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#### Abstract

The height of a face in a 3-polytope is the maximum degree of its incident vertices, and the height of a 3-polytope, $h$, is the minimum height of its faces. A face is pyramidal if it is either a 4 -face incident with three 3 -vertices, or a 3 -face incident with two vertices of degree at most 4 . If pyramidal faces are allowed, then $h$ can be arbitrarily large, so we assume the absence of pyramidal faces in what follows.

In 1940, Lebesgue proved that every quadrangulated 3-polytope has $h \leq$ 11. In 1995, this bound was lowered by Avgustinovich and Borodin to 10. Recently, Borodin and Ivanova improved it to the sharp bound 8.

For plane triangulation without 4 -vertices, Borodin (1992), confirming the Kotzig conjecture of 1979 , proved that $h \leq 20$, which bound is sharp. Later, Borodin (1998) proved that $h \leq 20$ for all triangulated 3-polytopes. In 1996, Horňák and Jendrol' proved for arbitrarily polytopes that $h \leq 23$. Recently, Borodin and Ivanova obtained the sharp bounds 10 for trianglefree polytopes and 20 for arbitrary polytopes.

In this paper we prove that any polytope has a face of degree at most 10 with height at most 20 , where 10 and 20 are sharp.
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## 1. Introduction

By a 3 -polytope we mean a finite convex 3 -dimensional polytope. As proved by Steinitz [30], the 3-polytopes are in 1-1 correspondence with the 3-connected planar graphs.

A plane map is normal (NPM) if each its vertex and face is incident with at least three edges. Clearly, every 3 -polytope is an NPM.

The degree $d(x)$ of a vertex or face $x$ in an NPM $M$ is the number of incident edges. A $k$-vertex or $k$-face is one of degree $k$, a $k^{+}$-vertex has degree at least $k$, a $k^{-}$-face has degree at most $k$, and so on.

The height $h(f)$ of a face $f$ in $M$ is the maximum degree of its incident vertices. The height $h(M)$ (or simply $h$ ) of a map $M$ is the minimum height of faces in $M$.

A 3 -face is pyramidal if it is incident with at least two $4^{-}$-vertices, and a 4 -face is pyramidal if it is incident with at least three 3 -vertices.

If $M$ has pyramidal faces, then $h$ can be arbitrarily large. Indeed, every face $f$ of the Archimedean $(3,3,3, n)$ - and $(4,4, n)$-solids satisfies $h(f)=n$. We consider NPMs without pyramidal faces in what follows.

We now recall some results about the structure of $5^{-}$-faces in $M$ without pyramidal faces. By $\delta$ denote the minimum degree of vertices in $M$. We say that $f$ is a face of type ( $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots$ ) or simply ( $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots$ )-face if the set of its incident vertices is majorized by the vector $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots\right)$.

In 1940, Lebesgue [26] gave an approximated description of $5^{-}$-faces in NPMs.
Theorem 1 (Lebesgue [26]). Every normal plane map has a $5^{-}$-face of one of the following types:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(3,6, \infty),(3,7,41),(3,8,23),(3,9,17),(3,10,14),(3,11,13) \\
(4,4, \infty),(4,5,19),(4,6,11),(4,7,9),(5,5,9),(5,6,7) \\
(3,3,3, \infty),(3,3,4,11),(3,3,5,7),(3,4,4,5),(3,3,3,3,5)
\end{gathered}
$$

The classical Theorem 1, along with other ideas in [26], has numerous applications to coloring problems on plane graphs (first examples of such applications and a recent survey can be found in $[4,28]$ ). In 2002, Borodin [7] strengthened Theorem 1 in six parameters without worsening the others. However, the question in [7] of the best possible version(s) of Theorem 1 remains open, even for the special case of quadrangulations. Precise descriptions are obtained for NPMs with $\delta=5$ (Borodin [3]) and $\delta \geq 4$ (Borodin, Ivanova [9]), and also for triangulations (Borodin, Ivanova, Kostochka [15]).

