Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 40 (2020) 971–984 doi:10.7151/dmgt.2164

SUPER EDGE-CONNECTIVITY AND ZEROTH-ORDER RANDIĆ INDEX¹

Zhihong He²

School of Mathematics and Information Science Yantai University, Yantai, 264005, China e-mail: zhihhe@126.com

AND

Mei Lu

Department of Mathematical Science Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China e-mail: mlu@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

Abstract

Define the zeroth-order Randić index as $R^0(G) = \sum_{x \in V(G)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_G(x)}}$, where $d_G(x)$ denotes the degree of the vertex x. In this paper, we present two sufficient conditions for graphs and triangle-free graphs, respectively, to be super edge-connected in terms of the zeroth-order Randić index.

Keywords: zeroth-order Randić index, super edge-connected, degree, triangle-free graph, minimum degree.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C20.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we consider finite undirected simple connected graphs. Let G be such a graph with vertex set V = V(G) and edge set E = E(G). Then the order and size of G are n = |V| and m = |E|, respectively. The degree of a vertex $u \in V$ is the number of edges incident with u in G, denoted by

¹Natural Science Funds of China (No.11501490, 61373019, 13071107) and by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2015AM006).

²Corresponding author.

 $d(u) = d_G(u)$. The minimum of all the vertex degrees of G is called the *minimum* degree of G, and denoted by $\delta = \delta(G)$. The distance between two vertices u and v of G is the length of a shortest path connecting them in G. The maximum of distances over all pairs of vertices of G is called the diameter of G, and denoted by diam(G).

A vertex-cut in a graph G is a set X of vertices of G such that G - X is disconnected. The vertex-connectivity or simply the connectivity $\kappa = \kappa(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum cardinality of a vertex-cut of G if G is not complete, and $\kappa(G) = n - 1$ if G is the complete graph K_n of order n. An edge-cut of a connected graph G is a set of edges whose removal disconnects G. The edgeconnectivity $\lambda(G)$ is defined as the minimum cardinality of an edge-cut over all edge-cuts of G. An edge-cut S is a minimum edge-cut or a λ -cut, if $|S| = \lambda(G)$, and an edge-cut S is trivial, if S consists of edges adjacent to a vertex of minimum degree. Notice that $\lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$, and a graph G with $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$ is said to be maximally edge-connected, or λ -optimal for simplicity. Other terminology and notation needed will be introduced as it naturally occurs in the following and we use Bondy and Murty [3] for those not defined here.

The zeroth-order Randić index $R^0(G)$ was defined by in Kier and Hall in 1986 [12, 13] as

$$R^{0}(G) = \sum_{x \in V(G)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{G}(x)}}.$$

Let $R(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d_G(u)}$, which is the known inverse degree of a graph.

Sufficient conditions for whether a graph is maximally edge-connected were given by several researchers.

Theorem 1. Let G be a connected graph of order n, minimum degree δ and edge-connectivity λ . Then $\lambda = \delta$ if

- (a) ([4]) $\delta \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor;$
- (b) ([14]) $d(u) + d(v) \ge n 1$ for all pairs u, v of nonadjacent vertices;
- (c) ([6]) $R(G) < 2 + 2/\delta(\delta + 1) + (n 2\delta)/(n \delta 2)(n \delta 1);$
- (d) ([6]) G is triangle-free and $R(G) < 4 4(\delta 1)(1/2\delta(2\delta + 2)) + 1/(n 2\delta)(n 2\delta + 2));$
- (e) ([5]) $R^0(G) < 2\delta^{-1/2} + \delta^{1/2} + (\delta 1)(\delta + 1)^{-1/2} + (\delta 1)(n \delta 1)^{-1/2} (\delta 2)(n \delta 2)^{-1/2};$
- (f) ([5]) If G is triangle-free and $R^0(G) < \min\{\gamma_1(-1/2,\delta), \gamma_2(-1/2,\delta)\}$, then $\lambda = \delta$, where $\gamma_1(-1/2,\delta) = 3\delta^{1/2} + \delta^{-1/2} + (\delta-1)(\delta+1)^{-1/2} - \sqrt{2}(\delta-1)(n-2\delta)^{-1/2} + \sqrt{2}(\delta-1)(n-2\delta+2)^{-1/2}$, $\gamma_2(-1/2,\delta) = 2\delta^{1/2} + \delta^{-1/2} + 2\delta(\delta+1)^{-1/2} - \sqrt{2}(\delta-2)(n-2\delta-2)^{-1/2} + \sqrt{2}(\delta-2)(n-2\delta)^{-1/2}$.

