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#### Abstract

Several recent papers have investigated unichord-free graphs-the graphs in which no cycle has a unique chord. This paper proposes a concept of strongly unichord-free graph, defined by being unichord-free with no cycle of length 5 or more having exactly two chords. In spite of its overly simplistic look, this can be regarded as a natural strengthening of unichordfree graphs - not just the next step in a sequence of strengthenings - and it has a variety of characterizations. For instance, a 2-connected graph is strongly unichord-free if and only if it is complete bipartite or complete or "minimally 2 -connected" (defined as being 2 -connected such that deleting arbitrary edges always leaves non-2-connected subgraphs).
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## 1. Introduction

An edge $a b$ is a chord of a cycle $C$ if $a, b \in V(C)$ but $a b \notin E(C)$. A graph is unichord-free if no cycle has a unique chord. Such graphs were introduced (but not named) independently in $[9,14]$ and have since been studied (and named unichord-free) in additional papers, including [4, 5, 6, 7, 10]; in particular, [14] gives a constructive characterization. Examples of unichord-free graphs include the complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs, along with the Petersen and Heawood graphs (see [14]).

A chord $a b$ of a cycle $C$ has a crossing chord $x y$ if their four endpoints occur in the order $a, x, b, y$ around $C$, and the chords $a b$ and $x y$ are then said to cross each other. Define a chord of $C$ to be double-crossed if it is crossed
by at least two chords of $C$. For cycles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ with $E\left(C_{1}\right) \cap E\left(C_{2}\right)=$ $\{a b\}$, define the symmetric difference $C_{1} \oplus C_{2}$ to be the cycle that has edge set $E\left(C_{1}\right) \cup E\left(C_{2}\right)-E\left(C_{1}\right) \cap E\left(C_{2}\right)$; thus $a b$ is a chord of $C_{1} \oplus C_{2}$. For a graph $G$ with $S \subset V(G)$, let $G[S]$ denote the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$ and, for convenience when $C$ is a cycle of $G$ and $v \in V(C)$, let $G[C]=G[V(C)]$ and let $C-v$ denote the path obtained by deleting $v$ from $C$.

Lemma 1 [9]. A graph is unichord-free if and only if every chord of every cycle has a crossing chord.

Proof. First suppose $G$ is a unichord-free graph and $C$ is a minimum-length cycle of $G$ such that $C$ has a chord $a b$ with no crossing chord (arguing by contradiction). Let $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ be a second chord of $C$ (possibly with $a b$ and $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ having one vertex in common). But then the cycle formed by the edge $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ and the $a^{\prime}$-to- $b^{\prime}$ subpath of $C$ through $a$ and $b$ would also have no crossing chord for $a b$, contradicting the assumed minimality of $C$.

The converse is immediate since a unique chord of a cycle is necessarily uncrossed.

Define a $\geq k$-cycle to be a cycle of length at least $k$, and define a graph to be strongly unichord-free if it is unichord-free and no $\geq 5$-cycle has exactly two chords. Induced subgraphs of strongly unichord-free are also strongly unichord-free, and it is simple to check that complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs are strongly unichord-free. Figure 1 shows the Petersen graph-a unichord-free graph that is fundamental to the constructive approach of [14]-that is not strongly unichordfree, since the 8 -cycle with vertices in numerical order $1,2, \ldots, 8$ has exactly two chords (26 and 48).


Figure 1. A unichord-free graph that is not strongly unichord-free.
The following deceptively simple observation will be surprisingly useful.
Theorem 2. A unichord-free graph is strongly unichord-free if and only if every chord of every $\geq 5$-cycle is double-crossed.

