_{n,n-1}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. For sets $A \subseteq V(G)$ and $B \subseteq E(G)$, we use $G[A]$ to denote the subgraph of G induced by A and $G - B$ (respectively, $G + B$) to denote the subgraph obtained from G by deleting (respectively, adding) the edges in B . When G_1, \dots, G_t are graphs, not necessarily disjoint, we write $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_t$ or $\bigcup_{i=1}^t G_i$ for the graph with vertex set $\bigcup_{i=1}^t V(G_i)$ and edge set $\bigcup_{i=1}^t E(G_i)$. When the edge sets are disjoint, $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^t G_i$ expresses the decomposition of G into G_1, \dots, G_t . For a graph G and a positive integer $\lambda \geq 2$, we use λG to denote

the multigraph obtained from G by replacing each edge e by λ edges, each of which has the same ends as e .

The following results are essential to our proof.

Lemma 1 [7]. *For integers m and n with $m \geq n \geq 1$, the graph $K_{m,n}$ is S_k -decomposable if and only if $m \geq k$ and*

$$\begin{cases} m \equiv 0 \pmod{k} & \text{if } n < k, \\ mn \equiv 0 \pmod{k} & \text{if } n \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2 [5]. *$\lambda C_{n,\ell}$ is S_k -decomposable if and only if $k \leq \ell$ and $\lambda n \ell \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.*

Lemma 3 [5]. *Let $\{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}\}$ be the vertex set of the multicrown $\lambda C_{n,\ell}$. Suppose that p and q are positive integers such that $q < p \leq \ell$. If $\lambda n q \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then there exists a spanning subgraph G of $\lambda C_{n,\ell}$ such that $\deg_G b_j = \lambda q$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and G has an S_p -decomposition.*

Lemma 4 [6]. *For positive integers k and n , $C_{n,n-1}$ is C_k -decomposable if and only if n is odd, k is even, $4 \leq k \leq 2n$, and $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.*

3. COVERING NUMBERS

In this section the covering number of $C_{n,n-1}$ with k -cycles and k -stars is determined.

Lemma 5 [4]. *If k is an even integer with $k \geq 4$, then $C_{k+1,k}$ is not (C_k, S_k) -decomposable.*

Lemma 6. *If k is an even integer with $k \geq 4$, then $C_{k+1,k}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -covering with padding S_k .*

Proof. By Lemma 4, we have that $C_{k+1,k}$ is C_k -decomposable. Define a k -star $R = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k \rangle_{a_0}$. Clearly, $C_{k+1,k} + E(R)$ is a (C_k, S_k) -covering with padding R . ■

We obtain the following result by Lemmas 5 and 6.

Corollary 7. $c(C_{k+1,k}; C_k, S_k) = k + 2$.

Lemma 8 [4]. *If k is an even integer with $k \geq 4$, then $C_{2k,2k-1}$ is (C_k, S_k) -decomposable.*

Lemma 9. *For integers r and k with $r \geq 3$ and $k > r(r+1)$, $C_{k+r+1,k+r}$ can be decomposed into one copy of $r(r+1)$ -cycle and $k+2r+1$ copies of k -stars.*

Proof. Let $s = r(r+1)/2$. Trivially, $k+r+1 > s$. Let $A_0 = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}\}$, $B_0 = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{s-1}\}$, $H_0 = C_{n,n-1}[A_0 \cup B_0]$, $H_1 = C_{n,n-1}[(A \setminus A_0) \cup B_0]$, and $H_2 = C_{n,n-1}[A \cup (B \setminus B_0)]$. Clearly, $C_{k+r+1,k+r} = H_0 \cup H_1 \cup H_2$. Note that H_0 is isomorphic to $C_{s,s-1}$, H_1 is isomorphic to $K_{k+r+1-s,s}$, and H_2 is isomorphic to $C_{k+r+1-s,k+r-s} \cup K_{s,k+r+1-s}$. Let