Other sufficient conditions, depending on paraments not directly related to

the vertex degree, for graphs to be maximally edge-connected were given by several authors.

Bauer *et al.* [1] proposed the concept of super-connectedness. A graph G is called *super-edge-connected* or *super-\lambda* if every minimum edge-cut is trivial; that is, if every minimum edge-cut consists of edges incident with a vertex of minimum degree. Thus every super edge-connected graph is also maximally edge-connected. The study of super edge-connected graphs has a particular significance in the design of reliable networks [2]. Most of known sufficient conditions for a graph G to be super- λ are closely related to those in the preceding theorem.

Theorem 2. Let G be a connected graph. Then G is super- λ if

- (a) (Kelmans [11]) $n \le 2\delta(G) 1;$
- (b) (Fiol [10]) d(u) + d(v) ≥ n for all pairs u and v of nonadjacent vertices and G is different from K_{n/2} × K₂;
- (c) (Fiol [10]) diam(G) = 2 and G contains no K_{δ} with all its vertices of degree δ ;
- (d) (Fiol [10]) G is a bipartite graph with $\delta \geq 3$ and $n \leq 4\delta 3$;
- (e) (Soneoka [17]) $n > \delta((\Delta 1)^{diam(G)-1} 1/(\Delta 2) + 1) + (\Delta 1)^{diam(G)-1};$
- (f) (Tian [19]) $R(G) < 2 + (n 2\delta)/(n \delta 1)(n \delta);$
- (g) (Tian [19]) G is triangle-free and $R(G) < 2 + 1/\delta(\delta+1) + (n-2\delta-1)/(n-\delta-1)(n-\delta-2)$.

In [6] Dankelmann *et al.* gave sufficient conditions for graphs to be maximally edge-connected in terms of the inverse degree, the minimum degree and the order of a graph. In [19] Tian *et al.* gave sufficient conditions for graphs to be super edge-connected in terms of the inverse degree, the minimum degree and the order of a graph.

Motivated by the results of Dankelmann *et al.* [6] and Tian *et al.* [19], in this paper we give sufficient conditions for arbitrary graphs and triangle-free graphs to be super edge-connected in terms of the zeroth-order general Randić index, minimum degree and the order.

2. Preliminary Lemmas

In this section, we will list or prove some lemmas which will be used in our later proofs.

Lemma 3 (Lin et al. [15]). Let $x_1, x_2 \in N$ and $\alpha \in R$. If $x_1 - 2 \ge x_2 \ge 1$, then (i) $(x_1 - 1)^{\alpha} + (x_2 + 1)^{\alpha} < x_1^{\alpha} + x_2^{\alpha}$ if $\alpha < 0$ or $\alpha > 1$; (ii) $(x_1 - 1)^{\alpha} + (x_2 + 1)^{\alpha} > x_1^{\alpha} + x_2^{\alpha}$ if $0 < \alpha < 1$. **Lemma 4** (Su [18]). Let x_1, \ldots, x_p and A be positive reals with $\sum_{i=1}^p x_i \leq A$. For any real number $\alpha < 0$, we have

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_i^{\alpha} \ge p^{1-\alpha} A^{\alpha};$
- (ii) if, in addition x_1, \ldots, x_p , A are positive integers, and a, b are integers with A = ap + b and $0 \le b < p$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_i^{\alpha} \ge (p-b)a^{\alpha} + b(a+1)^{\alpha}$.