Some parameters of Lebesgue's Theorem were improved for special classes of plane graphs. In 1989, Borodin [3] proved, confirming Kotzig's conjecture [24] of

1963, that every normal plane map with $\delta=5$ has a ( $5,5,7$ )-face or ( $5,6,6$ )-face, where all parameters are the best possible. This result also confirmed Grünbaum's conjecture [19] of 1975 that the cyclic connectivity (defined as the minimum number of edges to be deleted from a graph so as to obtain two components each of which has a cycle) of every 5 -connected plane graph is at most 11 , which bound is sharp (earlier, Plummer [29] obtained the bound 13).

For plane triangulations without 4-vertices Kotzig [25] proved that $h \leq 30$, and Borodin [5] proved, confirming Kotzig's conjecture [25], that $h \leq 20$; this bound is the best possible, as follows from the construction obtained from the icosahedron by twice inserting a 3 -vertex into each face. Borodin [6] further showed that $h \leq 20$ for every triangulated 3 -polytopes.

In 1940, Lebesgue [26] proved that every quadrangulated 3-polytope satisfies $h \leq 11$. In 1995, this bound was improved by Avgustinovich and Borodin [1] to 10. Recently, Borodin and Ivanova [10] improved this bound to the sharp bound 8 , and obtained the best possible bound 10 for triangle-free polytopes in [11].

Borodin and Loparev [8], with the additional assumption of the absence of $(3,5, \infty)$-faces, proved that there is either a 3 -face with height at most 20 , or 4 -face with height at most 11 , or 5 -face of height at most 5 , where bounds 20 and 5 are best possible. We note that the height of $5^{-}$-faces can reach 30 in the presence $(3,5, \infty)$-face due to the construction by Hornák and Jendrol' [20]. Furthermore, Horňák and Jendrol' [20] proved that $h \leq 39$, which was recently improved by Borodin and Ivanova [14] to $h \leq 30$.

Other results related to Lebesgue's Theorem can be found in the above mentioned papers and also in [2,16-18, 21-23, 27, 31].

For arbitrary polytopes, Horňák and Jendrol' $[20]$ (1996) proved that $h \leq 23$. Recently, Borodin and Ivanova [13] improved this bound to the best possible bound 20 .

The purpose of this paper is to refine the general bound 20 as follows.
Theorem 2. Every normal plane map without pyramidal faces has a $10^{-}$-face of height at most 20, where both bounds 10 and 20 are sharp.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2

The bound 20 is attained at the triangulation described in Introduction, obtained from the icosahedron by two-fold putting 3 -vertices in all faces.

Figure 1 shows how to transform the ( $3,3,3,3,5$ ) Archimedean solid into a 3 -polytope with no $9^{-}$-faces of height at most 20 , which means that 10 is sharp. In particular, Figure 1 shows a fragment of the 3 -polytope obtained.


Figure 1. Each $9^{-}$-face is incident with a 22 -vertex [12].
Now let a normal plane map $M^{\prime}$ be a counterexample to Theorem 2. Starting from $M^{\prime}$, we construct a counterexample $M$ to Theorem 2 with some useful properties.

The operation D 1 consists in putting a diagonal incident with a $21^{+}$-vertex into a $4^{+}$-face $f$ that subdivides $f$ into two non-pyramidal faces. By the operation D2 we mean putting a 3 -vertex into a face $x y z$ such that $d(x) \geq 21, d(y) \geq 21$, and $d(z)=5$. Clearly, D2 does not create pyramidal faces, and each application of D1 or D2 transforms a counterexample to another counterexample with additional useful properties.

We first apply D1 to $M^{\prime}$ as many times as possible, and then apply D2 as much as we can; after a finite number of steps this results in a counterexample $M$.

