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#### Abstract

In the note we consider vertex coloring of a graph in which each color has an associated cost which is incurred each time the color is assigned to a vertex. The cost of coloring is the sum of costs incurred at each vertex. We show that the minimum cost coloring problem for $n$-vertex bipartite graph of degree $\Delta \leq 4$ can be solved in $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ time. This extends Jansen's result [K. Jansen, The optimum cost chromatic partition problem, in: Proc. CIAC'97, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 1203 (1997) 25-36] for paths and cycles to subgraphs of biquartic graphs.
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## 1. InTRODUCTION

Graph coloring has many applications in scheduling. One of them is constructing class-teacher timetables. This is so because assigning time periods to classes may be viewed as proper coloring of the associated graph $G$ with colors representing times, since every two adjacent vertices (classes) have to receive different colors to avoid conflicts. By finding the optimal schedule we usually mean a proper coloring of $G$ with a minimum number of colors. But what we really want to minimize is the cost. Different time periods might have various corresponding costs. For example, the morning hours might be less expensive than afternoon hours (due to the cost of electricity) and much less expensive than the evening
ones (due to overtime for janitors and security personnel). The cost of each time period is the product of its weight and the number of vertices assigned to the corresponding color. Thus, when optimizing the schedule, we seek for the cost coloring of the underlying graph. We give now the basic formal definitions.

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with $n=|V|$ vertices and $m=|E|$ edges. A (proper) vertex coloring of graph $G$ is an assignment of colors (natural numbers) to the vertices of $G$ in which any two adjacent vertices are assigned different colors. In other words, a $k$-coloring of $G$ is a partition $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{k}\right)$ of $V$ into independent sets (some of them may be empty). We assume that for any palette of $n$ colors we have an associated sequence $W=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ of color weights (costs), where $w_{i}$ is a positive rational number associated with color $i=1, \ldots, n$. Each time the color $i$ is used on a vertex the weight $w_{i}$ is accrued. Our goal is to color graph $G$ so that a minimum total cost is attained. The cost-chromatic number with respect to $W$, denoted by $\chi_{W}(G)$, is the minimum number of colors necessary to produce a minimum cost coloring of $G$, i.e., $\Sigma_{W}(G)=\min _{c} \Sigma_{i}\left|C_{i}\right| w_{i}$. In the special case of cost coloring when $W$ is the sequence of the first $n$ natural numbers, we write $W=N=(1, \ldots, n)$. Such a minimum cost of coloring is called the chromatic sum of $G$. One can observe that the cost coloring problem is NP-hard since the ordinary chromatic number problem is NP-hard. In addition to the terminology of graph coloring we will need the notion of a cut between a source $s$ and sink $t$ in a digraph $D$. Given a digraph $D=(V, A)$, an $s-t$ cut $(S$, $T)$ is a partition of the vertices of $D$ such that $s \in S$ and $t \in T$. If arcs of $D$ have numerical weights (capacities) defined by a function $c$, then the capacity of this cut is $\sum_{e \in A \cap(S \times T)} c(e)$.

The problem of cost coloring was introduced by Supowit [12]. The first polynomial algorithm was given by Jansen [3]. He considered complements of bipartite graphs and obtained an algorithm for optimal cost coloring of such graphs in $\mathrm{O}(m \sqrt{n})$ time. Next polynomial algorithms were given by Kroon et al. [7]. They gave a linear time algorithm for trees and a polynomial time algorithm for interval graphs in case when there are only two different values for the color weights. The algorithm for trees was then generalized to graphs with bounded treewidth [4] and to graphs with bounded cyclicity [2]. The former class of graphs admits an algorithm running in time $\mathrm{O}\left(n \log ^{k+1} n\right)$, where $k$ is the treewidth of $G$, while the latter admits an algorithm taking time $\mathrm{O}\left(n \Delta^{3+2 l} \log n\right)$, where $l$ is the cyclomatic number of $G$ and $\Delta$ denotes the maximum vertex degree. Last but not least, there are known polynomial results for the model in which we color the edges rather than vertices. For example, Zhou and Nishizeki [13] designed an algorithm for cost edge-coloring of trees in $\mathrm{O}\left(n \Delta^{2}\right)$ time and Cardinal et al. [1] considered cost edge-coloring of trees and multicycles in $\mathrm{O}(n \Delta)$ time. This means that the cost coloring problem for line graphs of trees and multicycles can also be solved in polynomial time.

In this note we focus on coloring of bipartite graphs $G=\left(V_{1} \cup V_{2}, E\right)$. Kubicka [9] showed that for any integer $k \geq 2$ there is a bipartite graph $G$ (a tree in fact) with a sufficiently high vertex degree such that $\chi_{N}(G)=k$. On the other hand, Kosowski [8] showed that for any bipartite graph with $\Delta \leq 4$ we have $\chi_{N}(G) \leq 3$. The smallest bipartite graph $G$ for which $\chi_{W}(G)=3$ is depicted in Figure 1. In this note, among others, we generalize this result to any sequence of weights $W$.


