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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be either a complete graph of odd order or a complete bipartite graph in which each vertex partition has an even number of vertices. In this paper, we determine the set of triples $(p, q, r)$, with $p, q, r>0$, for which there exists a decomposition of $G$ into $p$ paths, $q$ stars, and $r$ cycles, each of which has 4 edges.
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## 1. Introduction

All graphs considered here are finite and undirected, unless otherwise noted.
Let $G, H, H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}$ be graphs for some integer $r$. A decomposition of $G$ is a set of edge-disjoint subgraphs of $G$ whose union is $G$. An $H$-decomposition of $G$ is a decomposition of $G$ into copies of $H$. If $G$ has an $H$-decomposition, we say that $G$ is $H$-decomposable. An $\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}\right\}$-decomposition of $G$ is a decomposition of $G$ into copies of $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}$ containing at least one copy of each $H_{i}$, for each $i=1, \ldots, r$. If $G$ has an $\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}\right\}$-decomposition, we say that $G$ is $\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}\right\}$-decomposable. Moreover, if there is a decomposition of $G$ containing precisely $\alpha_{i}$ elements isomorphic to $H_{i}$, then we say that $G$ has an $\left\{H_{1}{ }^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, H_{r}{ }^{\alpha_{r}}\right\}$-decomposition or $G$ is $\left\{H_{1}{ }^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, H_{r}{ }^{\alpha_{r}}\right\}$-decomposable. Let $\mathcal{C D}\left(G ; H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}\right)$ denote the set of all $r$-tuples $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right)$ of positive integers
such that $G$ is $\left\{H_{1}{ }^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, H_{r}{ }^{\alpha_{r}}\right\}$-decomposable. Obviously, if we can find an $r$-tuple in $\mathcal{C D}\left(G ; H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}\right)$, then $G$ is $\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}\right\}$-decomposable.

As usual, $K_{n}$ denotes the complete graph on $n$ vertices, and $K_{m, n}$ denotes the complete bipartite graph with vertex partitions of sizes $m$ and $n$. A $k$-path, denoted by $P_{k}$, is a path with $k$ edges; a $k$-star, denoted by $S_{k}$, is the complete bipartite graph $K_{1, k}$; a $k$-cycle, denoted by $C_{k}$, is a cycle of length $k$.

Decompositions of graphs into isomorphic paths has attracted considerable attention (see $[8,12-14,17-19,28,40,42]$ ). Besides, decompositions of graphs into $k$-stars have also attracted a fair share of interest (see [9, 25, 39, 41, 43, 44]). Moreover, decompositions of graphs into $k$-cycles have been a popular topic of research in graph theory (see $[10,27]$ for surveys of this topic).

The study of the $\{G, H\}$-decomposition was introduced by Abueida and Daven in [1]. In [2, 4], they investigated, respectively, the problem of $\left\{K_{k}, S_{k}\right\}$ decomposition of the complete graph $K_{n}$ and the problem of the $\left\{C_{4}, E_{2}\right\}$-decomposition of several graph products, where $E_{2}$ is a matching of size 2. Abueida and O'Neil [3] settled the existence problem for $\left\{C_{k}, S_{k-1}\right\}$-decomposition of the complete multigraph $\lambda K_{n}$ for $k \in\{3,4,5\}$. Priyadharsini and Muthusamy $[29,30]$ gave necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of $\{G(n), H(n)\}-$ decompositions of $\lambda K_{n}$ and $\lambda K_{n, n}$, where $G(n), H(n) \in\left\{C_{n}, P_{n-1}, S_{n-1}\right\}$.

Recently, Lee and Lin $[20,21,23,24]$ established necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of $\left\{C_{k}, S_{k}\right\}$-decompositions of the complete bipartite graphs, the complete bipartite multigraphs, the complete bipartite graphs with a 1 -factor removed, and the multicrowns, respectively. Besides, Abueida, Lian [5], and Beggas et al. [7] investigated the problems of $\left\{C_{k}, S_{k}\right\}$-decompositions of the complete graph $K_{n}$ and $\lambda K_{n}$ respectively, giving some necessary or sufficient conditions for such decompositions to exist. In [22], Lee and Chu established necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of $\left\{P_{k}, S_{k}\right\}$-decompositions of the balanced complete bipartite graphs. In 2016, Lin and Jou [26] established necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of $\left\{P_{k}, C_{k}, S_{k}\right\}$-decompositions of the balanced complete bipartite graphs.

For the $\left\{G^{p}, H^{q}\right\}$-decompositions of a graph, Jeevadoss and Muthusamy [15, 16] determined the set of ordered pairs $(p, q)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{k}{ }^{p}, C_{k}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of $\lambda K_{m, n}$ when $\lambda=1$ and $k \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$; $\lambda=2$ and $k \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$; for some positive integers $\lambda, m, n$, and $k$. Jeevadoss and Muthusamy [15] also determined the set of ordered pairs $(p, q)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{k}{ }^{p}, C_{k}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$ when $k$ is even and $n$ is odd with $n>4 k$. Fu et al. [11] determined the set of ordered pairs $(p, q)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{C_{3}{ }^{p}, S_{3}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$. The author also determined the set of ordered pairs $(p, q)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{k}{ }^{p}, S_{k}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decompositon of $K_{n}$ when $n \geq 4 k$ [36]; there exists a $\left\{P_{k}{ }^{p}, C_{k}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$ when $k$ is even, $n$ is odd, and $n>5 k$ [33]; there
exists a $\left\{C_{k}{ }^{p}, S_{k}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$ for some $k$ and $n$ [35]; there exists a $\left\{P_{k}{ }^{p}, S_{k}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{m, n}$ when $m>k$ and $n \geq 3 k$ [36]. In [37], the author also investigated the $\left\{H^{p}, K^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of the complete bipartite digraphs and the complete digraphs, where $H$ and $K$ are, respectively, directed paths and directed cycles with $k$ edges each.

In this paper, we determine the set of triples $(p, q, r)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}, C_{4}{ }^{r}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$ and $K_{m, l}$ when $n$ is odd, and both $m$ and $l$ are even.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we collect some needed terminologies and notations, and present some results which are useful for our discussions.

Let $|V(G)|$ and $e(G)$ denote, respectively, the order of a graph $G$ and the number of edges in $G$; and let us call a graph even if all its vertex degrees are even. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be graphs. The union $G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is the graph with vertex set $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and edge set $E\left(G_{1}\right) \cup E\left(G_{2}\right)$.

The following theorem gives necessary conditions for the existence of a decomposition of an even graph into specified numbers of paths, cycles, and stars with same number of edges each.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be an even graph and let $k, p, q$, and $r$ be positive integers with $k \geq 3$. If $G$ can be decomposed into $p$ copies of $P_{k}, q$ copies of $S_{k}$, and $r$ copies of $C_{k}$, then $|V(G)| \geq k+1 ; k(p+q+r)=e(G)$ and $p \geq\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil$ when $q=1$.

Proof. Conditions $|V(G)| \geq k+1$ and $k(p+q+r)=e(G)$ are trivial. Assume $\mathcal{D}$ is an arbitrary decomposition of $G$ into $p$ copies of $P_{k}$, one copy of $S_{k}$, and $r$ copies of $C_{k}$. Let $H$ be the only $S_{k}$ and $C^{(1)}, \ldots, C^{(r)}$ denote those $r$ copies of $C_{k}$ in $\mathcal{D}$. Then, there are $2\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil$ vertices with odd degree in $G-E\left(H \cup C^{(1)} \cup \cdots \cup C^{(r)}\right)$. Since $G-E\left(H \cup C^{(1)} \cup \cdots \cup C^{(r)}\right)$ has to decompose into $p$ copies of $P_{k}$, and there are exactly two vertices with odd degree in a path, $p \geq\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil$.

