

GENERALIZED HAMMING GRAPHS: SOME NEW RESULTS

AMARI BEDRANE

AND

BERRACHEDI ABDELHAFID

LIFORCE Laboratory
RO Department, USTHB, Algiers, Algeria
e-mail: bedrane.amari@gmail.com

Abstract

A projection of a vertex x of a graph G over a subset S of vertices is a vertex of S at minimal distance from x . The study of projections over quasi-intervals gives rise to a new characterization of quasi-median graphs.

Keywords: generalized median graphs, Hamming graphs, quasi-median graphs, quasi-Hilbertian graphs.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 2010 MSC 05C75, 05C12.

1. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected, without loops or multiple edges. We denote by $d(u, v)$ the length of a shortest (u, v) -path in the graph G . The *interval* $I(u, v)$ is the set of vertices of G lying on shortest (u, v) -paths: $I(u, v) = \{x : d(u, x) + d(x, v) = d(u, v)\}$. The *quasi-interval* $I^*(u, v)$ is the set of vertices x such that any shortest (u, x) -path and shortest (x, v) -path have only x as common vertex. That is, $I^*(u, v) = \{x : I(u, x) \cap I(x, v) = \{x\}\}$. This notion was introduced by Nebeský [10]. The *projection* (introduced by Berrachedi [4]) of a vertex x of a graph G over a subset S of vertices, is a subset of vertices of S which are at minimal distance from x . It is denoted by $P(x, S)$. A graph G is *Hilbertian* if $|P(x, I(u, v))| = 1$, for all $u, v, x \in G$. A graph G is *quasi-Hilbertian* if, for all u, v and x in G , $|P(x, I^*(u, v))| = 1$. Quasi-median graphs have been introduced by Mulder [9] as a natural generalization of median graphs, in fact,

median graphs are just the bipartite quasi-median graphs. Many researchers are interested in studying this class of graphs. Among prominent examples of median graphs let us mention hypercubes, trees and grids. Berrachedi [4] proved that a graph G is median if and only if G is Hilbertian. From the fact that a quasi-interval is an enlarged interval and in median graphs a quasi-interval is also an interval, then another generalization of Hilbertian graphs is to consider graphs which are quasi-Hilbertian. In this paper, our aim is to show that the class of quasi-median graphs is the same as the class of quasi-Hilbertian graphs.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some classical definitions and notation following that of [7, 9]. Then we give a mini-review of some interesting results on median graphs, and results obtained analogously for quasi-median graphs. A connected subgraph H of a graph G is called *convex* if for any two vertices u and v from H all shortest (u, v) -paths are contained in H . The *convex closure* of a subgraph H of G is defined as the smallest convex subgraph of G which contains H . The *Cartesian product* $G \square H$ of two graphs G and H is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and $(a, x)(b, y) \in E(G \square H)$ whenever $ab \in E(G)$ and $x = y$, or $a = b$ and $xy \in E(H)$. A *clique* in G is a set of vertices $K \subseteq V(G)$ in which any two distinct vertices are adjacent. If K is a clique and $K = V(G)$, then G is the *complete graph* K_n , where n is the number of vertices of G . The graph $K_4 - e$ is the complete graph on four vertices minus an edge. $K_{n,m}$ is the *complete bipartite graph*, where n and m are the number of vertices of the first and the second part of the partition. For $u \in V(G)$, $N(u)$ is the set of vertices adjacent to the vertex u . A Cartesian product of complete graphs is called a *Hamming graph*, a Cartesian power of the K_2 is called a *hypercube*. A graph G satisfies the *triangle property* if for any vertices $u, x, y \in V(G)$, where $d(u, x) = d(u, y) = k$ such that $xy \in E(G)$, there exists a common neighbour v of x and y with $d(u, v) = k - 1$. A graph G satisfies the *quadrangle property* if for any $u, x, y, z \in V(G)$ such that $d(u, x) = d(u, y) = d(u, z) - 1$ and $d(x, y) = 2$, with z a common neighbour of x and y , there exists a common neighbour v of x and y such that $d(u, v) = d(u, x) - 1$. A graph which fulfils the quadrangle property and the triangle property is called a *weakly modular graph*.

