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#### Abstract

For two graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, the Ramsey number $R\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ is the smallest integer $N$, such that for any graph on $N$ vertices, either $G$ contains $G_{1}$ or $\bar{G}$ contains $G_{2}$. Let $S_{n}$ be a star of order $n$ and $W_{m}$ be a wheel of order $m+1$. In this paper, we will show $R\left(W_{n}, S_{n}\right) \leq 5 n / 2-1$, where $n \geq 6$ is even. Also, by using this theorem, we conclude that $R\left(W_{n}, S_{n}\right)=5 n / 2-2$ or $5 n / 2-1$, for $n \geq 6$ and even. Finally, we prove that for sufficiently large even $n$ we have $R\left(W_{n}, S_{n}\right)=5 n / 2-2$.
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## 1. Introduction and Background

Let $G=(V, E)$ denote a finite simple graph on the vertex set $V$ and the edge set $E$. For the terms undefined here you can see [2]. The subgraph of $G$ induced by $S \subseteq V, G[S]$, is a graph with vertex set $S$ and two vertices of $S$ are adjacent in $G[S]$ if and only if they are adjacent in $G$. The complement of a graph $G$ is denoted by $\bar{G}$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, we denote the set of all neighbors of $v$ by $N_{G}(v)$ (or $N(v)$ ). The degree of a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$, denoted by $d e g_{G}(v)$ (or $\operatorname{deg}(v)$ ), is the size of the set $N(v)$. The minimum degree, maximum degree and clique number of $G$ are denoted by $\delta(G), \Delta(G)$ and $\omega(G)$, respectively. The girth of graph $G, g(G)$, is the length of shortest cycle. Also, the circumference of graph
$G$ is the length of longest cycle in $G$ and is denoted by $c(G)$. A graph $G$ of order $n$ is called Hamiltonian, pancyclic and weakly pancyclic if it contains $C_{n}$, cycles of every length between 3 and $n$, and cycles of every length $l$ with $g(G) \leq l \leq c(G)$, respectively. We say that $G$ is a join graph if $G$ is the complete union of two graphs $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$. In other words, $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ and $E=E_{1} \cup E_{2} \cup\left\{u v: u \in V_{1}, v \in V_{2}\right\}$. If $G$ is the join graph of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, we shall write $G=G_{1}+G_{2}$. A wheel $W_{m}$ is a graph on $m+1$ vertices obtained from $C_{m}$ by adding one vertex which is called the hub and joining each vertex of $C_{m}$ to the hub with the edges called the rim of the wheel. In other words, $W_{m}=C_{m}+K_{1}$. A star $S_{n}$ is the complete bipartite graph $K_{1, n-1}$.

For two graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, the Ramsey number $R\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ is the smallest positive integer $N$ such that for every graph $G$ on $N$ vertices, $G$ contains $G_{1}$ as a subgraph or the complement of $G$ contains $G_{2}$ as a subgraph. Chvátal and Harary in [4] proved the following lower bound for Ramsey numbers:

$$
R(G, H) \geq(\chi(G)-1) \cdot(l(H)-1)+1,
$$

where $l(H)$ is the number of vertices in the largest connected component of $H$ and $\chi(G)$ is the chromatic number of $G$.

In this note, we consider the Ramsey number for stars versus wheels. The Harary lower bound for $R\left(W_{m}, S_{n}\right)$ is $3 n-2$ or $2 n-1$, where $m$ is odd or even, respectively. There are many results about this Ramsey number when $m$ is odd. Chen et al. in the year 2004 proved that if $m \leq n+1$ and $m$ is odd, then $R\left(W_{m}, S_{n}\right)=3 n-2$ which is the Harary lower bound (see [3]). Also, one year later, Hasmawati et al. extended this bound for $m$. They showed that $R\left(W_{m}, S_{n}\right)=3 n-2$, for the case $m \leq 2 n-3$ in [8]. But, one can see in [7], if $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2 n-2$, then $R\left(W_{m}, S_{n}\right)=n+m-1$, where $m$ is odd.