Proof. First suppose $G$ is strongly unichord-free and $x y$ is a chord of a minimumlength $\geq 5$-cycle $C$ such that $x y$ is not double-crossed (arguing by contradiction). By Lemma $1, x y$ is crossed by some chord $u v$ of $C$. Since $C$ cannot have exactly two chords, $C$ has a third chord $w z$ that, since it does not cross $x y$, we can assume is a chord of the $x$-to- $y$ subpath $\pi$ of $C-v$. The assumed minimality of $C$ ensures that $w z$ is not a chord of either the $x$-to- $u$ or the $u$-to- $y$ subpath of $\pi$, and so $x y$ is a chord of the cycle $C^{\prime}$ formed by the edge $w z$ and the $w$-to- $z$ subpath of $C-u$, with $x y$ having no crossing chord in $C^{\prime}$ (contradicting Lemma 1).

Conversely, suppose $G$ is unichord-free and every chord of every $\geq 5$-cycle is double-crossed. Therefore, no $\geq 5$-cycle has exactly two chords.

Sections 2 and 3 will present additional characterizations of strongly unichordfree graphs that involve, respectively, chords of cycles and graph connectivity. In particular, Corollary 5 will somewhat justify the choice of the descriptor "strongly" with a statement that involves a parameter $k$ for which the $k=1$ case characterizes being unichord-free and the $k=1$ and $k=2$ cases together characterize being strongly unichord-free - as also do all of the $k \geq 1$ cases taken together. (This parallels how strongly chordal graphs, see [1], are characterized in [12] by a statement for which the $k=1$ case characterizes chordal graphs and the $k=1$ and $k=2$ cases together characterize strongly chordal graphs-as do all of the $k \geq 1$ cases holding-showing that chordal graphs and strongly chordal graphs are not simply the first two of a sequence of increasingly stronger graph classes.)

## 2. Characterizations Involving Chords of Cycles

Lemma 3. Suppose $G$ is a strongly unichord-free graph that contains 2 -connected subgraphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ that share at least one edge. If $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are both complete (or both complete bipartite), then so is $G\left[V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup V\left(G_{2}\right)\right]$.

Proof. Suppose $G$ is strongly unichord-free and contains 2-connected subgraphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ with $a b \in E\left(G_{1}\right) \cap E\left(G_{2}\right)$, and let $H=G\left[V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup V\left(G_{2}\right)\right]$. We can assume that $G_{1} \neq H \neq G_{2}$ to avoid a trivial conclusion.

First suppose $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are both complete. Suppose (say) $x_{1} \in V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash$ $V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $x_{2} \in V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash V\left(G_{1}\right)$. Thus $H$ has a 4 -cycle $C$ with vertices in the order $a, x_{1}, b, x_{2}$ around $C$ and with chord $a b$. By Lemma 1, $a b$ has the crossing chord $x_{1} x_{2}$ of $C$, and so $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are adjacent. By the arbitrariness of the choice of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, the graph $H$ is complete.

Now suppose $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are both complete bipartite. Suppose each $G_{i}$ has partite sets $S_{i}$ and $S_{i}^{\prime}$ where, without loss of generality, $a \in S_{1} \cap S_{2}$ and (so) $b \in S_{1}^{\prime} \cap S_{2}^{\prime}$. An edge $x_{1} x_{2}$ of $H$ cannot have $x_{1} \in S_{1} \backslash\{a\}$ and $x_{2} \in S_{2} \backslash\{a\} ;$
otherwise, the 2-connected subgraph $G_{1}$ would contain $z \in S_{1}^{\prime} \backslash\{b\}$ and the five edges $x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} b, b a, a z, z x_{1}$ would form a cycle of $H$ with exactly one or two chords ( $b x_{1}$, and maybe $x_{2} z$ ), contradicting that $G$ is strongly unichord-free. Thus and similarly, every edge of $H$ has one endpoint in $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ and the other in $S_{1}^{\prime} \cup S_{2}^{\prime}$, and so the subgraph $H$ is bipartite with partite sets $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ and $S_{1}^{\prime} \cup S_{2}^{\prime}$. Suppose (say) $c \in S_{1} \backslash S_{2}$ and $c^{\prime} \in S_{2}^{\prime} \backslash S_{1}^{\prime}$, so each $G_{i}$ being 2 -connected ensures there exist $d^{\prime} \in S_{1}^{\prime} \backslash\{b\}$ and $d \in S_{2} \backslash\{a\}$. Thus $H$ has a 6 -cycle $C$ that consists of the path $a, d^{\prime}, c, b$ in $G_{1}$ and the path $b, d, c^{\prime}, a$ in $G_{2}$, with $a b$ a chord of $C$. Since $G$ is strongly unichord-free and $c c^{\prime}$ and $d d^{\prime}$ are the only other possible chords $C$ can have in the bipartite subgraph $H$, cycle $C$ has all three chords $a b, c c^{\prime}$, and $d d^{\prime}$, so $H[C] \cong K_{3,3}$ and $c$ is adjacent to $c^{\prime}$. By the arbitrariness of the choice of $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ (and similarly starting from arbitrary $c \in S_{2} \backslash S_{1}$ and $c^{\prime} \in S_{1}^{\prime} \backslash S_{2}^{\prime}$ ), the graph $H$ is complete bipartite.

