

LINEAR LIST COLORING OF SOME SPARSE GRAPHS

MING CHEN

College of Mathematics Physics and Information Engineering
Jiaxing University, Zhejiang 314001, China
e-mail: chen2001ming@163.com

YUSHENG LI

AND

LI ZHANG¹

School of Mathematical Sciences
Tongji University Shanghai 200092, China
e-mail: li_yusheng@tongji.edu.cn
lizhang@tongji.edu.cn

Abstract

A linear k -coloring of a graph is a proper k -coloring of the graph such that any subgraph induced by the vertices of any pair of color classes is a union of vertex-disjoint paths. A graph G is linearly L -colorable if there is a linear coloring c of G for a given list assignment $L = \{L(v) : v \in V(G)\}$ such that $c(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$, and G is linearly k -choosable if G is linearly L -colorable for any list assignment with $|L(v)| \geq k$. The smallest integer k such that G is linearly k -choosable is called the linear list chromatic number, denoted by $lc_l(G)$. It is clear that $lc_l(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$ for any graph G with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$. The maximum average degree of a graph G , denoted by $mad(G)$, is the maximum of the average degrees of all subgraphs of G . In this note, we shall prove the following. Let G be a graph, (1) if $mad(G) < \frac{8}{3}$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 7$, then $lc_l(G) = \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$; (2) if $mad(G) < \frac{18}{7}$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 5$, then $lc_l(G) = \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$; (3) if $mad(G) < \frac{20}{7}$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 5$, then $lc_l(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 2$.

Keywords: linear coloring, maximum average degree, planar graphs, discharging.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15.

¹Corresponding author.

1. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered here are finite, simple and undirected. For a graph G , denote by $V(G)$, $E(G)$, $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ the vertex set, edge set, the minimum degree and the maximum degree, respectively. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $N(v)$ and $d(v)$ be the neighborhood and the degree of v in G , respectively. The closed neighborhood of a vertex $v \in V(G)$, denoted by $N[v]$, is defined to be $N(v) \cup v$. A k -vertex (k^- -vertex and k^+ -vertex, respectively) is a vertex with degree k (at most k and at least k , respectively). A 2-vertex $v \in V(G)$ is called an (a, b) -vertex if it is adjacent to an a -vertex and a b -vertex, and an (a, b^+) -vertex is defined similarly. The maximum average degree $mad(G)$ of a graph G is defined as $mad(G) = \max \left\{ \frac{2|E(H)|}{|V(H)|} : H \subseteq G \right\}$, where $H \subseteq G$ signified that H is a subgraph of G .

A *proper k -coloring* of a graph G is a mapping ϕ from $V(G)$ to the set of colors $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$ whenever $uv \in E(G)$. A *linear k -coloring* of a graph is a proper k -coloring of the graph such that any subgraph induced by the vertices of any pair of color classes is a union of vertex-disjoint paths. The *linear chromatic number* $lc(G)$ of a graph G is the smallest number k such that G has a linear k -coloring. A graph G is *linearly L -colorable* if for a given list assignment $L = \{L(v) : v \in V(G)\}$, there exists a linear coloring c of G such that $c(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$. If G is linearly L -colorable for any list assignment with $|L(v)| \geq k$ for all $v \in V(G)$, then G is said to be *linearly k -choosable*. The smallest integer k such that the graph G is linearly k -choosable is called the *linear list chromatic number*, denoted by $lc_l(G)$. The concept of linear coloring was first introduced by Yuster [8], and linear list colorings were first investigated by Esperet, Montassier and Raspaud [4].

It is clear that the linear chromatic number $lc(G)$ of a graph G with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ has a trivial lower bound $lc(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$, then $lc_l(G) \geq lc(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$. Esperet *et al.* [4] proved that trees with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ satisfy $lc_l(G) = \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$. This equality suggests that the linear list chromatic numbers of sparse graphs (with $mad(G) < 3$) might be close to the trivial lower bound. Cranston and Yu [1] asked: Does there exist a constant C such that every sparse graph G satisfies $lc(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + C$? Some authors have proved that for the class of some sparse graphs, such constant C exists and is close to or equal to 1. We list the currently known results about this subject as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a graph.*

- (i) (Esperet *et al.* [4]) *If $mad(G) < \frac{8}{3}$, then $lc_l(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 3$.*
- (ii) (Wang and Wu [7]) *If $mad(G) < \frac{14}{5}$, then $lc(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 2$.*

- (iii) (Cranston and Yu [1]) If $\text{mad}(G) < 3$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 9$, then $lc_l(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 2$.
- (iv) (Cranston and Yu [1]) If $\text{mad}(G) < \frac{12}{5}$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 3$, then $lc_l(G) = \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$.

A *planar graph* is a graph that can be drawn on the Euclidean plane such that its edges meet at their ends only. The *girth* of a graph G , denoted $g(G)$, is the length of a shortest cycle of G . For a planar graph G with girth g , we have $\text{mad}(G) < \frac{2g}{g-2}$ by Euler's formula. So we can get some results from above results. Li, Wang and Raspaud [5] also asked: Is there a constant C such that every planar graph G has $lc(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + C$? About this question, there are some other results as follows.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a planar graph.*

- (i) (Cranston and Yu [1]) If $g(G) \geq 5$, then $lc_l(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 4$.
- (ii) (Dong et al. [2]) If $g(G) \geq 6$, then $lc(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 3$.
- (iii) (Dong and Lin [3]) If $g(G) \geq 6$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 39$, then $lc(G) = \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$.

In this paper, we prove the following results.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a graph.*

- (1) If $\text{mad}(G) < \frac{8}{3}$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 7$, then $lc_l(G) = \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$.
- (2) If $\text{mad}(G) < \frac{18}{7}$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 5$, then $lc_l(G) = \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$.
- (3) If $\text{mad}(G) < \frac{20}{7}$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 5$, then $lc_l(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 2$.

Then the following results about planar graphs are implied immediately from Theorem 3(1) and (2), respectively.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a planar graph.*

- (1) If $g(G) \geq 8$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 7$, then $lc_l(G) = \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$.
- (2) If $g(G) \geq 9$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 5$, then $lc_l(G) = \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$.

