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#### Abstract

A planar 3-connected graph $G$ is called essentially 4-connected if, for every 3 -separator $S$, at least one of the two components of $G-S$ is an isolated vertex. Jackson and Wormald proved that the length $\operatorname{circ}(G)$ of a longest cycle of any essentially 4 -connected planar graph $G$ on $n$ vertices is at least $\frac{2 n+4}{5}$ and Fabrici, Harant and Jendrol improved this result to $\operatorname{circ}(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n+4)$. In the present paper, we prove that an essentially 4 -connected planar graph on $n$ vertices contains a cycle of length at least $\frac{3}{5}(n+2)$ and that such a cycle can be found in time $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.


Keywords: essentially 4-connected planar graph, longest cycle, circumference, shortness coefficient.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C38, 05C10.

[^0]For a finite and simple graph $G$ with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$, let $N(x)$ and $d(x)=|N(x)|$ denote the neighborhood and the degree of any $x \in V(G)$ in $G$, respectively. The circumference $\operatorname{circ}(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the length of a longest cycle of $G$. A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is an s-separator of $G$ if $|S|=s$ and $G-S$ is disconnected. From now on, let $G$ be a 3 -connected planar graph. For every 3 -separator $S$ of $G$, it is well-known that $G-S$ has exactly two components. We call $S$ trivial if at least one component of $G-S$ is a single vertex. If every 3 -separator $S$ of $G$ is trivial, we call the 3 -connected planar graph $G$ essentially 4-connected. In the present paper, we are interested in lower bounds on the circumference of essentially 4 -connected planar graphs.

Jackson and Wormald [4] proved that $\operatorname{circ}(G) \geq \frac{2 n+4}{5}$ for every essentially 4connected planar graph on $n$ vertices and presented an infinite family of essentially 4 -connected planar graphs $G$ such that $\operatorname{circ}(G) \leq c \cdot n$ for each real constant $c>\frac{2}{3}$. Moreover, there is a construction of infinitely many essentially 4 -connected planar graphs with $\operatorname{circ}(G)=\frac{2}{3}(n+4)$ (for example see [2]). It is open whether there exists an essentially 4 -connected planar graph $G$ on $n$ vertices with $\operatorname{circ}(G)<$ $\frac{2}{3}(n+4)$. Further results on the length of longest cycles in essentially 4 -connected planar graphs can be found in $[2,3,7]$.

Fabrici, Harant and Jendrol [2] extended the result of Jackson and Wormald by proving that $\operatorname{circ}(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n+4)$ for every essentially 4 -connected planar graph $G$ on $n$ vertices.

Our result is presented in the following theorem.
Theorem 1. For any essentially 4-connected planar graph $G$ on $n$ vertices,

$$
\operatorname{circ}(G) \geq \frac{3}{5}(n+2)
$$

We remark that the assertion of the theorem can be improved to $\operatorname{circ}(G) \geq$ $\frac{3}{5}(n+4)$ if $n \geq 16$. This follows from using Lemma 5 in [2] and a more special version of the forthcoming inequality (i). We will also show how cycles of $G$ of length at least $\frac{3}{5}(n+2)$ can be found in quadratic time.

Let $C$ be a plane cycle and let $B$ be a set disjoint from $V(C)$. A plane graph $H$ is called a $(B, C)$-graph if $B \cup V(C)$ is the vertex set of $H$, the cycle $C$ is an induced subgraph of $H$, the subgraph of $H$ induced by $B$ is edgeless, and each vertex of $B$ has degree 3 in $H$. The vertices in $B$ are called outer vertices of $C$.

A face $f$ of $H$ is called minor (major) if it is incident with at most one (at least two) outer vertices. Note that $f$ is incident with no outer vertex if and only if $C$ is the facial cycle of $f$.

For every $(B, C)$-graph $H$, let $\mu(H)$ denote the number of minor faces of $H$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(H) \geq|V(H)|-|V(C)|+2 \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of (i). Let $H$ be a smallest counterexample. Since $B=\emptyset$ implies $|V(H)|=$ $|V(C)|$ and $\mu(H)=2$, which satisfies the inequality (i), we may assume that $B$ is non-empty. For each vertex $y \in B$, the three neighbors of $y$ divide $C$ into three internally disjoint paths $P_{1}(y), P_{2}(y)$, and $P_{3}(y)$ with endvertices in $N(y)$. We may assume that $\left|V\left(P_{1}(y)\right)\right| \leq\left|V\left(P_{2}(y)\right)\right| \leq\left|V\left(P_{3}(y)\right)\right|$ and define $\phi(y)=\left|V\left(P_{1}(y)\right)\right|+\left|V\left(P_{2}(y)\right)\right|-1$ in this case.