Lemma 5 [18]. Let $\Phi(x)$ be a continuous function on interval [L, R] and l + r = L + R for $l, r \in [L, R]$. Then

- (i) $\Phi(L) + \Phi(R) \ge \Phi(l) + \Phi(r)$ if $\Phi(x)$ is convex;
- (ii) $\Phi(L) + \Phi(R) \le \Phi(l) + \Phi(r)$ if $\Phi(x)$ is concave.

We say that a graph is *triangle-free* if it does not contain a triangle as a subgraph.

Lemma 6 (Dankelmann and Volkmann [7]). Let G be a triangle-free graph of order $n \leq 4\delta - 1$. Then $\lambda = \delta$.

A complete r-partite graph $K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_r}$ is a simple graph whose vertices can be partitioned into $r \ (r \ge 2)$ sets so that each pair of vertices is connected by an edge if and only if they belong to different sets of the partition.

The Turán graph $T_{n,r}$ is the complete r-partite graph with b partite sets of size a + 1 and r - b partite sets of size a, where $a = \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$ and b = n - ra.

The following is a famous result due to Turán [20].

Lemma 7 (Turán [20]).

- (i) Among all the n-vertex simple graphs with no (r + 1)-clique, $T_{n,r}$ has the maximum number of edges.
- (ii) $|E(T_{n,r})| \leq \left\lfloor \left(1 \frac{1}{r}\right) \frac{n^2}{2} \right\rfloor.$

For two subsets X and Y of V(G), let [X, Y] be the set of edges with one endpoint in X and the other one in Y, and |[X, Y]| denotes the cardinality of [X, Y].

The following lemma was proved by Dankelmann and Volkmann [8].

Lemma 8 (Dankelmann and Volkmann [8]). Let G be a connected graph. If there exist two disjoint, nonempty sets $X, Y \subset V(G), X \cup Y = V(G), and |[X,Y]| < \delta$, then $|X| \ge \delta + 1$ and $|Y| \ge \delta + 1$.

The result above also can be found in other literature, e.g. Dankelmann and Volkmann [7] and Plesník and Znám [16].

Lemma 9. Let x be a real number. Then $x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (x + \frac{1}{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le (x + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (x - \frac{1}{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. Let $h(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Clearly, h(t) is increasing for $t \ge 1$, so we get $h(x+1) \ge h(x)$, i.e., $x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le (x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, as desired.

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 10. Let G be a connected graph of order n, minimum degree δ and edge-connectivity λ . If

(1)
$$R^{0}(G) < \min\left\{L_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right), L_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right)\right\},$$

then G is super- λ , where $L_1\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) = \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(n-\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (n-2\delta)(n-\delta-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$ $L_2\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) = (\delta+1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(\delta+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(n-\delta-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (\delta-1)(n-\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$

Proof. In view of Theorem 2(a), we may assume $n \ge 2\delta$. Suppose to the contrary that G is not super- λ . Let S be a λ -cut such that each of two components of G - S have at least two vertices, and let W and T denote the vertex sets of the two components of G - S. We claim that $\delta \le |W|, |T| \le n - \delta$. Assume $|W| \le \delta - 1$. Then $\delta \ge 3$ and $\lambda(G) = |[W, \overline{W}]| \ge \delta|W| - |W|(|W| - 1) \ge \delta|W| - (\delta - 1)(|W| - 1) = \delta - 1 + |W| \ge \delta + 1 > \delta$, a contradiction (because it is well known that $\lambda(G) \le \delta(G)$). Similarly, we have $|T| \ge \delta$. Therefore, $\delta \le |W|, |T| \le n - \delta$.

If $\lambda(G) < \delta(G)$, then by Theorem 1(e), we have

$$R^{0}(G) \geq 2\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\delta - 1)(\delta + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (\delta - 1)(n - \delta - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$- (\delta - 2)(n - \delta - 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= L_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) + \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (\delta + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (n - \delta - 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (n - \delta - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\geq L_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) \text{ (since } x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ is a decreasing function for } x > 0),$$

a contradiction to equation (1). Thus we assume that $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$ in the following argument.