$$C = (b_1, a_0, b_2, a_1, b_3, a_2, \dots, b_{s-1}, a_{s-2}, b_0, a_{s-1})$$

and $H = H_0 - E(C)$. Trivially, C is an $r(r+1)$ -cycle in H_0 and $H = C_{s,s-3}$. Note that $r-2 < s-r-1$ for $r \geq 3$ and $s(r-2) = rs - r(r+1) = r(s-r-1)$. By Lemma 3, there exists a spanning subgraph X of H such that $\deg_X b_j = r-2$ for $0 \leq j \leq s-1$ and X has an S_{s-r-1} -decomposition \mathcal{H} with $|\mathcal{H}| = r$. Furthermore, each S_{s-r-1} has its center in A_0 since $\deg_X b_j = r-2 < s-r-1$. Suppose that the centers of the $(s-r-1)$ -stars in \mathcal{H} are a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r} . Let $S(u)$ be the $(s-r-1)$ -star with center a_{i_u} in \mathcal{H} , and let $Y = H - E(X) \cup H_1$. Note that $\deg_Y b_j = (s-3-(r-2)) + (k+r+1-s) = k$ for $0 \leq j \leq s-1$. Hence Y has an S_k -decomposition $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ with $|\mathcal{H}^{(1)}| = s$. For $u \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, define $S'(u) = H_2[\{a_{i_u}\} \cup (B \setminus B_0)]$ and $Z = H_2 - E(\bigcup_{u=1}^r S'(u))$. Clearly, $S'(u)$ is a $(k+r+1-s)$ -star with center a_{i_u} in H_2 , and $S(u) \cup S'(u)$ is a k -star. There are r copies of such k -stars. Moreover, $\deg_Z b_j = k+r-r = k$ for $s \leq j \leq k+r$, and it follows that Z has an S_k -decomposition $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ with $|\mathcal{H}^{(2)}| = k+r-s+1$. Thus there are $s+r+k+r-s+1 = k+2r+1$ copies of k -stars. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 10. Let k be a positive even integer and let n be a positive integer with $4 \leq k < n-1 < 2k-1$. If $(n-k)(n-k-1) < k$, then $C_{n,n-1}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -covering with padding $P_{k-(n-k)(n-k-1)}$.

Proof. Let $n-1 = k+r$. From the assumption $k < n-1 < 2k-1$, we have $0 < r < k-1$. The proof is divided into two parts according to the value of r .

Case 1. $r \leq 2$. Let $A'_0 = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$, $A'_1 = \{a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_{k+r}\}$, $B'_0 = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}\}$, $B'_1 = \{b_{k+1}, b_{k+2}, \dots, b_{k+r}\}$. Let $D_0 = C_{n,n-1}[(A'_0 \cup \{a_k\}) \cup (B'_0 \cup \{b_k\})]$, $D_1 = C_{n,n-1}[A'_0 \cup B'_1]$, $D_2 = C_{n,n-1}[A'_1 \cup B'_0]$ and $D_3 = C_{n,n-1}[(A'_1 \cup \{a_k\}) \cup (B'_1 \cup \{b_k\})]$. Clearly, $C_{n,n-1} = D_0 \cup D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$. Note that D_0 is isomorphic to $C_{k+1,k}$, D_1 is isomorphic to $K_{k,r}$, D_2 is isomorphic to $K_{r,k}$ and D_3 is isomorphic to $C_{r+1,r}$. By Lemma 2, we have that D_0 has a k -star decomposition $\langle b_{j+1}, b_{j+2}, \dots, b_{j+k} \rangle_{a_j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$, where the subscripts of b 's are taken modulo $k+1$ in the set of numbers $\{0, 1, \dots, k\}$. By Lemma 1, we obtain that D_1 and D_2 have k -star decompositions $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \rangle_{b_j}$ and $\langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} \rangle_{a_i}$ for $k+1 \leq i, j \leq k+r$, respectively.