Figure 1. An example of minimum cost coloring of graph $G$ for $W=(1,3,4, \ldots)$; $\chi_{W}(G)=3, \Sigma_{W}(G)=11$.

The main result of this paper is showing a new polynomial solvable case of the cost coloring problem. More precisely, in the next section we generalize the sum coloring approach given in Małafiejski et al. [10] and obtain an $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ algorithm for cost coloring of bipartite graphs of degree at most 4 . The algorithm is best possible in the sense that the same problem but for bipartite graphs of degree 5 becomes NP-hard [10].

## 2. AlGorithm

In the following, by an improper 3 -coloring of graph $G$ we mean any 3 -coloring, i.e., a partition ( $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ ) of its vertices such that sets $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are independent but vertices having color 3 may be adjacent. If $G$ has color weights $w_{1} \leq w_{2} \leq w_{3}$ then the cost of such an improper 3-coloring $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right)$ is $w_{1}\left|C_{1}\right|+w_{2}\left|C_{2}\right|+$ $w_{3}\left|C_{3}\right|$. We have the following.

Theorem 1. Given color weights $w_{1} \leq w_{2} \leq w_{3}$, the minimum cost improper 3-coloring of any bipartite graph $G=\left(V_{1} \cup V_{2}, E\right)$ can be obtained in $\mathrm{O}(m n)$ time.

Proof. We can assume that $w_{1}<w_{2}$ since if $w_{1}=w_{2}$ then an optimal improper 3 -coloring is $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, \emptyset\right)$ and it is determined by 2 -coloring of $G$. Let $l>0$ and let $V_{1}^{*}, V_{2}^{*}$ be the disjoint copies of $V_{1}(G), V_{2}(G)$. By $v^{*}$ we denote an image of vertex $v \in V(G)$ under bijection $h: V_{1} \cup V_{2} \mapsto V_{1}^{*} \cup V_{2}^{*}$, i.e., $h(v)=v^{*}\left(h^{-1}\left(v^{*}\right)=v\right)$, similarly $h\left(V_{i}\right)=V_{i}^{*}$ for $i=1,2$. Now we define an auxiliary directed graph $D=(V(D), A(D))$ with weights on the arcs as shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2. Digraph $D$ with specified sets of vertices, arcs, and their weights.

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(D) & =V\left(G^{*}\right) \cup V(G) \cup\{s\} \cup\{t\} \\
A(D) & =A_{1,2} \cup A_{2,1} \cup A_{s, 1} \cup A_{2, t} \cup A_{1,1} \cup A_{2,2}
\end{aligned}, \begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } e \in A_{s, 1} \cup A_{2, t} \\
l & \text { if } e \in A_{1,1} \cup A_{2,2} \\
\infty(e) & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { if } e \in A_{1,2} \cup A_{2,1},
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1,2}=\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right): v_{1} \in V_{1} \wedge v_{2} \in V_{2} \wedge\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \in E(G)\right\} \\
& A_{2,1}=\left\{\left(v_{2}^{*}, v_{1}^{*}\right): v_{1} \in V_{1} \wedge v_{2} \in V_{2} \wedge\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \in E(G)\right\} \\
& A_{s, 1}=\{s\} \times V_{1} \\
& A_{2, t}=V_{2} \times\{t\} \\
& A_{1,1}=\left\{\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{1}\right): v_{1} \in V_{1}\right\}, \\
& A_{2,2}=\left\{\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{*}\right): v_{2} \in V_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With a given improper 3 -coloring $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right)$ of $G$ we associate an $s-t$ cut $(S, T)$ as follows (see Figure 2).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\{s\} \cup\left(C_{1} \cap V_{1}\right) \cup h\left(C_{1} \cap V_{1}\right) \cup\left(V_{2} \backslash C_{1}\right) \cup h\left(V_{2} \cap C_{2}\right) \cup h\left(V_{1} \cap C_{3}\right), \\
& T=\{t\} \cup\left(C_{1} \cap V_{2}\right) \cup h\left(C_{1} \cap V_{2}\right) \cup\left(V_{1} \backslash C_{1}\right) \cup h\left(V_{1} \cap C_{2}\right) \cup h\left(V_{2} \cap C_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since sets $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are independent, the weights on $\operatorname{arcs} A(D) \cap(S \times T)$ are all less than infinity. More precisely, there are arcs of weight 1 , namely, $\{s\} \times\left(\left(V_{1} \cap C_{2}\right) \cup\left(V_{1} \cap C_{3}\right)\right)$ and $\left(\left(V_{2} \cap C_{2}\right) \cup\left(V_{2} \cap C_{3}\right)\right) \times\{t\}$, and of weight $l$, namely $\left\{\left(v^{*}, v\right): v \in V_{1} \cap C_{3}\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(v, v^{*}\right): v \in V_{2} \cap C_{3}\right\}$.