Each vertex in T is adjacent to at most |T| - 1 vertices of T, and exactly δ edges join vertices of T to vertices of W. Hence $\sum_{t \in T} d(t) \leq |T|(|T| - 1) + \delta$. If $\delta < |T|$, then by Lemma 4

$$\sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \ge (|T| - \delta)(|T| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta|T|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

= $[(|T| - 1) + (1 - \delta)](|T| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta|T|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
= $(|T| - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (|T| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \delta\left[(|T| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |T|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right].$

If $|T| = \delta$, then $\sum_{t \in T} d(t) \leq |T|^2$, by Lemma 4, $\sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \geq |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The same argument is also valid for W. Now we consider two cases.

Case 1. $|W| = \delta$ or $|T| = \delta$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $|W| = \delta$ and $|T| = n - \delta$. If $n = 2\delta$, then

$$R^{0}(G) = \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \ge 2\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

= $\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(n-\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (n-2\delta)(n-\delta-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = L_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right),$

a contradiction to equation (1). Thus we suppose that $n > 2\delta$. By the above argument,

$$\begin{aligned} R^{0}(G) &= \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \\ &\geq |W|^{\frac{1}{2}} + (|T|-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (|T|-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \delta \left[(|T|-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |T|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &= \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + (n-\delta-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (n-\delta-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \delta \left[(n-\delta-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (n-\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &= \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(n-\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (n-2\delta)(n-\delta-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = L_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to equation (1).

 $\begin{array}{l} Case \ 2. \ |W| \geq \delta + 1 \ \text{and} \ |T| \geq \delta + 1. \ \text{Since} \ G \ \text{has a vertex} \ v \ \text{of degree} \ \delta, \\ \text{without loss of generality, assume} \ v \in W. \ \text{Then} \ \sum_{w \in W \setminus \{v\}} d(w) \leq (|W| - 1)^2 + \delta. \\ \text{If} \ |W| = \delta + 1, \ \text{then} \ \sum_{w \in W \setminus \{v\}} d(w) \leq |W| (|W| - 1) = \delta(\delta + 1), \ \text{by Lemma 4}, \\ \text{we have} \ \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) \geq \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(\delta + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \ \text{Hence} \end{array}$

$$\begin{aligned} R^{0}(G) &= \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \ge \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(\delta+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ (n-\delta-2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (n-\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \delta\left[(n-\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (n-\delta-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\ &= \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(\delta+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (n-2\delta-1)(n-\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(n-\delta-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= L_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) + (n-\delta-2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ge L_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) \text{ (since } x^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ is an increasing function for } x > 0), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to equation (1).

If $|W| \ge \delta + 2$, then by Lemma 4, we have

$$\sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) \ge \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (|W| - 1 - \delta)(|W| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta|W|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (|W| - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \delta\left[(|W| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |W|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R^{0}(G) &= \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \\ &\geq \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (|W| - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \delta \left[(|W| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |W|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] + (|T| - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ (|T| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \delta \left[(|T| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |T|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &= \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (|W| - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (|T| - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (|T| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &- \delta \left[(|W| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |W|^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (|T| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |T|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

To minimize the right-hand side of the last inequality, consider the function $h_1(x) = (x-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. It is easy to verify that $h_1''(x) > 0$ for x > 1, so $h_1(t)$ is convex. By $|W|, |T| \ge \delta + 1, |W| + |T| = n$, and Lemma 5, we have

$$(|W| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |W|^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (|T| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |T|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (\delta + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (n - \delta - 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (n - \delta - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that $h_2(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a decreasing function and $h_3(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an increasing function for x > 0. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{0}(G) &= \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \geq \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + (n - \delta - 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &- \delta \left[\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (\delta + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (n - \delta - 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (n - \delta - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &= (\delta + 1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(\delta + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(n - \delta - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (\delta - 1)(n - \delta - 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= L_{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \delta \right), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to equation (1).

We present a class of graphs to show that the condition in Theorem 10 cannot be improved.