Subcase 1.1. $r = 1$. Define a $(k-2)$ -path R_1 as follows.

$$R_1 = a_{k+1}b_1a_0b_2a_1b_3a_2 \cdots a_{\frac{k}{2}-3}b_{\frac{k}{2}-1}a_k,$$

where the subscripts of a 's and b 's are taken modulo n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} \rangle_{a_k} \cup \langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} \rangle_{a_{k+1}} \cup D_3 \cup R_1 \\ &= \langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} \rangle_{a_k} \cup \langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} \rangle_{a_{k+1}} \cup \{a_k b_{k+1}, a_{k+1} b_k\} \cup R_1 \\ &= \langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_{k+1} \rangle_{a_k} \cup \langle b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_k \rangle_{a_{k+1}} \cup a_k b_{k-1} a_{k+1} \cup R_1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $a_k b_{k-1} a_{k+1} \cup R_1$ is a k -cycle. Hence $C_{k+2, k+1} + E(R_1)$ can be decomposed into $k + 3$ copies of k -stars and one copy of k -cycle, that is, $C_{k+2, k+1}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -covering \mathcal{C}_1 with $|\mathcal{C}_1| = k + 4$ and padding R_1 .

Subcase 1.2. $r = 2$. Define a $(k - 6)$ -path R_2 as follows.

$$R_2 = b_1 a_0 b_2 a_1 \cdots b_{\frac{k}{2}-3} a_{\frac{k}{2}-4} b_{k+1},$$

where the subscripts of a 's and b 's are taken modulo n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} \rangle_{a_{k+2}} \cup D_3 \cup R_2 \\ &= \langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} \rangle_{a_{k+2}} \cup \{a_k b_{k+1}, a_k b_{k+2}, a_{k+1} b_k, a_{k+1} b_{k+2}, a_{k+2} b_k, a_{k+2} b_{k+1}\} \cup R_2 \\ &= \langle b_0, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_{k-1}, b_{k+1} \rangle_{a_{k+2}} \cup b_{k+1} a_k b_{k+2} a_{k+1} b_k a_{k+2} b_1 \cup R_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $b_{k+1} a_k b_{k+2} a_{k+1} b_k a_{k+2} b_1 \cup R_2$ is a k -cycle. Hence $C_{k+3, k+2} + E(R_2)$ can be decomposed into $k + 5$ copies of k -stars and one copy of k -cycle, that is, $C_{k+3, k+2}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -covering \mathcal{C}_2 with $|\mathcal{C}_2| = k + 6$ and padding R_2 .

Case 2. $r \geq 3$. Let $s = r(r + 1)/2$ and H_0, H_1 and H_2 be the graphs defined in the proof of Lemma 9. Define a $(k - 2s)$ -path R_3 as follows.

$$R_3 = a_{s-1} b_{s+1} a_s b_{s+2} \cdots a_{\frac{k}{2}-2} b_{\frac{k}{2}} a_{k+r},$$

where the subscripts of a 's and b 's are taken modulo n .

Let S be the k -star with center b_1 and C be the $2s$ -cycle mentioned in Lemma 9. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & S \cup C \cup R_3 \\ &= (S - a_{k+r} b_1 + a_{s-1} b_1) \cup a_{k+r} b_1 a_0 b_2 a_1 b_3 a_2 \cdots b_{s-1} a_{s-2} b_0 a_{s-1} \cup R_3. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $a_{k+r} b_1 a_0 b_2 a_1 b_3 a_2 \cdots b_{s-1} a_{s-2} b_0 a_{s-1} \cup R_3$ is a k -cycle. Hence $C_{k+r+1, k+r} + E(R_3)$ can be decomposed into $k + 2r + 1$ copies of k -stars and one copy of k -cycle, that is, $C_{k+r+1, k+r}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -covering \mathcal{C}_3 with $|\mathcal{C}_3| = k + 2r + 2$ and padding R_3 . This settles Case 2. \blacksquare

Before plunging into the proof of the case of $(n - k)(n - k - 1) \geq k$, a result due to Lee and Lin [4] is needed.