Let $x \in B$ be chosen such that $\phi(x)=\min \{\phi(y) \mid y \in B\}$. Consider the two cycles $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ induced by $V\left(P_{1}(x)\right) \cup\{x\}$ and $V\left(P_{2}(x)\right) \cup\{x\}$, respectively. We claim that the interior of $A_{1}$ as well as the interior of $A_{2}$ is a face of $H$ and hence, both are minor faces. Suppose that there is a vertex $z$ in the interior of $A_{i}$ for $i \in$ $\{1,2\}$. Then $\phi(z)=\left|V\left(P_{1}(z)\right)\right|+\left|V\left(P_{2}(z)\right)\right|-1 \leq \max \left\{\left|V\left(P_{1}(x)\right)\right|,\left|V\left(P_{2}(x)\right)\right|\right\}<$ $\left|V\left(P_{1}(x)\right)\right|+\left|V\left(P_{2}(x)\right)\right|-1=\phi(x)$, which contradicts the choice of $x$.

Let $H^{\prime}=H-x$. Note that $H^{\prime}$ is a $((B \backslash\{x\}), C)$-graph and has fewer vertices than $H$. Then $\left|V\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right|=|V(H)|-1, \mu\left(H^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(H)-1$, and $\mu\left(H^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $\left|V\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right|-|V(C)|+2$, hence $\mu(H) \geq 1+\mu\left(H^{\prime}\right) \geq 1+\left|V\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right|-|V(C)|+2=$ $|V(H)|-|V(C)|+2$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $G$ be an essentially 4 -connected plane graph on $n$ vertices. If $G$ has at most 10 vertices, then it is well known that $G$ is Hamiltonian [1]. In this case, we are done, since $n \geq \frac{3}{5}(n+2)$ for $n \geq 3$. Thus, we assume $n \geq 11$. A cycle $C$ of $G$ is called an outer-independent-3-cycle (OI3cycle) if $V(G) \backslash V(C)$ is an independent set of vertices and $d(x)=3$ for every $x \in V(G) \backslash V(C)$. An edge $a=x y \in E(C)$ of a cycle $C$ is called an extendable edge of $C$ if $x$ and $y$ have a common neighbor in $V(G) \backslash V(C)$.

In [2], it is shown that every essentially 4-connected planar graph $G$ on $n \geq 11$ vertices contains an OI3-cycle. In this proof, let $C$ be a longest OI3-cycle of $G$, let $c=|V(C)|$, and let $H$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by removing all chords of $C$, i. e. by removing all edges in $E(G) \backslash E(C)$ that connect vertices of $C$. Clearly, $C$ does not contain an extendable edge. Obviously, $H$ is a $(B, C)$-graph, with $B=V(H) \backslash V(C)$.

For the number $\mu$ of minor faces of $H$, we have by (i) $\mu \geq n-c+2$.
Moreover, we will show

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \mu \leq 4 c \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then, the theorem follows immediately.
Proof of (ii). An edge $e$ of $C$ is incident with exactly two faces $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ of $H$. In this case, we say $f_{1}$ is opposite to $f_{2}$ with respect to $e$. A face $f$ of $H$ is called $j$-face if it is incident with exactly $j$ edges of $C$ and the edges of $C$ incident with $f$ are called $C$-edges of $f$. Because $C$ does not contain an extendable edge, we have $j \geq 2$ for every minor $j$-face of $H$.

We define a weight function $w_{0}$ on the set $F(H)$ of faces of $H$, by setting weight $w_{0}(f)=6$ for every minor face $f$ of $H$ and weight $w_{0}(f)=0$ for every major face $f$ of $H$. Then $\sum_{f \in F(H)} w_{0}(f)=6 \mu$. Next, we redistribute the weights of faces of $H$ by the rules R1 and R2.