We will prove the three results of Theorem 3 by contradiction in the following three sections, respectively. For convenience, we introduce some notations that will be used. Let c be a coloring of G ; we use $c(v)$ to denote the color of v in c , and $c(S) = \{c(v) : v \in S\}$ for $S \subset V(G)$. Let $c_i(v)$ be the set of colors appeared i times in $N(v)$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $n_2(v)$ for clarity be the number of 2-vertices in $N(v)$.

2. GRAPHS WITH $\text{mad}(G) < \frac{8}{3}$ AND $\Delta(G) \geq 7$

In order to prove Theorem 3(1), we prove the following result instead, which implies Theorem 3(1) immediately.

Theorem 5. *Let $M \geq 7$ be an integer. If G is a graph with $\text{mad}(G) < \frac{8}{3}$ and $\Delta(G) \leq M$, then $lc_l(G) = \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 1$.*

Proof. By contradiction, we suppose that Theorem 5 is false. Let G be a counterexample with the fewest vertices, and L the list assignment of size $\lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 1$ such that G has no linear L -coloring. Let H be a proper subgraph of G . Clearly, $\text{mad}(H) < \frac{8}{3}$ and $\Delta(H) \leq M$. By the choice of G , we have $lc_l(H) = \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 1$, while $lc_l(G) > \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 1$. In the proof we need some structural lemmas, Lemma 6 is well-known. ■

Lemma 6. *The graph G is connected, and $\delta(G) \geq 2$.*

Lemma 7 ([3] Lemma 2.2). *Let v be a 2-vertex with $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Then $\lceil \frac{d(v_1)}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{d(v_2)}{2} \rceil \geq \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 1$.*

Lemma 8. *Let v be a 3-vertex with $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $n_2(v) = 3$. Then v_1, v_2, v_3 must be $(3, 6^+)$ -vertices.*

Proof. Assume that v_1 is a $(3, 5^-)$ -vertex, and u_i is the neighbor of v_i other than v , where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $G' = G - \{v, v_1\}$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . If $c(v_2) \neq c(v_3)$, we can extend the linear L -coloring c of G' to v_1 since $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c_2(u_1)\}| \geq 2$. Then we can color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_3)\}$ when $c(v_1) \notin \{c(v_2), c(v_3)\}$, or $L(v) \setminus \{c(u_1), c(v_2), c(v_3)\}$ when $c(v_1) \in \{c(v_2), c(v_3)\}$. Clearly, there will be no bi-colored cycles created, and we get a linear list coloring of G . If $c(v_2) = c(v_3)$, we can extend the linear L -coloring c of G' to v_1 since $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c_2(u_1), c(v_2)\}| \geq 1$. Finally, we can color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(u_2), c(u_3)\}$, which ensure that no bi-colored cycle passes vv_2u_2 or vv_3u_3 . Thus, we also get a linear list coloring of G extended from the linear L -coloring of G' . A contradiction. ■

Lemma 9. *Let v be a 5-vertex with $N(v) = \{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$ and $n_2(v) = 5$. If v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 are $(5, 3)$ -vertices, then v_5 must be a $(5, 4^+)$ -vertex.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary, let v_5 be a $(5, 3)$ -vertex, and u_i be the neighbor of v_i other than v for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$.

Let $G' = G - N[v]$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . There exist at least $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c(N(u_1))\}| \geq 2$ available colors for v_1 . Since $|L(v_2) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(u_2), c(N(u_2))\}| \geq 1$ and $|L(v_3) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(u_3), c_2(u_3)\}| \geq 1$, we can extend the coloring c of G' to v_1, v_2, v_3 such that $|\{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_3)\}| = 3$.

Notice that there will be no bi-colored cycle passing vv_1u_1 or vv_2u_2 . Then we color v_4 with a color in $L(v_4) \setminus \{c(u_4), c(N(u_4))\}$, and no bi-colored cycle will pass vv_4u_4 . Finally, we extend the coloring c to v_5 and v in two different cases.

If $|\{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_3), c(v_4)\}| = 4$, we can linearly color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_3), c(v_4)\}$, and color v_5 such that no bi-colored cycle passes vv_5u_5 as $|L(v_5) \setminus \{c(u_5), c(v), c(N(u_5))\}| \geq 1$. So we get a linear L -coloring of G .

If $|\{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_3), c(v_4)\}| = 3$, we can color v_5 such that no bi-colored cycle passing vv_5u_5 since $|L(v_5) \setminus \{c(v_4), c(u_5), c(N(u_5))\}| \geq 1$, and color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_3), c(v_5)\}$. Thus, we get a linear L -coloring of G .

Therefore, we can extend the linear L -coloring c of G' to G , a contradiction. ■

Lemma 10. *Let v be a 7-vertex with $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_7\}$ and $n_2(v) = 7$. If v_1, v_2, \dots, v_5 are $(7, 2)$ -vertices, then at least one of v_6 and v_7 is a $(7, 4^+)$ -vertex.*

Proof. Assume that v_6 and v_7 are $(7, 3^-)$ -vertices, and u_i is the neighbor of v_i other than v for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$. Let $G' = G - N[v]$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . First, we extend the linear L -coloring c of G' to v_7 and v_6 such that $c(v_6) \neq c(v_7)$ and no bi-colored cycle passes vv_7u_7 or vv_6u_6 since $|L(v_7) \setminus \{c(u_7), c(N(u_7))\}| \geq 2$ and $|L(v_6) \setminus \{c(u_6), c(N(u_6)), c(v_7)\}| \geq 1$. Next, we can color v_5 such that $c(v_5) \notin \{c(v_6), c(v_7)\}$ and no bi-colored cycle passes vv_5u_5 since $|L(v_5) \setminus \{c(u_5), c(N(u_5)), c(v_6), c(v_7)\}| \geq 1$. Then we can color v_4 with $c(v_4) \notin \{c(v_5), c(v_6), c(v_7)\}$ since $|L(v_4) \setminus \{c(u_4), c(v_5), c(v_6), c(v_7)\}| \geq 1$. Notice that $|\{c(v_4), c(v_5), c(v_6), c(v_7)\}| = 4$. Then we color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_7), c(v_6), c(v_5), c(v_4)\}$. Since $|L(v_3) \setminus \{c(u_3), c(v), c(N(u_3))\}| \geq 2$ and $|L(v_2) \setminus \{c(u_2), c(v), c_2(v), c(N(u_2))\}| \geq 1$ ($|c_2(v)| \leq 1$ now), we can color v_3, v_2 in order such that no bi-colored cycle passes vv_3u_3 or vv_2u_2 . Finally, in order to avoid bi-colored cycles passing vv_1u_1 , we can color v_1 with a color in $L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c(v), c_2(v)\}$ ($|c_2(v)| \leq 2$ now) when $c(u_1) \neq c(v)$, or color v_1 with a color in $L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c_2(v), c(N(u_1))\}$ when $c(u_1) = c(v)$. Thus, we get a linear list coloring of G extended from the linear list coloring c of G' , a contradiction. ■