### 2.1. The structural properties of the counterexample $M$

(P1) M has no faces of degree from 6 to 10 . Since each such face $f$ is incident with a $21^{+}$-vertex $v$ by assumption, we apply the operation D 1 to $f$ by joining $v$ with a vertex at distance at least 3 along the boundary of $f$. This results is splitting $f$ to two non-pyramidal $4^{+}$-faces with height at least 22 , contrary to the maximality of $M$.
(P2) $M$ has no $4^{+}$-face $f=\cdots x y z$, where $d(y) \geq 21$ and both $x$ and $z$ are $5^{+}$-vertices. We can apply D1 to such a face by adding a diagonal incident with $y$, thus splitting $f$ into two non-pyramidal $3^{+}$-faces, a contradiction.
(P3) $M$ has no 4 -face $f=w x y z$, such that $d(y) \geq 21$ and $d(x)=d(z)=3$. Since $M$ has no pyramidal 4-faces, it would follow that $d(w) \geq 4$ and we could add the diagonal $y w$ to $f$.
(P4) In $M, a 21^{+}$-vertex cannot lie at distance two from a $4^{+}$-vertex in the boundary of an incident $4^{+}$-face $f$. Otherwise, we could apply D1 by joining these vertices inside $f$.
(P5) Every 5-vertex $v$ in $M$ is incident with an $11^{+}$-face $f$ of height at most 20. Due to the oddness of $d(v)$, our $v$ has either two consecutive $20^{-}$-neighbors, or two consecutive $21^{+}$-neighbors.

If $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are $21^{+}$-neighbors of $v$, then there is a 3 -face $v_{1} v v_{2}$ according to D1, which means that we can apply D 2 , a contradiction.

Suppose $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ are $20^{-}$-neighbors of $v$. Hence there is a $4^{+}$-face $f=\cdots$ $v_{3} v v_{4}$ (since $M$ has no $10^{-}$-face of height at most 20). If $f$ were incident with a $21^{+}$-vertex $z$, then we could join $v$ to $z$, contrary to the maximality of $M$. Hence $h(f) \leq 20$, which implies that $d(f) \geq 11$, as claimed.
(P6) If $M$ has a 3-vertex $v$ incident with precisely two 3-faces, then $v$ has a $21^{+}$-neighbor and is incident with an $11^{+}$-face $f$ of height at most 20 . Suppose a 3 -vertex $v$ is incident with a $4^{+}$-face $f=\cdots v_{1} v v_{3}$ and 3 -faces $v v_{1} v_{2}$ and $v v_{2} v_{3}$. Note that $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 5$ and $d\left(v_{3}\right) \geq 5$ due to the absence of pyramidal 3 -faces. On the other hand, if $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 21$, then we could apply D1 by inserting the diagonal $v_{1} v_{3}$, a contradiction. By symmetry, we have $d\left(v_{1}\right) \leq 20$ and $d\left(v_{3}\right) \leq 20$, which again implies that $h(f) \leq 20$ and $d(f) \geq 11$ by (P2). In turn, this implies that $d\left(v_{2}\right) \geq 21$, and we are done.

### 2.2. Discharging

Euler's formula $|V|-|E|+|F|=2$ for $M$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \in V}(d(v)-6)+\sum_{f \in F}(2 d(f)-6)=-12, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V, E$ and $F$ are the sets of vertices, edges, and faces of $M$, respectively.
We define the initial charge to be $\mu(v)=d(v)-6$ whenever $v \in V$ and $\mu(f)=2 d(f)-6$ whenever $f \in F$. Using the properties of $M$ as a counterexample, we locally redistribute the initial charges, preserving their sum, so as the new charge $\mu^{\prime}(x)$ becomes non-negative whenever $x \in V \cup F$. This will contradict the fact that the sum of new charges is still -12 according to (1).

By $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d(v)}$ denote the neighbors of a vertex $v$ in a cyclic order. A 4face $w x y z$ is special if $d(x)=d(w)=3,4 \leq d(y) \leq 20$, and $d(z) \geq 21$. A 3 -vertex $v$ is bad if $v$ is incident with a 3 -face $v_{1} v v_{2}$, where $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 21,5 \leq d\left(v_{2}\right) \leq 20$, special face $v v_{2} x v_{3}$ and $4^{+}$-face $\cdots v_{1} v v_{3}$ (see Figure 2, R3). Note that $d(x) \geq 21$. A vertex incident only with 3 -faces is simplicial.

We use the following rules of discharging (see Figure 2).
R1. Every 3-vertex not incident with 3-faces receives 1 from each incident face.