Theorem 4. The following are equivalent for every graph.
(1) The graph is strongly unichord-free.
(2) The vertex set of every cycle induces a chordless cycle, a complete bipartite subgraph, or a complete subgraph.
(3) Every $n$-cycle has exactly zero, $n(n-4) / 4$, or $n(n-3) / 2$ chords.

Proof. First, suppose $G$ satisfies condition (1), and so every induced subgraph of $G$ is strongly unichord-free, toward showing that (2) holds. Argue by induction on $n \geq 3$ that, for every $n$-cycle $C$ of $G$, the subgraph $H=G[C]$ has either $H \cong C_{n}$ or $H \cong K_{h, h}$ with $h=n / 2$ (using that all the vertices of a bipartite graph $H=H[C]$ must alternate around $C$ between the two partite sets) or $H \cong K_{n}$. Let $x \sim y$ and $x \nsim y$ denote, respectively, that vertices $x$ and $y$ are or are not adjacent.

If $n=3$, then $H$ must be $C_{3} \cong K_{3}$.
If $n=4$, then the unichord-free graph $H$ must be either $C_{4} \cong K_{2,2}$ or $K_{4}$.
Suppose $n \geq 5$ and the $n$-cycle $C$ is not chordless. Thus $C$ has a chord $a b$ that, combined with the two $a$-to- $b$ subpaths of $C$ forms two cycles $C^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime \prime}$ that have $C=C^{\prime} \oplus C^{\prime \prime}$. We can assume that $a b$ is chosen to make $C^{\prime}$ as small as possible, thus making $C^{\prime}$ chordless. Since the chord $a b$ must have a crossing chord (say) $a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}$ with $a^{\prime} \in V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ and $b^{\prime \prime} \in V\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and since $a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}$ must, in turn, have a crossing chord different from $a b$ by Theorem 2 (and since $C^{\prime}$ is chordless), one of the two following alternatives must hold:
(i) $a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}$ is crossed by a chord $c d$ of $C^{\prime \prime}$; or
(ii) $a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}$ is crossed by a chord $a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime}$ of $C$ where $a^{\prime \prime} \in V\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right) \backslash\left\{a, b, b^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ and $b^{\prime} \in V\left(C^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{a, a^{\prime}, b\right\}$.
Figure 2 illustrates the notation that will be used in these two alternatives.
First suppose alternative (i) holds, say with the vertices in the order $a, b, d, b^{\prime \prime}, c$ around $C^{\prime \prime}$ (possibly with $a=c$ or $b=d$ ). Say $C^{\prime \prime}=C_{1}^{\prime \prime} \oplus C_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ where $a b \in$


Figure 2. Illustrating alternatives (i) and (ii) in the proof of Theorem 4, with subpaths of the cycle $C$ shown as dotted lines.
$E\left(C_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \backslash E\left(C_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $c d \in E\left(C_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap E\left(C_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. We can assume that $c d$ is chosen to make $C_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ as small as possible, thus making $C_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ chordless.

