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#### Abstract

Given a graph $H$, the Turán function $\operatorname{ex}(n, H)$ is the maximum number of edges in a graph on $n$ vertices not containing $H$ as a subgraph. For two graphs $G$ and $H$, an $H$-decomposition of $G$ is a partition of the edge set of $G$ such that each part is either a single edge or forms a graph isomorphic to $H$. Let $\phi(n, H)$ be the smallest number $\phi$ such that any graph $G$ of order $n$ admits an $H$-decomposition with at most $\phi$ parts. Pikhurko and Sousa conjectured that $\phi(n, H)=\operatorname{ex}(n, H)$ for $\chi(H) \geq 3$ and all sufficiently large $n$. Their conjecture has been verified by Ozkahya and Person for all edge-critical graphs $H$. In this article, we consider the gem graphs gem ${ }_{4}$ and gem ${ }_{5}$. The graph gem ${ }_{4}$ consists of the path $P_{4}$ with four vertices $a, b, c, d$ and edges $a b, b c, c d$ plus a universal vertex $u$ adjacent to $a, b, c, d$, and the graph gem $_{5}$ is similarly defined with the path $P_{5}$ on five vertices. We determine


the Turán functions ex $\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)$ and ex $\left(n\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)$, and verify the conjecture of Pikhurko and Sousa when $H$ is the graph gem $_{4}$ and gem ${ }_{5}$.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a graph $H$, the Turán function $\operatorname{ex}(n, H)$ is the maximum number of edges in a graph on $n$ vertices, and not containing a copy of $H$ as a subgraph. The important result of Turán [13] states that when $H=K_{r}$ is the complete graph on $r \geq 3$ vertices, we have $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{r}\right)=t_{r-1}(n)$. Here $t_{r-1}(n)$ denotes the number of edges in the Turán graph of order $n, T_{r-1}(n)$, which is the unique complete $(r-1)$-partite graph on $n$ vertices where every partition class has either $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{r-1}\right\rfloor$ or $\left\lceil\frac{n}{r-1}\right\rceil$ vertices. Moreover, $T_{r-1}(n)$ is the unique extremal graph on $n$ vertices that has the maximum number of edges not containing $K_{r}$ as a subgraph. For general graphs $H$, the Turán function $\operatorname{ex}(n, H)$ has been well studied by numerous researchers, which led to many important results and open problems in extremal graph theory. For example, when $H=C_{2 k}$ is the even cycle of length $2 k$, where $k \geq 2$, the exact determination of the function $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, C_{2 k}\right)$ is still a wide open problem. It has been conjectured that $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, C_{2 k}\right)=\left(c_{k}+o(1)\right) n^{1+1 / k}$ for some constant $c_{k}>0$, and this conjecture is only known to be true for $k=2,3,5$. See for example [8] and the references therein. When $H=P_{k}$ is the path of order $k \geq 3$, Faudree and Schelp [5] have determined the function ex $\left(n, P_{k}\right)$ exactly. In order to obtain ex $\left(n, P_{k}\right)$, we can take the graph on $n$ vertices containing as many disjoint copies of $K_{k-1}$ as possible, and a smaller complete graph on the remaining vertices. For odd $k$, this graph is the unique $P_{k}$-free extremal graph attaining ex $\left(n, P_{k}\right)$, and for even $k$ and certain values of $n$, there are other such extremal graphs. Here we state the result of Faudree and Schelp as follows, which will be useful in this paper.

Theorem 1.1 [5]. Let $k \geq 3$ and $n=a(k-1)+b$, where $a \geq 0$ and $0 \leq b<k-1$. Then $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, P_{k}\right)=a\binom{k-1}{2}+\binom{b}{2}$. Moreover, a $P_{k}$-free graph on $n$ vertices attaining $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, P_{k}\right)$ is a $K_{k-1} \dot{\cup} K_{b}$, the disjoint union of a copies of $K_{k-1}$ and one copy of $K_{b}$.

For two graphs $G$ and $H$, an $H$-decomposition of $G$ is a partition of the edge set of $G$ such that each part is either a single edge or forms a graph isomorphic to $H$. Let $\phi(G, H)$ be the smallest possible number of parts in an $H$-decomposition of $G$. It is easy to see that, for non-empty $H$, we have $\phi(G, H)=e(G)-$
$p_{H}(G)(e(H)-1)$, where $p_{H}(G)$ is the maximum number of pairwise edge-disjoint copies of $H$ that can be packed into $G$ and $e(G)$ denotes the number of edges in $G$. Dor and Tarsi [3] showed that if $H$ has a component with at least three edges, then the problem of checking whether a graph $G$ admits a partition into $H$ subgraphs is NP-complete. Thus, it is NP-hard to compute the function $\phi(G, H)$ for such $H$. Here we study the function

$$
\phi(n, H)=\max \{\phi(G, H) \mid v(G)=n\},
$$

which is the smallest number $\phi$ such that any graph $G$ of order $n$ admits an $H$-decomposition with at most $\phi$ parts.

This function was first studied, in 1966, by Erdős, Goodman and Pósa [4], who were motivated by the problem of representing graphs by set intersections. They proved that $\phi\left(n, K_{3}\right)=t_{2}(n)$. A decade later, this result was extended by Bollobás [2], who proved that $\phi\left(n, K_{r}\right)=t_{r-1}(n)$, for all $n \geq r \geq 3$.

General graphs $H$ were only considered recently by Pikhurko and Sousa [9]. They proved the following result.

Theorem 1.2 (See Theorem 1.1 from [9]). Let H be any fixed graph of chromatic number $r \geq 3$. Then,

$$
\phi(n, H)=\operatorname{ex}(n, H)+o\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

Pikhurko and Sousa also made the following conjecture.
Conjecture 1.3 [9]. For any graph $H$ of chromatic number $r \geq 3$, there exists $n_{0}=n_{0}(H)$ such that $\phi(n, H)=\operatorname{ex}(n, H)$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$.

A graph $H$ is edge-critical if there exists an edge $e \in E(H)$ such that $\chi(H)>$ $\chi(H-e)$, where $\chi(H)$ denotes the chromatic number of $H$. For $r \geq 4$ a cliqueextension of order $r$ is a connected graph that consists of a $K_{r-1}$ plus another vertex, say $v$, adjacent to at most $r-2$ vertices of $K_{r-1}$. Conjecture 1.3 has been verified by Sousa for some edge-critical graphs, namely, clique-extensions of order $r \geq 4(n \geq r)$ [11] and the cycles of length $5(n \geq 6)$ and $7(n \geq 10)$ [10, 12]. Later, Özkahya and Person [7] verified the conjecture for all edge-critical graphs with chromatic number $r \geq 3$. Their result is the following.

Theorem 1.4 (See Theorem 3 from [7]). For any edge-critical graph $H$ with chromatic number $r \geq 3$, there exists $n_{0}=n_{0}(H)$ such that $\phi(n, H)=\operatorname{ex}(n, H)$, for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Moreover, the only graph attaining $\operatorname{ex}(n, H)$ is the Turán graph $T_{r-1}(n)$.

Recently, as an extension of Özkahya and Person's work, Allen, Böttcher, and Person [1] improved the error term obtained by Pikhurko and Sousa in Theorem 1.2. In fact, they proved that the error term $o\left(n^{2}\right)$ can be replaced by $O\left(n^{2-\alpha}\right)$
for some $\alpha>0$. Furthermore, they also showed that this error term has the correct order of magnitude. Their result is indeed an extension of Theorem 1.4 since the error term $O\left(n^{2-\alpha}\right)$ that they obtained vanishes for every edge-critical graph $H$.

Conjecture 1.3 has also been verified by Liu and Sousa [6] for the $k$-fan graph $F_{k}$, which is the graph on $2 k+1$ vertices consisting of $k$ triangles intersecting in exactly one common vertex. Observe that $\chi\left(F_{k}\right)=3$ and for $k \geq 2$ the graph $F_{k}$ is not edge-critical. Thus, the result of Liu and Sousa is not a particular case of Theorem 1.4 by Özkahya and Person.

In this article, we consider the gem graphs gem $_{4}$ and gem ${ }_{5}$, defined as follows. For the graph gem $_{4}$, we take the path $P_{4}$ with vertices $a, b, c, d$ and edges $a b, b c, c d$ and add a universal vertex $u$ adjacent to $a, b, c, d$. Similarly for the graph gem ${ }_{5}$, we take the path $P_{5}$ with vertices $a, b, c, d, e$ and edges $a b, b c, c d, d e$ and add a universal vertex $u$ adjacent to $a, b, c, d, e$. See Figure 1 below. For convenience, we write $a b c d+u$ and $a b c d e+u$ for these two graphs.


Figure 1. The graphs gem ${ }_{4}$ and gem $_{5}$.
In Section 2, we will determine the Turán functions ex $\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)$ for $n \geq 6$, and ex $\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)$ for $n \geq 8$. Then, in Section 3, we will prove Pikhurko and Sousa conjecture for these two gem graphs. That is, we will show that $\phi\left(n, \mathrm{gem}_{4}\right)=$ $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)$ for $n \geq 6$, and $\phi\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)=\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)$ for $n \geq 8$. Note that $\chi\left(\right.$ gem $\left._{4}\right)=\chi\left(\right.$ gem $\left._{5}\right)=3$, and that gem ${ }_{4}$ and gem ${ }_{5}$ are not edge-critical graphs. Thus, our results are again not implied by Theorem 1.4.

Our notations throughout the paper are fairly standard. For a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$, the neighbourhood of $v$, denoted by $N(v)$, is the set of vertices in $G$ that are adjacent to $v$. The degree of $v$ is $\operatorname{deg}(v)=|N(v)|$, and the minimum degree and maximum degree of $G$ are $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively. For a set $U \subset V(G)$, let $\operatorname{deg}(v, U)$ denote the number of vertices in $U$ that are adjacent to $v$, and let $G[U]$ denote the subgraph of $G$ induced by $U$.

## 2. Turán Function for the Gem Graphs

In this section, we will determine the Turán functions ex $\left(n\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right)$ for $n \geq 6$, and $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)$ for $n \geq 8$. Furthermore, we will determine the extremal graphs in
each case. That is, we will determine all gem $_{4}$-free graphs on $n \geq 6$ vertices with ex $\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)$ edges, and all gem $_{5}$-free graphs on $n \geq 8$ vertices with ex $\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)$ edges.