Figure 2. Rules of discharging.

R2. Every 3-vertex $v$ incident with a unique triangle $T=v_{1} v v_{2}$, where $d\left(v_{i}\right) \geq 21$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$, receives $\frac{1}{2}$ from each $v_{i}$ through $T$ and 1 from each of the two incident $4^{+}$-faces.

R3. Every bad 3-vertex $v$ incident with a triangle $T=v_{1} v v_{2}$ with $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 21$ and $5 \leq d\left(v_{2}\right) \leq 20$ and special face $f=v v_{2} x v_{3}$ with $d(x) \geq 21$ receives $\frac{3}{4}$ from $v_{1}$ through $T, \frac{1}{4}$ from $x$ through $f$, and 1 from each of the two incident $4^{+}$-faces.

R4. Every 3 -vertex $v$ incident with a unique triangle $T=v_{1} v v_{2}$ with $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 21$ and $5 \leq d\left(v_{2}\right) \leq 20$ and a non-special $4^{+}$-face $f=\cdots v_{2} v v_{3}$ receives $\frac{3}{4}$ from $v_{1}$ through $T, \frac{5}{4}$ from $f$, and 1 from the other incident $4^{+}$-face.

R5. Every 3 -vertex $v$ incident with an $11^{+}$-face $f=v_{1} v v_{3} \cdots$ and two 3 -faces receives 2 from $f$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ from the $21^{+}$-vertex $v_{2}$ through each incident 3 -face.
R6. Every simplicial 3-vertex adjacent to three $21^{+}$-vertices receives $\frac{1}{2}$ from each of them through each incident face.
R7. Every simplicial 3 -vertex adjacent to precisely two $21^{+}$-vertices receives $\frac{3}{4}$ from each of them through each incident face.
R8. Every 4-vertex $v$ incident with a triangle $T=v_{1} v v_{2}$, where $d\left(v_{i}\right) \geq 21$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$, receives $\frac{1}{4}$ from each $v_{i}$ through $T$.

R9. Every 4-vertex $v$ incident with a triangle $T=v_{1} v v_{2}$, where $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 21$, $5 \leq d\left(v_{2}\right) \leq 20$, receives $\frac{1}{2}$ from $v_{1}$ through $T$.
R10. Every 4-vertex incident with a special face $f$ receives $\frac{1}{2}$ through $f$ from the $21^{+}$-vertex incident with $f$.

R11. Every 4 -vertex receives $\frac{1}{2}$ from each incident non-special $4^{+}$-face.
R12. Every 5 -vertex $v$ receives 1 from each incident $11^{+}$-face.

### 2.3. Proving that $\mu^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ whenever $x \in V \cup F$

Case 1. $f \in F$. Note that $d(f) \leq 5$ or $d(f) \geq 11$ due to (P1). We recall that every $10^{-}$-face is incident with a $21^{+}$-vertex.

Suppose $f=\cdots v_{2} v_{1}$. First suppose that $d(f) \geq 11$. If $f$ gives 2 to $v_{2}$ by R5, then $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 5$ and $d\left(v_{3}\right) \geq 5$ due to the absence of pyramidal 3 -faces, so each of $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$ receives at most 1 from $f$. If $f$ gives $\frac{5}{4}$ to $v_{2}$ by R 4 , then we can assume by symmetry that $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 5$ and again receives at most 1 from $f$.

If $v_{2}$ receives 2 , then we move $\frac{1}{4}$ to the donations of each of $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$, so that each of $v_{1}, v_{2}$, and $v_{3}$ now takes at most $\frac{3}{2}$ from $f$. As a result, we have $\mu^{\prime}(f) \geq 2 d(f)-6-d(f) \times \frac{3}{2}=\frac{d(f)-12}{2} \geq 0$ for $d(f) \geq 12$.

If $d(f)=11$, then there exist two consecutive vertices in the boundary of $f$, say $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, such that each of them takes less than 2 , in fact at most $\frac{5}{4}$, from $f$. Furthermore, $f$ gives at most 1 to one of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. After above movings of $\frac{1}{4}$, each of $v_{1}, v_{2}$ takes at most $\frac{5}{4}$ from $f$. This implies that $\mu^{\prime}(f) \geq$ $2 \times 11-6-2 \times \frac{5}{4}-(11-2) \times \frac{3}{2}=0$.