Let $\mathcal{D}\left(G ; P_{k}, S_{k}, C_{k}\right)$ denote the set of all triples $(m, n, l)$ of non-negative integers such that a decomposition of a graph $G$ into $m$ copies of $P_{k}, n$ copies of $S_{k}$, and $l$ copies of $C_{k}$ exists. Note that $(m, n, 0) \in \mathcal{D}\left(G ; P_{k}, S_{k}, C_{k}\right)$ if $(m, n) \in$ $\mathcal{C D}\left(G ; P_{k}, S_{k}\right) ;(m, 0, l) \in \mathcal{D}\left(G ; P_{k}, S_{k}, C_{k}\right)$ if $(m, l) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(G ; P_{k}, C_{k}\right) ;(0, n, l) \in$ $\mathcal{D}\left(G ; P_{k}, S_{k}, C_{k}\right)$ if $(n, l) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(G ; S_{k}, C_{k}\right) ;\left(\frac{e(G)}{k}, 0,0\right),\left(0, \frac{e(G)}{k}, 0\right),\left(0,0, \frac{e(G)}{k}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{D}\left(G ; P_{k}, S_{k}, C_{k}\right)$ if $G$ can be decomposed into $\frac{e(G)}{k}$ copies of $P_{k}\left(S_{k}, C_{k}\right)$.

Let $G$ be an even graph, and let $k, p, q$, and $r$ be positive integers with $k \geq 3$, $|V(G)| \geq k+1$, and $k(p+q+r)=e(G)$. If $k=4$, by Theorem $1, p \geq\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil=2$ if $q=1$, and hence $\mathcal{C D}\left(G ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \subset\left\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=\frac{e(G)}{4}\right.$,
$(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$. Note that both $\mathcal{C D}\left(G ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ and $\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+$ $\left.q+r=\frac{e(G)}{4},(p, q) \neq(1,1)\right\}$ are empty if $e(G)$ is not divisible by 4 . If we can prove that $\mathcal{C D}\left(G ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\left\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=\frac{e(G)}{4},(p, q) \neq(1,1)\right\}$, then $\mathcal{C D}\left(G ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)=\left\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=\frac{e(G)}{4},(p, q) \neq(1,1)\right\}$, and hence we determine the set of triples $(p, q, r)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}, C_{4}{ }^{r}\right\}$-decomposition of $G$.

If $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are $n$ sets of triples of non-negative integers, then $X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}$ denotes the set $\left\{\left(p_{1}, q_{1}, r_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(p_{n}, q_{n}, r_{n}\right):\left(p_{1}, q_{1}, r_{1}\right) \in X_{1}, \ldots,\left(p_{n}, q_{n}, r_{n}\right) \in\right.$ $\left.X_{n}\right\}$. The following two lemmas will be used for proving the main theorems.

Lemma 2. Let $n$, $l$, and $s$ be positive integers, and let $X$ and $Y$ be sets of triples of non-negative integers such that $X \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=s$, $(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$ and $Y \supset\{(a l, b l, c l): a, b, c \geq 0, a+b+c=n\}$. If $l \geq 2$ and $s \geq 3 l$, then $X+Y \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=s+n l,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$.

Proof. Let $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right)$ be a triple of positive integers such that $p^{*}+q^{*}+r^{*}=$ $s+n l$ and $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right) \neq(1,1)$. Clearly, $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right)=\left(\alpha l+p^{\prime}, \beta l+q^{\prime}, \gamma l+r^{\prime}\right)$ with $1 \leq p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}, r^{\prime} \leq l$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$. It is not difficult to check that $s=s^{\prime}+n^{\prime} l$ where $s^{\prime}=p^{\prime}+q^{\prime}+r^{\prime} \leq 3 l \leq s$ and $n^{\prime} \geq 0$. Let $\left(\alpha l+p^{\prime}, \beta l+q^{\prime}, \gamma l+r^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left(\alpha^{\prime} l+p^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime} l+q^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime} l+r^{\prime}\right)+\left(\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right) l,\left(\beta-\beta^{\prime}\right) l,\left(\gamma-\gamma^{\prime}\right) l\right)$, where $\alpha^{\prime}=\min \left\{\alpha, n^{\prime}\right\}$, $\beta^{\prime}=\min \left\{\beta, n^{\prime}-\alpha^{\prime}\right\}$, and $\gamma^{\prime}=n^{\prime}-\alpha^{\prime}-\beta^{\prime}$. Clearly, $\left(\alpha^{\prime} l+p^{\prime}\right)+\left(\beta^{\prime} l+q^{\prime}\right)+\left(\gamma^{\prime} l+r^{\prime}\right)=$ $s$ and $\left(\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right) l,\left(\beta-\beta^{\prime}\right) l,\left(\gamma-\gamma^{\prime}\right) l\right) \in Y$.

It is left to show that $\left(\alpha^{\prime} l+p^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime} l+q^{\prime}\right) \neq(1,1)$. Assume for a contradiction that $\alpha^{\prime} l+p^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime} l+q^{\prime}=1$. It follows that $p^{\prime}=q^{\prime}=1$ and $\alpha^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime}=0$. Therefore, either $n^{\prime}=0$ or $\alpha=\beta=0$. If $n^{\prime}=0$, then $s=s^{\prime}=2+r^{\prime} \leq 2+l \leq 2+\frac{s}{3}$, hence $s \leq 3$ which is a contradiction since $s \geq 6$. If $\alpha=\beta=0$, then $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right)=\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)=$ $(1,1)$ which contradicts our assumption. Hence $\left(\alpha^{\prime} l+p^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime} l+q^{\prime}\right) \neq(1,1)$, thus $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right) \in X+Y$.

Lemma 3. Let $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ be positive integers with $s_{1}, s_{2} \geq 9$ and let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be sets of triples of non-negative integers such that $X_{1} \supset\{(a, b, c): a, b, c \geq 0$, $a+b+c=s_{1},(a, b, c) \neq(1,1, c),(1,0, c),(0,1, c)$ when $\left.c \geq 1\right\}$ and $X_{2} \supset\{(p, q, r)$ : $\left.p, q, r>0, p+q+r=s_{2},(p, q) \neq(1,1)\right\}$. Then $X_{1}+X_{2} \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0$, $\left.p+q+r=s_{1}+s_{2},(p, q) \neq(1,1)\right\}$.

Proof. Let $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right)$ be a triple of positive integers such that $p^{*}+q^{*}+r^{*}=$ $s_{1}+s_{2}$ and $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right) \neq(1,1)$. We consider three cases as follows.

Case 1. $p^{*}, q^{*} \geq 3$. If $r^{*} \geq s_{2}-3$, then let $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right)=\left(p^{*}-1, q^{*}-\right.$ $\left.2, r^{*}-\left(s_{2}-3\right)\right)+\left(1,2, s_{2}-3\right)$. Clearly, $\left(p^{*}-1, q^{*}-2, r^{*}-\left(s_{2}-3\right)\right) \in X_{1}$ and $\left(1,2, s_{2}-3\right) \in X_{2}$. If $r^{*} \leq s_{2}-4$, then $p^{*}+q^{*} \geq s_{1}+4$. Since $p^{*}, q^{*} \geq 3$ with $p^{*}+q^{*} \geq s_{1}+4$, there exist positive integers $p_{1}^{*}, p_{2}^{*}, q_{1}^{*}$ and $q_{2}^{*}$ with $p_{1}^{*} \geq 1$, $p_{2}^{*} \geq 2, q_{1}^{*} \geq 2$, and $q_{2}^{*} \geq 1$ such that $p^{*}=p_{1}^{*}+p_{2}^{*}, q^{*}=q_{1}^{*}+q_{2}^{*}, p_{1}^{*}+q_{1}^{*}=s_{1}$, and
$p_{2}^{*}+q_{2}^{*}+r^{*}=s_{2}$. Let $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right)=\left(p_{1}^{*}, q_{1}^{*}, 0\right)+\left(p_{2}^{*}, q_{2}^{*}, r^{*}\right)$. It is easy to check that $\left(p_{1}^{*}, q_{1}^{*}, 0\right) \in X_{1}$ and $\left(p_{2}^{*}, q_{2}^{*}, r^{*}\right) \in X_{2}$. Hence $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right) \in X_{1}+X_{2}$.