### 2.1. Turán function for gem $_{4}$

We will now determine the function ex $\left(n\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right)$. In order to state our result, we first define the family of graphs $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$, which will consist of all the extremal graphs. Let $n \geq 6$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$ be the family of graphs on $n$ vertices as follows. For $n \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4)$, let $G_{n}^{0}$ be the graph obtained by taking the Turán graph $T_{2}(n)$ and embedding a maximum matching into a class of $T_{2}(n)$. For $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, let $G_{n}^{11}$ and $G_{n}^{12}$ be the graphs obtained by embedding a maximum matching into the smaller class and the larger class of $T_{2}(n)$, respectively. For $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, let $G_{n}^{21}$ and $G_{n}^{22}$ be the graphs obtained by embedding a maximum matching into a class of $T_{2}(n)$, and into the larger class of the complete bipartite graph $K_{n / 2-1, n / 2+1}$, respectively. For $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, let $G_{n}^{3}$ be the graph obtained by embedding a maximum matching into the larger class of $T_{2}(n)$. Let the vertex classes of $G_{n}^{0}$ be $A_{n}^{0}$ and $B_{n}^{0}$, with similar notations for the other graphs. Let $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}=\left\{G_{n}^{0}\right\}$, $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}=\left\{G_{n}^{11}, G_{n}^{12}\right\}, \mathcal{F}_{n, 4}=\left\{G_{n}^{21}, G_{n}^{22}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}=\left\{G_{n}^{3}\right\}$ for $n \equiv 0,1,2,3(\bmod 4)$, respectively. Figure 2 below shows the graphs of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$. Note that in $G_{n}^{12}$, we have an unmatched vertex in the class $B_{n}^{12}$, and similarly for $G_{n}^{21}$ with the class $B_{n}^{21}$.


Figure 2. The graphs of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$.

It is easy to see that every graph of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$ is gem ${ }_{4}$-free. Let $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$, and suppose that there exists a copy of $\operatorname{gem}_{4}$ in $G$, say $a b c d+u$. We may consider in turn whether $u$ is in the independent class of $G$, or in the class containing the maximum matching. In each case, we can easily verify that no four neighbours of $u$ form a path $P_{4}$ in $G$, which is a contradiction. Also, for any graph of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$, by adding an edge, we obtain a graph that contains a copy of gem ${ }_{4}$. Indeed, let $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$. Since $n \geq 6$, if an edge $c u$ is added to the independent class of $G$, then we may find an edge $a b$ and another vertex $d$ in the other class. If an edge $b u$ is added to the class of $G$ containing the maximum matching, then we may assume that $d u$ is an edge in the matching, and choose vertices $a, c$ in the other class. In both cases, we have $a b c d+u$ is a copy of gem $_{4}$.

We can easily check that for $n \geq 6$, all graphs of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$ have the same number of edges. Thus for $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$, we let $e_{n}$ denote the number of edges in the graph $G$. Then, we can easily check that the number of edges of $G$ is

$$
e(G)=e_{n}=\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor+ \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n \equiv 0,1,2(\bmod 4)  \tag{1}\\ 1 & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, for $n \geq 7, G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$ and $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1,4}$, we have

$$
e(G)-e\left(G^{\prime}\right)=e_{n}-e_{n-1}=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+ \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n \equiv 0,1,2(\bmod 4)  \tag{2}\\ 1 & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

We have the following result for the Turán function $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)$.
Theorem 2.1. For $n \geq 6$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)=e_{n}=\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor+ \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n \equiv 0,1,2(\bmod 4) \\ 1 & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, the only gem $_{4}$-free graphs with $n$ vertices and $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)$ edges are the members of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$.

We will prove Theorem 2.1 by induction on $n$. We first prove the base case as follows.

Lemma 2.2. $\operatorname{ex}\left(6\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right)=e_{6}=10$ and the only gem $_{4}$-free graphs with six vertices and 10 edges are $G_{6}^{21}$ and $G_{6}^{22}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that, for any graph $G$ with six vertices and $e_{6}=10$ edges, either $G$ contains a copy of the graph gem $_{4}$, or $G \in \mathcal{F}_{6,4}=\left\{G_{6}^{21}, G_{6}^{22}\right\}$. Then for any graph $G^{\prime}$ with six vertices and $e\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq 11$, we can take a spanning subgraph $G \subset G^{\prime}$ with $e(G)=e_{6}=10$, so that either $G$ contains a copy of gem ${ }_{4}$, or $G \in \mathcal{F}_{6,4}$. In either case, $G^{\prime}$ contains a copy of gem ${ }_{4}$ and we are done.

Let $G$ be a graph with six vertices and $e_{6}=10$ edges. Note that $G$ has either a vertex of degree 5 , or two vertices of degree 4. Otherwise, we have $e(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}(4+5 \cdot 3)\right\rfloor=9<10=e_{6}$, a contradiction.

Suppose first that $G$ has a vertex $u$ with $\operatorname{deg}(u)=5$. By Theorem 1.1, we have $\operatorname{ex}\left(5, P_{4}\right)=\binom{3}{2}+\binom{2}{2}=4$. We have $e(G-u)=10-5=5>4=\operatorname{ex}\left(5, P_{4}\right)$, and thus $G-u$ contains a copy of the path $P_{4}$, which together with $u$, form a copy of gem $_{4}$ in $G$.

Now, suppose that $G$ has two vertices of degree 4 , say $u$ and $v$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, $x_{4}$ be the remaining four vertices, and assume that $G$ does not contain a copy of gem $_{4}$. Suppose first that $u v \in E(G)$. If $u$ and $v$ have three common neighbours, say $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, then we must have $x_{i} x_{4} \in E(G)$ for $i=1,2,3$, so that $G=G_{6}^{21}$. If $u$ and $v$ have two common neighbours, say $x_{1}, x_{2}$, then let $u x_{3}, v x_{4} \in E(G)$ and $u x_{4}, v x_{3} \notin E(G)$. We see that only the edges $x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{4}$ can be added to avoid creating a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{4}$, so that $G$ can only have at most nine edges, a contradiction. Now, suppose that $u v \notin E(G)$. Then $G$ contains all edges between $\{u, v\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$. If $G$ does not contain a copy of gem ${ }_{4}$, then the remaining two edges must be independent within $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$, so that $G=G_{6}^{22}$.

We conclude that either $G$ contains a copy of gem $_{4}$, or $G \in \mathcal{F}_{6,4}$, as required.
We are now able to prove Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $n \geq 6$. The lower bound $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right) \geq e_{n}$ follows instantly by considering any graph of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$. We prove the upper bound $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right) \leq e_{n}$ by induction on $n$. Lemma 2.2 proves the result for $n=6$. Now suppose that $n \geq 7$, and the theorem holds for $n-1$. We will prove that if $G$ is a graph on $n$ vertices and $e(G)=e_{n}$, then either $G$ contains a copy of gem ${ }_{4}$, or $G$ is one of the graphs of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$. This clearly implies the upper bound ex $\left(n\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right) \leq e_{n}$, and thus the theorem for $n$. Indeed, if we have a graph $G^{\prime}$ with $n$ vertices and $e\left(G^{\prime}\right)>e_{n}$, then by taking a spanning subgraph $G \subset G^{\prime}$ with $e(G)=e_{n}$, we see that either $G$ contains a copy of gem $_{4}$, or $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$. In either case, $G^{\prime}$ contains a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{4}$.

First, suppose that $\delta(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ and let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex of minimum degree. Then by (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(G-v)=e(G)-\operatorname{deg}(v) \geq e_{n}-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \geq e_{n-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $e(G-v)>e_{n-1}$, then by induction, $G-v$, and thus $G$, contains a copy of gem ${ }_{4}$. Next, $e(G-v)=e_{n-1}$ holds if and only if $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $e_{n}-e_{n-1}=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. The latter condition holds for $n \not \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. By induction, either $G-v$, and thus $G$, contains a copy of gem $_{4}$ and we are done, or $G-v \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1,4}$, and we must consider the following cases.

Case 1. $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. We have $G-v=G_{n-1}^{3}$ with classes $A_{n-1}^{3}$ and $B_{n-1}^{3}$, where $\left|A_{n-1}^{3}\right|=\frac{n}{2}-1$ and $\left|B_{n-1}^{3}\right|=\frac{n}{2}$, and $B_{n-1}^{3}$ containing a perfect matching. Since $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\frac{n}{2}$, if $N(v)=B_{n-1}^{3}$, then $G=G_{n}^{0}$. Otherwise, if $v$ has neighbours $c \in A_{n-1}^{3}$ and $u \in B_{n-1}^{3}$, then $a b c v+u$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{4}$ in $G$, where $a \in A_{n-1}^{3} \backslash\{c\}$ and $b \in B_{n-1}^{3}$ is the vertex adjacent to $u$.

Case 2. $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. We have $G-v=G_{n-1}^{0}$ with classes $A_{n-1}^{0}$ and $B_{n-1}^{0}$, where $\left|A_{n-1}^{0}\right|=\left|B_{n-1}^{0}\right|=\frac{n-1}{2}$, with $B_{n-1}^{0}$ containing a perfect matching. Since $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\frac{n-1}{2}$, it follows that if $N(v)=B_{n-1}^{0}$ then $G=G_{n}^{11}$, and if $N(v)=A_{n-1}^{0}$ then $G=G_{n}^{12}$. Otherwise, $v$ has a neighbour in both $A_{n-1}^{0}$ and $B_{n-1}^{0}$, so that as in Case $1, G$ contains a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{4}$.