To complete our proof of Theorem 5, it suffices to derive a contradiction by a discharging procedure. We define the initial charge function ω on $V(G)$ by $\omega(v) = d(v) - \frac{8}{3}$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Since $\text{mad}(G) < \frac{8}{3}$, the sum of the initial charge is negative. If we can make suitable discharging rules to redistribute charges among vertices so that the final charge $\omega'(v)$ of every vertex $v \in V(G)$ is nonnegative, then we get a contradiction. The discharging rules are as follows.

R1. Every 8^+ -vertex sends $\frac{2}{3}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.

R2. Every 7-vertex sends $\frac{2}{3}$ to each adjacent $(7, 2)$ -vertex, $\frac{5}{9}$ to each adjacent $(7, 3)$ -vertex, and $\frac{1}{3}$ to each adjacent $(7, 4^+)$ -vertex.

R3. Every 6-vertex sends $\frac{5}{9}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.

R4. Every 5-vertex sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to each adjacent $(5, 3)$ -vertex, $\frac{1}{3}$ to each adjacent $(5, 4^+)$ -vertex.

R5. Every 4-vertex sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.

R6. Every 3-vertex sends $\frac{1}{6}$ to each adjacent $(3, 5)$ -vertex, and $\frac{1}{9}$ to each adjacent $(3, 6^+)$ -vertex.

Now we are going to show that $\omega'(v) \geq 0$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Let v be a 2-vertex with $N(v) = \{x, y\}$ and $d(x) \leq d(y)$. If $d(x) = 2$, then $d(y) \geq 7$ by Lemma 7. By R1 and R2, $\omega'(v) = \omega(v) + \frac{2}{3} = 2 - \frac{8}{3} + \frac{2}{3} = 0$. If $d(x) = 3$, then $d(y) \geq 5$ by Lemma 7. Thus $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} = 2 - \frac{8}{3} + \frac{2}{3} = 0$ or $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{5}{9} = 2 - \frac{8}{3} + \frac{2}{3} = 0$ by R6, R2, R3, and R4. Otherwise, $d(x) \geq 4$ and $d(y) \geq 5$, we have $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = 2 - \frac{8}{3} + \frac{2}{3} = 0$ by R5, R2, R3, and R4.

Let v be a 3-vertex. If $n_2(v) = 3$, then the vertices in $N(v)$ must be $(3, 6^+)$ -vertices by Lemma 8. Thus $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 3 \times \frac{1}{9} = 3 - \frac{8}{3} - \frac{1}{3} = 0$ by R6. If $n_2(v) \leq 2$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 2 \times \frac{1}{6} = 3 - \frac{8}{3} - \frac{1}{3} = 0$ by R6.

Let v be a 4-vertex. Then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 4 \times \frac{1}{3} = 4 - \frac{8}{3} - \frac{4}{3} = 0$ by R5.

Let v be a 5-vertex. If $n_2(v) \leq 4$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 4 \times \frac{1}{2} = 5 - \frac{8}{3} - 2 > 0$ by R4. If $n_2(v) = 5$, then there are at most four $(3, 5)$ -vertices in $N(v)$ by Lemma 9. Thus $\omega'(v) = \omega(v) - 4 \times \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} = 5 - \frac{8}{3} - \frac{7}{3} = 0$ by R4.

Let v be a 6-vertex. Then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 6 \times \frac{5}{9} = 6 - \frac{8}{3} - \frac{10}{3} = 0$ by R3.

Let v be a 7-vertex. If $n_2(v) \leq 6$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 6 \times \frac{2}{3} = 7 - \frac{8}{3} - 4 > 0$ by R2. When $n_2(v) = 7$, if there are no more than four $(7, 2)$ -vertices in $N(v)$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 4 \times \frac{2}{3} - 3 \times \frac{5}{9} = 7 - \frac{8}{3} - \frac{13}{3} = 0$ by R2; if there are five $(7, 2)$ -vertices in $N(v)$, then at least one of the other neighbors is a $(7, 4^+)$ -vertex from Lemma 10, and $\omega'(v) = \omega(v) - 6 \times \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3} = 7 - \frac{8}{3} - \frac{13}{3} = 0$ by R2.

Finally, if $d(v) \geq 8$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - \frac{2}{3} \times d(v) = \frac{d(v)}{3} - \frac{8}{3} = \frac{d(v)-8}{3} \geq 0$ by R1.

Thus, we get the desired contradiction, and Theorem 5 is proved. \blacksquare

It is interesting that Cranston and Yu [1] cited an example ($mad(K_{2,3}) = \frac{12}{5}$ and $lc(K_{2,3}) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rceil + 2$) to illustrate that the bound in Theorem 1(iv) is sharp. Similarly, the graph $K_{2,4}$ satisfies $lc(K_{2,4}) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rceil + 2$, $\Delta(K_{2,4}) = 4$ and $mad(K_{2,4}) = \frac{8}{3}$. So the hypothesis about $\Delta(G)$ in Theorem 3(1) is essential, and we suspect it can be replaced by $\Delta(G) \geq 5$.

3. GRAPHS WITH $mad(G) < \frac{18}{7}$ AND $\Delta(G) \geq 5$

For Theorem 3(2), we prove the following result instead.