Suppose for the moment that $C_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is an odd cycle, so $G\left[C^{\prime \prime}\right]$ is neither a chordless cycle nor complete bipartite, and so $G\left[C^{\prime \prime}\right]$ is complete by the induction hypothesis. Thus, $a b^{\prime \prime}$ and $b b^{\prime \prime}$ are edges that combine with the $a$-to- $a^{\prime}$-to- $b$ subpath of $C^{\prime}$ to form a cycle $\widehat{C}$ such that $G[\widehat{C}]$ is neither a chordless cycle nor complete bipartite, so $G[\widehat{C}]$ is complete by the induction hypothesis. Since the complete subgraphs $G\left[C^{\prime \prime}\right]$ and $G[\widehat{C}]$ both contain $a b$, the subgraph $G[C]$ is complete by Lemma 3.

By the preceding paragraph, we can assume that $C_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is a chordless even cycle. Since $G\left[C^{\prime} \oplus C_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ is neither a chordless cycle nor complete, $G\left[C^{\prime} \oplus C_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ is complete bipartite by the induction hypothesis. Since $C^{\prime \prime}$ is neither chordless nor complete, $G\left[C^{\prime \prime}\right]$ is complete bipartite by the induction hypothesis. Since the complete bipartite subgraphs $G\left[C^{\prime} \oplus C_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ and $G\left[C^{\prime \prime}\right]$ both contain edge $a b$, the subgraph $G[C]$ is complete bipartite by Lemma 3 .

Now suppose instead that alternative (ii) holds, say with the six vertices $a, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime}$ in that order around $C$, with each of the chords $a b, a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}$, and $a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime}$ crossing the other two. Partition $C$ into subpaths $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{6}$ as shown in Figure 2. Just as the chord $a b$ was chosen so that $C^{\prime}$ (the cycle formed by the edge $a b$ and the path $\left.\pi_{1} \cup \pi_{2} \cup \pi_{3}\right)$ is chordless, we can assume that chord $a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}$ was then chosen to form a chordless cycle with $\pi_{5} \cup \pi_{6} \cup \pi_{1}$, and that chord $a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime}$ was finally chosen to make a chordless cycle with $\pi_{3} \cup \pi_{4} \cup \pi_{5}$. Thus, $a \nsim b^{\prime}, a^{\prime} \nsim b, a \nsim b^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime} \nsim a^{\prime \prime}$, $b^{\prime} \nsim b^{\prime \prime}$, and $a^{\prime \prime} \nsim b$.

We can assume that at least one of the six paths $\pi_{i}$ has length greater than 1 (otherwise, $H \cong K_{3,3}$ is already complete bipartite). Indeed, we can assume that $\pi_{1}$ has length greater than 1 (since the other five paths $\pi_{i}$ behave the same as $\pi_{1}$ in the remainder of this proof), and so $a \nsim a^{\prime}$. Let $C_{1}$ be the cycle formed from the paths and edges in the set $\left\{\pi_{2}, \pi_{3}, a b, \pi_{6}, \pi_{5}, a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, noting that $G\left[C_{1}\right]$ is a proper subgraph of $G$ since $G\left[C_{1}\right]$ does not contain the internal vertices of $\pi_{1}$. Thus $a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime}$ is a chord of $C_{1}$, so $G\left[C_{1}\right]$ is neither a chordless cycle nor complete
(since, for instance, $a \nsim a^{\prime}$ ), and so $G\left[C_{1}\right]$ is complete bipartite by the induction hypothesis.