Case 3. $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. We have $G-v \in\left\{G_{n-1}^{11}, G_{n-1}^{12}\right\}$. Suppose first that $G-v=G_{n-1}^{11}$. Then the classes of $G-v$ are $A_{n-1}^{11}$ and $B_{n-1}^{11}$, where $\left|A_{n-1}^{11}\right|=\frac{n}{2}-1$ and $\left|B_{n-1}^{11}\right|=\frac{n}{2}$, with $A_{n-1}^{11}$ containing a perfect matching. Since $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\frac{n}{2}$, it follows that if $N(v)=B_{n-1}^{11}$, then $G=G_{n}^{21}$. Otherwise, $v$ has a neighbour in both $A_{n-1}^{11}$ and $B_{n-1}^{11}$, and $G$ contains a copy of gem $_{4}$ as in Case 1. Now suppose that $G-v=G_{n-1}^{12}$. Then the classes are $A_{n-1}^{12}$ and $B_{n-1}^{12}$, where $\left|A_{n-1}^{12}\right|=\frac{n}{2}-1$ and $\left|B_{n-1}^{12}\right|=\frac{n}{2}$, with $B_{n-1}^{12}$ containing a maximum matching with one unmatched vertex, say $w$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\frac{n}{2}$, it follows that if $N(v)=B_{n-1}^{12}$ then again $G=G_{n}^{21}$, and if $N(v)=A_{n-1}^{12} \cup\{w\}$ then $G=G_{n}^{22}$. Otherwise, $v$ has a neighbour in both $A_{n-1}^{12}$ and $B_{n-1}^{12} \backslash\{w\}$, and again as in Case $1, G$ contains a copy of gem ${ }_{4}$.

Next, suppose that $\delta(G) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$. In view of (1), if $n$ is even, then we have $e(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)>e_{n}$. If $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then $e(G) \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)\right\rceil=$ $\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor+1>e_{n}$. We have a contradiction in these cases. Now let $n \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 4)$. We have $e(G) \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)\right\rceil=\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor+1=e_{n}$. We must have equality, and thus $G$ is a $\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$-regular graph. Let $v \in V(G)$, so that by (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(G-v)=e(G)-\operatorname{deg}(v)=e_{n}-\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)=e_{n-1} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By induction, either $G-v$, and thus $G$, contains a copy of gem $_{4}$, or $G-v \in$ $\mathcal{F}_{n-1,4}$. If the latter holds, then $G-v \in\left\{G_{n-1}^{21}, G_{n-1}^{22}\right\}$. Suppose first that $G-v=G_{n-1}^{21}$. The classes are $A_{n-1}^{21}$ and $B_{n-1}^{21}$, where $\left|A_{n-1}^{21}\right|=\left|B_{n-1}^{21}\right|=\frac{n-1}{2}$, with $B_{n-1}^{21}$ containing a maximum matching with one unmatched vertex, say $w$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\frac{n-1}{2}+1$, in order for $G$ to be $\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$-regular, we must have $N(v)=A_{n-1}^{21} \cup\{w\}$. This gives $G=G_{n}^{3}$. Now, suppose that $G-v=G_{n-1}^{22}$. The classes are $A_{n-1}^{22}$ and $B_{n-1}^{22}$, where $\left|A_{n-1}^{22}\right|=\frac{n-1}{2}-1$ and $\left|B_{n-1}^{22}\right|=\frac{n-1}{2}+1$, with $B_{n-1}^{22}$ containing a perfect matching. Again since $G$ is $\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$-regular, we must have $N(v)=B_{n-1}^{22}$, and this also implies $G=G_{n}^{3}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

### 2.2. Turán function for gem $_{5}$

We will next determine the function ex $\left(n\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)$. Analogously, we first define the family of graphs $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$, which will consist of all the extremal graphs. Let $n \geq 8$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$ be the family of graphs on $n$ vertices as follows. For $n \geq 11$, we let $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}=\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$. For $n=8,9,10$, the family $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$ will consist of all graphs of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$ and some additional graphs. Let $G_{n}^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained by adding one edge into each class of $T_{2}(n)$. Also for $n=8$, let $G_{8}^{\prime \prime}$ be the graph obtained by embedding two vertex-disjoint triangles into the larger class of the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,6}$. For $n=9$, let $G_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ be the graph obtained by taking $G_{8}^{\prime}$ and joining another vertex to the four unmatched vertices within the classes of $G_{8}^{\prime}$. As before, let $A_{8}^{\prime}$ and $B_{8}^{\prime}$ be the classes of $G_{8}^{\prime}$, with similar notations for the other graphs. Figure 3 below shows these additional graphs. Let $\mathcal{F}_{8,5}=\left\{G_{8}^{0}, G_{8}^{\prime}, G_{8}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, $\mathcal{F}_{9,5}=\left\{G_{9}^{11}, G_{9}^{12}, G_{9}^{\prime}, G_{9}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{10,5}=\left\{G_{10}^{21}, G_{10}^{22}, G_{10}^{\prime}\right\}$.


Figure 3. The additional graphs in $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$ for $n=8,9,10$.
Note that every graph of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$ is gem $_{5}$-free. Indeed, let $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$. If $G \notin$ $\left\{G_{8}^{\prime}, G_{8}^{\prime \prime}, G_{9}^{\prime}, G_{9}^{\prime \prime}, G_{10}^{\prime}\right\}$, then $G$ is $\mathrm{gem}_{4}$-free as before, so that $G$ is gem $_{5}$-free. Suppose that $G \in\left\{G_{8}^{\prime}, G_{8}^{\prime \prime}, G_{9}^{\prime}, G_{9}^{\prime \prime}, G_{10}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $G$ contains a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$, say $a b c d e+u$. It is easy to check that in each choice for $G$, whichever vertex of $G$ is chosen for $u$, we have that $u$ does not have five neighbours that form a path $P_{5}$ in $G$. This is a contradiction.

Also, by adding an edge to any graph of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$, we obtain a graph that contains a copy of gem $_{5}$. To see this, let $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$. Suppose first that $G \notin\left\{G_{8}^{\prime}, G_{8}^{\prime \prime}, G_{9}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.G_{9}^{\prime \prime}, G_{10}^{\prime}\right\}$. Then similar to before, since $n \geq 8$, it follows that if an edge $c u$ is added to the independent class of $G$, then we can find two independent edges $a b, d e$ in the other class. If an edge $b u$ is added to the class of $G$ containing the
maximum matching, then we may assume that $d u$ is an edge in the matching, and choose vertices $a, c, e$ in the other class. In both cases, we have $a b c d e+u$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$. Next, the case $G \in\left\{G_{8}^{\prime}, G_{9}^{\prime}, G_{10}^{\prime}\right\}$ can be considered similarly, according to whether or not the added edge is incident with an edge within a class of $G$. Now, consider $G=G_{8}^{\prime \prime}$. If the edge $b u$ is added into $A_{8}^{\prime \prime}$, then let $c d e$ be a triangle and $a$ be another vertex in $B_{8}^{\prime \prime}$. If an edge is added into $B_{8}^{\prime \prime}$, then there exists a path $a b c d e$ of order 5 in $B_{8}^{\prime \prime}$, and we let $u \in A_{8}^{\prime \prime}$. In both cases, $a b c d e+u$ is a copy of gem $_{5}$. Finally, consider $G=G_{9}^{\prime \prime}$. Since $G_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ contains $G_{8}^{\prime}$ as a subgraph on $A_{9}^{\prime \prime} \cup B_{9}^{\prime \prime}$, it follows that if an edge is added into $A_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ or $B_{9}^{\prime \prime}$, then we have a copy of gem $_{5}$. Thus, we may assume that the edge $a u$ is added to $G_{9}^{\prime \prime}$, where $a$ is an end-vertex of the edge in $A_{9}^{\prime \prime}$, and $u$ is the vertex outside of $A_{9}^{\prime \prime} \cup B_{9}^{\prime \prime}$. Then if $c, e \in A_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ and $b, d \in B_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ are the neighbours of $u$ in $G_{9}^{\prime \prime}$, we have $a b c d e+u$ is a copy of gem $_{5}$.

We can easily check that for $n \geq 8$, all graphs of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$ have the same number of edges, which is also the same as the number of edges in any graph of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$. Thus, we may also let $e_{n}$ denote the number of edges in any graph of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$. Then, equations (1) and (2) remain true. That is, for $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$, we have

$$
e(G)=e_{n}=\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor+ \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n \equiv 0,1,2(\bmod 4)  \tag{5}\\ 1 & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

and for $n \geq 9, G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$ and $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1,5}$, we have

$$
e(G)-e\left(G^{\prime}\right)=e_{n}-e_{n-1}=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+ \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n \equiv 0,1,2(\bmod 4)  \tag{6}\\ 1 & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

We have the following result for the Turán function $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)$.
Theorem 2.3. For $n \geq 8$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)=e_{n}=\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor+ \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n \equiv 0,1,2(\bmod 4) \\ 1 & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, the only gem $_{5}$-free graphs with $n$ vertices and $\operatorname{ex}\left(n\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)$ edges are the members of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$.

As before, Theorem 2.3 will be proved by induction on $n$. We first prove the base case, which will involve a bit more of case analysis than in Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.4. $\operatorname{ex}\left(8, \mathrm{gem}_{5}\right)=e_{8}=18$ and the only $\mathrm{gem}_{5}$-free graphs with eight vertices and 18 edges are $G_{8}^{0}, G_{8}^{\prime}$ and $G_{8}^{\prime \prime}$.

To prove Lemma 2.4, the following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 2.5. Let $H$ be a graph with vertex set $A \cup B$, where $A=\{x, y\}$ and $B=\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right\}$. Suppose that $x y, x z_{4} \in E(H)$, and $H$ also contains all edges between $\{x, y\}$ and $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right\}$. Suppose that $H[B]$ contains two edges $f_{1}, f_{2}$, and either $z_{4}$ belongs to at least one of $f_{1}, f_{2}$, or $y z_{4} \in E(H)$. Then $H$ contains a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{5}$.

Proof. First, if $z_{4}$ belongs to one of $f_{1}, f_{2}$, then we may assume that either $f_{1}=z_{1} z_{2}, f_{2}=z_{3} z_{4}$ or $f_{1}=z_{1} z_{2}, f_{2}=z_{2} z_{4}$ or $f_{1}=z_{1} z_{4}, f_{2}=z_{2} z_{4}$. Then $z_{1} z_{2} y z_{3} z_{4}+x$ or $z_{3} y z_{1} z_{2} z_{4}+x$ or $z_{3} y z_{1} z_{4} z_{2}+x$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$ in $H$, respectively.