Example 11. Let *n* and δ be arbitrary integers with $n \geq 2\delta \geq 4$. Furthermore, let $G_1 \cong K_{\delta}$ with vertex set $V(G_1) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{\delta}\}$ and let $H_2 \cong K_{n-\delta}$ with vertex set $V(G_2) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-\delta}\}$. We define the graph *G* as the union of G_1 and G_2 together with $\delta - 1$ edges $u_1v_1, u_2v_2, \ldots, u_{\delta}v_{\delta}$. Then $n(G) = n, \delta(G) = \delta$ and

$$R^{0}(G) = \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(n-\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (n-2\delta)(n-\delta-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = L_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right).$$

But it is easy to see that G is not super- λ .

Now we pay our attention to the maximally edge-connected triangle-free graphs. In the following we shall use the following four functions

$$H_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2},t\right) = (2t-1)t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{n(n-2t+2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(n-4t+2)(n-2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}};$$

$$H_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2},t\right) = (2t-1)t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}t(n-2t+3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}(n-3t+1)(n-2t+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$H_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2},t\right) = 3t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t(t+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{2}t(n-2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}t(n-2t+2)^{-\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$H_{4}\left(-\frac{1}{2},t\right) = 2t^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2t(t+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{2}(t-1)(n-2t-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}t(n-2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Theorem 12. Let G be a connected triangle-free graph of order n, minimum degree δ and edge-connectivity λ . If

(2)
$$R^0(G) < \min\left\{H_1\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right), H_2\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right), H_3\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right), H_4\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right)\right\},$$

then G is super- λ .

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G is not super- λ . Let F be a λ -cut such that each of two components of G - F have at least two vertices, and let W and T denote the vertex sets of the two components. By the proof of Theorem 2.8 of [19] we get that $2\delta - 1 \leq |W|, |T| \leq n - 2\delta + 1$.

If $\lambda(G) < \delta(G)$, then $2\delta \le |W|, |T| \le n - 2\delta$ (see, for example, [9]) and by Theorem 1(f)

$$R^{0}(G) \ge \min\left\{\gamma_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right),\gamma_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right)\right\},\$$

where $\gamma_1\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) = H_3\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) + \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (\delta+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}\left[(n-2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (n-2\delta+2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right] \ge H_3\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right), \ \gamma_2\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) = H_4\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) + \sqrt{2}\left[(2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (n-2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right] + \sqrt{2}\left[(n-2\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (n-2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right] \ge H_4\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right).$ Therefore, we have

$$R^{0}(G) \ge \min\left\{H_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right), H_{4}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right)\right\},\$$

a contradiction to equation (2). Thus we assume that $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$ in the following.

We know that $|[W, W]| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{|W|^2}{4} \right\rfloor$ by Turán's Theorem, and thus

$$\sum_{w \in W} d(w) \le 2 \left\lfloor \frac{|W|^2}{4} \right\rfloor + \delta.$$

If |W| is even, then $\sum_{w \in W} d(w) \le |W| \frac{|W|}{2} + \delta$. By Lemma 4, we obtain

(3)
$$\sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) \ge (|W| - \delta) \left(\frac{|W|}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \left(\frac{|W|}{2} + 1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

If |W| is odd, then $\sum_{w \in W} d(w) \le |W| \frac{|W|-1}{2} + \frac{|W|-1}{2} + \delta$. If $|W| > 2\delta - 1$, then by Lemma 4, we obtain

(4)
$$\sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) \ge \left[\frac{|W|+1}{2} - \delta\right] \left[\frac{|W|-1}{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\frac{|W|-1}{2} + \delta\right] \left[\frac{|W|+1}{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

If $|W| = 2\delta - 1$, then $\sum_{w \in W} d(w) \le |W| \frac{|W|+1}{2}$. By Lemma 4, we have

(5)
$$\sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) \ge |W| \left(\frac{|W|+1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (2\delta - 1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

If $|W|, |T| = 2\delta - 1$, then by Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{0}(G) &= \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \ge 2(2\delta - 1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (2\delta - 1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{n(n - 2\delta + 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(n - 4\delta + 2)(n - 2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= H_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \delta\right), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (2). Thus, we assume in the following that one of |W|, |T| is not equal to $2\delta - 1$, say $|T| > 2\delta - 1$ whenever $|W| = 2\delta - 1$. We consider five cases.

