

SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR MAXIMALLY EDGE-CONNECTED AND SUPER-EDGE-CONNECTED GRAPHS DEPENDING ON THE CLIQUE NUMBER

LUTZ VOLKMANN

Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik
RWTH Aachen University
52056 Aachen, Germany

e-mail: volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de

Abstract

Let G be a connected graph with minimum degree δ and edge-connectivity λ . A graph is maximally edge-connected if $\lambda = \delta$, and it is super-edge-connected if every minimum edge-cut is trivial; that is, if every minimum edge-cut consists of edges incident with a vertex of minimum degree. The clique number $\omega(G)$ of a graph G is the maximum cardinality of a complete subgraph of G . In this paper, we show that a connected graph G with clique number $\omega(G) \leq r$ is maximally edge-connected or super-edge-connected if the number of edges is large enough. These are generalizations of corresponding results for triangle-free graphs by Volkmann and Hong in 2017.

Keywords: edge-connectivity, clique number, maximally edge-connected graphs, super-edge-connected graphs.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C40.

1. TERMINOLOGY AND INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite and simple graph with vertex set $V = V(G)$ and edge set $E = E(G)$. The *order* and *size* of G are defined by $n = n(G) = |V(G)|$ and $m = m(G) = |E(G)|$, respectively. If $N(v) = N_G(v)$ is the neighborhood of the vertex $v \in V(G)$, then we denote by $d(v) = d_G(v) = |N(v)|$ the *degree* of v and by $\delta = \delta(G)$ the *minimum degree* of the graph G . For a subset $X \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[X]$ to denote the subgraph of G induced by X . For two subsets X and Y of $V(G)$ let $[X, Y]$ be the set of edges with one endpoint in X and the other one in Y . The *clique number* $\omega(G)$ of a graph G is the maximum cardinality of a

complete subgraph of G . An *edge-cut* of a connected graph G is a set of edges whose removal disconnects G . The *edge connectivity* $\lambda = \lambda(G)$ of a connected graph G is defined as the minimum cardinality of an edge-cut over all edge-cuts of G . An edge-cut S is a *minimum edge-cut* or a λ -*cut* if $|S| = \lambda(G)$. The inequality $\lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$ is immediate. We call a connected graph *maximally edge-connected*, if $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$. In 1981, Bauer *et al.* [1] proposed the concept of super-edge connectedness. A graph is called *super-edge-connected* or *super- λ* if every minimum edge-cut is trivial; that is, if every minimum edge-cut consists of edges incident with a vertex of minimum degree. Thus every super-edge-connected graph is also maximally edge-connected.

Sufficient conditions for graphs to be maximally edge-connected or super-edge-connected were given by several authors, see for example the survey paper by Hellwig and Volkmann [3]. The starting point was an article by Chartrand [2] in 1966. He observed that if δ is large enough, then the graph is maximally edge-connected. A similar condition for super-edge-connectivity was given by Kelmans [4] six years later. Over the years, these results have been strengthened many times and in many ways.

Recently, Volkmann and Hong [6] showed that a connected graph or a connected triangle-free graph is maximally edge-connected or super- λ if the number of edges is large enough. In particular, they received the following results.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a connected triangle-free graph of order $n \geq 2$, size m , minimum degree δ and edge-connectivity λ . If*

$$m > \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor - \delta(n - 1 - 2\delta) - 1,$$

then $\lambda = \delta$.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a connected triangle-free graph of order n , size m , minimum degree $\delta \geq 3$ and edge-connectivity λ . If*

$$m > \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)^2}{4} \right\rfloor - \delta(n+1-2\delta),$$

then G is super- λ .

In this paper, we will generalize Theorems 1 and 2 to connected graphs with clique number $\omega(G) \leq r$ for $r \geq 2$. Examples will demonstrate that our results are sharp.

2. MAXIMALLY EDGE-CONNECTED GRAPHS

The main tool of our article is the famous theorem of Turán [5].

Theorem 3. *Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer, and let G be a graph of order n . If the clique number $\omega(G) \leq r$, then*

$$|E(G)| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2r} \cdot n^2 \right\rfloor.$$

Theorem 4. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer, and let G be a connected graph of order n , size m , minimum degree $\delta \geq 1$, edge-connectivity λ and clique number $\omega(G) \leq r$. If*

$$m > \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2r} \left(n^2 + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor^2 - 2n \left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor \right) \right\rfloor + \delta - 1,$$

then $\lambda = \delta$.

Proof. If $\delta = 1$, then $\lambda = \delta$ in every case. Thus assume in the following that $\delta \geq 2$. Suppose to the contrary that $\lambda \leq \delta - 1$. Then there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(G)$ with $X \cup Y = V(G)$ and $|[X, Y]| = \lambda$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $|X| \leq |Y|$.