Next, if $y z_{4} \in E(H)$ and $z_{4}$ does not belong to $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, then we may assume that $f_{1}=z_{1} z_{2}$ and $f_{2}=z_{2} z_{3}$. Then $z_{1} z_{2} z_{3} y z_{4}+x$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$ in $H$.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a graph with eight vertices and $e_{8}=18$ edges. As in Lemma 2.2, it suffices to prove that either $G$ contains a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$, or $G \in \mathcal{F}_{8,5}=\left\{G_{8}^{0}, G_{8}^{\prime}, G_{8}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. Let $\Delta=\Delta(G)$ be the maximum degree of $G$. Note that $5 \leq \Delta \leq 7$, otherwise if $\Delta \leq 4$, then $e(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} \cdot 8 \cdot 4\right\rfloor=16<18=e_{8}$, a contradiction. Let $d_{1} \geq d_{2} \geq \cdots \geq d_{8}$ be the degree sequence of $G$. Let $u \in V(G)$ be a vertex of maximum degree, so that $\operatorname{deg}(u)=\Delta=d_{1}$. We consider three cases according to the value of $\Delta$.

Case 1. $\Delta=7$. By Theorem 1.1, we have ex $\left(7, P_{5}\right)=\binom{4}{2}+\binom{3}{2}=9$. Thus $e(G-u)=18-7=11>9=\operatorname{ex}\left(7, P_{5}\right)$, and there exists a copy of the path $P_{5}$ in $G-u$, which together with $u$, form a copy of gem $_{5}$ in $G$.

Case 2. $\Delta=6$. Let $v \in V(G) \backslash\{u\}$ be a vertex $\operatorname{with} \operatorname{deg}(v)=d_{2}$. Note that $\operatorname{deg}(v)=6$ or $\operatorname{deg}(v)=5$, otherwise $e(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}(6+7 \cdot 4)\right\rfloor=17<18=e_{8}$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.1. $\operatorname{deg}(v)=6$. Suppose first that $u v \notin E(G)$. We have $e(G-$ $\{u, v\})=18-2 \cdot 6=6$. If there exists $x \in V(G) \backslash\{u, v\}$ with at least three neighbours in $V(G) \backslash\{u, v, x\}$, say $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, then $x_{1} u x_{2} v x_{3}+x$ is a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{5}$ in $G$. Otherwise, since $e(G-\{u, v\})=6$, we see that every vertex of $V(G) \backslash\{u, v\}$ must have exactly two neighbours in $V(G) \backslash\{u, v\}$, and thus, the subgraph $G-\{u, v\}$ must be either $C_{6}$ or two vertex-disjoint copies of $C_{3}$. If the former, then there is a copy of $P_{5}$ in $G-\{u, v\}$, which together with $u$, form a copy of gem $_{5}$. If the latter, then $G=G_{8}^{\prime \prime}$.

Now, suppose that $u v \in E(G)$. Observe first that $u$ and $v$ have at least four common neighbours in $V(G) \backslash\{u, v\}$. If $G[N(u) \backslash\{v\}]$ contains two edges, then Lemma 2.5 implies that $G$ contains a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$. Otherwise, we may assume that $G[N(u) \backslash\{v\}]$ contains at most one edge. If $y$ is the vertex not adjacent to $u$ in $G$, then $y$ has at most five neighbours in $N(u) \backslash\{v\}$. Therefore, we have $e(G-\{u, v\}) \leq 1+5=6$. This is a contradiction, since we have $e(G-\{u, v\})=18-1-2 \cdot 5=7$.

Subcase 2.2. $\operatorname{deg}(v)=5$. Let $w \in V(G) \backslash\{u, v\}$ be a vertex with $\operatorname{deg}(w)=d_{3}$. Note that $\operatorname{deg}(w)=5$, otherwise, $e(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}(6+5+6 \cdot 4)\right\rfloor=17<18=e_{8}$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume $u v \in E(G)$, so that $e(G-$ $\{u, v\})=18-1-5-4=8$. Let $y$ be the vertex not adjacent to $u$. Suppose that $G$ does not contain a copy of gem $_{5}$.

Let $v y \notin E(G)$. Then $v$ has exactly four neighbours in $N(u) \backslash\{v\}$, and by Lemma 2.5, $G[N(u) \backslash\{v\}]$ contains at most one edge, so that $e(G-\{u, v\}) \leq 6$, a contradiction.

Now let $v y \in E(G)$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ be the common neighbours of $u$ and $v$, and $z_{1}, z_{2}$ be the remaining two vertices, so that $u z_{1}, u z_{2} \in E(G)$ and $v z_{1}, v z_{2} \notin E(G)$. Again by Lemma 2.5, each of $y, z_{1}, z_{2}$ has at most one neighbour in $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$. If there are no edges between $\left\{y, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$, then $e(G-\{u, v\}) \leq$ 6 , a contradiction. Otherwise, if there exists an edge between $\left\{y, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$, then by Lemma 2.5, there are no edges in $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}\right]$. Since there are at most three edges in $G\left[\left\{y, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}\right]$ and at most three edges between $\left\{y, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$, we have $e(G-\{u, v\}) \leq 6$, another contradiction.

Case 3. $\Delta=5$. We have $d_{1}=d_{2}=d_{3}=d_{4}=\Delta=5$, otherwise, $e(G) \leq$ $\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}(3 \cdot 5+5 \cdot 4)\right\rfloor=17<18=e_{8}$. This means that, we may assume there exists $v \in V(G) \backslash\{u\}$ with $\operatorname{deg}(v)=5$ and $u v \in E(G)$, so that $e(G-\{u, v\})=$ $18-1-2 \cdot 4=9$. If $G$ contains a copy of gem $_{5}$, then we are done, so assume otherwise.

Suppose first that $u$ and $v$ have four common neighbours, say $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$. Let $y_{1}, y_{2}$ be the remaining two vertices. By Lemma 2.5, $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}\right]$ contains at most one edge. If there is exactly one edge, say $x_{1} x_{2} \in E(G)$, then there are 10 edges already in $G$. The edges between $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$, as well as $y_{1} y_{2}$, may possibly be present, and since $e(G)=18$, exactly one of these nine edges is not present. Suppose first that $y_{1} y_{2} \in E(G)$. We may assume that $y_{1} x_{1}, y_{1} x_{2}, y_{2} x_{1} \in E(G)$, but then $u v x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}+x_{1}$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$. Otherwise, if $y_{1} y_{2} \notin E(G)$, then we have $G=G_{8}^{\prime}$. Finally, if there does not exist an edge in $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}\right]$, then a similar edge count shows that $G$ contains all edges between $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$, as well as $y_{1} y_{2}$. This gives $G=G_{8}^{0}$.

Next, suppose that $u$ and $v$ have three common neighbours, say $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. Let $y, z_{1}, z_{2}$ be the remaining vertices, where $u z_{1}, v z_{2} \in E(G)$ and $u y, v y, u z_{2}, v z_{1} \notin$ $E(G)$. By Lemma 2.5, each of $z_{1}, z_{2}$ has at most one neighbour in $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$. If there exists an edge between $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$, then again by Lemma 2.5 , there are no edges in $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}\right]$. Since there are at most three edges in $G\left[\left\{y, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}\right]$, and at most five edges between $\left\{y, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$, we have $e(G-\{u, v\}) \leq 8$, a contradiction. Otherwise, suppose that there are no edges between $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$. Then we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(z_{i}\right) \leq 3$ for $i=1,2$. This implies that the remaining six vertices must each have degree 5 , otherwise $e(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}(5 \cdot 5+4+2 \cdot 3)\right\rfloor=17<18=e_{8}$. In particular, we have $x_{i} x_{j} \in E(G)$
for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$ and $y x_{i} \in E(G)$ for $i=1,2,3$. But then $u v x_{2} x_{3} y+x_{1}$ is a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{5}$.

Finally, suppose that $u$ and $v$ have two common neighbours, say $x_{1}, x_{2}$. Let $y_{1}, y_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}$ be the remaining vertices, where $u y_{1}, u y_{2}, v z_{1}, v z_{2} \in E(G)$ and $u z_{1}, u z_{2}, v y_{1}, v y_{2} \notin E(G)$. Suppose first that there are at most two edges in $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}\right]$, and at most two edges in $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}\right]$. Since there are at most four edges between $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$, we have $e(G-\{u, v\}) \leq$ $2 \cdot 2+4=8$, a contradiction. Now, suppose that there are at least three edges in $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}\right]$. If $x_{1} y_{1}, y_{1} y_{2} \in E(G)$ or $x_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} y_{2} \in E(G)$, then $x_{2} v x_{1} y_{1} y_{2}+u$ or $y_{1} x_{1} v x_{2} y_{2}+u$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$. Thus, we may assume that $x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} y_{1} \in E(G)$ and $x_{1} y_{2}, x_{2} y_{2}, y_{1} y_{2} \notin E(G)$. If there are at most two edges in $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}\right]$, including $x_{1} x_{2}$, then since there are at most four edges between $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$, we have $e(G-\{u, v\}) \leq 3+1+4=8$, a contradiction. Thus, there are at least three edges in $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}\right]$, and by similarly considering the edges in $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}\right]$, we may assume that $x_{1} z_{1}, x_{2} z_{1} \in E(G)$ and $x_{1} z_{2}, x_{2} z_{2}, z_{1} z_{2} \notin E(G)$. But now, $y_{1} u x_{2} v z_{1}+x_{1}$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$.

Therefore, we conclude that either $G$ contains a copy of gem $_{5}$, or $G \in \mathcal{F}_{8,5}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4.

We are now able to prove Theorem 2.3. The proof is generally similar to that of Theorem 2.1 but with a little more case analysis.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $n \geq 8$. Again, the lower bound $\operatorname{ex}\left(n\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right) \geq e_{n}$ follows by considering any graph of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$. We prove the upper bound ex $\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right) \leq$ $e_{n}$ by induction on $n$. Lemma 2.4 proves the result for $n=8$. Now suppose that $n \geq 9$, and the theorem holds for $n-1$. As before, it suffices to prove that if $G$ is a graph on $n$ vertices and $e(G)=e_{n}$, then either $G$ contains a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$, or $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$.

First, suppose that $\delta(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ and let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex of minimum degree. Then exactly as in (3), we have $e(G-v) \geq e_{n-1}$. Again we are done unless $e(G-v)=e_{n-1}$, whence $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $e_{n}-e_{n-1}=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$, and $n \not \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 4)$. By induction, either $G-v$, and thus $G$, contains a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$ and we are done, or $G-v \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1,5}$, and we must consider the following cases.