Also, one can find many results about $R\left(W_{m}, S_{n}\right)$ when $m$ is even. Surahmat and Baskoro in [12] verified this Ramsey number for the case $m=4$ in 2001. They proved that $R\left(W_{4}, S_{n}\right)=2 n-1$ if $n \geq 3$ and odd, and $R\left(W_{4}, S_{n}\right)=2 n+1$ if $n \geq 4$ and even. Korolova in [9] found a lower bound which improved the Harary lower bound. In fact Korolova proved that $R\left(W_{m}, S_{n}\right) \geq 2 n+1$ for all $n \geq m \geq 6$ and $m$ even. Also, Chen et al. in [3] showed that this lower bound is sharp for $m=6$. In other words, they proved that $R\left(W_{6}, S_{n}\right)=2 n+1$. It was proved in [14] that $R\left(W_{8}, S_{n}\right)=2 n+2$ for $n \geq 6$ and even in the year 2008. Also, one year later, the exact value of $R\left(W_{8}, S_{n}\right)$ for odd $n$ was determined. In fact, it was shown in [13] that $R\left(W_{8}, S_{n}\right)=2 n+1$ for $n \geq 5$ and odd in the year 2009.

Li and Schiermeyer in [10] indicated two following theorems in which they obtained a new lower bound and showed that for some cases this bound is sharp.

Theorem 1 [10]. If $6 \leq m \leq 2 n-4$ and $m$ is even, then

$$
R\left(W_{m}, S_{n}\right) \geq \begin{cases}2 n+m / 2-3 & \text { if } n \text { is odd and } m / 2 \text { is even } \\ 2 n+m / 2-2 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 2 [10]. If $n+1 \leq m \leq 2 n-4$ and $m$ is even, then

$$
R\left(W_{m}, S_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}2 n+m / 2-3 & \text { if } n \text { is odd and } m / 2 \text { is even } \\ 2 n+m / 2-2 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

But for some cases, $R\left(W_{m}, S_{n}\right)$, where $m$ is even, is still open. One of these cases is when $m=n$. It was shown in [9] that $R\left(W_{n}, S_{n}\right) \leq 3 n-3$ when $n$ is even. In this paper, we will improve this upper bound and prove the following.

Theorem 3. $R\left(W_{n}, S_{n}\right) \leq 5 n / 2-1$, where $n \geq 6$ is even.
Finally, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 4. For sufficiently large even $n$ we have $R\left(W_{n}, S_{n}\right) \leq 5 n / 2-2$.

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas and Theorems

To prove Theorem 3, we need some theorems and lemmas.
Lemma 5 (Brandt et al. [1]). Every non-bipartite graph $G$ of order $n$ with $\delta(G)$ $\geq(n+2) / 3$ is weakly pancyclic with $g(G)=3$ or $g(G)=4$.

Lemma 6 (Dirac [5]). Let $G$ be a 2-connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ with $\delta(G)=\delta$. Then $c(G) \geq \min \{2 \delta, n\}$.
Theorem 7 (Faudree and Schelp [6], Rosta [11]).
$R\left(C_{n}, C_{m}\right)= \begin{cases}2 n-1 & \text { for } 3 \leq m \leq n, m \text { odd }(n, m) \neq(3,3), \\ n+m / 2-1 & \text { for } 4 \leq m \leq n, m, n \text { even }(n, m) \neq(4,4), \\ \max \{n+m / 2-1,2 m-1\} & \text { for } 4 \leq m<n, m \text { even and } n \text { odd. }\end{cases}$
Lemma 8 [2]. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph of order $n$ ( $n$ even) with bipartition $(X, Y)$ and $|X|=|Y|=n / 2$. If for all distinct nonadjacent vertices $u \in X$ and $v \in Y$, we have $\operatorname{deg}(u)+\operatorname{deg}(v)>n / 2$, then $G$ is Hamiltonian.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 3

From now on, let $G$ be a graph of order $N=5 n / 2-1$, where $n \geq 6$ and $n$ is even, such that neither $G$ contains $W_{n}$ nor its complement, $\bar{G}$, contains $S_{n}$. Also,
for every vertex $t \in V(G)$ consider $H_{t}=G[N(t)]$ and $\overline{H_{t}}=\bar{G}[N(t)]$. Since $\bar{G}$ has no $S_{n}, \operatorname{deg}_{\bar{G}}(v) \leq n-2$, for each vertex $v \in V(G)$. Thus, $\delta(G) \geq 3 n / 2$. In the middle of the proof, we sometimes interrupt it and have some lemmas.