We first show that X contains at least $\delta + 1$ vertices. Otherwise, suppose that X contains at most δ vertices. Then we obtain

$$\delta|X| \leq \sum_{x \in X} d_G(x) \leq |X|(|X| - 1) + \lambda \leq \delta(|X| - 1) + \delta - 1.$$

Obviously, this is a contradiction and thus $|X| \geq \delta + 1$. Using Theorem 3, we conclude that

$$(1) \quad |E(G[X])| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)|X|^2}{2r} \right\rfloor$$

and

$$(2) \quad |E(G[Y])| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)|Y|^2}{2r} \right\rfloor.$$

Next we show that $|X| \geq \lfloor (r\delta)/(r-1) \rfloor$. Suppose to the contrary that $|X| \leq \lfloor (r\delta)/(r-1) \rfloor - 1$. Since $2|E(G[X])| = \sum_{x \in X} d_G(x) - \lambda$, (1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |X|\delta &\leq \sum_{x \in X} d_G(x) \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)|X|^2}{2r} \right\rfloor + \lambda \leq \frac{(r-1)|X|^2}{r} + \delta - 1 \\ &\leq |X| \frac{r-1}{r} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) + \delta - 1 \leq |X| \frac{r-1}{r} \left(\frac{r\delta}{r-1} - 1 \right) + \delta - 1 \\ &= |X|\delta - \frac{r-1}{r}|X| + \delta - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and thus $|X| \leq \frac{r(\delta-1)}{r-1}$. Using this argument once more, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |X|\delta &\leq \frac{(r-1)|X|^2}{r} + \delta - 1 \leq |X| \frac{r-1}{r} \cdot \frac{r(\delta-1)}{r-1} + \delta - 1 \\ &= |X|(\delta-1) + \delta - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and thus $|X| \leq \delta - 1$, which contradicts the fact that $|X| \geq \delta + 1$. Hence $|X| \geq \lfloor (r\delta)/(r-1) \rfloor$. Since $|X| + |Y| = n$ and $|X| \leq n/2$, the inequalities (1) and (2) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} m &= |E(G[X])| + |E(G[Y])| + \lambda \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)|X|^2}{2r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)|Y|^2}{2r} \right\rfloor + \delta - 1 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)|X|^2}{2r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)(n-|X|)^2}{2r} \right\rfloor + \delta - 1 \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)}{2r} (|X|^2 + (n-|X|)^2) \right\rfloor + \delta - 1 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)}{2r} (n^2 + 2(|X|^2 - n|X|)) \right\rfloor + \delta - 1 \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)}{2r} \left(n^2 + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor^2 - 2n \left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor \right) \right\rfloor + \delta - 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to the hypothesis. Thus $\lambda = \delta$. ■

Theorem 1 is the special case $r = 2$ of Theorem 4. The next family of graphs shows that Theorem 4 is best possible in the sense that

$$m = \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2r} \left(n^2 + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor^2 - 2n \left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor \right) \right\rfloor + \delta - 1$$

does not guarantee $\lambda = \delta$.

Example 5. Let $r \geq 2$ and $q \geq 1$ be integers. Let H_1 and H_2 be two disjoint copies of the complete r -partite graph with q vertices in each partite set. Define H as the union of H_1 and H_2 by adding $\delta - 1 = q(r-1) - 1$ edges between H_1 and H_2 such that $\omega(H) \leq r$. Then H has order $n = 2qr$, minimum degree $\delta = q(r-1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} m(H) &= q^2 r(r-1) + q(r-1) - 1 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2r} \left(n^2 + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor^2 - 2n \left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor \right) \right\rfloor + \delta - 1, \end{aligned}$$

but obviously, $\lambda(H) = \delta(H) - 1$.

3. SUPER EDGE-CONNECTED GRAPHS

Theorem 6. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer, and let G be a connected graph of order n , size m , minimum degree $\delta \geq 2$, edge-connectivity λ and $\omega(G) \leq r$. If $\delta \geq 3$ or $r \geq 3$ and*

$$m > \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2r} \left(n^2 + 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \right)^2 - 2n \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \right) \right\rfloor + \delta,$$

then G is super- λ .

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G is not super- λ . Then there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(G)$ such that $X \cup Y = V(G)$, $|X|, |Y| \geq 2$ and $|[X, Y]| = \lambda$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $2 \leq |X| \leq |Y|$.

We first show that X contains at least δ vertices. Otherwise, suppose that X contains at most $\delta - 1$ vertices. Then we obtain

$$\delta|X| \leq \sum_{x \in X} d_G(x) \leq |X|(|X| - 1) + \lambda \leq (\delta - 1)(|X| - 1) + \delta,$$

which implies that $|X| \leq 1$, contradicting that $|X| \geq 2$. Thus $|X| \geq \delta$.