Case 2. $p^{*}, q^{*} \leq 2$. Let $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right)=\left(0,0, s_{1}\right)+\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}-s_{1}\right)$. In this case, $r^{*} \geq s_{1}+s_{2}-4$ and $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right) \neq(1,1)$. It implies that $\left(0,0, s_{1}\right) \in X_{1}$ and $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}-s_{1}\right) \in X_{2}$. Hence $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right) \in X_{1}+X_{2}$.

Case 3. Either $p^{*} \leq 2, q^{*} \geq 3$ or $p^{*} \geq 3, q^{*} \leq 2$. Assume $p^{*} \leq 2$ and $q^{*} \geq 3$. If $q^{*} \leq s_{2}-3$, then $p^{*}+q^{*} \leq s_{2}-1$, and hence $r^{*} \geq s_{1}+1$. Let $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right)=$ $\left(0,0, s_{1}\right)+\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}-s_{1}\right)$. Clearly, $\left(0,0, s_{1}\right) \in X_{1}$ and $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}-s_{1}\right) \in X_{2}$.

If $q^{*} \geq s_{2}-2$ and $r^{*} \geq 6$, then let $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right)=\left(0, s_{1}-\left(r^{*}-5\right), r^{*}-5\right)+\left(p^{*}, s_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(p^{*}+5\right), 5\right)$. Since $1 \leq p^{*} \leq 2, s_{1}+s_{2}-2 \leq q^{*}+r^{*} \leq s_{1}+s_{2}-1$. Moreover, since $q^{*} \geq s_{2}-2, r^{*} \leq s_{1}+1$, and hence $s_{1}-\left(r^{*}-5\right) \geq 4$. Besides, $s_{2}-\left(p^{*}+5\right) \geq 2$ since $s_{2} \geq 9$ and $p^{*} \leq 2$. It implies that $\left(0, s_{1}-\left(r^{*}-5\right), r^{*}-5\right) \in X_{1}$ and $\left(\left(p^{*}, s_{2}-\left(p^{*}+5\right), 5\right) \in X_{2}\right.$.

If $q^{*} \geq s_{2}-2$ and $r^{*} \leq 5$, then let $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right)=\left(0, s_{1}, 0\right)+\left(p^{*}, s_{2}-\left(p^{*}+r^{*}\right), r^{*}\right)$. Since $s_{2} \geq 9, p^{*} \leq 2$, and $r^{*} \leq 5, s_{2}-\left(p^{*}+r^{*}\right) \geq 2$. Clearly, $\left(0, s_{1}, 0\right) \in X_{1}$ and $\left(p^{*}, s_{2}-\left(p^{*}+r^{*}\right), r^{*}\right) \in X_{2}$. Hence $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}, r^{*}\right) \in X_{1}+X_{2}$.

The case where $p^{*} \geq 3$ and $q^{*} \leq 2$ is similar to the case $p^{*} \leq 2$ and $q^{*} \geq 3$, therefore we omit its proof.

## 3. $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}, C_{4}{ }^{r}\right\}$-Decomposition of $K_{m, n}$

In this section we study the $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}, C_{4}{ }^{r}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{m, n}$ when both $m$ and $n$ are even. In particular, we prove that $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{m, n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)=\{(p, q, r)$ : $p, q, r>0 ; m+n \geq 6 ; 4(p+q+r)=m n ;(p, q) \neq(1,1) ; q$ is even when $m=2 ;(p, q, r) \neq(1,2,1)$ when $m=n=4\}$. We first recall three results on $P_{k}$-decomposition, $S_{k}$-decomposition, and $C_{k}$-decomposition of $K_{m, n}$ as follows.

Theorem 4 (Parker [28]). Let $k, m$, and $n$ be positive integers. There exists a $P_{k}$-decomposition of $K_{m, n}$ if and only if $m n \equiv 0(\bmod k)$ and one of cases in Table 1 occurs.

Theorem 5 (Yamamoto et al. [44]). Let $k$, $m$, and $n$ be positive integers with $m \leq n$. There exists an $S_{k}$-decomposition of $K_{m, n}$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds.
(1) $m \geq k$ and $m n \equiv 0(\bmod k)$;
(2) $m<k \leq n$ and $n \equiv 0(\bmod k)$.

Theorem 6 (Sotteau [38]). Let $k, m$, and $n$ be positive integers. $K_{m, n}$ has a $C_{2 k}$-decomposition if and only if $m$ and $n$ are even, $k \geq 2, m \geq k, n \geq k$, and $m n \equiv 0(\bmod 2 k)$.

| Case | $k$ | $m$ | $n$ | Characterization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | even | even | even | $k \leq 2 m, k \leq 2 n$, not both equalities |
| 2. | even | even | odd | $k \leq 2 m-2, k \leq 2 n$ |
| 3. | even | odd | even | $k \leq 2 m, k \leq 2 n-2$ |
| 4. | odd | even | even | $k \leq 2 m-1, k \leq 2 n-1$ |
| 5. | odd | even | odd | $k \leq 2 m-1, k \leq n$ |
| 6. | odd | odd | even | $k \leq m, k \leq 2 n-1$ |
| 7. | odd | odd | odd | $k \leq m, k \leq n$ |

Table 1. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for $P_{k}$-Decomposition of $K_{m, n}$.
Before going into more detail, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 7 ([36, Theorem 2.10]). Let $p$ and $q$ be non-negative integers, and let $k$, $m$, and $s$ be positive integers such that $k$ is even and $m<k$. There exists a decomposition of $K_{\text {sk,m }}$ into $p$ copies of $P_{k}$ and $q$ copies of $S_{k}$ if and only if $k(p+q)=e\left(K_{s k, m}\right)$, and there is $t \in\{0, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\left\lceil\frac{t k}{2}\right\rceil \leq p \leq t m$.

Let $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, x_{1}\right)$ denote, respectively, the $k$-path and the $k$-cycle through vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ in order, and let $\left(y ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ denote the $k$ star with center $y$ and leafs $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. An internal vertex of a path is a vertex of degree 2 . In the following lemma, we determine the set of ordered pairs $(p, q)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{2,2 n}$.

Lemma 8. Let $n$, $p$, and $q$ be positive integers. $(p, q) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{2,2 n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}\right)$ if and only if $n \geq 2 ; p+q=n$ and $q$ is even.

Proof. Let $n, p$, and $q$ be positive integers. Assume that $(p, q) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{2,2 n}\right.$; $\left.P_{4}, S_{4}\right)$. It is easily seen that $n \geq 2$ and $p+q=n$.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an arbitrary decomposition of $K_{2,2 n}$ into $p$ copies of $P_{4}$ and $q$ copies $S_{4}$. Let $(A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{2,2 n}$ where $A=\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}\right\}$ and $B=$ $\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{2 n-1}\right\}$. It is easily seen that each $S_{4}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ has to center at either $a_{0}$ or $a_{1}$, and each $P_{4}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ has to contain both $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ as its internal vertices. It implies that the number of copies of $S_{4}$ centered in $a_{0}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ is the same as the number of copies of $S_{4}$ centered in $a_{1}$ in $\mathcal{D}$, and hence $q$ is even.