Lemma 11 [4]. *If k is an even integer with $k \geq 4$, then there exist $k/2 - 1$ edge-disjoint k -cycles in $C_{k/2, k/2-1} \cup K_{k/2, k/2}$.*

Lemma 12. *Let k be a positive even integer and let n be a positive integer with $4 \leq k < n - 1 < 2k - 1$. If $(n - k)(n - k - 1) \geq k$, then $C_{n, n-1}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -covering \mathcal{C} with $|\mathcal{C}| = \lceil n(n - 1)/k \rceil$.*

Proof. Let $n - 1 = k + r$. From the assumption $k < n - 1 < 2k - 1$, we have $0 < r < k - 1$. Since $(n - k)(n - k - 1) \geq k$, we assume that $r(r + 1) = \alpha k + \beta$, where $\alpha \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq k - 1$. Let $A_0'' = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\frac{k}{2}-1}\}$, $A_1'' = \{a_{\frac{k}{2}}, a_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$, $A_2'' = A \setminus (A_0'' \cup A_1'')$, $B_0'' = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}\}$, $B_1'' = B \setminus B_0''$. Let $G_i = C_{n, n-1}[A_i'' \cup B_0'']$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $G_3 = C_{n, n-1}[A \cup B_1'']$. Clearly, $C_{n, n-1} = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_3$. Note that G_0 and G_1 are isomorphic to $C_{k/2, k/2-1} \cup K_{k/2, k/2}$, G_2 is isomorphic to $K_{r+1, k}$, which is S_k -decomposable by Lemma 1, and G_3 is isomorphic to $K_{k, r+1} \cup C_{r+1, r}$. Let $p_0 = \lceil \alpha/2 \rceil$ and $p_1 = \lfloor \alpha/2 \rfloor$. In the following, we will show that, for each $i \in \{0, 1\}$, G_i can be decomposed into p_i copies of C_k and $k/2$ copies of S_{k-2p_i-1} , and G_3 can be decomposed into $k/2$ copies of S_{2p_i+1} and $r + 1$ copies of $S_{k'}$, $k' \leq k$, such that the $(k - 2p_i - 1)$ -stars and $(2p_i + 1)$ -stars have their centers in A_i'' .

We first show the required decomposition of G_i for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Since $r < k - 1$, we have $r + 1 < k$, and in turn $\alpha < r$. Thus, $p_0 = \lceil \frac{\alpha}{2} \rceil \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{2} \leq \frac{(r-1)+1}{2} \leq \frac{k-2}{2} = \frac{k}{2} - 1$, which implies $p_i \leq k/2 - 1$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. This assures us that there exist p_i edge-disjoint k -cycles in G_i by Lemma 11. Suppose that $Q_{i,0}, \dots, Q_{i,p_i-1}$ are edge-disjoint k -cycles in G_i . Let $F_i = G_i - E\left(\bigcup_{h=0}^{p_i-1} Q_{i,h}\right)$ and $X_{i,j} = F_i[\{a_{ik/2+j}\} \cup B_0'']$ where $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $j \in \{0, \dots, k/2 - 1\}$. Since $\deg_{G_i} a_{ik/2+j} = k - 1$ and each $Q_{i,h}$ uses two edges incident with $a_{ik/2+j}$ for each i and j , we have $\deg_{F_i} a_{ik/2+j} = k - 2p_i - 1$. Hence $X_{i,j}$ is a $(k - 2p_i - 1)$ -star with center $a_{ik/2+j}$.

Next we show the required star decomposition of G_3 . For $j \in \{0, \dots, k/2 - 1\}$, let

$$X'_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \langle b_{k+(2p_0+1)j}, b_{k+(2p_0+1)j+1}, \dots, b_{k+(2p_0+1)j+2p_0} \rangle_{a_j}, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \langle b_{(p_0+3/2)k+(2p_1+1)j}, b_{(p_0+3/2)k+(2p_1+1)j+1}, \\ \dots, b_{(p_0+3/2)k+(2p_1+1)j+2p_1} \rangle_{a_{k/2+j}}, & \text{if } i = 1, \end{cases}$$

where the subscripts of b 's are taken modulo $r + 1$ in the set of numbers $\{k, k + 1, \dots, k + r\}$. Since $2p_1 + 1 \leq 2p_0 + 1 \leq \alpha + 2 \leq r + 1$, this assures us that there are enough edges for the construction of $X'_{0,j}$ and $X'_{1,j}$. Note that $X'_{i,j}$ is a $(2p_i + 1)$ -star and $X_{i,j} \cup X'_{i,j}$ is a k -star for $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $j \in \{0, \dots, k/2 - 1\}$.

