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#### Abstract

For a graph $G$ with vertex set $V(G)$ and independence number $\alpha(G)$, Selkow [A Probabilistic lower bound on the independence number of graphs, Discrete Math. 132 (1994) 363-365] established the famous lower bound $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d(v)+1}\left(1+\max \left\{\frac{d(v)}{d(v)+1}-\sum_{u \in N(v)} \frac{1}{d(u)+1}, 0\right\}\right)$ on $\alpha(G)$, where $N(v)$ and $d(v)=|N(v)|$ denote the neighborhood and the degree of a vertex $v \in V(G)$, respectively. However, Selkow's original proof of this result is incorrect. We give a new probabilistic proof of Selkow's bound here.
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We consider a finite, simple, and undirected graph $G$ with vertex set $V(G)$. Let $N_{G}(v)$ and $d_{G}(v)=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$ denote the neighborhood and the degree of $v \in$ $V(G)$, respectively. A set of vertices $I \subseteq V(G)$ is independent if no two vertices in $I$ are adjacent. The independence number $\alpha(G)$ of $G$ is the maximum cardinality of an independent set of $G$.

The independence number is one of the most fundamental and well-studied graph parameters. In view of its computational hardness, various bounds on the independence number have been proposed. The classical lower bound $C W(G)=$ $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d_{G}(v)+1}$ on $\alpha(G)$ is due to Caro [2] and Wei [4]. It is natural to ask whether improvements of $\alpha(G) \geq C W(G)$ are possible if more information about $G$ is known than just its degrees. The following result takes not only the degree of every vertex but also the degree distribution in its neighborhood into account.

Theorem 1 (Selkow, [3]).

$$
\alpha(G) \geq C W(G)+\sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d_{G}(v)+1} \max \left\{\frac{d_{G}(v)}{d_{G}(v)+1}-\sum_{u \in N_{G}(v)} \frac{1}{d_{G}(u)+1}, 0\right\}
$$

Unfortunately, Selkow's original proof of Theorem 1 is not correct. To our best knowledge, this has not been discovered earlier, and we are not aware of an alternative, correct proof. In order to extract the problematic part of Selkow's argument, let us repeat his proof.

For an event $A$ and a random variable $X$ let $P(A)$ and $E(X)$ denote the probability of $A$ and the expectation of $X$, respectively. First, a uniformly chosen ordering $<$ of $V(G)$ is considered. Obviously, the set

$$
I_{1}=\left\{v \in V(G) \mid u \in N_{G}(v) \Rightarrow v<u\right\}
$$

is independent and it is easy to show that $E\left(\left|I_{1}\right|\right)=C W(G)$ (e.g. see [1]).
Next, let the graph $H$ (depending on the ordering $<$ ) be obtained from $G$ by removing $I_{1} \cup \bigcup_{x \in I_{1}} N_{G}(x)$ and consider the set

$$
I_{2}=\left\{v \in V(H) \mid u \in N_{H}(v) \Rightarrow v<u\right\} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\alpha(G) \geq E\left(\left|I_{1}\right|+\left|I_{2}\right|\right)=E\left(\left|I_{1}\right|\right)+E\left(\left|I_{2}\right|\right)=C W(G)+\sum_{v \in V(G)} P\left(v \in I_{2}\right),
$$

since $I_{1} \cap I_{2}=\emptyset$ and $I_{1} \cup I_{2}$ is an independent set of $G$. To finish the proof of Theorem 1 in [3], the inequality

$$
P\left(v \in I_{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{d_{G}(v)+1} \max \left\{\frac{d_{G}(v)}{d_{G}(v)+1}-\sum_{u \in N_{G}(v)} \frac{1}{d_{G}(u)+1}, 0\right\}
$$

for all $v \in V(G)$ ([3], page 364, lines 14-17) is used. This turns out to be false in the following general sense.

For every $\varepsilon>0$, there is a graph $G$ and a vertex $v \in V(G)$, such that

$$
0<P\left(v \in I_{2}\right)<\varepsilon \cdot \frac{1}{d_{G}(v)+1}\left(\frac{d_{G}(v)}{d_{G}(v)+1}-\sum_{u \in N_{G}(v)} \frac{1}{d_{G}(u)+1}\right) .
$$

To see this, let $n$ be a large positive integer. Consider an arbitrary graph $F$ on $n-3$ vertices and let the graph $G$ on $n$ vertices be obtained by adding three new vertices $v, w, x$ and the edges $v w, w x$, and $x y$ for all $y \in V(F)$. For
an arbitrary ordering $<$ of $V(G), v \in I_{2}$ if and only if $x \in I_{1}, x<w<v$, and $x<y$ for all $y \in V(F)$. It is easy to see that there are exactly $\binom{n-1}{2}(n-3)$ ! such orderings with the property $v \in I_{2}$, thus, $P\left(v \in I_{2}\right)=\frac{\binom{n-1}{2}(n-3)!}{n!}=\frac{1}{2 n}$, however, $\frac{1}{d_{G}(v)+1}\left(\frac{d_{G}(v)}{d_{G}(v)+1}-\sum_{u \in N_{G}(v)} \frac{1}{d_{G}(u)+1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}\right)=\frac{1}{12}$.
Eventually, we present a new probabilistic proof of Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. As in Selkow's proof, consider a uniformly chosen ordering $<$ of $V(G)$, the set $I_{1}=\left\{v \in V(G) \mid u \in N_{G}(v) \Rightarrow v<u\right\}$, and the graph $H$ induced by $V(G) \backslash\left(I_{1} \cup \bigcup_{x \in I_{1}} N_{G}(x)\right)$.
With $f(v)=0$ if $v \notin V(H)$ and $f(v)=\frac{1}{d_{G}(v)+1}$ if $v \in V(H)$, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(G) & \geq E\left(\left|I_{1}\right|+\alpha(H)\right)=E\left(\left|I_{1}\right|\right)+E(\alpha(H)) \geq E\left(\left|I_{1}\right|\right)+E(C W(H)) \\
& =C W(G)+E\left(\sum_{v \in V(H)} \frac{1}{d_{H}(v)+1}\right) \geq C W(G)+E\left(\sum_{v \in V(H)} \frac{1}{d_{G}(v)+1}\right) \\
& =C W(G)+E\left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)\right)=C W(G)+\sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d_{G}(v)+1} P(v \in V(H)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Using} P(v \in V(H)) \geq 0$ and $P(v \notin V(H))=P\left(v \in I_{1} \vee\left(\underset{u \in N_{G}(v)}{V_{1}} u \in I_{1}\right)\right)$
$\leq P\left(v \in I_{1}\right)+\sum_{u \in N_{G}(v)} P\left(u \in I_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{d_{G}(v)+1}+\sum_{u \in N_{G}(v)} \frac{1}{d_{G}(u)+1}$, Theorem 1 is proved.
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