Conversely, assume that $n \geq 2 ; p+q=n$ and $q$ is even. If $2 n=4 s$ for some integer $s$, by Lemma 7 , then $(p, n-p) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{2,2 n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}\right)$ for each $p \in$ $\{2,4, \ldots, 2 s\}$ (i.e., $q=n-p \in\{2,4, \ldots, 2 s\}$ ). Assume $2 n=4 s+2$ for some integer $s$. For each $q \in\{2,4, \ldots, 2(s-1)\}$, the graph $K_{2,4 s+2}$ is the edge-disjoint union of a copy $H_{1}^{q}$ of $K_{2,2 q}$ and a copy $H_{2}^{q}$ of $K_{2,4 s-2 q+2}$. By Theorem $5, H_{1}^{q}$ is $S_{4}$-decomposable, and by Theorem $4, H_{2}^{q}$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable. If $q=2 s$, then let $K_{2,4 s+2}$ decompose into $K_{2,4 s-4}$ and $K_{2,6}$. As mentioned above, $K_{2,4 s-4}$ can be decomposed into $2 s-2$ copies of $S_{4}$. Besides, $K_{2,6}$ can be decomposed into one
copy of $P_{4}$ and two copies of $S_{4}$ as follows: $\left(b_{0}, a_{1}, b_{5}, a_{0}, b_{4}\right),\left(a_{0} ; b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$, $\left(a_{1} ; b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)$.

In the following lemma, we determine the set of triples $(p, q, r)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}, C_{4}{ }^{r}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{2,2 n}$.

Lemma 9. Let $n$, $p$, $q$, and $r$ be positive integers with $n \geq 3$. $(p, q, r) \in \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}\left(K_{2,2 n}\right.$; $P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}$ ) if and only if $p+q+r=n$ and $q$ is even.

Proof. Let $n, p, q$, and $r$ be positive integers with $n \geq 3$. Assume that $(p, q, r) \in$ $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{2,2 n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$. It is easily seen that $p+q+r=n$.

Let $D$ be an arbitrary decomposition of $K_{2,2 n}$ into $p$ copies of $P_{4}, q$ copies of $S_{4}$, and $r$ copies of $C_{4}$, and let $C^{(1)}, \ldots, C^{(r)}$ denote the $r$ copies of $C_{4}$ in $D$. It is easily seen that $K_{2,2 n}-E\left(C^{(1)} \cup \cdots \cup C^{(r)}\right) \cong K_{2,2(n-r)}$. It implies that $K_{2,2(n-r)}$ can be decomposed into $p$ copies of $P_{4}$ and $q$ copies of $S_{4}$, and hence $q$ is even by Lemma 8.

Conversely, assume that $p+q+r=n$ and $q$ is even. Let $(A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{2,2 n}$ where $A=\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{2 n-1}\right\}$, and let $C^{(i)}=\left(b_{2 i-2}, a_{0}, b_{2 i-1}, a_{1}, b_{2 i-2}\right)$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$. It clear that $C^{(i)}$ is a $C_{4}$ and $K_{2,2 n}-E\left(C^{(1)} \cup \cdots \cup C^{(r)}\right) \cong K_{2,2(n-r)}$. By Lemma $8, K_{2,2(n-r)}$ is $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposable.

In the following lemma, we determine the set of triples $(p, q, r)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}^{q}, C_{4}^{r}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{4,2 n}$.

Lemma 10. Let $n, p, q$, and $r$ be positive integers with $n \geq 2$. $(p, q, r) \in$ $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,2 n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ if and only if $p+q+r=2 n$ and $(p, q) \neq(1,1) ;(p, q, r) \neq$ $(1,2,1)$.

Proof. (Necessity) By Theorem 1, condition $p+q+r=2 n$ and $(p, q) \neq(1,1)$ holds.

On the contrary, suppose $(1,2,1) \in \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}\left(K_{4,4} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$. Let $D$ be an arbitrary decomposition of $K_{4,4}$ into one copy of $P_{4}$, two copies of $S_{4}$, and one copy of $C_{4}$; and let $S^{(1)}, S^{(2)}$, and $C$ denote, respectively, the two copies of $S_{4}$ and the copy of $C_{4}$ in $D$. It is easily seen that $K_{4,4}-E\left(S^{(1)} \cup S^{(2)}\right) \cong K_{2,4}$ and $K_{2,4}-E(C) \cong K_{2,2}$. It follows that $K_{4,4}-E\left(S^{(1)} \cup S^{(2)} \cup C\right)$ is not $P_{4}$-decomposable, a contradiction.
(Sufficiency) By assumption, $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,2 n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \subset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0$, $p+q+r=2 n,(p, q) \neq(1,1) ;(p, q, r) \neq(1,2,1)\}$, and hence $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}\left(K_{4,4} ; P_{4}, S_{4}\right.$, $\left.C_{4}\right) \subset\{(2,1,1)\}$. Let $(A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{4,4}$ where $A=\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right\}$. $K_{4,4}$ can be decomposed into two copies of $P_{4}$, one copy of $S_{4}$, and one copy of $C_{4}$ as follows: $\left(b_{0}, a_{0}, b_{1}, a_{1}, b_{2}\right),\left(b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}, a_{0}, b_{3}\right)$, $\left(a_{3} ; b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right),\left(b_{0}, a_{1}, b_{3}, a_{2}, b_{0}\right)$.

Assume $n=3$. We show that $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0$, $p+q+r=6,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}=\{(1,2,3),(1,3,2),(1,4,1),(2,1,3),(2,2,2),(2,3,1)$, $(3,1,2),(3,2,1),(4,1,1)\}$.

We decompose $K_{4,6}$ into one copy of $K_{4,4}$ and one copy of $K_{4,2}$. By Theorems 4,5 , and $6, K_{4,2}$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable, $S_{4}$-decomposable, and $C_{4}$-decomposable, respectively. Since $(2,1,1) \in \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}\left(K_{4,4} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right),\{(2,1,1)+(2,0,0),(2,1,1)+$ $(0,2,0),(2,1,1)+(0,0,2)\}=\{(4,1,1),(2,3,1),(2,1,3)\} \subset \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$. Besides, it is easy to check that $K_{4,4}$ is $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{2}, C_{4}{ }^{2}\right\}$-decomposable, $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{3}, C_{4}{ }^{1}\right\}$ decomposable, and $\left\{P_{4}^{3}, S_{4}^{1}\right\}$-decomposable, respectively. Thus $\{(2,0,2)+(0,2$, $0),(3,0,1)+(0,2,0),(3,1,0)+(0,0,2)\}=\{(2,2,2),(3,2,1),(3,1,2)\} \subset \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,6} ;\right.$ $\left.P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$. We now turn our attention to the case $(1,2,3)$. The graph $K_{4,6}$ is the edge-disjoint union of two copies of $K_{2,6}$. By Lemma 8 and Theorem $6, K_{2,6}$ is $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{1}, S_{4}{ }^{2}\right\}$-decomposable and $C_{4}$-decomposable, respectively. Thus $(1,2,3) \in \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}\left(K_{4,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$. Let $(A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{4,6}$ where $A=$ $\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}\right\}$. We now show that $(1,4,1),(1,3,2) \in$ $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ as follows: $\left(b_{0}, a_{3}, b_{4}, a_{0}, b_{5}\right),\left(a_{0} ; b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right),\left(a_{1} ; b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right.$, $\left.b_{4}\right),\left(a_{2} ; b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right),\left(a_{3} ; b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{5}\right),\left(b_{0}, a_{1}, b_{5}, a_{2}, b_{0}\right) ;\left(b_{0}, a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{3}, b_{3}\right),\left(a_{0} ; b_{0}\right.$, $\left.b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right),\left(a_{1} ; b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}\right),\left(a_{2} ; b_{1}, b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}\right),\left(a_{0}, b_{4}, a_{3}, b_{5}, a_{0}\right),\left(b_{0}, a_{2}, b_{2}, a_{3}, b_{0}\right)$.