Rule R1. A minor 2-face $f$ of $H$ sends weight 1 through both $C$-edges to the opposite (possibly identical) faces.
Rule R2. A minor 3 -face $f$ of $H$ with $C$-edges $u x, x y$, and $y z$ sends weight 1 through its middle $C$-edge $x y$ to the opposite face.
Let $w_{1}$ denote the new weight function; clearly, $\sum_{f \in F(H)} w_{1}(f)=6 \mu$ still holds.
For the proof of (ii), we will show

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}(f) \leq 2 j \text { for each } j \text {-face } f \text { of } H \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see that (ii) is a consequence of (iii), let each $j$-face $f$ of $H$ satisfying $j \geq 1$ send the weight $\frac{w_{1}(f)}{j}$ to each of its $C$-edges. Note that each 0 -face $f$ is major, thus $w_{1}(f)=0$. Hence, the total weight of all minor and major faces is moved to the edges of $C$. Since every edge of $C$ gets weight at most 4, we obtain $6 \mu=\sum_{f \in F(H)} w_{1}(f) \leq 4 c$, and (ii) follows.

Proof of (iii). Next we distinguish several cases. In most of them, we construct a cycle $\tilde{C}$ that is obtained from $C$ by replacing a subpath of $C$ with another path. In every case, $\tilde{C}$ will be an OI3-cycle of $G$ that is longer than $C$. This contradicts the choice of $C$ and therefore shows that the considered case cannot occur. Note that all vertices of $C$ in the following figures are different, because the length of the longest OI3-cycle $C$ in a planar graph on $n \geq 11$ vertices is at least $8[2$, Lemma 4(ii)].

Case 1. $f$ is a major $j$-face. Because $w_{0}(f)=0$ and $f$ gets weight $\leq 1$ through each of its $C$-edges, we have $w_{1}(f) \leq j$.

Case 2. $f$ is a minor 2-face (see Figure 1). We will show that $f$ does not get any new weight by $\mathbf{R 1}$ or by $\mathbf{R 2}$; this implies $w_{1}(f)=w_{0}(f)-(1+1)=4$. Let $x y$ and $y z$ be the $C$-edges of $f$ and $a$ be the outer vertex incident with $f$ (see Figure 1).

If $f$ gets new weight by $\mathbf{R 1}$ or by $\mathbf{R 2}$ from a face $f^{\prime}$ opposite to $f$ with respect to a $C$-edge of $f$, then $f^{\prime}$ is a minor 2 -face or a minor 3 -face of $H$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f^{\prime}$ is opposite to $f$ with respect to the edge $y z$. Then $y z$ is a common $C$-edge of $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ and we distinguish the following subcases.

Case 2a. $f^{\prime}$ is a 2-face and xy is a $C$-edge of $f^{\prime}$. Then $\{x, z\}$ is the neighborhood of $y$ in $G$, which contradicts the 3 -connectedness of $G$.


Figure 1

Case 2b. $f^{\prime}$ is a 2-face and $x y$ is not a $C$-edge of $f^{\prime}$ (see Figure 2). Then a longer OI3-cycle $\tilde{C}$ is obtained from $C$ by replacing the path $(x, y, z, u)$ with the path ( $x, a, z, y, b, u$ ), which gives a contradiction.


Figure 2


Figure 3

Case 2c. $f^{\prime}$ is a 3 -face. Since $f^{\prime}$ sends weight to $f$, then, by rule $\mathbf{R 2}$, a $C$-edge of $f$ is the middle $C$-edge of $f^{\prime}$. It follows that both $C$-edges of $f$ are also $C$-edges of $f^{\prime}$ and the situation as shown in Figure 3 occurs. The edge $y u$ exists in $G$, because otherwise $d(y)=2$ and $G$ would not be 3 -connected. Then $\tilde{C}$ is obtained from $C$ by replacing the path $(x, y, z, u)$ with the path $(x, a, z, y, u)$.

Case 3. $f$ is a minor 3 -face (see Figure 4). Since $f$ loses weight 1 by rule $\mathbf{R 2}$ and possibly gets weight $w$ by $\mathbf{R 1}$ or by $\mathbf{R 2}$, we have $w_{1}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=5+w$.

If $w \leq 1$, then we are done.
If $w \geq 2$, then $f$ does not get any weight through the edge $x y$ from the opposite face $f^{\prime}$. Otherwise, if $f^{\prime}$ is a 2 -face, then we have the situation as in Case 2c and if $f^{\prime}$ is a 3 -face, then $w=1$, with contradiction in both cases. Hence, $f$ gets weight 1 through $v x$ from the opposite face $f_{1}$ and weight 1 through $y z$ from the opposite face $f_{2}$. Clearly, $f_{1} \neq f_{2}$ and they are not simultaneously 3 -faces.