Case 1. $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. We have $G-v=G_{n-1}^{3}$ with classes $A_{n-1}^{3}$ and $B_{n-1}^{3}$, where $\left|A_{n-1}^{3}\right|=\frac{n}{2}-1$ and $\left|B_{n-1}^{3}\right|=\frac{n}{2}$, and $B_{n-1}^{3}$ containing a perfect matching. We have $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\frac{n}{2}$. If $N(v)=B_{n-1}^{3}$, then $G=G_{n}^{0}$. Otherwise, if $v$ has neighbours $c, d \in A_{n-1}^{3}$ and $u \in B_{n-1}^{3}$, then abcvd $+u$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$ in $G$, where $a \in A_{n-1}^{3} \backslash\{c, d\}$ and $b \in B_{n-1}^{3}$ is the vertex adjacent to $u$. If $v$ has exactly one neighbour $u \in A_{n-1}^{3}$, then since $\left|B_{n-1}^{3}\right|=\frac{n}{2}>4$, we can find $a, b, c, d \in B_{n-1}^{3}$ such that $a b, c d, b v, c v \in E(G)$. We have $a b v c d+u$ is a copy of gem $_{5}$ in $G$.

Case 2 . $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. If $n \geq 13$, we have $G-v=G_{n-1}^{0}$. If $n=9$, we have $G-v \in\left\{G_{8}^{0}, G_{8}^{\prime}, G_{8}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$.

Subcase 2.1. $n \geq 9$ and $G-v=G_{n-1}^{0}$. The classes of $G-v$ are $A_{n-1}^{0}$ and $B_{n-1}^{0}$. Since $\left|B_{n-1}^{0}\right|=\frac{n-1}{2} \geq 4$, this subcase can be considered by combining the arguments used in Case 2 of Theorem 2.1 and in Case 1 above. We find that either $G$ contains a copy of gem $_{5}$, or $G \in\left\{G_{n}^{11}, G_{n}^{12}\right\}$.

Subcase 2.2. $n=9$ and $G-v \in\left\{G_{8}^{\prime}, G_{8}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. Suppose first that $G-v=G_{8}^{\prime}$, so that the classes of $G-v$ are $A_{8}^{\prime}$ and $B_{8}^{\prime}$ with $\left|A_{8}^{\prime}\right|=\left|B_{8}^{\prime}\right|=4$, and each class containing one edge, say $c u$ and $a b$ are the edges in $A_{8}^{\prime}$ and $B_{8}^{\prime}$. We have $\operatorname{deg}(v)=$ 4. If $N(v)=A_{8}^{\prime}$ or $N(v)=B_{8}^{\prime}$, then $G=G_{9}^{\prime}$, and if $N(v)=\left(A_{8}^{\prime} \cup B_{8}^{\prime}\right) \backslash\{a, b, c, u\}$, then $G=G_{9}^{\prime \prime}$. Otherwise, let $d \in B_{8}^{\prime} \backslash\{a, b\}$. We may assume that $u v \in E(G)$, and either $a v \in E(G)$ or $d v \in E(G)$. Then $v a b c d+u$ or $a b c d v+u$ is a copy of gem $_{5}$.

Now, suppose that $G-v=G_{8}^{\prime \prime}$. The classes of $G-v$ are $A_{8}^{\prime \prime}$ and $B_{8}^{\prime \prime}$ with $\left|A_{8}^{\prime \prime}\right|=2,\left|B_{8}^{\prime \prime}\right|=6$, and there are two vertex-disjoint triangles embedded into $B_{8}^{\prime \prime}$. Let $A_{8}^{\prime \prime}=\{b, d\}$ and $a c u$ be one of the triangles in $B_{8}^{\prime \prime}$. We have $\operatorname{deg}(v)=4$. If $b v, d v \in E(G)$, then we may assume that $u v \in E(G)$. We have $a b c d v+u$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$. Otherwise, $v$ has at least three neighbours in $B_{8}^{\prime \prime}$, and we may assume that $a v, u v \in E(G)$. Then $v a b c d+u$ is a copy of gem $_{5}$.

Case 3. $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. If $n \geq 14$, then we have $G-v \in\left\{G_{n-1}^{11}, G_{n-1}^{12}\right\}$. If $n=10$, then we have $G-v \in\left\{G_{9}^{11}, G_{9}^{12}, G_{9}^{\prime}, G_{9}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$.

Subcase 3.1. $n \geq 10$ and $G-v \in\left\{G_{n-1}^{11}, G_{n-1}^{12}\right\}$. If $G-v=G_{n-1}^{11}$, then $\left|A_{n-1}^{11}\right|=\frac{n}{2}-1 \geq 4$. If $G-v=G_{n-1}^{12}$, then $G-v$ has the class $B_{n-1}^{12}$ which contains a maximum matching with an unmatched vertex, say $w$. We have $\left|B_{n-1}^{12} \backslash\{w\}\right|=$ $\frac{n}{2}-1 \geq 4$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\frac{n}{2}$, this subcase can be considered by combining the arguments used in Case 3 of Theorem 2.1 and in Case 1 above. We find that either $G$ contains a copy of gem $_{5}$, or $G \in\left\{G_{n}^{21}, G_{n}^{22}\right\}$.

Subcase 3.2. $n=10$ and $G-v \in\left\{G_{9}^{\prime}, G_{9}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. Suppose first that $G-v=G_{9}^{\prime}$, so that the classes of $G-v$ are $A_{9}^{\prime}$ and $B_{9}^{\prime}$ with $\left|A_{9}^{\prime}\right|=4,\left|B_{9}^{\prime}\right|=5$, and each class containing one edge. We have $\operatorname{deg}(v)=5$. If $N(v)=B_{9}^{\prime}$, then $G=G_{10}^{\prime}$. If $v$ has a neighbour which is incident with the edge in $A_{9}^{\prime}$ or the edge in $B_{9}^{\prime}$, then as in the argument in the first part of Subcase $2.2, G$ contains a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$. Otherwise, $N(v)$ consists of the five vertices not incident with the two edges within $A_{9}^{\prime}$ and $B_{9}^{\prime}$. Therefore, if $b, d \in A_{9}^{\prime}$ and $a, c, e \in B_{9}^{\prime}$ are these five neighbours of $v$, then $a b c d e+v$ is a copy of gem $_{5}$.

Now, suppose that $G-v=G_{9}^{\prime \prime}$. The graph $G-v$ consists of two sets $A_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ and $B_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ where $\left|A_{9}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|B_{9}^{\prime \prime}\right|=4$, with one edge in each set, say $f_{1}$ in $A_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ and $f_{2}$ in $B_{9}^{\prime \prime}$, and another vertex, say $z$, joined to the four vertices not incident with $f_{1}, f_{2}$. Let $b, d \in A_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ and $a, c \in B_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ be the neighbours of $z$ in $G-v$. We have $\operatorname{deg}(v)=5$.

Again, if $v$ has a neighbour in each of $A_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ and $B_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ where at least one is incident with $f_{1}$ or $f_{2}$, then by the argument in Subcase $2.2, G$ contains a copy of gem $_{5}$. Otherwise, we may assume that $N(v)=A_{9}^{\prime \prime} \cup\{z\}$ or $N(v)=\{a, b, c, d, z\}$, and $a b c d v+z$ is a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{5}$.

This concludes the case when $\delta(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$.
Next, suppose that $\delta(G) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$. Then exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we must have $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, and that $G$ is a $\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$-regular graph. Again for $v \in V(G)$, we have $e(G-v)=e_{n-1}$, using exactly the same argument as in (4). By induction, either $G-v$, and thus $G$, contains a copy of gem $_{5}$, or $G-v \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1,5}$. If the latter holds, then for $n \geq 15$ we have $G-v \in\left\{G_{n-1}^{21}, G_{n-1}^{22}\right\}$, and for $n=11$ we have $G-v \in\left\{G_{10}^{21}, G_{10}^{22}, G_{10}^{\prime}\right\}$. If $n \geq 11$ and $G-v \in\left\{G_{n-1}^{21}, G_{n-1}^{22}\right\}$, then as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, the fact that $G$ is a $\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$-regular graph implies that $G=G_{n}^{3}$. Otherwise, we have $n=11$ and $G-v=G_{10}^{\prime}$. Then $G$ is a 6-regular graph, which means that $N(v)$ consists of the six vertices not incident with the two edges within $A_{10}^{\prime}$ and $B_{10}^{\prime}$. Therefore, if $a, c, e \in A_{10}^{\prime}$ and $b, d \in B_{10}^{\prime}$ are neighbours of $v$, then $a b c d e+v$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$.

This completes the proof Theorem 2.3.

## 3. Decompositions of Graphs Into Gem Graphs and Single Edges

Recall that for a fixed graph $H, \phi(n, H)$ denotes the smallest integer $\phi$ such that any graph on $n$ vertices admits an $H$-decomposition with at most $\phi$ parts. In this section we will verify Pikhurko and Sousa conjecture (Conjecture 1.3) for the gem graphs gem $_{4}$ and $\operatorname{gem}_{5}$. That is, we will show that $\phi\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)=\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)$ for $n \geq 6$, and $\phi\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)=\operatorname{ex}\left(n\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)$ for $n \geq 8$.

## 3.1. gem $_{4}$-decompositions

We begin by considering gem $_{4}$-decompositions, and prove the following result.
Theorem 3.1. For $n \geq 6$ we have

$$
\phi\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)=\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)
$$

Moreover, the only graphs attaining $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)$ are the members of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$.
Proof. Let $n \geq 6$. The lower bound $\phi\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right) \geq \operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)$ holds by considering any graph of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$. We prove the matching upper bound. By Theorem 2.1, we know that $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)=e_{n}$ for $n \geq 6$. Let $G$ be a graph on $n \geq 6$ vertices. We must prove that $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right) \leq \operatorname{ex}\left(n\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right)=e_{n}$, with equality if and only if $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$.