Assume $n \geq 4$. We decompose $K_{4,2 n}$ into one copy of $K_{4,6}$ and one copy of $K_{4,2(n-3)}$, and then we decompose $K_{4,2(n-3)}$ into $(n-3)$ copies of $K_{4,2}$. By Theorems 4, 5 and $6,\{(2,0,0),(0,2,0),(0,0,2)\} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(K_{4,2} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$, and thus $\left.\mathcal{D}\left(K_{4,2(n-3)} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(2 a, 2 b, 2 c): a, b, c \geq 0, a+b+c=n-3)\right\}$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}\left(K_{4,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)=\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=6,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$, $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,2 n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=2 n,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$ by Lemma 2, and hence $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,2 n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)=\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=$ $2 n,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$.

In the following lemma, we determine the set of triples $(p, q, r)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}, C_{4}{ }^{r}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{6,2 n}$.

Lemma 11. Let $n, p, q$, and $r$ be positive integers with $n \geq 3$. $(p, q, r) \in$ $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{6,2 n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ if and only if $p+q+r=3 n$ and $(p, q) \neq(1,1)$.

Proof. (Necessity) By Theorem 1, condition $p+q+r=3 n$ and $(p, q) \neq(1,1)$ holds.
(Sufficiency) Assume $n=3$. It is easily seen that $K_{6,6}$ can be decomposed into one copy of $K_{4,6}$ and one copy of $K_{2,6}$. By Lemma $10, \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ $=\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=6,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$. By Theorem 4, 6 and Lemma $8,\left\{(3,0,0),(0,0,3),((1,2,0)\} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(K_{2,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)\right.$. Besides, $K_{2,6}-E\left(C_{4}\right)$ $\cong K_{2,4}$, hence $\{(2,0,1),(0,2,1)\} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(K_{2,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ by Theorems 4,5 . We show that $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}\left(K_{6,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=9,(p, q) \neq$ $(1,1)\}$ as follows.

Suppose $q=1$ or 2 . If $p>r$, then let $(p, q, r)=(p-3, q, r)+(3,0,0)$, and if $p \leq r$ then let $(p, q, r)=(p, q, r-3)+(0,0,3)$. Since $\{(p-3, q, r)$, $(p, q, r-3)\} \subset \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ and $\{(3,0,0),(0,0,3)\} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(K_{2,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$, $(p, q, r) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{6,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$.

Suppose $q=3,4$, or 5 . If $r \geq 4$, then let $(p, q, r)=(p, q, r-3)+(0,0,3)$; if $2 \leq r \leq 3$, then let $(p, q, r)=(p, q-2, r-1)+(0,2,1)$ (note that $p \geq 3$ if $q=3$ ); if $r=1$, then let $(p, q, r)=(p-1, q-2, r)+(1,2,0)$ (note that $p \geq 3$ ). Since $\{(p, q, r-3),(p, q-2, r-1),(p-1, q-2, r)\} \subset \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ and $\{(0,0,3),(0,2,1),(1,2,0)\} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(K_{2,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right),(p, q, r) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{6,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$.

Suppose $q=6$. In this case $p+r=3$. If $r=2$, then let $(1,6,2)=(1,4,1)+$ $(0,2,1)$, and if $r=1$, then let $(2,6,1)=(1,4,1)+(1,2,0)$. Since $(1,4,1) \in$ $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ and $(0,2,1),(1,2,0) \in \mathcal{D}\left(K_{2,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right),(1,6,2),(2,6,1)$ $\in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{6,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$.

Suppose $q=7$. Let $(A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{6,6}$ where $A=\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right.$, $\left.a_{4}, a_{5}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}\right\}$. We show that $(1,7,1) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{6,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ below: $\left(b_{0}, a_{5}, b_{2}, a_{3}, b_{3}\right),\left(a_{0} ; b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right),\left(a_{1} ; b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right),\left(a_{2} ; b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$, $\left(a_{3} ; b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{4}, b_{5}\right),\left(a_{4} ; b_{0}, b_{2}, b_{4}, b_{5}\right),\left(b_{4} ; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{5}\right),\left(b_{5} ; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{5}\right),\left(b_{1}, a_{4}\right.$, $\left.b_{3}, a_{5}, b_{1}\right)$.

Assume $n \geq 4$. If $n$ is even, then write $n=2 k$ for some integer $k$ with $k \geq 2$. We decompose $K_{6,4 k}$ into one copy of $K_{6,4}$ and one copy of $K_{6,4(k-1)}$, and then we decompose $K_{6,4(k-1)}$ into $3(k-1)$ copies of $K_{2,4}$. By Theorems 4, 5 and $6,\{(2,0,0),(0,2,0),(0,0,2)\} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(K_{4,2} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$, and thus $\mathcal{D}\left(K_{6,4(k-1)} ; P_{4}, S_{4}\right.$, $\left.C_{4}\right) \supset\{(2 a, 2 b, 2 c): a, b, c \geq 0, a+b+c=3(k-1)\}$. By Lemma 10, $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{6,4} ; P_{4}\right.$, $\left.S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=6,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$, and hence $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{6,2 n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=3 n,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$ by Lemma 2.

If $n$ is odd, then write $n=2 k+1$ for some integer $k$ with $k \geq 2$, and thus $2 n=4 k+2=4(k-1)+6$. We decompose $K_{6,4 k+2}$ into one copy of $K_{6,6}$ and one copy of $K_{6,4(k-1)}$, and then we decompose $K_{6,4(k-1)}$ into $3(k-1)$ copies of $K_{2,4}$. As mentioned above, $\mathcal{D}\left(K_{6,4(k-1)} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(2 a, 2 b, 2 c): a, b, c \geq 0$, $a+b+c=3(k-1)\}$. Since $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{6,6} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)=\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0$, $p+q+r=9,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}, \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{6,2 n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0$, $p+q+r=3 n,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$, by Lemma 2 .

In the following lemma, we determine the set of triples $(p, q, r)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}, C_{4}{ }^{r}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{m, n}$ when both $m$ and $n$ are positive even integers with $n \geq m \geq 8$.

Lemma 12. Let $p, q$, and $r$ be positive integers, and let $m$ and $n$ be positive even integers with $n \geq m \geq 8$. $(p, q, r) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{m, n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ if and only if $4(p+q+r)=m n$ and $(p, q) \neq(1,1)$.

Proof. (Necessity) By Theorem 1, condition $4(p+q+r)=m n$ and $(p, q) \neq(1,1)$ holds.
(Sufficiency) We divided the proof into two cases as follows.
Case 1. $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Write $m=4 k$ for some integer $k$ with $k \geq$ 2. We decompose $K_{4 k, n}$ into one copy of $K_{4, n}$ and one copy of $K_{4(k-1), n}$, and then we decompose $K_{4(k-1), n}$ into $\frac{n}{2}(k-1)$ copies of $K_{4,2}$. By Theorems 4,5 and $6,\{(2,0,0),(0,2,0),(0,0,2)\} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(K_{4,2} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$, and thus $\mathcal{D}\left(K_{4(k-1), n}\right.$; $\left.P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\left\{(2 a, 2 b, 2 c): a, b, c \geq 0, a+b+c=\frac{n}{2}(k-1)\right\}$. By Lemma 10, $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4, n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)=\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=n,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$, and hence $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{m, n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=k n,(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$ by Lemma 2.

Case $2 . \quad m \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Write $m=4 k+2=4(k-1)+6$ for some integer $k$ with $k \geq 2$. We decompose $K_{4 k+2, n}$ into one copy of $K_{6, n}$ and one copy of $K_{4(k-1), n}$, and then we decompose $K_{4(k-1), n}$ into $\frac{n}{2}(k-1)$ copies of $K_{4,2}$. As mentioned above, $\mathcal{D}\left(K_{4(k-1), n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(2 a, 2 b, 2 c): a, b, c \geq 0$, $\left.a+b+c=\frac{n}{2}(k-1)\right\}$. By Lemma 11, $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{6, n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)=\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0$, $\left.p+q+r=\frac{6 n}{4},(p, q) \neq(1,1)\right\}$, and hence $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{4 k+2, n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r)$ : $\left.p, q, r>0, p+q+r=\frac{(4 k+2) n}{4},(p, q) \neq(1,1)\right\}$ by Lemma 2 .