2.1. Median graphs

A vertex x is a *median* of the triple of vertices u, v and w if

1. $d(u, x) + d(x, v) = d(u, v)$;
2. $d(v, x) + d(x, w) = d(v, w)$;

$$3. d(w, x) + d(x, u) = d(w, u).$$

A graph G is a *median graph* if any three vertices u, v and w in G have a unique median. Mulder gave the following characterization of median graphs using the procedure of convex expansions, see [9] for the necessary details.

Theorem 1 (Mulder [9]). *A graph G is a median graph if and only if G can be obtained from K_1 by a sequence of convex expansions.*

Theorem 2 (Mulder [8]). *A graph G is a hypercube if and only if G is a regular median graph.*

A *retraction* f from a graph G to a subgraph H is a mapping f of the vertex set $V(G)$ of G onto the vertex set $V(H)$ of H such that for every edge uv in G the image $f(u)f(v)$ is an edge in H , and $f(w) = w$ for all vertices w of H . Using retraction, Bandelt [2] characterized hypercubes as median graphs.

Theorem 3 (Bandelt [2]). *The retracts of hypercubes are precisely the median graphs.*

Berrachedi in [4] introduced the class of Hilbertian graphs, using projections over intervals, he showed the following.

Theorem 4 (Berrachedi [4]). *Let G be a graph. Then G is Hilbertian if and only if G is a median graph.*

Other characterizations of median graphs using projections over intervals and convex sets are given by Berrachedi and Mollard in [5].

2.2. Quasi-median graphs

A triple of vertices (x, y, z) is a quasi-median of (u, v, w) if we have:

1. $d(u, x) + d(x, y) + d(y, v) = d(u, v);$
 $d(v, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, w) = d(v, w);$
 $d(w, z) + d(z, x) + d(x, u) = d(w, u).$
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, z) = d(z, x) = k.$
3. k is minimal under the two above conditions.

Mulder [9] defines a quasi-median graph G as follows.

- (i) Each ordered triple of vertices of G has a unique quasi-median;
- (ii) G does not admit $K_4 - e$ as induced subgraph;
- (iii) Each induced C_6 in G has $K_3 \square K_3$ or Q_3 as convex closure.

He characterized the quasi-median graphs with the quasi-median expansion procedure.

Theorem 5 (Mulder [9]). *A graph G is quasi-median if and only if G can be obtained from K_1 by a sequence of quasi-median expansions.*

Theorem 6 (Mulder [9]). *A graph G is a Hamming graph if and only if G is a regular quasi-median graph.*

Theorem 7 (Wilkeit [11]). *The retracts of Hamming graphs are precisely the quasi-median graphs.*

Chung *et al.* [6], characterized quasi-median graphs as weakly modular graphs without $K_4 - e$ or $K_{2,3}$ as induced subgraph.

Theorem 8 (Chung *et al.* [6]). *A graph G is quasi-median if and only if G is weakly modular and does not contain $K_4 - e$ or $K_{2,3}$ as an induced subgraph.*

More characterizations of quasi-median graphs can be found in [1, 3, 6, 9, 11].

3. QUASI-HILBERTIAN GRAPHS

In this section we shall prove that quasi-Hilbertian graphs are precisely quasi-median graphs. Chung *et al.* [6], established a relation between the quasi-median graphs and weakly modular graphs. We use their relation and some proprieties of quasi-Hilbertian graphs to prove that quasi-Hilbertian graphs are precisely quasi-median graphs.

Theorem 9 (the main result). *A graph G is a quasi-median graph if and only if G is a quasi-Hilbertian graph.*

This Theorem will be proved using a series of Lemmas that follow.

Lemma 10. *A quasi-median graph is quasi-Hilbertian.*

Proof. Let u, v, w be three vertices of a quasi-median graph G . We assume that $P(u, I^*(v, w))$ contains at least two vertices x and x' . We take the triple (x, v, w) . As known in [9], there exists a unique vertex y in $I(x, v) \cap I(v, w)$ with $I(x, v) \cap I(v, w) = I(v, y)$. Also, with the triple (x, y, w) we get $I(x, w) \cap I(y, w) = I(w, z)$. In the same way, starting by the triple (x', v, w) , we find $I(x', v) \cap I(v, w) = I(v, y')$ and $I(x', w) \cap I(y', w) = I(w, z')$. Thus, (x, y, z) and (x', y', z') are two quasi-medians of (u, v, w) in G , which is a contradiction. ■