Thus, the capacity of such an $s-t$ cut equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C_{2}\right|+(l+1)\left|C_{3}\right| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, any $s-t$ cut $(S, T)$ of finite capacity can be transformed to an $s-t$ cut associated with some improper 3 -coloring of $G$. We may assume that $T \cap V_{1}^{*} \subseteq h\left(T \cap V_{1}\right)$, because even if some $v^{*} \in T \cap V_{1}^{*}$ fulfills $v \in S \cap V_{1}$, then we can move it to partition $S$ without increasing the capacity of the cut. Analogously, we can assume that $h\left(T \cap V_{2}\right) \subseteq T \cap V_{2}^{*}$, because any $v^{*} \in S \cap V_{2}^{*}$ such that $v \in T \cap V_{2}$ can be moved to partition $T$. In that case $(S, T)$ is a cut associated with improper 3-coloring of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}=\left(S \cap V_{1}\right) \cup\left(T \cap V_{2}\right) \\
& C_{2}=h^{-1}\left(\left(S \cap V_{2}^{*}\right) \cup\left(T \cap V_{1}^{*}\right)\right)  \tag{2}\\
& C_{3}=V(G) \backslash\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

because the finiteness of the capacity for $(S, T)$ implies $A(D) \cap\left(\left(S \cap V_{1}\right) \times(T \cap\right.$ $\left.\left.V_{2}\right)\right)=\emptyset$ and $A(D) \cap\left(\left(S \cap V_{2}^{*}\right) \times\left(T \cap V_{1}^{*}\right)\right)=\emptyset$, so $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are in fact independent sets.

Thus, by finding a minimum $s-t$ cut and transforming it to the associated $s-t$ cut, we obtain an improper 3-coloring $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right)$ of $G$ according to (2), which is the cheapest for weights $w_{1}=0, w_{2}=1, w_{3}=1+l$ (cf. (1)). However, any improper coloring which is optimal for weights $w_{1}<w_{2} \leq w_{3}$ is also optimal for weights $c w_{1}, c w_{2}, c w_{3}$, where $c>0$, as well as for weights $w_{1}+c, w_{2}+c, w_{3}+c$, for any constant $c$. This is so because adding to all weights a constant $c$ increases the cost of improper 3 -coloring by $c n$. Assuming in our construction that $l=\frac{w_{3}-w_{2}}{w_{2}-w_{1}}$ one can easily see that we can obtain in polynomial time a coloring ( $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ ), which is optimal for color weights $0,1,1+l=\frac{w_{3}-w_{1}}{w_{2}-w_{1}}$ and, consequently, for color weights $0, w_{2}-w_{1}, w_{3}-w_{1}$ and $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}$.

Finding a minimum cut in a network $D$ can be done in $\mathrm{O}(|A(D)||V(D)|)$ time (see King et al. [6], Orlin [11]). Since in our case $|A(D)|=2|E(G)|+2|V(G)|=$ $2 m+2 n=\mathrm{O}(m+n)$ and $|V(D)|=2|V(G)|+2=2 n+2=\mathrm{O}(n)$, the complexity $\mathrm{O}(m n)$ follows.

Corollary 2. Given color weights $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ and a bipartite graph $G$ on $n$ vertices with $\Delta \leq 4$, the cost coloring problem can be solved in time $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$. In this case there always exists an optimal solution using at most three cheapest colors only.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $w_{1} \leq w_{2} \leq \cdots \leq w_{n}$. An algorithm from [8] takes $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ time to transform any improper 3-coloring of $G$ with $\Delta \leq 4$ into a proper one without increasing its cost. By applying it to an optimal improper 3-coloring, whose cost is at most the cost of any proper
coloring, we arrive at the cheapest possible 3-coloring of $G$. Since the construction described in the proof of Theorem 1 can also be performed in $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ time for the graphs under consideration, the thesis of the corollary follows.

## 3. Final Remarks

The reader may wonder what if a bipartite graph $G$ is of degree $\Delta \geq 5$. We know that the cost coloring problem is then NP-hard. However, the following simple algorithm is near-optimal.

1. Let $S_{1}$ be a cheapest $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$-coloring of $G$.
2. Let $S_{2}$ be a 3 -coloring obtained by assigning color $C_{1}$ to a largest independent set $I$ in $G$ and by 2-coloring of $V(G) \backslash I$ in the best way using $C_{2}, C_{3}$.
3. Return solution $S$ as the better of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$.

Jansen [5] has proved that the above algorithm runs in $O\left((m+n) n^{0.5}\right)$ time to produce a solution of value at most $\sqrt{n}$ times $\Sigma_{W}(G)$. Moreover, his algorithm is asymptotically the best possible since the cost coloring problem is hard to approximate with ratio $O\left(n^{0.5-\epsilon}\right)$ for any $\epsilon>0$, unless $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}[5]$.
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