Now, we are ready for the main result of this section. It is obtained by combining Theorem 1 and Lemmas 9, 10, 11, and 12.

Theorem 13. Let $m, n, p, q$, and $r$ be positive integers such that both $m$ and $n$ are even, and $m \leq n$. $(p, q, r) \in \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}\left(K_{m, n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ if and only if $m+n \geq 6$; $4(p+q+r)=m n ;(p, q) \neq(1,1) ; q$ is even when $m=2 ;(p, q, r) \neq(1,2,1)$ when $m=n=4$.

## 4. $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}, C_{4}{ }^{r}\right\}$-DECOMPOSITION OF $K_{n}$

In this section, we study the $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}, C_{4}{ }^{r}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$ when $n$ is odd. In particular, we prove that $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)=\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0,4(p+$ $\left.q+r)=\binom{n}{2},(p, q) \neq(1,1)\right\}$. Let us begin with three well-known results on $P_{k}$-decomposition, $S_{k}$-decomposition, and $C_{k}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$, respectively.

Theorem 14 (Tarsi [40]). Let $k$ and $n$ be positive integers. There exists a $P_{k}$ decomposition of $K_{n}$ if and only if $k+1 \leq n$ and $n(n-1) \equiv 0(\bmod 2 k)$.

Theorem 15 (Tarsi [39] and Yamamoto et al. [44]). Let $k$ and $n$ be positive integers. There exists an $S_{k}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$ if and only if $2 k \leq n$ and $n(n-1) \equiv 0(\bmod 2 k)$.

Theorem 16 (Alspach, Gavlas [6] and Šajna [31]). Let $n$ and $k$ be positive integers. $K_{n}$ has a $C_{k}$-decomposition if and only if $n$ is odd, $3 \leq k \leq n$, and $n(n-1) \equiv 0(\bmod 2 k)$.

In the following, we will introduce three known results on $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, C_{4}{ }^{r}\right\}$ decomposition, $\left\{S_{4}{ }^{q}, C_{4}{ }^{r}\right\}$-decomposition, and $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$, respectively.

Theorem 17 [33]. Let $p$ and $r$ be positive integers, and let $n$ be a positive odd integer. $(p, r) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{n} ; P_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ if and only if $4(p+q)=e\left(K_{n}\right)$ and $p \neq 1$.

Theorem 18 [35]. Let $q$ and $r$ be positive integers, and let $n$ be a positive odd integer. $(q, r) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{n} ; S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ if and only if $4(p+q)=e\left(K_{n}\right)$ and $q \neq 1$.

Theorem 19 [36]. Let $p, q$, and $n$ be positive integers with $n \geq 16$. $(p, q) \in$ $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}\right)$ if and only if $4(p+q)=e\left(K_{n}\right)$.

Theorem 19 determined the set of ordered pairs $(p, q)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$ when $n \geq 16$. In the following lemma, we determine the set of ordered pairs $(p, q)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$ when $n<16$ and $n$ is odd, thus we determine the set of ordered pairs $(p, q)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}{ }^{q}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{n}$ when $n$ is odd.

Theorem 20. Let $p$ and $q$ be positive integers, and let $n$ be a positive odd integer. $(p, q) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}\right)$ if and only if $4(p+q)=e\left(K_{n}\right)$.

Proof. (Necessity) Condition $4(p+q)=e\left(K_{n}\right)$ is trivial.
(Sufficiency) Observe that $4 \left\lvert\, \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right.$ implies $8 \mid(n-1)$. It follows that $n=$ $8 m+1$ for some positive integer $m$. By Theorem 19, we need only consider the case $n=9$. Assume $V\left(K_{9}\right)=\{1, \ldots, 9\}$. We show that $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}, S_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q):$ $p, q>0, p+q=9\}$.

Assume $(p, q)=(8,1) . K_{9}$ can be decomposed into 8 copies of $P_{4}$ and one copy of $S_{4}$ as follows: $(3,1,9,2,4),(7,5,9,6,8),(4,3,2,1,5),(5,2,6,1,4)$, $(5,4,6,3,7),(7,4,8,3,5),(1,7,2,8,5),(5,6,7,8,1),(9 ; 3,4,7,8)$.

Assume $(p, q)=(7,2)$. It is easily seen that $K_{9}$ is the edge-disjoint union of a copy $H_{1}^{q}$ of $K_{8}$ and a copy $H_{2}^{q}$ of $S_{8}$. By Theorem 14, $H_{1}^{q}$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable, and $H_{2}^{q}$ can be decomposed into two copies of $S_{4}$. Hence the assertion follows.

Assume $(p, q)=(6,3) . K_{9}$ can be decomposed into 6 copies of $P_{4}$ and 3 copies of $S_{4}$ as follows: $(5,1,3,2,6),(6,3,4,2,5),(3,7,4,5,6),(6,4,8,5,3),(6,9,5,7,1)$, $(1,8,2,7,6),(1 ; 2,4,6,9),(8 ; 3,6,7,9),(9 ; 2,3,4,7)$.

Assume $(p, q)=(5,4) . K_{9}$ can be decomposed into 5 copies of $P_{4}$ and 4 copies of $S_{4}$ as follows: $(2,4,3,6,5),(5,8,4,6,7),(7,5,9,6,2),(2,3,1,5,4),(4,7,3,5,2)$, $(1 ; 4,6,7,8),(2 ; 1,7,8,9),(8 ; 3,6,7,9),(9 ; 1,3,4,7)$.

Assume $(p, q)=(4,5) . K_{9}$ can be decomposed into 4 copies of $P_{4}$ and 5 copies of $S_{4}$ as follows: $(5,1,3,2,6),(6,3,4,2,5),(7,3,5,4,8),(8,5,6,4,7),(1 ; 4,6,8,9)$, $(2 ; 1,7,8,9),(7 ; 1,5,6,9),(8 ; 3,6,7,9),(9 ; 3,4,5,6)$.

Assume $(p, q)=(3,6) . K_{9}$ can be decomposed into 3 copies of $P_{4}$ and 6 copies of $S_{4}$ as follows: $(4,1,2,3,9),(9,4,3,8,7),(7,6,5,8,4),(1 ; 3,5,7,9),(2 ; 4,6,7,9)$, $(5 ; 2,3,4,9),(6 ; 1,3,4,9),(7 ; 3,4,5,9),(8 ; 1,2,6,9)$.

Assume $(p, q)=(2,7) . K_{9}$ can be decomposed into 2 copies of $P_{4}$ and 7 copies of $S_{4}$ as follows: $(1,2,3,4,5),(5,6,7,8,9),(1 ; 3,4,8,9),(2 ; 4,5,8,9),(3 ; 6,7,8,9)$, $(4 ; 6,7,8,9),(5 ; 1,3,8,9),(6 ; 1,2,8,9),(7 ; 1,2,5,9)$.

Assume $(p, q)=(1,8) . \quad K_{9}$ can be decomposed into one copy of $P_{4}$ and 8 copies of $S_{4}$ as follows: $(4,5,6,7,8),(1 ; 2,3,4,5),(2 ; 3,4,5,6),(3 ; 4,6,7,8)$, $(5 ; 3,7,8,9),(6 ; 1,4,8,9),(7 ; 1,2,4,9),(8 ; 1,2,4,9) .(9 ; 1,2,3,4)$.