Case 3a. Both $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are 2-faces. The situation is as illustrated in Figure 5 and $\tilde{C}$ is obtained from $C$ by replacing the path $(w, v, x, y, z, u)$ with the path $(w, b, x, v, a, z, y, c, u)$. Note that $b \neq c$, because $d(b)=d(c)=3$.


Figure 4


Figure 5


Figure 6

Case 3b. $f_{1}$ is a 2-face and $f_{2}$ is a 3-face. Then $e_{2}=y z$ is the middle $C$-edge of $f_{2}$, as shown in Figure 6, and $\tilde{C}$ is obtained from $C$ by replacing the path $(w, v, x, y, z, u)$ with the path ( $w, v, a, z, y, x, c, u)$.

Case 4. $f$ is a minor 4-face (see Figure 7).


Figure 7

If $w_{1}(f)=w_{0}(f)+w=6+w$ and $w \leq 2$, then we are done.
If otherwise $w \geq 3$, there are at least three edges $e_{1}, e_{2}$, and $e_{3}$ among the four $C$-edges $v w, w x, x y$, and $y z$ of $f$ such that $f$ gets weight from minor faces which are opposite to $f$ with respect to $e_{1}, e_{2}$, and $e_{3}$, respectively.

Case 4a. $w=3$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}=\{v w, w x, x y\}$. Then no edge of $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ is the middle $C$-edge of a minor 3 -face and $y z$ is not a $C$-edge of a minor 2 -face. We have the situation of Figure 8 and one of the edges $v x$ or $x z$ exists in $G$, because otherwise $x$ would have degree 2 in $G$.

Then $\tilde{C}$ is obtained again from $C$ by replacing the path $(v, w, x, y, z)$ with the path $(v, x, w, c, y, z)$ or with the path $(v, w, c, y, x, z)$, respectively.


Figure 8


Figure 9

Case 4b. $w=3,\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}=\{v w, x y, y z\}$ and $w x$ is not a $C$-edge of a minor 3 -face. Then $v w$ is not the middle $C$-edge of a minor 3 -face opposite to $f$. We have the situation of Figure 9 and one of the edges $v y$ or $w y$ exists in $G$, because otherwise $y$ would have degree 2 in $G$.

Note that $b \neq c$, because $d(b)=d(c)=3$. Then $\tilde{C}$ is obtained from $C$ by replacing the path $(t, v, w, x, y, z)$ with the path $(t, b, w, v, y, x, c, z)$ or with the path $(t, v, w, y, x, c, z)$.

Case 4c. $w=3,\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}=\{v w, x y, y z\}$ and $w x$ is a $C$-edge of a minor 3 -face. Then $v w$ is the middle $C$-edge of a minor 3 -face opposite to $f$ (see Figure 10).

Then at least one of the edges $v y$ or $w y$ exists, because otherwise $y$ would have degree 2 in $G$, and $\tilde{C}$ is obtained from $C$ by replacing the path $(t, v, w, x, y, z)$ with the path $(t, b, x, w, v, y, z)$ or with the path $(t, v, w, y, x, c, z)$.


Figure 10


Figure 11

Case $4 \mathrm{~d} . w=4$. Then the edges $v w, w x, x y$, and $y z$ are $C$-edges of minor 2-faces of $H$. Either a situation similar to Case 4a occurs, a contradiction, or the situation of Figure 11 follows.

Then the edge $w y$ exists in $G$, because otherwise $d(w)=2$ or $d(y)=2$ in $G$, and $\tilde{C}$ is obtained from $C$ by replacing the path $(v, w, x, y, z)$ with the path $(v, w, y, x, c, z)$.

Case 5. $f$ is a minor 5 -face. Let $w_{1}(f)=w_{0}(f)+w=6+w$. If $w \leq 4$, then $w_{1}(f) \leq 10$ and we are done. If $w=5$, then all five $C$-edges of $f$ are also $C$-edges of minor 2 -faces and we have the situation of Figure 12.


Figure 12

If the edge $v x$ exists, then $\tilde{C}$ is obtained from $C$ by replacing the path $(s, v, w, x)$ with the path $(s, b, w, v, x)$.