Let $v_{0} \in V(G)$ be an arbitrary vertex. There exists a $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{0}\right)=3 n / 2+k$, since $\delta(G) \geq 3 n / 2$. Thus, the order of $H_{v_{0}}=$ $G\left[N\left(v_{0}\right)\right]$ is $3 n / 2+k$. By the second part of Theorem 7, we have $\left|V\left(H_{v_{0}}\right)\right|=$ $3 n / 2+k \geq R\left(C_{n}, C_{s}\right)$, where $s=2 l$, and $l$ is an integer such that $4 \leq 2 l \leq$ $n+k+1$. (Note that in Theorem 3 we have $n \geq 6$, so the case $(n, s)=(4,4)$ does not occur for $R\left(C_{n}, C_{s}\right)$ in Theorem 7). Thus, either $H_{v_{0}}$ contains $C_{n}$ or $\bar{H}_{v_{0}}$ contains $C_{s}$. But if $H_{v_{0}}$ contains $C_{n}$, then $G$ contains $W_{n}$, which is a contradiction. Hence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 9. Let $v \in V(G)$ and $k$ be an element in the set $\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\}$ such that $\left|V\left(H_{v}\right)\right|=3 n / 2+k$. Then $\bar{H}_{v}$ contains $C_{2 l}$ for all integers $l$ such that $4 \leq 2 l \leq n+k+1$.

Proposition 10. $\omega(\bar{G}) \leq n-2$ and $\omega(G) \leq n-1$.
Proof. It is clear that $\omega(\bar{G}) \leq n-1$, since $\Delta(\bar{G}) \leq n-2$. Suppose $\omega(\bar{G})=$ $n-1$ and $T=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$ is a clique in $\bar{G}$. For any $v \in V-T, N_{\bar{G}}(v) \cap T=\emptyset$, otherwise $\bar{G}[T \cup\{v\}]$ contains $S_{n}$. Now consider $v \in V-T$ and let $k$ be an element in the set $\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\}$ such that $\left|V\left(H_{v}\right)\right|=3 n / 2+k$. Since $N_{\bar{G}}(v) \cap T=\emptyset$, the set $V\left(H_{v}\right)$ contains the set $T$. It means that $\bar{G}[T]$ is a connected component of $\bar{H}_{v}$ in the graph $\bar{G}$. On the other hand, by Corollary $9, \bar{H}_{v}$ contains a cycle $C$ of length $2 l$, where $l=\lfloor(n+k+1) / 2\rfloor$. Note that $C \nsubseteq T$, since $2 l>n-1$. Thus, $C \subseteq \bar{H}_{v}-T$. But $\bar{H}_{v}-T$ has $n / 2+k+1$ vertices, which is less than $2 l$, a contradiction. Hence $\omega(\bar{G}) \leq n-2$. For the second part, assume to the contrary, $G$ contains $K_{n}$ and $H=G\left[V-K_{n}\right]$. Then $\left|N_{G}(v) \cap K_{n}\right| \geq 2$ for all $v \in V(H)$, otherwise $\operatorname{deg}_{\bar{G}}(v) \geq n-1$, which is a contradiction. If $\left|N_{G}(v) \cap K_{n}\right|=2$ for all $v \in V(H)$, then $H=K_{3 n / 2-1}$, since $\delta(G) \geq 3 n / 2$. But $K_{3 n / 2-1}$ contains $W_{n}$, a contradiction. So, there is a vertex $u \in V(H)$ such that $\left|N_{G}(u) \cap K_{n}\right| \geq 3$. But $\{u\} \cup K_{n}$ contains $W_{n}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\omega(G) \leq n-1$.

We can divide the proof into some cases and subcases.
Case 1. There is a vertex $v \in V(G)$ for which $H_{v}$ is bipartite. Let $H_{v}$ be a bipartite graph, with bipartition $\left(X_{v}, Y_{v}\right)$, of order $3 n / 2+k$ such that $k \in\{0,1$, $\ldots, n-2\}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\left|X_{v}\right| \leq\left|Y_{v}\right|$. Thus, by Proposition 10, we have $n / 2+k+2 \leq\left|X_{v}\right| \leq 3 n / 4+k / 2$ and $3 n / 4+k / 2 \leq$ $\left|Y_{v}\right| \leq n-2$.