Now suppose $d(f)=5$. If $f$ does not give $\frac{5}{4}$ by R4, then $\mu^{\prime}(f) \geq 2 \times 5-6-$ $4 \times 1=0$ since $f$ is incident with a $21^{+}$-vertex by assumption. Otherwise, the boundary of $f$ must have a path consisting of a 3 -vertex $v_{1}$, a vertex $v_{2}$ of degree between 5 and 20 , and a $21^{+}$-vertex $v_{3}$ due to (P4). However, this contradicts the maximality of $M$, since we can add the diagonal $v_{1} v_{3}$ without creating pyramidal faces.

Next suppose that $d(f)=4$. Note that $f$ can give 1 or $\frac{5}{4}$ to 3 -vertices by $\mathrm{R} 1-\mathrm{R} 4$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ to 4 -vertices by R11. It remains to assume according to ( P 4 ) that $f$ is incident with at most two 3 -vertices. If $f$ is incident with precisely two 3 vertices, then R 4 is not applied to $f$, which implies $\mu^{\prime}(f)=2 \times 4-6-2 \times 1=0$ by R1-R3. Otherwise, we have $\mu^{\prime}(f) \geq 2-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{1}{2}>2-1-2 \times \frac{1}{2}=0$ due to R4 and R11.

Finally, if $d(f)=3$ then $f$ does not participate in R1-R12, whence $\mu^{\prime}(f)=$ $\mu(f)=0$.

Case 2. $v \in V$. Note that the charge is given according to R2-R10 only from $21^{+}$-vertices to $4^{-}$-vertices. Moreover, $v$ gives at most $\frac{3}{4}$ through each incident face. If $d(v) \geq 24$, then $\mu^{\prime}(f) \geq d(v)-6-d(v) \times \frac{3}{4}=\frac{d(v)-24}{4} \geq 0$.

Suppose that $21 \leq d(v) \leq 23$. If $v$ gives $\frac{3}{4}$ through each face, then a 23 -vertex has a deficiency $\frac{1}{4}$, and 22 - and 21-vertices have deficiencies $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$, respectively. In what follows, we will make sure that in fact $v$ saves something at certain faces with respect to the level of $\frac{3}{4}$. To estimate the total donation of $v$, we need the following observations.
(S1) $v$ gives nothing through a non-special $4^{+}$-face, which means that $v$ saves $\frac{3}{4}$ at such a face.
(S2) The saving of $v$ at an incident $\left(5^{+}, 5^{+}, 21^{+}\right)$-face is $\frac{3}{4}$.
(S3) Through a special $\left(3,3,5^{+}, 21^{+}\right)$-face, $v$ can transfer $\frac{1}{4}$ to a bad 3 -vertex by R3, and so saves $\frac{1}{2}$ at such a face.
(S4) Through a special $\left(3,3,4,21^{+}\right)$-face, $v$ transfers $\frac{1}{2}$ by R10, and so saves $\frac{1}{4}$.
(S5) $v$ transfers at most $\frac{1}{2}$ through a 3 -face incident with a 4 -vertex by R8, R 9 , and saves at least $\frac{1}{4}$.
(S6) As follows from (S4) and (S5), the presence of a 4 -vertex $w$ adjacent to $v$ implies the total saving at least $\frac{1}{2}$ at the two faces incident with the edge $v w$.
(S7) Each participation of $v$ in R5 or R6 results in saving of $\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}$.
(S8) As follows from (S1)-(S5), the saving of $v$ at an incident face $f$ can equal zero only if $f$ is a 3 -face incident with a 3 -vertex, which happens only when one of R3, R4, and R7 is applied.

Subcase 2.1. $d(v)=23$. To cover the deficiency of $\frac{1}{4}$, it suffices to have a face with a positive saving at $v$. Otherwise, according to (S8), the vertex $v$ is simplicial and the degrees of neighbors of $v$ alternate from 3 to $5^{+}$. The latter is impossible due to the oddness of $d(v)$.