The following lemma gives sufficient conditions for decomposing an edgedisjoint union of cycles of length $k$ into copies of $P_{k}$. In fact, the proof of the following lemma is essentially given in [33, Lemma 3.8]. We present it here for completeness.

Lemma 21. Let $k$ and $n$ be integers such that $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$. For each $i \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, let $C(i)$ denote the cycle of length $k,\left(x_{(i, 1)}, x_{(i, 2)}, \ldots, x_{(i, k)}, x_{(i, 1)}\right)$. If $x_{(1,1)}=x_{(2,1)}=\cdots=x_{(n, 1)}, x_{(i-1,2)} \notin V(C(i))$ for each $i \in\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$, and $x_{(n, 2)} \notin V(C(1))$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C(i)$ can be decomposed into $n$ paths of length $k$.
Proof. By assumptions, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C(i)$ can be decomposed into $n$ paths of length $k$ as follows: $\left(x_{(2,2)}, x_{(2,3)}, \ldots, x_{(2, k)}, x_{(2,1)}, x_{(1,2)}\right),\left(x_{(3,2)}, x_{(3,3)}, \ldots, x_{(3, k)}, x_{(3,1)}, x_{(2,2)}\right)$, $\ldots,\left(x_{(n, 2)}, x_{(n, 3)}, \ldots, x_{(n, k)}, x_{(n, 1)}, x_{(n-1,2)}\right),\left(x_{(1,2)}, x_{(1,3)}, \ldots, x_{(1, k)}, x_{(1,1)}, x_{(n, 2)}\right)$.

In the following lemma, we determine the set of triples $(p, q, r)$ of positive integers for which there exists a $\left\{P_{4}{ }^{p}, S_{4}^{q}, C_{4}^{r}\right\}$-decomposition of $K_{9}$.

Lemma 22. Let $p, q$, and $r$ be positive integers. $(p, q, r) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ if and only if $p+q+r=9$ and $(p, q) \neq(1,1)$.

Proof. (Necessity) The assertion follows immediately from Theorem 1.
(Sufficiency) Let $V\left(K_{9}\right)=\{1, \ldots, 9\}$. We split the proof into 7 cases according to the value of $q$.

Assume $q=1$ (note that $p \geq 2$ in this case). $K_{9}$ can be decomposed into two copies of $P_{4}$, one copy of $S_{4}$, and 6 copies of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(3,1,9,2,4)$, $(7,5,9,6,8),(9 ; 3,4,7,8), C(1)=(1,4,3,2,1), C(2)=(1,5,2,6,1), C(3)=(3,5$, $4,6,3), C(4)=(3,7,4,8,3), C(5)=(8,1,7,2,8), C(6)=(8,5,6,7,8)$. Since $1 \in V(C(1)) \cap V(C(2)), 5 \notin V(C(1))$, and $4 \notin(C(2)), C(1) \cup C(2)$ can be decomposed into two copies of $P_{4}$, by Lemma 21. By the same argument, $C(3) \cup C(4)$ and $C(5) \cup C(6)$ can also be decomposed into two copies of $P_{4}$. Hence $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, 1,8-p): 2 \leq p \leq 7$ and $p$ is even $\}$.

On the other hand, $K_{9}$ can be decomposed into three copies of $P_{4}$, one copy of $S_{4}$, and 5 copies of $C_{4}$ as follows: ( $3,1,9,2,4$ ), ( $9,5,8,6,7$ ), ( $9,8,7,5,6$ ), $(9 ; 3,4,6,7), C(1)=(1,4,3,2,1), C(2)=(1,5,2,6,1), C(3)=(1,7,2,8,1)$, $C(4)=(3,5,4,6,3), C(5)=(3,7,4,8,3)$. By the same argument mentioned above, $C(1) \cup C(2)$ and $C(4) \cup C(5)$ can be decomposed into two copies of $P_{4}$. Thus $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, 1,8-p): 2 \leq p \leq 7$ and $p$ is odd $\}$.

Assume $q=2 . K_{9}$ can be decomposed into two copies of $S_{4}$, and 7 copies of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(1 ; 3,4,8,9),(2 ; 3,4,8,9), C(1)=(3,7,1,6,3), C(2)=(3,5,6,4,3)$, $C(3)=(3,8,4,9,3), C(4)=(2,7,5,1,2), C(5)=(2,6,9,5,2), C(6)=(7,6,8$, $9,7), C(7)=(7,4,5,8,7)$, Since $3 \in V(C(1)) \cap V(C(2) \cap V(C(3)), 8 \notin V(C(1))$, $7 \notin V(C(2))$, and $5 \notin V(C(3)), C(1) \cup C(2) \cup C(3)$ can be decomposed into three copies of $P_{4}$, by Lemma 21. By the same argument, $C(1) \cup C(2), C(4) \cup C(5)$, and $C(6) \cup C(7)$ can also be decomposed into two copies of $P_{4}$. Hence $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}\right.$, $\left.S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, 2,7-p): p=2, \ldots, 6\}$. Besides, $K_{9}$ can also be decomposed into one copy of $P_{4}$, two copies of $S_{4}$, and 6 copies of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(4,1,7,3,6)$, ( 1 ; $3,6,8,9),(2 ; 3,4,8,9),(3,5,6,4,3),(3,8,4,9,3),(2,7,5,1,2),(2,6,9,5,2),(7,6,8$, $9,7),(7,4,5,8,7)$, Thus $(1,2,6) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$.

Assume $q=3 . K_{9}$ can be decomposed into three copies of $S_{4}$, and 6 copies of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(1 ; 2,4,6,9),(8 ; 3,6,7,9),(9 ; 2,3,4,7), C(1)=(2,5,1,3,2)$, $C(2)=(2,6,3,4,2), C(3)=(4,7,3,5,4), C(4)=(4,8,5,6,4), C(5)=(7,6,9$, $5,7), C(6)=(7,1,8,2,7)$. By Lemma 21, both $C(1) \cup C(2)$ and $C(3) \cup C(4)$ can be decomposed into two copies of $P_{4}$. Hence $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, 3$, $6-p): p=2,4\}$. Besides, $K_{9}$ can also be decomposed into one copy of $P_{4}$, three copies of $S_{4}$, and 5 copies of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(8,2,7,1,4),(1 ; 2,6,8,9)$, $(8 ; 3,6,7,9),(9 ; 2,3,4,7), C(1)=(3,1,5,2,3), C(2)=(3,6,2,4,3), C(3)=$ $(3,7,4,5,3), C(4)=(5,8,4,6,5), C(5)=(5,9,6,7,5)$. By Lemma 21 again, both $C(1) \cup C(2)$ and $C(4) \cup C(5)$ can be decomposed into two copies of $P_{4}$. Hence $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, 3,6-p): p=1,3,5\}$.

Assume $q=4 . \quad K_{9}$ can be decomposed into 4 copies of $S_{4}$, and 5 copies of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(1 ; 4,6,7,8),(2 ; 1,7,8,9),(8 ; 3,6,7,9),(9 ; 1,3,4,7), C(1)=$ $(3,1,5,2,3), C(2)=(3,6,2,4,3), C(3)=(3,7,4,5,3), C(4)=(5,8,4,6,5)$, $C(5)=(5,9,6,7,5)$. By Lemma 21, both $C(1) \cup C(2)$ and $C(4) \cup C(5)$ can be decomposed into two copies of $P_{4}$, and $C(1) \cup C(2) \cup C(3)$ can be decomposed into three copies of $P_{4}$. Hence $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, 4,5-p): p=2,3,4\}$. Besides, $K_{9}$ can also be decomposed into one copy of $P_{4}, 4$ copies of $S_{4}$, and 4 copies of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(3,2,5,1,4),(1 ; 3,6,7,8),(2 ; 1,7,8,9),(8 ; 3,6,7,9)$, $(9 ; 1,3,4,7),(2,6,3,4,2),(3,7,4,5,3),(4,8,5,6,4),(5,9,6,7,5)$. Hence $(1,4,4) \in$ $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$.