We proceed by induction on $n$. For $n=6$, if $e(G)<e_{6}=10$, then we can simply decompose $G$ into single edges to obtain $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right)<e_{6}$. Otherwise, let
$10=e_{6} \leq e(G) \leq 15$. By Theorem 2.1, we either have $G \in \mathcal{F}_{6,4}$, or $G$ contains a copy of gem ${ }_{4}$. If $G \in \mathcal{F}_{6,4}$, then $e(G)=e_{6}=10$ and we must decompose $G$ into single edges, thus, $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right)=e_{6}$ as required. If $G$ contains a copy of gem ${ }_{4}$, then $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right) \leq 1+e(G)-e\left(\operatorname{gem}_{4}\right) \leq 9<10=e_{6}$. Thus, the theorem holds for $n=6$.

Now, let $n \geq 7$, and suppose that the theorem holds for $n-1$. Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices. As before, if $e(G)<e_{n}$, then $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left.{ }_{4}\right)<e_{n}$, simply by decomposing $G$ into single edges. If $e(G)=e_{n}$, then by Theorem 2.1, either $G$ contains a copy of gem $_{4}$, in which case $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right) \leq 1+e(G)-e\left(\right.$ gem $\left._{4}\right)=$ $e_{n}-6<e_{n}$, or $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 4}$, in which case we can only decompose $G$ into $e_{n}$ single edges for a gem $_{4}$-decomposition, and $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right)=e_{n}$ as required.

Now, suppose that $e(G)>e_{n}$, and let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex of minimum degree. If $\operatorname{deg}(v) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$, then by equation (2) we have $e(G-v)=e(G)-\operatorname{deg}(v)>$ $e_{n}-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \geq e_{n-1}$, that is, $G-v \notin \mathcal{F}_{n-1,4}$ and by the induction hypothesis we have

$$
\phi\left(G-v, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)<\operatorname{ex}\left(n-1, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)=e_{n-1}
$$

Therefore, when going from $G-v$ to $G$ we only need to use the edges joining $v$ to the other vertices of $G$, and there are at most $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ of these edges at $v$. We have

$$
\phi\left(G, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right) \leq \phi\left(G-v, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)+\operatorname{deg}(v)<e_{n-1}+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \leq e_{n}
$$

as required.
Therefore, we may assume that $\operatorname{deg}(v) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ and let $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+m$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. For every $x \in N(v)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{deg}(x, N(v)) & \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+m-\left(n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-m\right) \\
& =2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+2 m-n \geq 2 m-1 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

This means that $G[N(v)]$ must contain a path $P_{2 m}$ on $2 m$ vertices. Otherwise, if the longest path in $G[N(v)]$ has at most $2 m-1$ vertices, say with an end-vertex $y$, then all neighbours of $y$ in $N(v)$ must lie in the path, so that $\operatorname{deg}(y, N(v)) \leq$ $2 m-2$, contradicting (7).

If $m \geq 2$, then the path $P_{2 m}$ contains $\left\lfloor\frac{2 m}{4}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$ vertex-disjoint paths of order 4. Thus, we have $\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$ edge-disjoint copies of gem 4 , where each copy is formed by a path of order 4 , together with $v$. Let $F \subset G-v$ be the subgraph of order $n-1$ obtained by deleting the edges of the paths of order 4 from $G-v$. By induction and (2), and since $m \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(G, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right) & \leq \phi\left(F, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)+\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+\operatorname{deg}(v)-4\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor \\
& \leq e_{n-1}+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+m-3\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor<e_{n-1}+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \leq e_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

To complete the proof it remains to consider the case $m=1$. For this case, we will repeatedly use the following claim.

Claim 3.2. Suppose that there exists a vertex $z \in V(G)$ with $\operatorname{deg}(z)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, and $G$ has a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{4}$ with at least three edges incident to $z$. Then $\phi\left(G, \mathrm{gem}_{4}\right)$ $<e_{n}$.

Proof. Let $F \subset G-z$ be the subgraph on $n-1$ vertices obtained from $G-z$ by deleting the edges of the copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{4}$. By induction and (2), we have

$$
\phi\left(G, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right) \leq \phi\left(F, \operatorname{gem}_{4}\right)+1+\operatorname{deg}(z)-3 \leq e_{n-1}+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-1<e_{n} .
$$

We now consider three cases. Let $\bar{N}(v)=V(G) \backslash(N(v) \cup\{v\})$, and note that

$$
|N(v)|=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1 \geq 4 \quad \text { and } \quad|\bar{N}(v)|=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2 \geq 2
$$

Case 1. $G[N(v)]$ contains a path $P$ of order 4. Then $P$ and $v$ form a copy of gem $_{4}$, and we have $\phi\left(G, \mathrm{gem}_{4}\right)<e_{n}$ by Claim 3.2.

Case 2. The order of the longest path in $G[N(v)]$ is 3 . Let $x_{1} x x_{2}$ be a path of order 3 in $G[N(v)]$.

Subcase 2.1. $x_{1} x_{2} \in E(G)$. We have $\operatorname{deg}(x, N(v))=2$, for otherwise $G[N(v)]$ would contain a $P_{4}$. We must have $\operatorname{deg}(x, \bar{N}(v)) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-3 \geq|\bar{N}(v)|-1$. Similarly for $x_{1}, x_{2}$. This implies that two of $x, x_{1}, x_{2}$ have a common neighbour in $\bar{N}(v)$, say $y \in \bar{N}(v)$ is a common neighbour of $x, x_{1}$. Then $x_{2} v x_{1} y+x$ is a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{4}$, and by Claim 3.2 with $z=v$, we have $\phi\left(G, \mathrm{gem}_{4}\right)<e_{n}$.

Subcase 2.2. $x_{1} x_{2} \notin E(G)$. Let $N(v)=\left\{x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}\right\}$. For $i=1,2$, we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}, N(v)\right)=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-2 \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2=|\bar{N}(v)| . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must have equality to hold throughout, whence $n$ is odd, $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{2}\right)=$ $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, and both $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are adjacent to all vertices of $\bar{N}(v)$. If $x$ has a neighbour $y \in \bar{N}(v)$, then $x_{1} v x_{2} y+x$ is a copy of $\operatorname{gem}_{4}$, and again $\phi(G$, gem $)<e_{n}$ by Claim 3.2 with $z=v$.

Otherwise, suppose that $x$ does not have a neighbour in $\bar{N}(v)$. Then $\operatorname{deg}(x) \leq$ $|N(v) \cup\{v\}|-1=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, so that $\operatorname{deg}(x)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ and $x x_{i} \in E(G)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. Moreover, we have $x_{i} x_{j} \notin E(G)$ for all $i \neq j$, otherwise there would exist a copy of $P_{4}$ in $G[N(v)]$. By a similar argument as in (8), we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, and $x_{i}$ is adjacent to all vertices of $\bar{N}(v)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. In order to get a contradiction, suppose that there does not exist a path of order 3
in $G[\bar{N}(v)]$. Then the maximum number of edges in $G[\bar{N}(v)]$ is $\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}|\bar{N}(v)|\right\rfloor$. Recall that $n$ is odd. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e(G) & \leq 2|N(v)|-1+(|N(v)|-1)|\bar{N}(v)|+\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}|\bar{N}(v)|\right\rfloor \\
& =2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2\right)+\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2\right)\right\rfloor \\
& =\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{4}\right\rfloor=e_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (1), which contradicts the assumption $e(G)>e_{n}$. Therefore, $G[\bar{N}(v)]$ must have a path of order 3 , say $y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}$. Note that $|\bar{N}(v)|=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2 \geq 3$ and thus we must have $n$ odd and $n \geq 9$. Then, $x_{1} y_{1} x_{2} y_{3}+y_{2}$ is a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{4}$, and by Claim 3.2 with $z=x_{1}$, we have $\phi(G$, gem $)<e_{n}$.

Case 3. The longest path in $G[N(v)]$ has order 2. Note that this is indeed the remaining case, since $\operatorname{deg}(x, N(v)) \geq 2 m-1=1$ for all $x \in N(v)$ by (7). Moreover, $N(v)$ induces a perfect matching in $G$. Now by a similar argument as in (8), we must have $n$ odd, and for every $x \in N(v)$, we have $\operatorname{deg}(x)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ and $x$ is adjacent to all vertices of $\bar{N}(v)$. Thus, we can find an edge $x_{1} x_{2}$ in $G[N(v)]$ and a common neighbour $y \in \bar{N}(v)$ of $x_{1}, x_{2}$. Now, since $v x_{2} y$ is a path of order 3 in $G\left[N\left(x_{1}\right)\right.$, we are done by applying Case 1 or Case 2 with $x_{1}$ in place of $v$.

The induction step is complete, and this completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## 3.2. gem $_{5}$-decompositions

By using the same ideas as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, but with more case analysis, we will be able to prove a similar result for gem $5_{5}$-decompositions. That is, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. For $n \geq 8$ we have

$$
\phi\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)=\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)
$$

Moreover, the only graphs attaining $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \mathrm{gem}_{5}\right)$ are the members of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$.
Proof. Let $n \geq 8$. As before, we have $\phi\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right) \geq \operatorname{ex}\left(n, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)$ by considering any graph of $\mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$. By Theorem 2.3 , to prove the matching upper bound, we must prove that if $G$ is a graph on $n \geq 8$ vertices, then $\phi\left(G, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right) \leq \operatorname{ex}\left(n\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)=e_{n}$, with equality if and only if $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$.

We proceed by induction on $n$. For $n=8$, if $e(G)<e_{8}=18$, then we can simply decompose $G$ into single edges to obtain $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{4}\right)<e_{8}$. Next,
suppose that $18=e_{8} \leq e(G) \leq 25$. By Theorem 2.3, we either have $G \in \mathcal{F}_{8,5}$, or $G$ contains a copy of gem $_{5}$. If $G \in \mathcal{F}_{8,5}$, then $e(G)=e_{8}=18$ and we must decompose $G$ into single edges, and $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)=e_{8}$. If $G$ contains a copy of gem $_{5}$, then $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right) \leq 1+e(G)-e\left(\right.$ gem $\left._{5}\right) \leq 17<18=e_{8}$. Finally, suppose that $26 \leq e(G) \leq 28$. Clearly, there exist two vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ of degree 7, so that $e(G-\{x, y\}) \geq 26-1-2 \cdot 6=13$. Since ex $\left(6, P_{5}\right)=\binom{4}{2}+\binom{2}{2}=7$ by Theorem 1.1, this means that we can find two edge-disjoint copies of $P_{5}$ in $G-\{x, y\}$. These two copies of $P_{5}$, together with $x$ and $y$, form two edge-disjoint copies of gem $_{5}$ in $G$. Thus, $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right) \leq 2+e(G)-2 e\left(\right.$ gem $\left._{5}\right) \leq 12<18=e_{8}$. The theorem holds for $n=8$.