Case 1. n is even and $|W| = 2\delta - 1$. As n is even and $|W| = 2\delta - 1$, we know that |T| = n - |W| is odd. Thus by inequalities (4) and (5),

$$\begin{aligned} R^{0}(G) &= \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \geq (2\delta - 1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \left[\frac{|T| - 1}{2} + \delta \right] \left[\frac{|T| + 1}{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\frac{|T| + 1}{2} - \delta \right] \left[\frac{|T| - 1}{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (2\delta - 1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\frac{n - 2\delta}{2} + \delta \right] \left[\frac{n - 2\delta + 2}{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \left[\frac{n - 2\delta + 2}{2} - \delta \right] \left[\frac{n - 2\delta}{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (2\delta - 1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \frac{n(n - 2\delta + 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(n - 4\delta + 2)(n - 2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} = H_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \delta \right), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (2).

Case 2. n is odd and $|W| = 2\delta - 1$. Since n is odd and $|W| = 2\delta - 1$, we know that |T| = n - |W| is even. Thus by inequalities (3) and (5),

$$\begin{aligned} R^{0}(G) &= \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \\ &\geq (2\delta - 1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (|T| - \delta) \left[\frac{|T|}{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \left[\frac{|T|}{2} + 1\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (2\delta - 1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (n - 3\delta + 1) \left[\frac{n - 2\delta + 1}{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \left[\frac{n - 2\delta + 3}{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (2\delta - 1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}\delta(n - 2\delta + 3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}(n - 3\delta + 1)(n - 2\delta + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= H_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (2).

Case 3. n and |W| are both even, and $|W| > 2\delta - 1$. Immediately, we have that |T| = n - |W| is also even. Thus by inequality (3),

$$\begin{split} R^{0}(G) &= \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \\ &\geq (|W| - \delta) \left[\frac{|W|}{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \left[\frac{|W|}{2} + 1 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (|T| - \delta) \left[\frac{|T|}{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \left[\frac{|T|}{2} + 1 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (|W| - \delta) \left[\frac{|W|}{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \left[\frac{|W|}{2} + 1 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (n - |W| - \delta) \left[\frac{n - |W|}{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \delta \left[\frac{n - |W|}{2} + 1 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{2} |W|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}(n - |W|)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \delta h(|W|), \end{split}$$

where $h(|W|) = \left[\frac{|W|}{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left[\frac{|W|}{2} + 1\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\frac{n-|W|}{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left[\frac{n-|W|}{2} + 1\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$

Define a function $f_1(x) = \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{x}{2}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. It is easy to verify that $f_1''(x) > 0$ for x > 0, hence the function $f_1(x)$ is convex. By $|W|, |T| \in [2\delta, n-2\delta]$ and Lemma 5, we have $f_1(|W|) + f_1(n-|W|) \le f_1(2\delta) + f_1(n-2\delta)$ and $f_2(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an increasing function for x > 0, thus

$$R^{0}(G) \geq \frac{(2\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(2\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} - \delta \left[\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (\delta+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{n-2\delta}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{n-2\delta}{2} + 1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$
$$= 3\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(\delta+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{2}\delta(n-2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}\delta(n-2\delta+2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = H_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right),$$

a contradiction to (2).