Theorem 11. *Let $M \geq 5$ be an integer. If G is a graph with $mad(G) < \frac{18}{7}$ and $\Delta(G) \leq M$, then $lc_l(G) = \left\lceil \frac{M}{2} \right\rceil + 1$.*

Proof. By contradiction, we suppose that Theorem 11 is false. Let G be a counterexample with the fewest vertices and L be a list assignment of size $\lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 1 \geq 4$ such that G has no linear L -coloring. In the proof we need some structural lemmas, and it is clear that Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 are also true. ■

Lemma 12. *Let v be a 3-vertex with $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $n_2(v) = 3$. Then v_1, v_2, v_3 must be $(3, 5^+)$ -vertices.*

Proof. Assume that v_1 is a $(3, 4^-)$ -vertex, and u_i is the neighbor of v_i other than v for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $G' = G - \{v, v_1\}$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . If $c(v_2) \neq c(v_3)$, there exist at least $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c_2(u_1)\}| \geq 2$ colors available for v_1 . If there is an available color $\alpha \notin \{c(v_2), c(v_3)\}$ for v_1 , then let $c(v_1) = \alpha$ and $c(v) \in L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_3)\}$. If the available colors for v_1 are exactly $c(v_2)$ and $c(v_3)$, then let $c(v_1) = c(v_2)$ and $c(v) \in L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(u_2), c(v_3)\}$. It is similar for $c(v_1) = c(v_3)$. Thus we get a linear list coloring of G extended from the linear L -coloring c of G' . If $c(v_2) = c(v_3)$, we can extend the linear list coloring c of G' to v_1 since $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c_2(u_1), c(v_2)\}| \geq 1$. There is at least $|L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(u_2)\}| \geq 1$ color available for v . Thus, we also get a linear list coloring of G . A contradiction. ■

Lemma 13. *Let v be a 3-vertex with $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. If v_1 and v_2 are $(3, 3)$ -vertices, then v_3 must be a 4^+ -vertex.*

Proof. Assume that v_3 is a 3^- -vertex, and u_i is the neighbor of v_i other than v for $i = 1, 2$. Let $G' = G - \{v, v_1, v_2\}$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . We can extend the linear L -coloring c to v_1 such that no bi-colored cycle passes vv_1u_1 since $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c(N(u_1))\}| \geq 1$.

If $c(v_1) = c(v_3)$. Since $|L(v_2) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(u_2), c_2(u_2)\}| \geq 1$, we can extend the coloring c to v_2 . Finally, we can color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c_2(v_3)\}$ when $|c_2(v_3)| = 1$, or in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(u_2)\}$ when $|c_2(v_3)| = 0$. It is clear that no bi-colored cycle passes v_2vv_3 . Then we get a linear list coloring of G .

If $c(v_1) \neq c(v_3)$. There is at least $|L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_3), c_2(v_3)\}| \geq 1$ color available for v . Finally, we can color v_2 with a color in $L(v_2) \setminus \{c(v), c(u_2), c_2(u_2)\}$ when $c(v) \neq c(u_2)$, or in $L(v_2) \setminus \{c(u_2), c(N(u_2))\}$ when $c(v) = c(u_2)$. In this process, there will be no bi-colored cycle passing vv_2 . Thus, we also get a linear list coloring of G extended from the linear L -coloring c of G' . A contradiction. ■

Lemma 14. *Let v be a 4-vertex with $n_2(v) = 4$ in G . Then there are at most two $(4, 3)$ -vertices in $N(v)$.*

Proof. Let $N(v) = \{v_1, \dots, v_4\}$, and u_i be the other neighbor of v_i for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. Assume that v_1, v_2 and v_3 are $(4, 3)$ -vertices. Let $G' = G - N[v]$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . We can extend the linear

L -coloring c of G' to v_4 since $|L(v_4) \setminus \{c(u_4), c_2(u_4)\}| \geq 1$. We can continue to extend to v_3 with $c(v_3) \neq c(v_4)$ since $|L(v_3) \setminus \{c(u_3), c_2(u_3), c(v_4)\}| \geq 1$. Then we color v_2 with a color in $L(v_2) \setminus \{c(u_2), c(N(u_2))\}$. Notice that no bi-colored cycle passes vv_2u_2 or v_3vv_4 . This signifies that any bi-colored cycle in G if there will be must passes v_1 . Finally, we will extend the coloring c to v_1 and v in two different cases.

If $c(v_2) \notin \{c(v_3), c(v_4)\}$, we can choose a color from $\{L(v) \setminus \{c(v_2), c(v_3), c(v_4)\}\}$ for v . Then there is at least $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v), c(u_1), c_2(u_1)\}| \geq 1$ when $c(v) \neq c(u_1)$, or $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c(N(u_1))\}| \geq 1$ when $c(v) = c(u_1)$ color available for v_1 , which ensure no bi-colored cycle passes vv_1u_1 . So we get a linear list coloring of G .

If $c(v_2) \in \{c(v_3), c(v_4)\}$, suppose $c(v_2) = c(v_3)$ (similarly for $c(v_2) = c(v_4)$). If $|c_2(u_1)| = 1$, we color v_1 with a color in $L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v_2), c(u_1), c_2(u_1)\}$, and no bi-colored cycle passes vv_1 . If $|c_2(u_1)| = 0$, we color v_1 with a color in $L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v_2), c(u_1), c(v_4)\}$, which ensure that no bi-colored cycle passes v_1vv_4 . Then we color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_4)\}$. Notice that no bi-colored cycle passes v_1vv_3 since $c(v_1) \neq c(v_3)$. Thus, we also get a linear list coloring c of G . A contradiction. ■

Lemma 15. *Let v be a 5-vertex with $N(v) = \{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$. If v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 are four $(5, 2)$ -vertices, then v_5 must be a 3^+ -vertex.*

Proof. Assume that v_5 is a 2-vertex, and u_i is the neighbor of v_i other than v for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$. Let $G' = G - N[v]$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . We can extend the L -coloring c of G' to v_5 since $|L(v_5) \setminus \{c(u_5), c_2(u_5)\}| \geq 1$, and continue to v_4 such that $c(v_4) \neq c(v_5)$ and no bi-colored cycle passes v_4u_4 since $|L(v_4) \setminus \{c(u_4), c(N(u_4)), c(v_5)\}| \geq 1$, then to v_3 with $c(v_3) \notin \{c(v_4), c(v_5)\}$ since $|L(v_3) \setminus \{c(u_3), c(v_4), c(v_5)\}| \geq 1$. We can color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_5), c(v_4), c(v_3)\}$, and color v_2 such that no bi-colored cycle passes vv_2u_2 since $|L(v_2) \setminus \{c(v), c(u_2), c(N(u_2))\}| \geq 1$. Finally, we can color v_1 linearly since $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v), c_2(v), c(u_1)\}| \geq 1$ when $c(v) \neq c(u_1)$, or $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v), c_2(v), c(N(u_1))\}| \geq 1$ when $c(v) = c(u_1)$. Note that $c(v_3) \neq c(v_5)$, there will be no bi-colored cycle created. Thus we can extend the linear L -coloring c of G' to G . A contradiction. ■