If $v x$ does not exist, then, because $d(v) \geq 3, y$ or $z$ is a neighbor of $v$. If the edge $v y$ exists, we get $d(x)=2$, a contradiction. Hence, $v z$ exists and, since $d(x) \geq 3, x z$ exists as well. In this case, $\tilde{C}$ is obtained from $C$ by replacing the path $(w, x, y, z)$ with the path $(w, c, y, x, z)$.

The remaining case completes the proof of (iii) and therefore the proof of (ii).

Case 6. $f$ is a minor $j$-face with $j \geq 6$. Then $w_{1}(f)=w_{0}(f)+w=6+w \leq$ $6+j \leq 2 j$.

Algorithm. We now show that a cycle of length at least $\frac{3}{5}(n+2)$ in any essentially 4 -connected planar graph $G$ on $n$ vertices can be computed in time $O\left(n^{2}\right)$. For $n \leq 10$, we may compute even a longest cycle in constant time, so assume $n \geq 11$. The existential proof of the theorem proceeds by using a longest not extendable OI3-cycle of $G$. However, it is straightforward to observe that the proof is still valid when we replace this cycle by an OI3-cycle $C$ that is not extendable and for which none of the local replacements described in the Cases 1-6 can be applied to increase its length (as argued, all these replacements preserve an OI3-cycle).

It suffices to describe how such a cycle $C$ can be computed efficiently; the desired length of $C$ is then implied by the theorem. In [2, Lemma 3], an OI3-cycle
of $G$ is obtained by constructing a special Tutte cycle with the aid of Sander's result on Tutte paths [5]. Using the recent result in [6], we can compute such Tutte paths and, by prescribing its end vertices accordingly, also the desired Tutte cycle in time $O\left(n^{2}\right)$. This gives an OI3-cycle $C_{i}$ of $G$.

If $C_{i}$ is extendable, we compute an extendable edge of $C_{i}$ and extend $C_{i}$ to a longer cycle $C_{i+1}$; this takes time $O(n)$ and preserves that $C_{i+1}$ is an OI3-cycle. Otherwise, if there is no extendable edge of $C_{i}$ (in this case, the length of $C_{i}$ is at least 8 due to $n \geq 11$ and [2, Lemma 4(ii)]), we decide in time $O(n)$ whether one of the local replacements of the Cases 1-6 can be applied to $C_{i}$. If so, we apply any such case and obtain the longer OI3-cycle $C_{i+1}$ (which however may be extendable); since all replacements modify only subgraphs of constant size, this can be done in constant time. Iterating this implies a total running time of $O\left(n^{2}\right)$, as the length of the cycle is increased at most $O(n)$ times.

## References

[1] M.B. Dillencourt, Polyhedra of small order and their Hamiltonian properties, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 66 (1996) 87-122. doi:10.1006/jctb.1996.0008
[2] I. Fabrici, J. Harant and S. Jendrof, On longest cycles in essentially 4-connected planar graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 36 (2016) 565-575. doi:10.7151/dmgt. 1875
[3] B. Grünbaum and J. Malkevitch, Pairs of edge-disjoint Hamilton circuits, Aequationes Math. 14 (1976) 191-196. doi:10.1007/BF01836218
[4] B. Jackson and N.C. Wormald, Longest cycles in 3-connected planar graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 54 (1992) 291-321. doi:10.1016/0095-8956(92)90058-6
[5] D.P. Sanders, On paths in planar graphs, J. Graph Theory 24 (1997) 341-345. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0118(199704)24:4 $\langle 341::$ AID-JGT6 $\rangle 3.0 . \mathrm{CO} ; 2-\mathrm{O}$
[6] A. Schmid and J.M. Schmidt, Computing Tutte paths (2017), arXiv-preprint. https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.05994
[7] C.-Q. Zhang, Longest cycles and their chords, J. Graph Theory 11 (1987) 521-529. doi:10.1002/jgt.3190110409


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Partially supported by DAAD, Germany (as part of BMBF) and by the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic within the project 57320575
    ${ }^{2}$ Partially supported by Science and Technology Assistance Agency under the contract No. APVV-15-0116 and by the Slovak VEGA Grant 1/0368/16.
    ${ }^{3}$ Gefördert durch die Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft(DFG) - 327533333 und 270450205; partially supported by the grants 327533333 and SCHM 3186/1-1 (270450205) from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation), respectively.