Case 4. n is even, |W| is odd and $|W| > 2\delta + 1$. Then |T| = n - |W| is odd. Since $|W|, |T| \ge 2\delta + 1$ and by (4),

$$\begin{split} R^{0}(G) &= \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \\ &\geq \left[\frac{|W| - 1}{2} + \delta\right] \left[\frac{|W| + 1}{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\frac{|W| + 1}{2} - \delta\right] \left[\frac{|W| - 1}{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \left[\frac{n - |W| - 1}{2} + \delta\right] \left[\frac{n - |W| + 1}{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\frac{n - |W| + 1}{2} - \delta\right] \left[\frac{n - |W| - 1}{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{(|W| + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{(|W| + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(|W| - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(|W| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &+ \frac{(n - |W| + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{(n - |W| + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(n - |W| - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(n - |W| - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &- \delta \left[\left(\frac{|W| - 1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{|W| + 1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{n - |W| - 1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{n - |W| + 1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \end{split}$$

Define a function $f_3(x) = \left(\frac{x-1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. It is easy to verify that $f''_3(x) > 0$ for x > 0. Hence $f_3(x)$ is convex. Then we have

$$f_3(|W|) + f_3(n - |W|) \le f_3(2\delta + 1) + f_3(n - 2\delta - 1).$$

Note that $f_2(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an increasing function and $f_4(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a decreasing function for x > 0. Hence

$$R^{0}(G) \geq \frac{(2\delta+2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{(2\delta+2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(2\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(n-2\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(2\delta+2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{(2\delta+2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(2\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(n-2\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} - \delta \left[\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (\delta+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{(n-2\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{(n-2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \right] = \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + 3\delta(\delta+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{2}(\delta-2)(n-2\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}\delta(n-2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = H_{4}(-\frac{1}{2},\delta) + \delta(\delta+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}(n-2\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ge H_{4}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right),$$

a contradiction to (2).

Case 5. n is odd and $|W| > 2\delta - 1$. Assume without loss of generality that |W| is odd and |T| is even. Then we have $|W| \ge 2\delta + 1$ and $|T| \ge 2\delta$. Thus by

inequalities (3) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{0}(G) &= \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \\ &\geq \left[\frac{|W| - 1}{2} + \delta \right] \left[\frac{|W| + 1}{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\frac{|W| + 1}{2} - \delta \right] \left[\frac{|W| - 1}{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \left(|T| - \delta \right) \left[\frac{|T|}{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \left[\frac{|T|}{2} + 1 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{\left(|W| + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\left(|W| + 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\left(|W| - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\left(|W| - 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\left(n - |W| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &- \delta \left[\left(\frac{|W| - 1}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{|W| + 1}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{n - |W|}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{n - |W|}{2} + 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 9, we obtain $\left(\frac{n-|W|}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{n-|W|+1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(\frac{n-|W|}{2}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{n-|W|-1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, i.e., $\left(\frac{n-|W|}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{n-|W|}{2}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(\frac{n-|W|-1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{n-|W|+1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $f_3(x) = \left(\frac{x-1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is convex and

$$f_3(|W|) + f_3(n - |W|) \le f_3(2\delta + 1) + f_3(n - 2\delta - 1).$$

Note that $f_2(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an increasing function and $f_4(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a decreasing function x > 0. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{0}(G) &= \sum_{w \in W} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(w) + \sum_{t \in T} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \\ &\geq \frac{(2\delta+2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{(2\delta+2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(2\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(n-2\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(2\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &- \delta \left[\left(\frac{2\delta}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{2\delta+2}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{n-2\delta-2}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{n-2\delta}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &= 2\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2\delta(\delta+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{2}(\delta-1)(n-2\delta-2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}\delta(n-2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= H_{4}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (2).

We present a class of graphs to show that the condition in Theorem 12 cannot be improved.

Example 13. For arbitrary integers δ and $n \geq 4\delta - 1$, let $G_1 \cong K_{\delta-1,\delta}$ with vertex set $V(K_{\delta-1,\delta}) = W \cup T$ (where $W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{\delta-1}\}$ and $T = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{\delta}\}$). Let $G_2 \cong K_{\frac{n-2\delta+1}{2}, \frac{n-2\delta+1}{2}}$ with vertex set $V(G_2) = X \cup Y$ (where $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{\frac{n-2\delta+1}{2}}\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{\frac{n-2\delta+1}{2}}\}$). We define the graph G as the union of G_1 and G_2 together with the δ edges $t_1x_1, t_2x_2, \ldots, t_{\delta}x_{\delta}$. Clearly, G is triangle-free, has order n and minimum degree δ and

$$R^{0}(G) = (2\delta - 1)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}\delta(n - 2\delta + 3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}(n - 3\delta + 1)(n - 2\delta + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = H_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2},\delta\right),$$

but it is easy to see that G is not super- λ .