Lemma 16. *Let v be a 5-vertex with $N(v) = \{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$ and $n_2(v) = 5$. If v_1, v_2, v_3 are $(5, 2)$ -vertices, then at least one of v_4 and v_5 is a $(5, 4^+)$ -vertex.*

Proof. Assume that v_4 and v_5 are $(5, 3^-)$ -vertices, and u_i is the neighbor of v_i other than v for $i = 1, \dots, 5$. Let $G' = G - N[v]$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . We can extend the coloring c of G' to v_5 such that no bi-colored cycle passes vv_5u_5 since $|L(v_5) \setminus \{c(u_5), c(N(u_5))\}| \geq 1$, and continue to v_4 such that $c(v_4) \neq c(v_5)$ as $|L(v_4) \setminus \{c(u_4), c_2(u_4), c(v_5)\}| \geq 1$, then to v_3 with $c(v_3) \notin \{c(v_5), c(v_4)\}$ since $|L(v_3) \setminus \{c(u_3), c(v_4), c(v_5)\}| \geq 1$. Now we

can color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_5), c(v_4), c(v_3)\}$, and color v_2 such that no bi-colored cycle passes vv_2u_2 since $|L(v_2) \setminus \{c(v), c(u_2), c(N(u_2))\}| \geq 1$. Finally, we can color v_1 linearly since $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v), c_2(v), c(u_1)\}| \geq 1$ when $c(v) \neq c(u_1)$, or $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v), c_2(v), c(N(u_1))\}| \geq 1$ when $c(v) = c(u_1)$. Note that $c(v_3) \neq c(v_4)$, there will be no bi-colored cycle created. Thus, we can extend the linear L -coloring c of G' to G . A contradiction. ■

We will derive a contradiction by a discharging procedure proceeded in G to complete the proof of Theorem 11. In the discharging procedure, the initial charge function ω is defined as $\omega(v) = d(v) - \frac{18}{7}$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, and the discharging rules are as follows.

- R1.** Every 6^+ -vertex sends $\frac{4}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex or 3-vertex.
- R2.** Every 5-vertex sends $\frac{4}{7}$ to each adjacent $(5, 2)$ -vertex, $\frac{3}{7}$ to each adjacent $(5, 3)$ -vertex, $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent $(5, 4^+)$ -vertex, $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R3.** Every 4-vertex sends $\frac{3}{7}$ to each adjacent $(4, 3)$ -vertex, $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent $(4, 4^+)$ -vertex, $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R4.** Every 3-vertex sends $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent $(3, 3)$ -vertex, $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent $(3, 4^+)$ -vertex.

Now we are going to show that $\omega'(v) \geq 0$ for all $v \in V$.

If $d(v) \geq 6$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - \frac{4}{7} \times d(v) = \frac{3d(v)}{7} - \frac{18}{7} = \frac{3d(v)-18}{7} \geq 0$ by R1.

Let v be a 5-vertex. If $n_2(v) \leq 4$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 4 \times \frac{4}{7} - \frac{1}{7} = 5 - \frac{18}{7} - \frac{16}{7} - \frac{1}{7} = 0$ by R2. When $n_2(v) = 5$, there are at most three $(2, 5)$ -vertices in $N(v)$ by Lemma 15. If there are two or less $(2, 5)$ -vertices in $N(v)$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 2 \times \frac{4}{7} - 3 \times \frac{3}{7} = 5 - \frac{18}{7} - \frac{8}{7} - \frac{9}{7} = 0$ by R2. If there are three $(2, 5)$ -vertices in $N(v)$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 3 \times \frac{4}{7} - \frac{3}{7} - \frac{2}{7} = 5 - \frac{18}{7} - \frac{12}{7} - \frac{5}{7} = 0$ by Lemma 16 and R2.

Let v be a 4-vertex. If $n_2(v) \leq 3$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 3 \times \frac{3}{7} - \frac{1}{7} = 4 - \frac{18}{7} - \frac{9}{7} - \frac{1}{7} = 0$ by R3. If $n_2(v) = 4$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 2 \times \frac{3}{7} - 2 \times \frac{2}{7} = 4 - \frac{18}{7} - \frac{6}{7} - \frac{4}{7} = 0$ by Lemma 14 and R3.

Let v be a 3-vertex. If $n_2(v) = 3$, then the vertices in $N(v)$ must be $(3, 5^+)$ -vertices by Lemma 12. Thus $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 3 \times \frac{1}{7} = 3 - \frac{18}{7} - \frac{3}{7} = 0$ by R4. If $n_2(v) = 2$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 2 \times \frac{2}{7} + \frac{1}{7} = 3 - \frac{18}{7} - \frac{4}{7} + \frac{1}{7} = 0$ by Lemma 13 and all discharging rules, or $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - \frac{2}{7} - \frac{1}{7} = 3 - \frac{18}{7} - \frac{3}{7} = 0$. If $n_2(v) \leq 1$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - \frac{2}{7} = 3 - \frac{18}{7} - \frac{2}{7} > 0$ by R4.

Finally, let v be a 2-vertex with $N(v) = \{x, y\}$ and $d(x) \leq d(y)$. If $d(x) = 2$, then $d(y) \geq 5$ by Lemma 7. By R1 and R2, $\omega'(v) = \omega(v) + \frac{4}{7} = 2 - \frac{18}{7} + \frac{4}{7} = 0$. When $d(x) = 3$, we have $\omega'(v) = \omega(v) + 2 \times \frac{2}{7} = 2 - \frac{18}{7} + \frac{4}{7} = 0$ if $d(y) = 3$, and $\omega'(v) = \omega(v) + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{3}{7} = 2 - \frac{18}{7} + \frac{4}{7} = 0$ if $d(y) \geq 4$. Otherwise, $d(x) \geq 4$ and $d(y) \geq 4$, we have $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) + \frac{2}{7} + \frac{2}{7} = 2 - \frac{18}{7} + \frac{4}{7} = 0$ by R1, R2, and R3. We get the desired contradiction, and Theorem 11 is proved. ■

Similarly, the condition $\Delta(G)$ in Theorem 3(2) must be $\Delta(G) \geq 4$.