Let $\left|X_{v}\right|=n / 2+s$, where $s$ is an integer such that $k+2 \leq s \leq n / 4+k / 2$. Then $\left|Y_{v}\right|=n+k-s$. Since $\Delta(\bar{G}) \leq n-2$ and $\left|V\left(H_{v}\right)\right|=3 n / 2+k$, we conclude $\delta\left(H_{v}\right) \geq n / 2+k+1$. Let $X_{v}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{v}^{\prime}$ be obtained from $X_{v}$ and $Y_{v}$ by
deleting $s$ and $n / 2+k-s$ arbitrary vertices, respectively, and let $H_{v}^{\prime}=\left(X_{v}^{\prime}, Y_{v}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, $\left|X_{v}^{\prime}\right|=\left|Y_{v}^{\prime}\right|=n / 2$ and $\delta\left(X_{v}^{\prime}\right) \geq s+1$ and $\delta\left(Y_{v}^{\prime}\right) \geq n / 2+k+1-s$ in $H_{v}^{\prime}$. Hence for each two vertices $u_{1} \in X_{v}^{\prime}$ and $u_{2} \in Y_{v}^{\prime}$, we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(u_{1}\right)+\operatorname{deg}\left(u_{2}\right) \geq$ $n / 2+k+2$ and by Lemma $8, H_{v}^{\prime}$ contains $C_{n}$. It means that $G$ contains $W_{n}$, which is a contradiction.


Figure 1. The disjoint sets $X_{t}, Y_{t}, X_{u}$ and $X_{v}$.
Case 2. For every vertex $t \in V(G), H_{t}$ is non-bipartite.
Subcase 2.1. Suppose $H_{t}$ is disconnected for all $t \in V(G)$. Let $t \in V(G)$ be an arbitrary vertex and $\left|V\left(H_{t}\right)\right|=3 n / 2+k$, where $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$. We show that $H_{t}$ has exactly two connected components. Suppose to the contrary, $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ are three connected components of $H_{t}$. Since $\delta\left(H_{t}\right) \geq n / 2+k+1$, we conclude $\delta\left(H_{i}\right) \geq n / 2+k+1$ for $i=1,2,3$. Hence $\left|V\left(H_{t}\right)\right|>3 n / 2+k$, which is a contradiction. Now, let $X_{t}, Y_{t}$ be the set of vertices of two components of $H_{t}$. Assume that $\left|X_{t}\right| \leq\left|Y_{t}\right|$. We choose two adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$ in $Y_{t}$, since $\delta\left(H_{t}\right) \geq n / 2+k+1$. Let $\left|V\left(H_{u}\right)\right|=3 n / 2+k^{\prime}$ and $\left|V\left(H_{v}\right)\right|=3 n / 2+k^{\prime \prime}$, where $k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$. Also, let $X_{u}, Y_{u}$ and $X_{v}, Y_{v}$ be the sets of vertices of two components of $H_{u}$ and $H_{v}$, respectively. Since $H_{t}$ and $H_{u}$ are disconnected, $X_{u}$ or $Y_{u}$ is disjoint from $X_{t}$ and $Y_{t}$. To see this, with no loss of generality, suppose that $v$ is contained in $Y_{u}$. Thus, $t \in Y_{u}$ and hence $X_{u} \cap Y_{t}=X_{u} \cap X_{t}=\emptyset$. Similarly, $X_{v}$ or $Y_{v}$, say $X_{v}$, is disjoint from $X_{t}$ and $Y_{t}$. Thus, we have $Y_{t} \cap X_{u}=Y_{t} \cap X_{v}=X_{t} \cap X_{u}=X_{t} \cap X_{v}=\emptyset$. Also, $X_{u} \cap X_{v}=\emptyset$; otherwise if $l \in X_{u} \cap X_{v}$, then $l$ is adjacent to both $u$ and $v$. But $u \in Y_{v}$ implies that $l \in Y_{v}$. It means, $X_{v} \cap Y_{v} \neq \emptyset$ which is a contradiction (see Figure 1). Thus, $X_{u} \cap X_{v}=\emptyset$. Hence $|V(G)| \geq\left|V\left(H_{t}\right)\right|+\left|X_{u}\right|+\left|X_{v}\right|$ which means $|V(G)| \geq(3 n / 2+k)+\left(n / 2+k^{\prime}+2\right)+\left(n / 2+k^{\prime \prime}+2\right)>5 n / 2-1$, which is a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. Suppose $H_{t}$ is connected for some $t \in V(G)$. Assume that there exists a vertex $u \in V(G)$ for which $H_{u}$ is 2-connected and $\left|V\left(H_{u}\right)\right|=3 n / 2+k$ for some $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$. Thus, $\delta\left(H_{u}\right) \geq n / 2+k+1 \geq(3 n / 2+k+2) / 3$
and by Lemma $5, H_{u}$ is weakly pancyclic with $g\left(H_{u}\right)=3$ or $g\left(H_{u}\right)=4$. Also, by Lemma 6, $c\left(H_{u}\right) \geq \min \left\{2 \delta\left(H_{u}\right), 3 n / 2+k\right\}$. Hence $c\left(H_{u}\right) \geq n$ which implies that $H_{u}$ contains $C_{n}$, a contradiction.