Next we show that $|X| \geq \lfloor (r\delta)/(r-1) \rfloor - 1$. If $\delta = 2$ and $r \geq 3$, then

$$|X| \geq \delta = 2 \geq \lfloor (2r)/(r-1) \rfloor - 1 = \lfloor (r\delta)/(r-1) \rfloor - 1.$$

Let now $\delta \geq 3$. Suppose to the contrary that X contains at most $\lfloor (r\delta)/(r-1) \rfloor - 2$ vertices. Since $2|E(G[X])| = \sum_{x \in X} d_G(x) - \lambda$, we conclude from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} |X|\delta &\leq \sum_{x \in X} d_G(x) \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)|X|^2}{2r} \right\rfloor + \lambda \leq \frac{(r-1)|X|^2}{r} + \delta \\ &\leq |X| \frac{r-1}{r} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 2 \right) + \delta \leq |X| \frac{r-1}{r} \left(\frac{r\delta}{r-1} - 2 \right) + \delta \\ &= |X|\delta - \frac{2(r-1)}{r}|X| + \delta \end{aligned}$$

and thus $|X| \leq \frac{r\delta}{2(r-1)}$. Using this argument once more, we arrive at

$$|X|\delta \leq \frac{(r-1)|X|^2}{r} + \delta \leq |X| \frac{r-1}{r} \cdot \frac{r\delta}{2(r-1)} + \delta = \frac{|X|\delta}{2} + \delta$$

and thus $|X| \leq 2$, which contradicts the fact that $3 \leq \delta \leq |X|$. Hence we have shown that $|X| \geq \lfloor (r\delta)/(r-1) \rfloor - 1$ when $\delta \geq 3$ or $r \geq 3$. Since $|X| + |Y| = n$

and $|X| \leq n/2$, the inequalities (1) and (2) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
 m &= |E(G[X])| + |E(G[Y])| + \lambda \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)|X|^2}{2r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)|Y|^2}{2r} \right\rfloor + \delta \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)|X|^2}{2r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)(n-|X|)^2}{2r} \right\rfloor + \delta \\
 &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)}{2r} (|X|^2 + (n-|X|)^2) \right\rfloor + \delta \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)}{2r} (n^2 + 2(|X|^2 - n|X|)) \right\rfloor + \delta \\
 &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{(r-1)}{2r} \left(n^2 + 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \right)^2 - 2n \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \right) \right\rfloor + \delta,
 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to the hypothesis. Thus G is super- λ . ■

Theorem 2 is the special case $r = 2$ of Theorem 6. The next family of graphs shows that Theorem 6 is best possible in the sense that

$$m = \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2r} \left(n^2 + 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \right)^2 - 2n \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \right) \right\rfloor + \delta$$

does not guarantee that the graph is super- λ .

Example 7. Let $r \geq 2$ and $q \geq 3$ be integers. Let H_1 be the complete r -partite graph with $q-1$ vertices in one partite set and q vertices in $r-1$ partite sets, and let H_2 be the complete r -partite graph with q vertices in each partite set. Define H as the union of H_1 and H_2 by adding $\delta = q(r-1)$ edges between H_1 and H_2 such that $\omega(H) \leq r$ and $\delta(H) = \delta = q(r-1)$. Then H has order $n = 2qr - 1$, minimum degree $\delta = q(r-1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 m(H) &= q^2 r^2 - q^2 r \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2r} \left(n^2 + 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \right)^2 - 2n \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \right) \right\rfloor + \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

but obviously, H is not super- λ .

Our last example demonstrates that Theorem 6 is not valid for $\delta = 2$ and $r = 2$ in general.

Example 8. Let $q \geq 2$ be an integer, and let $K_{q,q}$ be the complete bipartite graph with the partite sets $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_q\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q\}$, and let u and v be two further vertices. Define the graph H as the union of $K_{q,q}$, u and

v together with the edges uv , ux_1 and vx_2 . Then H has order $n(H) = 2q + 2$, minimum degree $\delta(H) = 2$ and $\omega(H) \leq 2$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} m(H) &= q^2 + 3 > q^2 - q + 4 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2r} \left(n^2 + 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \right)^2 - 2n \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r\delta}{r-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \right) \right\rfloor + \delta \end{aligned}$$

but H is not super- λ .

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bauer, C. Suffel, F. Boesch and R. Tindell, *Connectivity extremal problems and the design of reliable probabilistic networks*, in: The Theory and Applications of Graphs, Kalamazoo MI (Wiley, New York, 1981) 45–54.
- [2] G. Chartrand, *A graph-theoretic approach to a communications problem*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **14** (1966) 778–781.
doi:10.1137/0114065
- [3] A. Hellwig and L. Volkmann, *Maximally edge-connected and vertex-connected graphs and digraphs: A survey*, Discrete Math. **308** (2008) 3265–3296.
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2007.06.035
- [4] A.K. Kelmans *Asymptotic formulas for the probability of k -connectedness of random graphs*, Theory Probab. Appl. **17** (1972) 243–254.
doi:10.1137/1117029
- [5] P. Turán, *On an extremal problem in graph theory*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok **48** (1941) 436–452, in Hungarian.
- [6] L. Volkmann and Z.-M. Hong, *Sufficient conditions for maximally edge-connected and super-edge-connected graphs*, Commun. Comb. Optim. **2** (2017) 35–41.

Received 8 June 2017

Revised 19 October 2017

Accepted 23 October 2017