4. GRAPHS WITH $mad(G) < \frac{20}{7}$ AND $\Delta(G) \geq 5$

Cranston and Yu [1] conjectured that the hypothesis $\Delta(G) \geq 9$ of Theorem 1(iii) can be replaced by $\Delta(G) \geq 7$, even $\Delta(G) \geq 5$. Now, we prove Theorem 3(3) to support their conjecture. In order to prove Theorem 3(3), we prove the following theorem which implies Theorem 3(3) immediately.

Theorem 17. *Let $M \geq 5$ be an integer. If G is a graph with $mad(G) < \frac{20}{7}$ and $\Delta(G) \leq M$, then $lc_l(G) \leq \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 2$.*

Proof. Let G be a counterexample of the fewest vertices with $mad(G) < \frac{20}{7}$ and $5 \leq \Delta(G) \leq 8$ (Theorem 17 is true for graphs G with $\Delta(G) \geq 9$ by Theorem 1(iii)). There exists an assignment L with $|L| \geq \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 2 \geq 5$ such that G is not linearly L -choosable, but H has a linear L -coloring, where H is any proper subgraph of G . Clearly, G is connected and $\delta(G) \geq 2$. In the proof we need some structural lemmas. ■

Lemma 18. *Let v be a 2-vertex with $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Then $\lceil \frac{d(v_1)}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{d(v_2)}{2} \rceil \geq \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 2$.*

Proof. Assume $\lceil \frac{d(v_1)}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{d(v_2)}{2} \rceil \leq \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 1$. Let $G' = G - v$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . If $c(v_1) \neq c(v_2)$, we can color v with any color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c_2(v_1), c_2(v_2)\}$. Then the number of available colors for v is at least $\lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 2 - \left(2 + \lceil \frac{d(v_1)-1}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{d(v_2)-1}{2} \rceil\right) = \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 2 - \left(\lceil \frac{d(v_2)}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{d(v_2)}{2} \rceil\right) \geq 1$. Clearly, there will be no bi-colored cycle created. So we extend the linear L -coloring c of G' to G . Now we suppose $c(v_1) = c(v_2)$. In order to color v linearly and avoid bi-colored cycles created, the forbidden color set for v contains the color $c(v_1)$, the colors appearing twice in $N(v_1)$ or $N(v_2)$, and the colors appearing in both $N(v_1)$ and $N(v_2)$. So at most $1 + |c_2(v_1) \cup c_2(v_2)| + |c_1(v_1) \cap c_1(v_2)| \leq \lceil \frac{d(v_1)+d(v_2)}{2} \rceil \leq \lceil \frac{d(x)}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{d(y)}{2} \rceil \leq \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 1$ colors are forbidden for v . Thus, we also can get a linear L -coloring of G . A contradiction. ■

Lemma 19. *Let v be a 3-vertex of G with $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $d(v_1) \leq d(v_2) \leq d(v_3)$. If $d(v_1) = 2$, then $d(v_2) \geq 3$ and $\lceil \frac{d(v_2)+d(v_3)}{2} \rceil \geq \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 1$.*

Proof. We prove $d(v_2) \geq 3$ first. To the contrary, we assume $d(v_2) = 2$. Let $G' = G - \{v, v_1, v_2\}$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . The neighbors of v_1 and v_2 other than v are denoted by u_1 and u_2 , respectively. We can extend the coloring c of G' to v_1 such that $c(v_1) \neq c(v_3)$ since

$|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c_2(u_1), c(v_3)\}| \geq 1$, which ensures that no bi-colored cycle passes v_1vv_3 . We can continue to extend to v since $|L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_3), c_2(v_3)\}| \geq 1$. If $c(v) \neq c(u_2)$, which means that no bi-colored cycle passes vv_2u_2 , we can color v_2 linearly since $|L(v_2) \setminus \{c(v), c(u_2), c_2(u_2)\}| \geq 1$. When $c(v) = c(u_2)$, the number of available colors for v_2 is at least $|L(v_2) \setminus \{c(u_2), c_2(u_2)\}| \geq 2$. If there is an available color $\alpha \notin \{c(v_1), c(v_3)\}$ for v_2 , then we color v_2 with α . Now we assume that the available colors for v_2 are exactly $c(v_1)$ and $c(v_3)$. Notice that $|c_2(u_2)| = \lfloor \frac{M-1}{2} \rfloor$ and $|c_1(u_2)| \leq 1$ now. To avoid bi-colored cycle created, the number of forbidden colors for v_2 is at most $|\{c(u_2), c(N(u_2))\}| = 1 + |c_2(u_2)| + |c_1(u_2)| \leq 1 + \lfloor \frac{M-1}{2} \rfloor + 1 = \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 1$, so we can color v_2 linearly. Thus, we get a linear L -coloring of G extended from the linear L -coloring c of G' . A contradiction.

Now, we prove the inequality. Suppose to the contrary that, we have $\lfloor \frac{d(v_2)+d(v_3)}{2} \rfloor \leq \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil$, and u_1 is the neighbor of v_1 other than v . Let $G' = G - v_1$, then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G .

Case 1. $c(v_2) \neq c(v_3)$. If $c(v) \neq c(u_1)$, then we can extend the coloring c to v_1 to get a linear L -coloring of G since $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v), c(u_1), c_2(u_1)\}| \geq 1$. If $c(v) = c(u_1)$, the number of available colors for v_1 is at least $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v), c_2(u_1)\}| \geq 2$. If there is a color $\alpha \notin \{c(v_2), c(v_3)\}$ available for v , then we can extend c from G' to G by coloring v_1 with α . Now we assume that $L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v), c_2(u_1)\} = \{c(v_2), c(v_3)\}$. Notice that $|c_2(u_1)| = \lfloor \frac{M-1}{2} \rfloor$ now. Then $c(v_2)$ and $c(v_3)$ appears at most once in $N(u_1)$, but both of them could not appear in $N(u_1)$ at the same time (otherwise $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v), c_2(u_1)\}| \geq \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 2 - (1 + \lfloor \frac{M-3}{2} \rfloor) \geq 3$). So we color v_1 with $c(v_3)$ if $c(v_2)$ appears in $N(u_1)$, otherwise color v_1 with $c(v_2)$. Then there will be no bi-colored cycle created. Thus, we get a linear L -coloring of G extended from the linear L -coloring c of G' .