Now, assume each connected $H_{t}$ contains a cut-vertex. Let $u$ be a cutvertex of $H_{t}$ and $\left|V\left(H_{t}\right)\right|=3 n / 2+k$. We show that $H_{t}-u$ has exactly two connected components. Suppose to the contrary, $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ are three connected components of $H_{t}-u$. Since $\delta\left(H_{t}\right) \geq n / 2+k+1, \delta\left(H_{i}\right) \geq n / 2+k$ for $i=1,2,3$. Hence $\left|V\left(H_{t}\right)\right|>3 n / 2+k$, which is a contradiction. Now, let $s_{1}$ be a cut-vertex of $H_{t}$ and $X_{t}, Y_{t}$ be the sets of vertices of two components of $H_{t}-s_{1}$. Assume that $\left|X_{t}\right| \leq\left|Y_{t}\right|$. We choose two adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$ in $Y_{t}$, since $\delta\left(H_{t}\right) \geq n / 2+k+1$. With no loss of generality, suppose that $v$ is contained in $Y_{u}$ and $u$ is contained in $Y_{v}$. Thus, $t \in Y_{u} \cap Y_{v}$. Let $s_{2}$ and $s_{3}$ be the cut-vertices of $H_{u}$ and $H_{v}$, respectively (if any of these cut-vertices did not exist, for instance $s_{1}$, then the corresponding subgraph, $H_{t}$, is disconnected and the procedure is the same as in Subcase 2.1) and $\left|V\left(H_{u}\right)\right|=3 n / 2+k^{\prime}$ and $\left|V\left(H_{v}\right)\right|=3 n / 2+k^{\prime \prime}$, where $k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$. Also, let $X_{u}, Y_{u}$ and $X_{v}$, $Y_{v}$ be the sets of vertices of two components of $H_{u}-s_{2}$ and $H_{v}-s_{3}$, respectively. Since $H_{t}-s_{1}, H_{u}-s_{2}$ and $H_{v}-s_{3}$ are disconnected, with the same statement of Subcase 2.1 and without loss of generality, we have $Y_{t} \cap X_{u}=Y_{t} \cap X_{v}=$ $X_{t} \cap X_{u}=X_{t} \cap X_{v}=X_{u} \cap X_{v}=\emptyset$ (see Figure 1). Hence by the fact that $s_{1} \notin X_{u} \cup X_{v}$ (since otherwise, if for instance $s_{1} \in X_{u}$, then $t \in X_{u}$ but $t \in Y_{u}$, a contradiction) we have $|V(G)| \geq\left|V\left(H_{t}-s_{1}\right)\right|+\left|X_{u}\right|+\left|X_{v}\right|+\left|\left\{s_{1}\right\}\right|$ which means $|V(G)| \geq(3 n / 2+k-1)+\left(n / 2+k^{\prime}+1\right)+\left(n / 2+k^{\prime \prime}+1\right)+1>5 n / 2-1$, which is a contradiction, and this completes the proof.