On the other hand, let $k - \beta = \tau(r + 1) + \rho$ where $\tau \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \rho \leq r$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |E(G_3)| - \left| E \left(\bigcup_{i \in \{0,1\}} \bigcup_{j \in \{0, \dots, k/2-1\}} X'_{i,j} \right) \right| \\
 &= (k+r)(r+1) - (2p_0 + 2p_1 + 2)(k/2) \\
 &= (k+r)(r+1) - (\alpha+1)k \\
 &= (k+r)(r+1) - r(r+1) - (k-\beta) \\
 &= k(r+1) - \tau(r+1) - \rho = (k-\tau)(r+1) - \rho \\
 &= (k-\tau-1)\rho + (k-\tau)(r+1-\rho).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a decomposition \mathcal{G} of $G_3 - E \left(\bigcup_{i \in \{0,1\}} \bigcup_{j \in \{0, \dots, k/2-1\}} X'_{i,j} \right)$ into ρ copies of $(k-\tau-1)$ -star with center b_w for $w = k, k+1, \dots, k+\rho-1$ and $r+1-\rho$ copies of $(k-\tau)$ -star with center b_w for $w = k+\rho, k+\rho+1, \dots, k+r$, that is,

$$Y_w = \begin{cases} S_{k-\tau-1}, & \text{if } w \in \{k, k+1, \dots, k+\rho-1\}, \\ S_{k-\tau}, & \text{if } w \in \{k+\rho, k+\rho+1, \dots, k+r\}. \end{cases}$$

Define a star Y'_w as follows.

$$Y'_w = \begin{cases} \langle a_{w_1}, a_{w_2}, \dots, a_{w_\tau}, a_{w_{\tau+1}} \rangle_{b_w}, & \text{if } w \in \{k, k+1, \dots, k+\rho-1\}, \\ \langle a_{w_1}, a_{w_2}, \dots, a_{w_\tau} \rangle_{b_w}, & \text{if } w \in \{k+\rho, k+\rho+1, \dots, k+r\}, \end{cases}$$

where $b_w a_{w_t} \in E(X'_{i,j})$ for $1 \leq t \leq \tau+1$. Since $|E \left(\bigcup_{i \in \{0,1\}} \bigcup_{j \in \{0, \dots, k/2-1\}} X'_{i,j} \right)| = (\alpha+1)k$, $|B''_1| = r+1$ and $(\tau+1)(r+1) = \tau(r+1) + (r+1) = (k-\beta-\rho) + (r+1) < 2k \leq (\alpha+1)k$, it follows that $\tau+1 < (\alpha+1)k/(r+1)$. This assures us that there are enough edges for the construction of Y'_w . Note that $Y_w + E(Y'_w)$ is a k -star. Hence $C_{n,n-1}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -covering \mathcal{C}_4 with padding $\bigcup_{w \in \{k, k+1, \dots, k+r\}} Y'_w$ and $|\mathcal{C}_4| = (k+r+1) + (r+1) + \alpha = k+2r+2+\alpha = \lceil n(n-1)/k \rceil$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Now, we are ready for the main result of this section.

Theorem 13. *Let k be a positive even integer and let n be a positive integer with $4 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then*

$$c(C_{n,n-1}; C_k, S_k) = \begin{cases} \lceil n(n-1)/k \rceil, & \text{if } k < n-1, \\ k+2, & \text{if } k = n-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $|E(C_{n,n-1})| = n(n-1)$, we have that $c(C_{n,n-1}; C_k, S_k) \geq \lceil n(n-1)/k \rceil$. Let $n-1 = qk+r$, where q and r are integers with $q \geq 1$, $0 \leq r \leq k-1$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $q = 1$. For $r = 0$, the result follows from Corollary 7. If $r \neq 0$, by Lemmas 8, 10 and 12, $C_{k+r+1, k+r}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -covering \mathcal{C} with $|\mathcal{C}| = \lceil (k+r+1)(k+r)/k \rceil$.