If $b \sim b^{\prime}$, let $C_{2}$ be formed from $\left\{\pi_{2}, a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime}, \pi_{6}, a b, \pi_{4}, a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, and if $b \nsim b^{\prime}$, let $C_{2}$ be formed from $\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime}, \pi_{5}, \pi_{4}, a b\right\}$, noting that $G\left[C_{2}\right]$ is a proper subgraph of $G$ either way (since $G\left[C_{2}\right]$ does not contain the internal vertices of $\pi_{1}$ when $b \sim b^{\prime}$ or of $\pi_{3}$ when $\left.b \nsim b^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $b b^{\prime}$ (when $b \sim b^{\prime}$ ) or $a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}\left(\right.$ when $\left.b \nsim b^{\prime}\right)$ is a chord of $C_{2}$, so $G\left[C_{2}\right]$ is neither a chordless cycle nor complete, and so $G\left[C_{2}\right]$ is complete bipartite by the induction hypothesis.

Since the complete bipartite subgraphs $G\left[C_{1}\right]$ and $G\left[C_{2}\right]$ both contain edge $a b$, the subgraph $G[C]$ is complete bipartite by Lemma 3. Therefore, $G$ satisfies condition (2).

Next, suppose $G$ satisfies (2), $C$ is an $n$-cycle of $G$, and $H=G[C]$. If $H \cong C_{n}$, then $C$ has zero chords; otherwise, by (2), H is complete bipartite or complete. If $H$ is complete bipartite, then its vertices alternate around $C$ between the two partite sets, so $H \cong K_{h, h}$ with $h=n / 2$, and so $C$ has exactly $n(n-4) / 4$ chords. If $H \cong K_{n}$, then $C$ has exactly $n(n-3) / 2$ chords. Therefore, $G$ satisfies (3).

Finally, suppose $G$ satisfies (3). Since $n \geq 4$ implies $n(n-4) / 4 \neq 1$ and $n(n-3) / 2 \neq 1$, no $\geq 4$-cycle can have a unique chord, and so $G$ is unichord-free. Since $n \geq 5$ implies $n(n-4) / 4 \neq 2$ and $n(n-3) / 2 \neq 2$, no $\geq 5$-cycle can have exactly two chords. Therefore $G$ satisfies (1).

Corollary 5. A graph is strongly unichord-free if and only if, for all $k \geq 1$, every chord of every $\geq(k+3)$-cycle is crossed by at least $k$ chords when $k=1$ or $k$ is even, and is crossed by at least $k-1$ chords when $k \geq 3$ is odd.

Proof. First suppose $G$ is strongly unichord-free, $C$ is an $n$-cycle with a chord $a b$ (so $n \geq 4$ ), and $H=G[C]$. Let $N_{a b}^{\times}$denote the number of chords of $C$ that cross $a b$. Theorem 4 ensures that $H$ is complete bipartite or complete, and Lemma 1 ensures $N_{a b}^{\times} \geq 1$ when $k=1$. Hence, we can assume $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq k+3 \geq 5$.

If $H$ is complete bipartite, then $n$ is even and $H \cong K_{h, h}$ with $h=n / 2$, so $N_{a b}^{\times} \geq n-4$ (with equality when $a$ and $b$ are distance- 3 apart along $C$ ). If $H$ is complete, then $H \cong K_{n}$, so $N_{a b}^{\times} \geq n-3$ (with equality when $a$ and $b$ are distance-2 apart along $C$ ).

Therefore, if $k \geq 2$ is even, then $n \geq k+3$ ensures that either $H$ is complete bipartite, $n \geq k+4$ is even, and $N_{a b}^{\times} \geq(k+4)-4$ or $H$ is complete and $N_{a b}^{\times} \geq(k+3)-3$; thus, either way, $N_{a b}^{\times} \geq k$. Similarly, if $k \geq 3$ is odd, then $n \geq k+3$ ensures that either $H$ is complete bipartite, $n \geq k+3$ is even, and $N_{a b}^{\times} \geq(k+3)-4$ or $H$ is complete and $N_{a b}^{\times} \geq(k+3)-3$; thus, either way, $N_{a b}^{\times} \geq k-1$.