Lemma 11. *A quasi-Hilbertian graph is $K_{2,3}$ -free.*

Proof. Let u, v, w, x and y be five vertices that induce a $K_{2,3}$ in the quasi-Hilbertian graph G . Let v, w and u be the vertices of degree 2. Consider the quasi-interval $I^*(v, w)$. Since $I(v, u) \cap I(u, w) \supseteq \{u, x, y\}$, $u \notin I^*(v, w)$. The vertices v, w, x and y are in $I^*(v, w)$. As $d(u, x) = d(u, y) = 1$, $P(u, I^*(v, w)) \supseteq \{x, y\}$. This contradicts the fact that G is a quasi-Hilbertian graph. ■

Lemma 12. *A quasi-Hilbertian graph is $K_4 - e$ -free.*

Proof. Let u, v, w and z be four vertices that induce a $K_4 - e$ in the quasi-Hilbertian graph G . Let u and w be the vertices of degree 2. Consider the quasi-interval $I^*(v, w)$. The vertices v, w and z are in $I^*(v, w)$, but $u \notin I^*(v, w)$. As $d(u, v) = d(u, z) = 1$, $P(u, I^*(v, w)) \supseteq \{v, z\}$. This contradicts the fact that G is a quasi-Hilbertian graph. ■

Lemma 13. *In a quasi-Hilbertian graph G , for all $vw \in E(G)$ and for all $x \in I^*(v, w) \setminus \{v, w\}$, we have $d(v, x) = d(w, x) = 1$.*

Proof. By contrary. Let vw be an edge in a quasi-Hilbertian graph G and $x \in I^*(v, w) \setminus \{v, w\}$. Let us consider the two possible cases.

Case 1. $d(v, x) \neq d(w, x)$. We assume without loss of generality that $d(v, x) < d(w, x)$, then $d(v, x) + 1 \leq d(w, x)$, which implies that $I(v, x) \subset I(w, x)$. Thus $I(v, x) \cap I(w, x) = I(v, x)$, this is a contradiction with $x \in I^*(v, w) \setminus \{v, w\}$.

Case 2. $d(v, x) = d(w, x) > 1$. We suppose that $d(v, x)$ is minimal. Let x_1 be a vertex in $I(v, x) \cap N(v)$. As $I(x_1, v) \cap I(v, x) = \{v, x_1\}$, $v \notin I^*(x_1, x)$. $I(x, w) \cap I(w, x_1) \neq \{w\}$, otherwise $P(v, I^*(x, x_1)) \supseteq \{w, x_1\}$. Necessarily, there exists $x_2 \in I(x, w) \cap I(w, x_1) \setminus \{w\}$ and $d(x_1, x_2) = 1$. If $v \in N(x_2)$ and $w \notin N(x_1)$, then $K_4 - e$ will be an induced subgraph. The same result holds if $v \notin N(x_2)$ and $w \in N(x_1)$. If $v \in N(x_2)$ and $w \in N(x_1)$, then $P(v, I^*(x, x_1)) \supseteq \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $P(w, I^*(x, x_1)) \supseteq \{x_1, x_2\}$. Thus $d(v, x_2) = d(w, x_1) = 2$. From the minimality of $d(v, x)$, we have $d(x, x_1) = d(x, x_2) = 1$, so that $P(x_1, I^*(v, w)) \supseteq \{v, x\}$. Contradiction with the fact that G is a quasi-Hilbertian graph. Consequently, we have $d(v, x) = d(w, x) = 1$, for all $x \in I^*(v, w) \setminus \{v, w\}$ with $vw \in E(G)$. ■

Lemma 14. *For every two adjacent vertices v and w of a quasi-Hilbertian graph G , the quasi-interval $I^*(v, w)$ induces a complete subgraph.*

Proof. Let $I^*(v, w)$ be the quasi-interval such that $d(v, w) = 1$, and $x, y \in I^*(v, w)$ such that $x \neq y$. From Lemma 13, we have

$$\begin{cases} d(v, x) = d(w, x) = d(v, w) = 1, \\ d(v, y) = d(w, y) = d(v, w) = 1. \end{cases}$$