Assume $q=5 . K_{9}$ can be decomposed into 5 copies of $S_{4}$, and 4 copies of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(1 ; 4,6,8,9),(2 ; 1,7,8,9),(7 ; 1,5,6,9),(8 ; 3,6,7,9),(9 ; 3,4,5,6)$, $C(1)=(3,4,2,6,3), C(2)=(3,1,5,2,3), C(3)=(3,7,4,5,3), C(4)=(4,8,5$,

6,4). By Lemma 21, $C(1) \cup C(2)$ can be decomposed into two copies of $P_{4}$, and $C(1) \cup C(2) \cup C(3)$ can be decomposed into three copies of $P_{4}$. Hence $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, 5,4-p): p=2,3\}$. Besides, $K_{9}$ can also be decomposed into one copy of $P_{4}, 5$ copies of $S_{4}$, and three copies of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(4,6,5,8,3)$, $(1 ; 4,6,8,9),(2 ; 1,7,8,9),(7 ; 1,5,6,9),(8 ; 4,6,7,9),(9 ; 3,4,5,6),(1,5,2,3,1),(2$, $6,3,4,2),(3,7,4,5,3)$. Hence $(1,5,3) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$.

Assume $q=6 . K_{9}$ can be decomposed into two copies of $P_{4}, 6$ copies of $S_{4}$, and one copy of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(4,9,3,8,7),(7,6,5,8,4),(1 ; 3,5,7,9)$, $(2 ; 4,6,7,9),(5 ; 2,3,4,9),(6 ; 1,3,4,9),(7 ; 3,4,5,9),(8 ; 1,2,6,9),(1,2,3,4,1)$. Besides, $K_{9}$ can also be decomposed into one copy of $P_{4}, 6$ copies of $S_{4}$, and two copies of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(1,8,5,6,7),(1 ; 3,5,7,9),(2 ; 4,6,7,9),(5 ; 2,3,4,9)$, $(6 ; 1,3,4,9),(7 ; 3,4,5,9),(8 ; 2,6,7,9),(1,2,3,4,1),(3,9,4,8,3)$. Thus $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ;\right.$ $\left.P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(2,6,1),(1,6,2)\}$.

Assume $q=7 . K_{9}$ can be decomposed into one copy of $P_{4}, 7$ copies of $S_{4}$, and one copy of $C_{4}$ as follows: $(2,8,3,9,4),(1 ; 3,7,8,9),(2 ; 5,6,7,9),(4 ; 2,5,6,7)$, $(5 ; 1,3,8,9),(6 ; 1,3,5,9),(7 ; 3,5,6,9),(8 ; 4,6,7,9),(1,2,3,4,1)$. Thus $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{9} ; P_{4}\right.$, $\left.S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(1,7,1)\}$.

Now, we prove the main result of this section.
Theorem 23. Let $p, q$, and $r$ be positive integers, and let $n$ be a positive odd integer. $(p, q, r) \in \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ if and only if $4(p+q+r)=\binom{n}{2}$ and $(p, q) \neq(1,1)$.

Proof. (Necessity) The assertion follows immediately from Theorem 1.
(Sufficiency) Observe that $4 \left\lvert\, \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right.$ implies $8 \mid(n-1)$. It follows that $n=$ $8 m+1$ for some positive integer $m$. The proof is by induction on $m$. By Lemma 22 , the assertion holds for $m=1$. Assume $m \geq 2$. When $m$ is even, write $m=2 k$ for some integer $k$. It is easily seen that $K_{16 k+1}$ can be decomposed into two copies of $K_{8 k+1}$ and a copy of $K_{8 k, 8 k}$. By the induction hypotheses, $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{8 k+1} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=k(8 k+1),(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$. By Theorems 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 20, $\mathcal{D}\left(K_{8 k+1} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(a, b, c): a, b, c \geq$ 0 with at least one of $a, b, c$ is $0, a+b+c=k(8 k+1),(a, b, c) \neq(1,0, c),(0,1, c)$ when $c \geq 1\}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}\left(K_{8 k+1} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(a, b, c): a, b, c \geq 0, a+b+$ $c=k(8 k+1),(a, b, c) \neq(1,1, c),(1,0, c),(0,1, c)$ when $c \geq 1\}$. By Lemma 3, $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{8 k+1} \cup K_{8 k+1} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0, p+q+r=2 k(8 k+1)$, $(p, q) \neq(1,1)\}$. Besides, $K_{8 k, 8 k}$ can be decomposed into $8 k^{2}$ copies of $K_{2,4}$, and by Theorems 4,5 , and $6,\{(2,0,0),(0,2,0),(0,0,2)\} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(K_{2,4} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$. Hence $\mathcal{D}\left(K_{8 k, 8 k} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\left\{(2 a, 2 b, 2 c): a, b, c \geq 0, a+b+c=8 k^{2}\right\}$. By Lemma 2, $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{8 k+1} \cup K_{8 k, 8 k} \cup K_{8 k+1} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0,4(p+q+r)=$ $\left.\binom{16 k+1}{2},(p, q) \neq(1,1)\right\}$, that is, $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{8 m+1} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right) \supset\{(p, q, r): p, q, r>0$, $\left.4(p+q+r)=\binom{8 m+1}{2},(p, q) \neq(1,1)\right\}$.

When $m$ is odd, write $m=2 k+1$ for some integer $k$. It is easily seen that $K_{16 k+9}$ can be decomposed into one copy of $K_{8 k+1}$, one copy of $K_{8 k, 8(k+1)}$, and one copy of $K_{8 k+9}$. Besides, $K_{8 k, 8(k+1)}$ can be decomposed into $8 k(k+1)$ copies of $K_{2,4}$. The case where $m=2 k+1$ is similar to the case $m=2 k$, therefore we omit its proof.

Remark. As mentioned on page $3, \mathcal{D}\left(K_{n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ denote the set of all triples ( $a, b, c$ ) of non-negative integers such that a decomposition of $K_{n}$ into $a$ copies of $P_{4}, b$ copies of $S_{4}$, and $c$ copies of $C_{4}$ exists. In fact, when $n$ is odd, all triples in $\mathcal{D}\left(K_{n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ can be determined by combining Theorems 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20 and 23.

For the set $\mathcal{D}\left(K_{m, n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$, we can also determine all triples in $\mathcal{D}\left(K_{m, n}\right.$; $P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}$ ) when both $m$ and $n$ are even. Let $p, q$, and $r$ be positive integers, and let $m$ and $n$ be positive even integers with $m \leq n$. Jeevadoss and Muthusamy [15] showed that $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{m, n} ; P_{4}, C_{4}\right)=\{(p, r): m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 4 ; 4(p+r)=m n$ and $p \neq 1\}$. Besides, we proved that $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{m, n} ; S_{4}, C_{4}\right)=\{(q, r): m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 4 ;$ $4(q+r)=m n$ and $q \neq 1 ; q$ is even when $m=2 ; r \neq 1$ when $m=4\}$ and $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{m, n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}\right)=\{(p, q): m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 4 ; 4(p+q)=m n ; q$ is even when $m=2 ; p \neq 1$ when $m=4\}$. Because the proofs are rather lengthy and the arguments are similar to the proofs of Lemmas $8,9,10,11$, and 12 , we omit the proofs here. Thus all triples in $\mathcal{D}\left(K_{m, n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$ can be determined by combining Theorems 4, 5, 6, and 13, $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{m, n} ; P_{4}, S_{4}\right), \mathcal{C D}\left(K_{m, n} ; P_{4}, C_{4}\right)$, and $\mathcal{C D}\left(K_{m, n} ; S_{4}, C_{4}\right)$.
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