The converse follows from Lemma 1 (by taking $k=1$ in the statement of the corollary) and from Theorem 2 (by taking $k=2$ ).

## 3. Characterizations Involving Connectivity

A graph is minimally $k$-connected if it is $k$-connected and, for every edge $a b$, deleting $a b$ leaves a graph that is not $k$-connected; see [8] for details.

Corollary 6. A graph is strongly unichord-free if and only if the vertex set of every cycle induces a minimally $k$-connected subgraph for some $k \geq 2$.

Proof. First suppose $G$ is a strongly unichord-free graph with an $n$-cycle $C$, and let $H=G[C]$. By Theorem 4, either $H$ is a chordless cycle (so $H$ is minimally 2-connected) or $H$ is complete bipartite with its vertices alternating around $C$ between the two partite sets (so $H \cong K_{h, h}$ with $h=n / 2$ and $H$ is minimally ( $n / 2$ )-connected) or $H$ is complete (so $H \cong K_{n}$ with $n \geq 3$ and $H$ is minimally ( $n-1$ )-connected).

Conversely, suppose $G$ is not strongly unichord-free. Thus, $G$ contains a subgraph $H=G[C]$ such that either (i) $C$ is a $\geq 4$-cycle with a unique chord or (ii) $C$ is a $\geq 5$-cycle with exactly two chords. In either case, $H$ contains a degree-2 vertex, so $H$ is 2 -connected but not 3 -connected, and deleting a chord of $C$ leaves a 2 -connected subgraph of $H$. Therefore, $H$ is not minimally $k$-connected for any $k \geq 2$.

Minimally 2 -connected graphs were introduced in [2, 13]. They appeared independently in [3], characterized as the 2 -connected chordless graphs-defined by no cycle having a chord-along with a $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} m\right)$ recognition algorithm (on $n$ vertices and $m$ edges); also see [5]. Since being 2 -connected, complete bipartite, and complete can each be recognized in linear time, Theorem 7 will guarantee a $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} m\right)$ recognition algorithm for strongly unichord-free graphs (in contrast to a $\mathcal{O}(n m)$ recognition algorithm for unichord-free graphs in [14]).

Theorem 7. A 2-connected graph is strongly unichord-free if and only if the graph is either minimally 2-connected or complete bipartite or complete.

Proof. First suppose $G$ is a 2-connected, strongly unichord-free graph that is not minimally 2-connected. Thus $G$ has an edge $a b$ that can be deleted without losing 2 -connectedness, so $a b$ is a chord of some cycle $C$ and so $G[C]$ is not a chordless cycle. Thus, $G[C]$ is either complete or complete bipartite by Theorem 4. For every path $\pi$, let $\pi^{\circ}$ denote the set of internal vertices of $\pi$.

Suppose for the moment that $G[C]$ is complete, and assume that $H$ is an inclusion-maximal complete subgraph of $G$ that contains $C$ where $H \neq G$ (arguing by contradiction). Thus, the 2 -connected, non-complete graph $G$ has a minimum-length $x$-to- $y$ path $\pi$ for some $x, y \in V(H)$ where $\pi^{\circ} \neq \emptyset=\pi^{\circ} \cap V(H)$ and the cycle formed from $\pi$ and the edge $x y$ is chordless. Pick any triangle $x y z$ of $H$. Since the cycle $C^{\prime}$ formed from $\pi$ and the edges $x z$ and $y z$ cannot
have the unique chord $x y$, there must be a vertex in $\pi^{\circ}$ that is adjacent to $z$, so the assumed minimality of $\pi$ ensures that $C^{\prime}$ is a 4-cycle, and so $G\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cong K_{4}$ is complete. Since the complete subgraphs $H$ and $G\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ both contain $x y$, the subgraph $G\left[V(H) \cup V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right]$ is complete by Lemma 3 (contradicting the assumed maximality of $H$ ).