Now, let $n \geq 9$, and suppose that the theorem holds for $n-1$. Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices. As before, if $e(G)<e_{n}$, then $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)<e_{n}$, simply by decomposing $G$ into single edges. If $e(G)=e_{n}$, then by Theorem 2.3, either $G$ contains a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{5}$, in which case $\phi\left(G, \mathrm{gem}_{5}\right) \leq 1+e(G)-e\left(\mathrm{gem}_{5}\right)=$ $e_{n}-8<e_{n}$, or $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 5}$, in which case we can only decompose $G$ into $e_{n}$ single edges for a gem $_{5}$-decomposition, and $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)=e_{n}$ as required.

Now, suppose that $e(G)>e_{n}$, and let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex of minimum degree. If $\operatorname{deg}(v) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$, then by equation (6), we have $e(G-v)=e(G)-$ $\operatorname{deg}(v)>e_{n}-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \geq e_{n-1}$, that is, $G-v \notin \mathcal{F}_{n-1,5}$. By induction, we have $\phi\left(G-v, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)<\operatorname{ex}\left(n-1, \mathrm{gem}_{5}\right)=e_{n-1}$. Thus, when going from $G-v$ to $G$ we only need to use the edges joining $v$ to the other vertices of $G$. We have

$$
\phi\left(G, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right) \leq \phi\left(G-v, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)+\operatorname{deg}(v)<e_{n-1}+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \leq e_{n}
$$

Therefore, we may assume that $\operatorname{deg}(v) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ and $\operatorname{let} \operatorname{deg}(v)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+m$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. As in (7), for every $x \in N(v)$, we have $\operatorname{deg}(x, N(v)) \geq 2 m-1$, and that $G[N(v)]$ must contain a path $P_{2 m}$ on $2 m$ vertices.

If $m \geq 3$, then the path $P_{2 m}$ contains $\left\lfloor\frac{2 m}{5}\right\rfloor$ vertex-disjoint paths of order 5 . Thus, we have $\left\lfloor\frac{2 m}{5}\right\rfloor$ edge-disjoint copies of gem ${ }_{5}$, where each copy is formed by a path of order 5 , together with $v$. Let $F \subset G-v$ be the subgraph of order $n-1$ obtained by deleting the edges of the paths of order 5 from $G-v$. By induction and (6), and since $m \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(G, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right) & \leq \phi\left(F, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)+\left\lfloor\frac{2 m}{5}\right\rfloor+\operatorname{deg}(v)-5\left\lfloor\frac{2 m}{5}\right\rfloor \\
& \leq e_{n-1}+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+m-4\left\lfloor\frac{2 m}{5}\right\rfloor<e_{n-1}+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \leq e_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the rest of the proof, let $\bar{N}(v)=V(G) \backslash(N(v) \cup\{v\})$. Next, suppose that $m=2$, so that $|N(v)|=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+2 \geq 6$ and $|\bar{N}(v)|=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-3 \geq 2$. If $G[N(v)]$ contains a path $P_{5}$ of order 5 , then this path together with $v$ form a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$.

Let $F \subset G-v$ be the subgraph of order $n-1$, obtained by deleting the edges of the $P_{5}$. Then,

$$
\phi\left(G, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right) \leq \phi\left(F, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)+1+\operatorname{deg}(v)-5 \leq e_{n-1}+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+2-4<e_{n}
$$

Therefore, we may assume that the longest path in $G[N(v)]$ has order 4 . Let $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ be such a path in $G[N(v)]$. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{1}, N(v)\right) \geq 2 \cdot 2-1=3$, we must have $x_{1} x_{3}, x_{1} x_{4} \in E(G)$. Moreover, the only neighbours of $x_{1}$ in $N(v)$ are $x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$, so that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{1}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+2-4 \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-3=|\bar{N}(v)|
$$

We must have equality, so that $n$ is odd, $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+2$, and $x_{1}$ is adjacent to every vertex of $\bar{N}(v)$. The same argument holds for $x_{4}$, so that $x_{1}, x_{4}$ have a common neighbour $y \in \bar{N}(v)$. Now, since $v x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} y$ is a path of order 5 in $G\left[N\left(x_{1}\right)\right]$, we are done by applying the previous argument with $x_{1}$ in place of $v$.

To complete the proof it remains to consider the case $m=1$. As before, we will repeatedly use the following claim which is analogous to Claim 3.2.

Claim 3.4. Suppose that there exists a vertex $z \in V(G)$ with $\operatorname{deg}(z)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, and $G$ has a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{5}$ with at least three edges incident to $z$. Then $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)$ $<e_{n}$.

Proof. Exactly the same as the proof of Claim 3.2.
We now consider four cases. Note that we have

$$
|N(v)|=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1 \geq 5 \quad \text { and } \quad|\bar{N}(v)|=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2 \geq 3
$$

Case 1. $G[N(v)]$ contains a path $P$ of order 5 . Then $P$ and $v$ form a copy of gem $_{5}$, and we have $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)<e_{n}$ by Claim 3.4.

Case 2. The order of the longest path in $G[N(v)]$ is 4 . Let $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ be such a path in $G[N(v)]$. It suffices to consider the following subcases.

Subcase 2.1. $x_{1} x_{3}, x_{1} x_{4} \in E(G)$. For $i=1,2,3,4, x_{i}$ does not have a neighbour in $N(v) \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$, so that $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}, N(v)\right) \leq 3$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-4 \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-4=|\bar{N}(v)|-2 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x_{2} x_{4} \notin E(G)$, then we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{j}, N(v)\right)=2$, and $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{j}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq|\bar{N}(v)|-1$ for $j=2$, 4. With (9), this implies that either $x_{1}, x_{2}$ or $x_{2}, x_{3}$ or $x_{1}, x_{3}$, have a common neighbour $y \in \bar{N}(v)$. Then, either $x_{4} v x_{3} x_{2} y+x_{1}$; or $x_{4} v x_{1} x_{2} y+x_{3}$; or $x_{4} v x_{2} x_{3} y+x_{1}$, is a copy of gem $_{5}$, respectively. By Claim 3.4 with $z=v$, we
have $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)<e_{n}$. Now, if $x_{2} x_{4} \in E(G)$, then by (9), two of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ have a common neighbour in $\bar{N}(v)$. We may assume that $x_{1}, x_{2}$ have a common neighbour $y \in \bar{N}(v)$. Then we have $\phi\left(G, \mathrm{gem}_{5}\right)<e_{n}$ by the same argument.

Subcase 2.2. $x_{1} x_{3} \in E(G)$ and $x_{1} x_{4}, x_{2} x_{4} \notin E(G)$. We see that $x_{3}$ is the only neighbour of $x_{4}$ in $N(v)$, so that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{4}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-2 \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2=|\bar{N}(v)| .
$$

We must have equality throughout, so that $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{4}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ and $n$ is odd. Moreover, $x_{4}$ is adjacent to every vertex of $\bar{N}(v)$. If $x_{3}$ has a neighbour $y \in \bar{N}(v)$, then $x_{1} x_{2} v x_{4} y+x_{3}$ is a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{5}$, and we have $\phi\left(G, \mathrm{gem}_{5}\right)<e_{n}$ by Claim 3.4 with $z=v$. Now suppose that $x_{3}$ does not have a neighbour in $\bar{N}(v)$. Let $x_{5}, x_{6}, \ldots, x_{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}$ be the remaining vertices of $N(v)$. Then $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{3}\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ implies that $x_{3} x_{i} \in E(G)$ for every $i \geq 5$. Moreover, we have $x_{1} x_{i}, x_{2} x_{i} \notin E(G)$ for all $i \geq 5$, otherwise we are in Subcase 2.1. This means that $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ and $x_{i}$ is adjacent to every vertex of $\bar{N}(v)$ for all $i \geq 4$. Also, note that for $i=1,2$,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-3=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-3=|\bar{N}(v)|-1 .
$$

Suppose first that $G[\bar{N}(v)]$ contains a path of order 3 , say $y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}$. If $n \geq 11$ so that $|N(v)|=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1 \geq 6$, then $x_{4} y_{1} x_{5} y_{3} x_{6}+y_{2}$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$, and we have $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)<e_{n}$ by Claim 3.4 with $z=x_{5}$. Now let $n=9$, and suppose that $x_{1} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{2} \in E(G)$. Then $x_{1} y_{1} x_{4} y_{3} x_{5}+y_{2}$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$, and we have $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)<e_{n}$ by Claim 3.4 with $z=x_{4}$. Thus, we may assume that $x_{1} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{3}, x_{2} y_{1}, x_{2} y_{3} \in E(G)$ and $x_{1} y_{2}, x_{2} y_{2} \notin E(G)$. It is easy to check that $G$ is the graph $G_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ with $A_{9}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}, B_{9}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{v, x_{3}, y_{1}, y_{3}\right\}$, and $y_{2}$ is the remaining vertex, so that $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)=e_{9}=\operatorname{ex}\left(9\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)$.