Case 2. $c(v_2) = c(v_3)$. If $c(v) = c(u_1)$, then we can color v_1 linearly since $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v_2), c(v), c_2(u_1)\}| \geq 1$, and no bi-colored cycle created. Now, suppose $c(v) \neq c(u_1)$. We erase the color of v first, then we can extend the list coloring c to v_1 since $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v_2), c(u_1), c_2(u_1)\}| \geq 1$. To avoid bi-colored cycle created, the number of forbidden colors for v is at most $2 + |c_2(v_2) \cup c_2(v_3)| + |c_1(v_2) \cap c_1(v_3)| \leq 2 + \lfloor \frac{d(v_2)-1+d(v_3)-1}{2} \rfloor = 1 + \lfloor \frac{d(v_2)+d(v_3)}{2} \rfloor \leq \lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil + 1$. We also can extend the linear L -coloring c of G' to G . A contradiction. ■

Lemma 20. *Let v be a 4-vertex in G . Then $n_2(v) \leq 3$.*

Proof. Let $N(v) = \{v_1, \dots, v_4\}$. Suppose to the contrary, let $n_2(v) = 4$, and u_i be the neighbor of v_i other than v for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. Let $G' = G - N[v]$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . Since $|L(v_i) \setminus \{c(u_i), c_2(u_i)\}| \geq 2$, we can color v_i linearly with at least two different colors for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Finally, we can color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus c(N(v))$ if $|c(N(v))| = 4$, or in

$L(v) \setminus \{c(N(v)), c(u_i)\}$ if $c(v_i) = c(v_j)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$. And no bi-colored cycle appears in this process. Thus, we get a linear list coloring of G extended from the linear L -coloring c of G' , a contradiction. ■

Lemma 21. *Let v be a 4-vertex with $N(v) = \{v_1, \dots, v_4\}$. If $d(v_1) = d(v_2) = d(v_3) = 2$ and v_3 is a $(4, 5)$ -vertex, then v_4 must be a 4^+ -vertex.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary, let v_4 be a 3^- -vertex, and u_i be the neighbor of v_i other than v for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $G' = G - \{v, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . We can extend the coloring c of G' to v_1 with $c(v_1) \neq c(v_4)$ since $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c_2(u_1), c(v_4)\}| \geq 1$. Then there are at least $|L(v_2) \setminus \{c(u_2), c_2(u_2)\}| \geq 2$ colors available for v_2 .

If there is a color $\alpha \notin \{c(v_1), c(v_4)\}$ available for v_2 , let $c(v_2) = \alpha$. If $|c_2(v_4)| = 1$, we can choose a color for v in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_4), c_2(v_4)\}$, and there will be no bi-colored cycle created passing vv_4 . Then, we color v_3 with a color in $L(v_3) \setminus \{c(v), c(u_3), c_2(u_3)\}$ if $c(v) \neq c(u_3)$. When $c(v) = c(u_3)$, in order to color v_3 linearly (no bi-colored cycle created), we must forbidden $c(v)$, $c_2(N(u_3))$ and $\{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_4)\} \cap c_1(N(u_3))$. Notice that $d(u_3) = 5$, then $|c_2(N(u_3)) \cup (\{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_4)\} \cap c_1(N(u_3)))| \leq 3$. So we can color v_3 linearly with a color in $L(v_3) \setminus \{c(v), c_2(N(u_3)), \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_4)\} \cap c_1(N(u_3))\}$. Thus, we get a linear list coloring of G .

Suppose the available color set for v_2 is exactly $\{c(v_1), c(v_4)\}$. Notice that $|c_2(u_2)| = \lfloor \frac{M-1}{2} \rfloor$ now. We color v_2 with $c(v_4)$ first. If $|c_2(u_3)| = 2$, we color v_3 with a color in $L(v_3) \setminus \{c(v_4), c(u_3), c_2(u_3)\}$. If $|c_2(u_3)| \leq 1$, we color v_3 with a color in $L(v_3) \setminus \{c(v_4), c(v_1), c(u_3), c_2(u_3)\}$. Notice $d(u_3) = 5$, then no bi-colored cycle passes v_3u_3 . Finally, we can color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_3), c(v_4), c_2(v_4)\}$ if $|c_2(v_4)| = 1$, or in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_3), c(v_4), c(u_2)\}$ if $|c_2(v_4)| = 0$. Clearly, there will be no bi-colored cycle passing vv_4 . Then we extend the linear L -coloring c of G' to G , a contradiction. ■

Lemma 22. *Let v be a 4-vertex with $N(v) = \{v_1, \dots, v_4\}$. If $d(v_1) = d(v_2) = d(v_3) = 2$ and v_2, v_3 are $(4, 5)$ -vertices, then v_4 must be a 5^+ -vertex.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary, let v_4 be a 4^- -vertex, and u_i be the neighbor of v_i other than v for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $G' = G - \{v, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Then G' has a linear L -coloring c by the minimality of G . We can extend the coloring c of G' to v_1 with $c(v_1) \neq c(v_4)$ since $|L(v_1) \setminus \{c(u_1), c_2(u_1), c(v_4)\}| \geq 1$. Then there are at least $|L(v_2) \setminus \{c(u_2), c_2(u_2)\}| \geq 2$ colors available for v_2 .

If there is an available color $\alpha \notin \{c(v_1), c(v_4)\}$ for v_2 , let $c(v_2) = \alpha$. If $|c_2(v_4)| = 1$, we color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_4), c_2(v_4)\}$. Then, we color v_3 with a color in $L(v_3) \setminus \{c(v), c(u_3), c_2(u_3)\}$ if $c(v) \neq c(u_3)$. If $c(v) = c(u_3)$, we color v_3 with a color in $L(v_3) \setminus \{c(u_3), c_2(u_3)\}$, $L(v_3) \setminus \{c(u_3), c_1(u_3), c_2(u_3)\}$ or $L(v_3) \setminus \{c(u_3), c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_4)\}$ when $|c_2(u_3)| = 2$, $|c_2(u_3)| = 1$ or $|c_2(u_3)| = 0$,

respectively. Notice that v_3 is a $(4, 5)$ -vertex, it means $d(u_3) = 5$, then there will be no bi-colored cycle passing v_3u_3 . Then we get a linear list coloring of G . If $|c_2(v_4)| = 0$, we choose a color for v in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_4), c(u_3)\}$, then we can color v_3 linearly since $|L(v_3) \setminus \{c(v), c(u_3), c_2(u_3)\}| \geq 1$. We also get a linear list coloring of G .