References

- D. Bauer, F.T. Boesch, C. Suffel and R. Tindell, *Connectivity extremal problems* and the design of reliable probabilistic networks, in: The Theory and Application of Graphs, G. Chartrand, Y. Alavi, D. Goldsmith, L. Lesniak Foster and D. Lick (Ed(s)), (Wiley, New York, 1981) 45–54.
- F. Boesch, On unreliability polynomials and graph connectivity in reliable network synthesis, J. Graph Theory 10 (1986) 339–352. doi:10.1002/jgt.3190100311
- [3] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Application (Elsevier, New York, 1976).
- G. Chartrand, A graph-theoretic approach to a communications problem, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 14 (1966) 778–781. doi:10.1137/0114065
- [5] Z. Chen, G. Su and L. Volkmann, Sufficient conditions on the zeroth-order general Randić index for maximally edge-connected graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 218 (2017) 64–70. doi:10.1016/j.dam.2016.11.002
- P. Dankelmann, A. Hellwig and L. Volkmann, *Inverse degree and edge-connectivity*, Discrete Math. **309** (2009) 2943–2947. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2008.06.041
- [7] P. Dankelmann and L. Volkmann, New sufficient conditions for equality of minimum degree and edge-connectivity, Ars Combin. 40 (1995) 270–278.
- [8] P. Dankelmann and L. Volkmann, Degree sequence condition for maximally edgeconnected graphs depending on the clique number, Discrete Math. 211 (2000) 217-223. doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(99)00279-4

- P. Dankelmann and L. Volkmann, Degree sequence condition for maximally edgeconnected graphs and digraphs, J. Graph Theory 26 (1997) 27–34. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0118(199709)26:1(27::AID-JGT4)3.0.CO;2-J
- [10] M.A. Fiol, On super-edge-connected digraphs and bipartite digraphs, J. Graph Theory 16 (1992) 545–555. doi:10.1002/jgt.3190160603
- [11] A.K. Kelmans, Asymptotic formulas for the probability of k-connectedness of random graphs, Theory Probab. Appl. 17 (1972) 243-254. doi:10.1137/1117029
- [12] L.B. Kier and L.H. Hall, The nature of structure-activity relationships and their relation to molecular connectivity, European J. Med. Chem. 12 (1977) 307–312.
- [13] L.B. Klein and L.H. Hall, Molecular Connectivity in Structure Activity Analysis (Research Studies Press, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 1986).
- [14] L. Lesniak, Results on the edge-connectivity of graphs, Discrete Math. 8 (1974) 351–354. doi:10.1016/0012-365X(74)90154-X
- [15] A. Lin, R. Luo and X. Zha, On sharp bounds of the zeroth-order general Randić index of certain unicyclic graphs, Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (2009) 585–589. doi:10.1016/j.aml.2008.06.035
- [16] L. Plesník and S. Znám, On equality of edge-connectivity and minimum degree of a graph, Arch. Math. (Brno) 25 (1989) 19–25.
- T. Soneoka, Super-edge-connectivity of dense digraphs and graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 37/38 (1992) 511-523. doi:10.1016/0166-218X(92)90155-4
- [18] G. Su, L. Xiong, X. Su and G. Li, Maximally edge-connected graphs and zeroth-order general Randić index for α ≤ −1, J. Comb. Optim. **31** (2016) 182–195. doi:10.1007/s10878-014-9728-y
- [19] Y. Tian, L. Guo, J. Meng and C. Qin, *Inverse degree and super edge-connectivity*, Int. J. Comput. Math. 89 (2012) 752–759. doi:10.1080/00207160.2012.663491
- [20] P. Turán, Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie, Mat. Fiz. Lapook 48 (1941) 436–452.

Received 12 April 2017 Revised 19 April 2018 Accepted 8 June 2018