Subcase 2.2. $d(v)=22$. According to (S6), we can assume that $v$ has no 4 -neighbors, which implies that we are done unless $v$ is simplicial due to (S1) and (S3). If so, then the degrees of neighbors of $v$ must alternate from 3 to $5^{+}$ in view of (S2). We now look at the eleven $5^{+}$-neighbors of $v$. By parity, there should exist a 3 -neighbor, say $v_{2}$, such that either $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 21$ and $d\left(v_{3}\right) \geq 21$, or $d\left(v_{1}\right) \leq 20$ and $d\left(v_{3}\right) \leq 20$. This results in saving $2 \times \frac{1}{4}$ by $v$ at the two 3 -faces incident with the edge $v v_{2}$ by ( S 7 ) due to R 5 or R 6 in view of ( P 4 ), as desired.

Subcase 2.3. $d(v)=21$. We recall that now we need to find a total saving of $\frac{3}{4}$. We can assume that $v$ has no two consecutive $5^{+}$-neighbors, for otherwise this yields a 3 -face by ( P 2 ), which takes nothing from $v$ by (S2), and we are done.

Since $d(v)$ is odd, there are two consecutive $4^{-}$-vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{21}$, which form a $4^{+}$-face $f_{21}=\cdots v_{1} v v_{21}$ due to the absence of any pyramidal face. If $d\left(v_{1}\right)=d\left(v_{21}\right)=4$, then $v$ saves $\frac{3}{4}$ at the non-special face $f_{21}$ by our rules, and the same is true if $d\left(v_{1}\right)=d\left(v_{21}\right)=3$. Therefore, we can assume that $d\left(v_{1}\right)=3$ and $d\left(v_{21}\right)=4$. This means that we are done unless $d\left(f_{21}\right)=4$ and, moreover, $f_{21}$ is special and participates in R10. Hence $v$ saves $\frac{1}{4}$ at $f_{21}$.

Since at least $\frac{1}{4}$ is also saved at the face $f_{20}=\cdots v_{20} v v_{21}$ as mentioned in (S6), we can assume that $v$ has no saving at the other 19 faces.

According to (S8), all these 19 faces are triangles incident with 3 -vertices. Due to the absence of pyramidal faces, we have $d\left(v_{1}\right)=d\left(v_{3}\right)=\cdots=d\left(v_{19}\right)=3$, and each of these 3 -vertices, except $v_{1}$, is simplicial and participates in R7. Hence, the degrees of $v_{2}, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{20}$ alternate from $21^{+}$to $20^{-}$.

If $d\left(v_{2}\right) \geq 21$, then our $v$ saves another $\frac{1}{4}$ at the face $v_{1} v v_{2}$ according to R2, hence it remains to assume that $d\left(v_{2}\right) \leq 20$. This implies that $d\left(v_{20}\right) \geq 21$, which means that $d\left(f_{20}\right)=3$ due to ( P 4 ), and $v$ actually saves as much as $\frac{1}{2}$ at $f_{20}$ according to R8. Due to $\frac{1}{4}$ saved at the face $f_{21}$, we have $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 0$, as desired.

Subcase 2.5. $6 \leq d(v) \leq 20$. Since $v$ does not participate in R1-R12, it follows that $\mu^{\prime}(v)=\mu(v)=\bar{d}(v)-6 \geq 0$.

Subcase 2.6. $d(v)=5$. Note that $v$ is incident with an $11^{+}$-face due to (P5), so $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq 5-6+1=0$ by R12.

Subcase 2.7. $d(v)=4$. Note that $v$ receives $\frac{1}{2}$ by R8-R11 from or through each incident face, whence $\mu^{\prime}(v) \geq-2+4 \times \frac{1}{2}=0$.

Subcase 2.8. $d(v)=3$. A small case analysis based on the number of incident 3 -faces shows in view of (P6) that we always have $\mu^{\prime}(v)=-3+3=0$ by R1-R7.

Thus we have proved that $\mu^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V \cup F$, this contradicts (1) and completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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