Case 2. $q \geq 2$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,n-1} &= C_{qk+r+1,qk+r} \\ &= C_{(q-1)k+1,(q-1)k} \cup C_{k+r+1,k+r} \cup K_{(q-1)k,k+r} \cup K_{k+r,(q-1)k}. \end{aligned}$$

Trivially, $|E(C_{(q-1)k+1,(q-1)k})|$, $|E(K_{(q-1)k,k+r})|$ and $|E(K_{k+r,(q-1)k})|$ are multiples of k , by Lemmas 1 and 2, we have that $C_{(q-1)k+1,(q-1)k}$, $K_{(q-1)k,k+r}$ and $K_{k+r,(q-1)k}$ have S_k -decompositions $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ with $|\mathcal{A}^{(1)}| = (q-1)((q-1)k+1)$, $|\mathcal{A}^{(2)}| = |\mathcal{A}^{(3)}| = (k+r)(q-1)$. For the case of $r = 0$, by Lemma 4, $C_{k+1,k}$ has a C_k -decomposition \mathcal{C} with $|\mathcal{C}| = k+1$. Hence $C_{n,n-1}$ is (C_k, S_k) -decomposable, that is, $C_{n,n-1}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -covering $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{A}^{(i)} \cup \mathcal{C}$ with cardinality $(q-1)((q-1)k+1) + k(q-1) + k(q-1) + k+1 = q(qk+1) = n(n-1)/k$. For the other case of $r \neq 0$, by Lemmas 10 and 12, $C_{k+r+1,k+r}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -covering \mathcal{C}' with $|\mathcal{C}'| = \lceil (k+r+1)(k+r)/k \rceil$. Hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{A}^{(i)} \cup \mathcal{C}'$ is a (C_k, S_k) -covering of $C_{n,n-1}$ with cardinality $(q-1)((q-1)k+1) + (k+r)(q-1) + (k+r)(q-1) + \lceil (k+r+1)(k+r)/k \rceil = \lceil (qk+r+1)(qk+r)/k \rceil = \lceil n(n-1)/k \rceil$. This completes the proof. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] H.C. Lee, *Multidecompositions of complete bipartite graphs into cycles and stars*, Ars Combin. **108** (2013) 355–364.
- [2] H.C. Lee, *Packing and covering the balanced complete bipartite multigraph with cycles and stars*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. **16** (2014) 189–202.
<https://doi.org/10.46298/DMTCS.2091>
- [3] H.C. Lee and Z.C. Chen, *Maximum packings and minimum coverings of multigraphs with paths and stars*, Taiwanese J. Math. **19** (2015) 1341–1357.
<https://doi.org/10.11650/tjm.19.2015.4456>
- [4] H.C. Lee and J.J. Lin, *Decomposition of the complete bipartite graph with a 1-factor removed into cycles and stars*, Discrete Math. **313** (2013) 2354–2358.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2013.06.014>
- [5] C. Lin, J.J. Lin and T.W. Shyu, *Isomorphic star decomposition of multicrowns and the power of cycles*, Ars Combin. **53** (1999) 249–256.
- [6] J. Ma, L. Pu and H. Shen, *Cycle decompositions of $K_{n,n} - I$* , SIAM J. Discrete Math. **20** (2006) 603–609.
<https://doi.org/10.1137/050626363>
- [7] S. Yamamoto, H. Ikeda, S. Shige-eda, K. Ushio and N. Hamada, *On claw decomposition of complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs*, Hiroshima Math. J. **5** (1975) 33–42.
<https://doi.org/10.32917/hmj/1206136782>

Received 22 October 2018

Revised 7 July 2019

Accepted 7 July 2019