If $x = v$ or $x = w$, then $d(x, y) = 1$. The same result hold if $y = v$ or $y = w$. Else, if $d(x, y) \neq 1$, then the vertices v, w, x and y induce a forbidden $K_4 - e$. ■

Lemma 15. *A quasi-Hilbertian graph satisfies the triangle property.*

Proof. Consider three vertices u, v and w of a quasi-Hilbertian graph such that $d(u, v) = d(u, w) = k$ and $d(w, v) = 1$. If $k = 1$, we have the triangle property. Suppose that $k \geq 2$. Since $I^*(w, v)$ induce a complete subgraph, u is not in $I^*(w, v)$. So, there exists x in $I(w, u) \cap I(u, v) \setminus \{u\}$ such that $x \in I^*(w, v)$. Hence, $d(x, v) = d(w, x) = 1$ and $d(u, x) = k - 1$. ■

Lemma 16. *A quasi-Hilbertian graph satisfies the quadrangle property.*

Proof. Let u, v, w and z be four vertices in a quasi-Hilbertian graph such that $d(u, v) = d(u, z) = d(u, w) - 1 = k$, $d(z, v) = 2$, and $w \in I(v, z)$.

Consider the quasi-interval $I^*(u, z)$. If $k = 1$, we have the quadrangle property. Suppose that $k \geq 2$. $I(u, v) \cap I(v, z) \neq \{v\}$, otherwise $P(w, I^*(u, z)) \supseteq \{z, v\}$. Necessarily, there exists $x \in I(z, v) \cap I(v, u) \setminus \{v\}$, then $d(z, x) = d(v, x) = 1$ and $d(u, x) = k - 1$. ■

Proof of Theorem 9. From Lemma 10, a quasi-median graph is quasi-Hilbertian. As a quasi-Hilbertian graph is weakly modular (Lemmas 15 and 16), and does not contain $K_{2,3}$ or $K_4 - e$ as an induced subgraph, it is a quasi-median graph (Theorem 8). ■

Theorems 9 and 6 give a new characterization of Hamming graphs.

Theorem 17. *A graph G is a Hamming graph if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} G \text{ is regular,} \\ \text{for all } u, v, w \in G \text{ we have } |P(w, I^*(u, v))| = 1. \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Badji and A. Berrachedi, *Generalized median graphs*, in: Actes du Colloque sur l'opt. et les sys. d'infor., COSI'04 (UMM Tizi Ouzou, Algerie, 2004) 216–225.
- [2] H.J. Bandelt, *Retracts of hypercubes*, J. Graph Theory **8** (1984) 501–510.
doi:10.1002/jgt.3190080407
- [3] H.J. Bandelt, H.M. Mulder and E. Wilkeit, *Quasi-median graphs and algebras*, J. Graph Theory **18** (1994) 681–703.
doi:10.1002/jgt.3190180705
- [4] A. Berrachedi, *A new characterization of median graphs*, Discrete Math. **128** (1994) 385–387.
doi:10.1016/0012-365X(94)90128-7
- [5] A. Berrachedi and M. Mollard, *Median graphs and hypercubes, some new characterizations*, Discrete Math. **208/209** (1999) 71–75.
doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(99)00063-1

- [6] F.R.K. Chung, R.L. Graham and M.E. Saks, *A dynamic location problem for graphs*, *Combinatorica* **9** (1989) 111–131.
doi:10.1007/BF02124674
- [7] W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, *Product Graphs: Structure and Recognition* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2000).
- [8] H.M. Mulder, *n-cubes and median graphs*, *J. Graph Theory* **4** (1980) 107–110.
doi:10.1002/jgt.3190040112
- [9] H.M. Mulder, *The Interval Function of a Graph* (Mathematical Centre Tracks **132**, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980).
- [10] L. Nebeský, *Algebraic properties of Husimi trees*, *Časopis Pešt. Math.* **107** (1982) 116–123.
- [11] E. Wilkeit, *The retracts of Hamming graphs*, *Discrete Math.* **102** (1992) 197–218.
doi:10.1016/0012-365X(92)90054-J

Received 13 June 2016

Revised 16 January 2017

Accepted 16 January 2017