Now, by Theorems 1 and 3, the following corollary is obvious.
Corollary 11. For $n \geq 6$ and even, we have $R\left(W_{n}, S_{n}\right)=5 n / 2-2$ or $5 n / 2-1$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 4

We say $n$ is sufficiently large if there is a graph $G$ of order $n$ such that $\delta(G) \geq$ $n / 4+250$. In this section, we prove that for sufficiently large even $n$ we have $R\left(W_{n}, S_{n}\right)=5 n / 2-2$. In order to prove this, we use following lemma.

Lemma 12 [1]. If $G$ is a 2-connected non-bipartite graph of sufficiently large order $n$ with $\delta(G)>2 n / 7$, then $G$ is weakly pancyclic.

Let $G$ be a graph of order $N=5 n / 2-2$, where $n$ is sufficiently large and even such that neither $G$ contains $W_{n}$ nor its complement, $\bar{G}$, contains $S_{n}$. We define $H_{t}$ for each $t \in V(G)$ similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3. Since $\bar{G}$ has no $S_{n}, \delta(G) \geq 3 n / 2-1$. Let $v_{0} \in V(G)$ be an arbitrary vertex. There exists a
$k \in\{-1,0,1, \ldots, n-3\}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{0}\right)=3 n / 2+k$, since $\delta(G) \geq 3 n / 2-1$. (Here, $k$ is the element of the set $\{-1,0,1, \ldots, n-3\}$. This is the only difference of this proof with the proof of Theorem 3). It is easy to check that Corollary 9 and Proposition 10 are true here.

We can divide the proof into some cases and subcases.
Case 1. There is a vertex $v \in V(G)$ for which $H_{v}$ is bipartite. Let $H_{v}$ be a bipartite graph with bipartition $\left(X_{v}, Y_{v}\right)$ of order $3 n / 2+k$ such that $k \in$ $\{-1,0,1, \ldots, n-3\}$. The sketch of the proof is the same as in Case 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.

Case 2. For every vertex $t \in V(G), H_{t}$ is non-bipartite.
Subcase 2.1. Suppose $H_{t}$ is disconnected for all $t \in V(G)$. In Subcase 2.1 of Theorem 3, let $k, k^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime \prime}$ be in the set $\{-1,0, \ldots, n-3\}$. The rest of the proof is the same. Finally, we obtain $|V(G)| \geq(3 n / 2+k)+\left(n / 2+k^{\prime}+2\right)+$ $\left(n / 2+k^{\prime \prime}+2\right)>5 n / 2-2$, which is a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. Suppose $H_{t}$ is connected for some $t \in V(G)$. Assume that there exists a vertex $u \in V(G)$ for which $H_{u}$ is 2-connected and $\left|V\left(H_{u}\right)\right|=3 n / 2+k$ for some $k \in\{-1,0,1, \ldots, n-3\}$. Thus, $\delta\left(H_{u}\right) \geq n / 2+k+1>2(3 n / 2+k) / 7$ and by Lemma $12, H_{u}$ is weakly pancyclic. Also, by Lemma $6, c\left(H_{u}\right) \geq \min \left\{2 \delta\left(H_{u}\right)\right.$, $3 n / 2+k\}$. Hence $c\left(H_{u}\right) \geq n$ which implies that $H_{u}$ contains $C_{n}$, a contradiction.

Now, assume each connected $H_{t}$ contains a cut-vertex. In Subcase 2.2 of Theorem 3, let $k, k^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime \prime}$ be in the set $\{-1,0, \ldots, n-3\}$. The rest of the proof is the same. Finally, we obtain $|V(G)| \geq(3 n / 2+k-1)+\left(n / 2+k^{\prime}+1\right)+$ $\left(n / 2+k^{\prime \prime}+1\right)+1>5 n / 2-2$, which is a contradiction, and this completes the proof.

Now, by Theorems 1 and 4, the following corollary is obvious.
Corollary 13. For sufficiently large even $n$, we have $R\left(W_{n}, S_{n}\right)=5 n / 2-2$.
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