When the available color set for v_2 is exactly $\{c(v_1), c(v_4)\}$ (notice that $|c_2(u_2)| = 2$, and there will be no bi-colored cycle passing v_2u_2), we can color v_2 with $c(v_4)$. If $|c_2(u_3)| = 2$, we color v_3 with a color in $L(v_3) \setminus \{c(v_4), c(u_3), c_2(u_3)\}$; if $|c_2(u_3)| \leq 1$, we color v_3 with a color in $L(v_3) \setminus \{c(v_4), c(v_1), c(u_3), c_2(u_3)\}$. Notice $d(u_3) = 5$, there will be no bi-colored cycle passing v_3u_3 . Finally, we can color v with a color in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_3), c(v_4), c_2(v_4)\}$ if $|c_2(v_4)| = 1$, or in $L(v) \setminus \{c(v_1), c(v_3), c(v_4)\}$ if $|c_2(v_4)| = 0$. Then we extend the linear L -coloring c of G' to G , a contradiction. ■

To complete our proof of Theorem 17, it suffices to derive a contradiction by a discharging procedure. We define the initial charge function ω on $V(G)$ by $\omega(v) = d(v) - \frac{20}{7}$ for every $v \in V(G)$. The discharging rules are as follows.

- R1.** Every 5^+ -vertex sends $\frac{d(v) - \frac{20}{7}}{d(v)}$ to each adjacent vertex.
- R2.** Every 4-vertex sends $\frac{3}{7}$ to each adjacent $(4, 5)$ -vertex, $\frac{1}{3}$ to each adjacent $(4, 6)$ -vertex, $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex;
- R3.** Every 3-vertex sends $\frac{3}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex (if it has one).

Now we are going to show that $\omega'(v) \geq 0$ for all $v \in V(G)$. We only need to check the final charges of 4^- -vertices from the discharging rules.

Let v be a 4-vertex in G . Then $n_2(v) \leq 3$ by Lemma 20. If $n_2(v) \leq 2$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 2 \times \frac{3}{7} - 2 \times \frac{1}{7} = 0$ by R2. When $n_2(v) = 3$, if there are three $(4, 6)$ -vertices in $N(v)$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 3 \times \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{7} = 0$; if there is only one $(4, 5)$ -vertex in $N(v)$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 2 \times \frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{7} > 0$ by Lemma 21 and R2; if there are two or more $(4, 5)$ -vertices in $N(v)$, we have $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - 3 \times \frac{3}{7} + \frac{3}{7} > 0$ by Lemma 22 and R2.

Let v be a 3-vertex in G . Then $n_2(v) \leq 1$ by Lemma 19. If $n_2(v) = 0$, then $\omega'(v) = \omega(v) = 3 - \frac{20}{7} > 0$. When $n_2(v) = 1$, if there is a 3-vertex in $N(v)$, we have $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - \frac{3}{7} + \frac{3}{7} > 0$ by Lemma 19 and R3; if there are two 4^+ -vertices in $N(v)$, then $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) - \frac{3}{7} + 2 \times \frac{1}{7} = 0$.

Let v be a 2-vertex with $N(v) = \{x, y\}$ and $d(x) \leq d(y)$. Clearly, $d(x) \geq 3$ by Lemma 18. If $d(x) = 3$, then $d(y) \geq 5$ by Lemma 19, so $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) + \frac{3}{7} + \frac{3}{7} = 2 - \frac{20}{7} + \frac{6}{7} = 0$ by R3 and R1. If $d(x) = 4$, then $d(y) \geq 5$ by Lemma 18, so $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) + \frac{3}{7} + \frac{3}{7} = 2 - \frac{20}{7} + \frac{6}{7} = 0$, or $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{11}{21} = 2 - \frac{20}{7} + \frac{6}{7} = 0$. Otherwise, $d(x) \geq 5$ and $d(y) \geq 5$, we have $\omega'(v) \geq \omega(v) + \frac{3}{7} + \frac{3}{7} = 2 - \frac{20}{7} + \frac{6}{7} = 0$.

In summary, the proof of Theorem 3 is completed. ■

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading and comments. This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundations of China No. 11331003 and No. 11201342, and jointly supported by Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. LY17F030020 and Jiaxing science and technology project under Grant No. 2016AY13011.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.W. Cranston and G. Yu, *Linear choosability of sparse graphs*, Discrete Math. **311** (2011) 1910–1917.
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2011.05.017
- [2] W. Dong, B. Xu and X. Zhang, *Improved bounds on linear coloring of plane graphs*, Sci. China Math. **53** (2010) 1895–1902.
doi:10.1007/s11425-010-3073-0
- [3] W. Dong and W. Lin, *On linear coloring of planar graphs with small girth*, Discrete Appl. Math. **173** (2014) 35–44.
doi:10.1016/j.dam.2014.03.019
- [4] L. Esperet, M. Montassier and A. Raspaud, *Linear choosability of graphs*, Discrete Math. **308** (2008) 3938–3950.
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2007.07.112
- [5] C. Li, W. Wang and A. Raspaud, *Upper bounds on the linear chromatic number of a graph*, Discrete Math. **311** (2011) 232–238.
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2010.10.023
- [6] C.H. Liu and G. Yu, *Linear colorings of subcubic graphs*, European J. Combin. **34** (2013) 1040–1050.
doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2013.02.008
- [7] Y. Wang and Q. Wu, *Linear coloring of sparse graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **160** (2012) 664–672.
doi:10.1016/j.dam.2011.10.028
- [8] R. Yuster, *Linear coloring of graphs*, Discrete Math. **185** (1998) 293–297.
doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(97)00209-4

Received 12 May 2017
Revised 31 January 2018
Accepted 31 July 2018