Now, suppose that $G[\bar{N}(v)]$ contains an edge, say $y_{1} y_{2}$. If $x_{1}$ is adjacent to every vertex in $\bar{N}(v)$, then we may assume that $x_{2} y_{1} \in E(G)$. Then $x_{3} v x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}+$ $x_{1}$ is a copy of gem $_{5}$, and we have $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)<e_{n}$ by Claim 3.4 with $z=v$. Thus we may assume that $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are not adjacent to exactly one vertex in $\bar{N}(v)$. Since there are at most $|N(v)|$ edges in $G[N(v)]$ and at most $\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}|\bar{N}(v)|\right\rfloor$ edges in $G[\bar{N}(v)]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e(G) & \leq 2|N(v)|+2(|\bar{N}(v)|-1)+(|N(v)|-3)|\bar{N}(v)|+\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}|\bar{N}(v)|\right\rfloor \\
& =2 n-4+\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-2\right)\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2\right)+\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2\right)\right\rfloor \\
& =\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{4}\right\rfloor=e_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

by (5) and since $n$ is odd, which contradicts the assumption $e(G)>e_{n}$. Finally, if $G[N(v)]$ does not contain an edge, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
e(G) & \leq 2|N(v)|+(|N(v)|-1)|\bar{N}(v)| \\
& =2\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+2 \leq e_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

another contradiction.
Subcase 2.3. $x_{1} x_{4} \in E(G)$ and $x_{1} x_{3}, x_{2} x_{4} \notin E(G)$. For $i=1,2,3,4, x_{i}$ does not have a neighbour in $N(v) \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$, so that $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}, N(v)\right)=2$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-3 \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-3=|\bar{N}(v)|-1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{1}, \bar{N}(v)\right)=|\bar{N}(v)|$, then we can find $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \bar{N}(v)$ such that $y_{1}$ is a common neighbour of $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $y_{2}$ is a common neighbour of $x_{2}, x_{3}$. Then $y_{1} x_{1} v x_{3} y_{2}+x_{2}$ is a copy of $\operatorname{gem}_{5}$, and we have $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)<e_{n}$ by Claim 3.4 with $z=v$. Otherwise, we must have equality in (10) for $i=1,2,3,4$, so that $n$ is odd, and for $i=1,2,3,4$, we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, and $x_{i}$ is not adjacent to exactly one vertex in $\bar{N}(v)$. If $n \geq 11$ so that $|\bar{N}(v)|=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2 \geq 4$, then we can again find the vertices $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \bar{N}(v)$ and we are done as before. Now let $n=9$, so that $|N(v)|=5,|\bar{N}(v)|=3$, and each $x_{i}$ has exactly two neighbours in $\bar{N}(v)$. If $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ have two common neighbours in $\bar{N}(v)$, then we can again find $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \bar{N}(v)$ as before and we are done. Otherwise, we may assume that $\bar{N}(v)=\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right\}$ with $x_{1} z_{1}, x_{1} z_{2}, x_{2} z_{1}, x_{2} z_{3} \in E(G)$. If $z_{1} z_{2} \in E(G)$, then $x_{4} v x_{2} z_{1} z_{2}+x_{1}$ is a copy of gem $_{5}$, and again $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)<e_{n}$ by Claim 3.4 with $z=v$. A similar argument holds if $z_{1} z_{3} \in E(G)$. Otherwise, we have at most one edge in $G[\bar{N}(v)]$, and since there are exactly nine edges in $G[N(v) \cup\{v\}]$ and at most $4 \cdot 2+3=11$ edges between $N(v)$ and $\bar{N}(v)$, we have $e(G) \leq 1+9+11=21<22=e_{9}$, which is a contradiction.

Subcase 2.4. $x_{1} x_{3}, x_{1} x_{4}, x_{2} x_{4} \notin E(G)$. We first note that $x_{2}$ is the only neighbour of $x_{1}$ in $N(v)$, so that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{1}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-2 \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2=|\bar{N}(v)|
$$

We must have equality throughout, so that $n$ is odd, $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, and $x_{1}$ is adjacent to all vertices of $\bar{N}(v)$. The exact same properties hold for $x_{4}$. Next, suppose that $x_{2}$ has $p$ neighbours in $N(v) \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$, where $0 \leq p \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-3$. Let $S_{2}$ be the set of these $p$ neighbours. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{2}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-3-p=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-3-p \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $x_{3}$ does not have a neighbour in $S_{2}$, otherwise there would exist a path of order 5 in $G[N(v)]$. Thus, $x_{3}$ has at most $|N(v)|-4-p=\left\lfloor\left.\frac{n}{2} \right\rvert\,-3-p\right.$ neighbours in $N(v) \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$. Let $S_{3}$ be these neighbours of $x_{3}$, so that $S_{2} \cap S_{3}=\emptyset$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{3}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-3-\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-3-p\right)=p+1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $x_{2}, x_{3}$ have a common neighbour $y_{1} \in \bar{N}(v)$. Clearly, from (11) and (12), at least one of $x_{2}, x_{3}$ has at least two neighbours in $\bar{N}(v)$. If $x_{2}$ has this property, then $x_{1}, x_{2}$ have a common neighbour $y_{2} \in \bar{N}(v) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$. Thus, $y_{1} x_{3} v x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2}$ is a copy of gem $_{5}$, and by Claim 3.4 with $z=v$, we have $\phi\left(G, \mathrm{gem}_{5}\right)<e_{n}$. A similar argument holds if $x_{3}$ has at least two neighbours in $\bar{N}(v)$, with $x_{4}$ in place of $x_{1}$.

Thus, if $T_{2}, T_{3} \subset \bar{N}(v)$ are the sets of neighbours of $x_{2}, x_{3}$ in $\bar{N}(v)$, respectively, then we may assume that $T_{2} \cap T_{3}=\emptyset$. Note that from (11) and (12), we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{2}, \bar{N}(v)\right)+\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{3}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2=|\bar{N}(v)| .
$$

Thus, we must have equality above, as well as in (11) and (12). This means that $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{2}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{3}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, and we have the partitions $N(v) \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}=$ $S_{2} \dot{\cup} S_{3}$ and $\bar{N}(v)=T_{2} \dot{\cup} T_{3}$. Clearly, there are no edges in $G\left[S_{2} \cup S_{3}\right]$, otherwise there would exist a path of order 5 in $G[N(v)]$. Next, suppose that there is a path of order 3 in $G[\bar{N}(v)]$, say $y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}$. Suppose that $y_{2} \in T_{2}$. Then $x_{2} x_{1} y_{1} x_{4} y_{3}+y_{2}$ is a copy of gem $_{5}$, so that by Claim 3.4 with $z=x_{1}$, we have $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)<e_{n}$. A similar argument holds if $y_{2} \in T_{3}$. Otherwise, we have $|N(v)|-1$ edges in $G[N(v)],|\bar{N}(v)|$ edges between $\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ and $\bar{N}(v)$, and at most $\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}|\bar{N}(v)|\right\rfloor$ edges in $G[\bar{N}(v)]$. By (5) and since $n$ is odd,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e(G) & \leq 2|N(v)|-1+|\bar{N}(v)|+(|N(v)|-2)|\bar{N}(v)|+\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}|\bar{N}(v)|\right\rfloor \\
& =2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1+\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-1\right)\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2\right)+\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2\right)\right\rfloor \\
& =\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{4}\right\rfloor=e_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the assumption $e(G)>e_{n}$.
Case 3. The order of the longest path in $G[N(v)]$ is 3 . Let $x_{1} x x_{2}$ be such a path in $G[N(v)]$. We consider the following subcases.

Subcase 3.1. $x_{1} x_{2} \in E(G)$. We have $\operatorname{deg}(x, N(v))=2$, for otherwise $G[N(v)]$ would contain a $P_{4}$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{deg}(x, \bar{N}(v)) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-3 \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-3=|\bar{N}(v)|-1
$$

Similar inequalities hold for $x_{1}, x_{2}$. If $\operatorname{deg}(x, \bar{N}(v))=|\bar{N}(v)|$, then there exist $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \bar{N}(v)$ such that $y_{i}$ is a common neighbour of $x, x_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then $y_{1} x_{1} v x_{2} y_{2}+x$ is a copy of gem $_{5}$, and by Claim 3.4 with $z=v$, we have $\phi\left(G, \operatorname{gem}_{5}\right)<e_{n}$. Otherwise, we have $\operatorname{deg}(x, \bar{N}(v))=|\bar{N}(v)|-1$, whence $n$ is odd and $\operatorname{deg}(x)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$. We may assume that $x, x_{1}$ have a common neighbour $y \in \bar{N}(v)$. Now, $v x_{2} x_{1} y$ is a path of order 4 in $G[N(x)]$, and we are done by applying Case 1 or Case 2 with $x$ in place of $v$.

Subcase 3.2. $x_{1} x_{2} \notin E(G)$. Let $N(v)=\left\{x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}\right\}$. For $i=1,2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}, \bar{N}(v)\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-2 \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-2=|\bar{N}(v)| . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must have equality to hold throughout, whence $n$ is odd, $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{2}\right)=$ $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, and both $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are adjacent to all vertices of $\bar{N}(v)$. If $x$ has neighbours $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \bar{N}(v)$, then we are done as in Subcase 3.1. If $x$ has exactly one neighbour $y \in \bar{N}(v)$, then we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(x, N(v) \backslash\left\{x, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1-4 \geq 1
$$

and we may assume that $x x_{3} \in E(G)$. Then $x_{1} y x_{2} v x_{3}+x$ is a copy of gem ${ }_{5}$, and we have $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)<e_{n}$ by Claim 3.4 with $z=v$. Otherwise, suppose that $x$ does not have a neighbour in $\bar{N}(v)$. We may apply the exact same argument as in Subcase 2.2 of Theorem 3.1 to deduce that $x_{i}$ is adjacent to all vertices of $\bar{N}(v)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$, and $G[\bar{N}(v)]$ must contain a path of order 3 , say $y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}$. Then $x_{1} y_{1} x_{2} y_{3} x_{3}+y_{2}$ is a copy of $\mathrm{gem}_{5}$, and by Claim 3.4 with $z=x_{2}$, we have $\phi\left(G\right.$, gem $\left._{5}\right)<e_{n}$.

Case 4. The longest path in $G[N(v)]$ has order 2. Note that this is indeed the remaining case, since $\operatorname{deg}(x, N(v)) \geq 2 m-1=1$ for all $x \in N(v)$. Moreover, $N(v)$ induces a perfect matching in $G$. By a similar argument as in (13), we must have $n$ odd, and for every $x \in N(v)$, we have $\operatorname{deg}(x)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ and $x$ is adjacent to all vertices of $\bar{N}(v)$. Thus, we can find an edge $x_{1} x_{2}$ in $G[N(v)]$ and a common neighbour $y \in \bar{N}(v)$ of $x_{1}, x_{2}$. Now, since $v x_{2} y$ is a path of order 3 in $G\left[N\left(x_{1}\right)\right]$, we are done by applying Case 1, Case 2 or Case 3 with $x_{1}$ in place of $v$.

The induction step is complete, and this completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.
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