By the preceding paragraph, we can assume that $G[C]$ is complete bipartite. Assume $H$ is an inclusion-maximal complete bipartite subgraph of $G$ that contains $C$, with $V(H)$ partitioned into bipartite sets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. Since $a b$ is a chord of a cycle of $H$, the complete bipartite graph $H \not \not K_{2, n}$ for any $n$, and so $\left|S_{1}\right| \geq 3$ and $\left|S_{2}\right| \geq 3$. Assume $H \neq G$ (arguing by contradiction). Thus, the 2 -connected graph $G$ has a minimum-length $x$-to- $y$ path $\pi$ for some $x, y \in V(H)$ where $\pi^{\circ} \neq$ $\emptyset=\pi^{\circ} \cap V(H)$ and $x y$ is the only possible chord that the path $\pi$ can have.

Suppose for the moment that $x \in S_{1}$ and $y \in S_{2}$; thus $x$ and $y$ are adjacent and there are vertices $x^{\prime} \in V(H) \cap S_{1} \backslash\{x\}$ and $y^{\prime} \in V(H) \cap S_{2} \backslash\{y\}$ such that $G\left[\left\{x, x^{\prime}, y, y^{\prime}\right\}\right] \cong K_{2,2}$. Thus $G$ contains a $\geq 5$-cycle $C^{\prime}$ made from $\pi$ and the path $x, y^{\prime}, x^{\prime}, y$. Since $C^{\prime}$ has the chord $x y$ and $G\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ is not complete, $G\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ is complete bipartite by Theorem 4. Since the complete bipartite subgraphs $H$ and $G\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ both contain $x y$, the subgraph $G\left[V(H) \cup V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right]$ is complete bipartite by Lemma 3 (contradicting the assumed maximality of $H$ ).

By the preceding paragraph, we can assume that $x$ and $y$ are in the same partite set; say $x, y \in S_{1}$ (and so $x$ and $y$ are not adjacent) and, since $\left|S_{1}\right| \geq 3$ and $\left.\left|S_{2}\right| \geq 3\right)$ there are vertices $z \in S_{1} \subset V(H)$ and $u, v \in S_{2} \subset V(H)$ such that $G[\{u, v, x, y, z\}] \cong K_{2,3}$. Let $C^{\prime}$ be the $\geq 6$-cycle made from $\pi$ and the path $x, u, z, v, y$ of $H$, so $C^{\prime}$ has exactly two chords between vertices of $H$ (namely, $v x$ and $u y$ ) together with possible chords between vertices in $\pi^{\circ}$ and vertices in $\{u, v, z\}$. Since $C^{\prime}$ has the chord $v x$ and $G\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ is not complete, $G\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ is complete bipartite by Theorem 4. Since the complete bipartite subgraphs $H$ and $G\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ both contain $v x$, Lemma 3 ensures that $G\left[V(H) \cup V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right]$ is complete bipartite (contradicting the assumed maximality of $H$ ).

The converse follows from every cycle of each minimally 2-connected graph being chordless implying that $G$ is strongly unichord-free, together with every complete bipartite graph and every complete graph being strongly unichord-free.

Corollary 8. A 2-connected graph with minimum degree at least 3 is strongly unichord-free if and only if the graph is either complete bipartite or complete.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 7 since every minimally 2-connected graph has a vertex of degree 2 ; see $[2,3,13]$.

From a different point of view, [11] characterizes the graphs in which some chord of every $\geq 5$-cycle is double-crossed. The final section of [11] introducesadmittedly without any real motivation-those graphs in which every chord of
every $\geq 5$-cycle is double-crossed, as in Theorem 2. Some of that discussion in [11] is translated into our current terminology in the following corollary (which would also follow using Theorem 7).
Corollary 9 [11]. The following are equivalent for every unichord-free graph $G$ that is 2 -connected with no induced $\geq 5$-cycle.
(1) $G$ is strongly unichord-free.
(2) $G$ is complete bipartite or complete.
(3) Every $\geq 5$-cycle $C$ of $G$ has $G[C]$ nonplanar.
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