# UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE STRONG CHROMATIC INDEX OF HALIN GRAPHS 

Ziyu Hu<br>Department of Mathematical Sciences<br>Florida Atlantic University<br>e-mail: azuth.hu@gmail.com<br>Ko-Wei Lif<br>Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica<br>e-mail: makwlih@sinica.edu.tw<br>AND<br>Daphne Der-Fen Liu ${ }^{1}$<br>Department of Mathematics California State University Los Angeles<br>e-mail: dliu@calstatela.edu


#### Abstract

Keywords: strong edge-coloring, strong chromatic index, Halin graphs.


2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15.
The strong chromatic index of a graph $G$, denoted by $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G)$, is the minimum number of vertex induced matchings needed to partition the edge set of $G$. Let $T$ be a tree without vertices of degree 2 and have at least one vertex of degree greater than 2. We construct a Halin graph $G$ by drawing $T$ on the plane and then drawing a cycle $C$ connecting all its leaves in such a way that $C$ forms the boundary of the unbounded face. We call $T$ the characteristic tree of $G$. Let $G$ denote a Halin graph with maximum degree $\Delta$ and characteristic tree $T$. We prove that $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 2 \Delta+1$ when $\Delta \geqslant 4$. In addition, we show that if $\Delta=4$ and $G$ is not a wheel, then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant \chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+2$. A similar result for $\Delta=3$ was established by Lih and Liu [21].
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a simple graph. The distance between two edges $e$ and $e^{\prime}$ in $G$ is the minimum $k$ for which there is a sequence $e=e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}=e^{\prime}$ of distinct edges such that for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k, e_{i-1}$ and $e_{i}$ share an end vertex. A strong edge-coloring of a graph is a function that assigns to each edge a color such that any two edges with distance at most two must receive different colors. A strong $k$-edge-coloring is a strong edge-coloring using $k$ colors. The strong chromatic index of a graph $G$, denoted by $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G)$, is the minimum $k$ such that $G$ admits a strong $k$-edgecoloring. The pre-image of each color in a strong edge-coloring is an induced matching. Thus, the strong chromatic index is also the minimum number of vertex induced matchings needed to partition the edge set of $G$.

Denote the maximum degree of a graph $G$ by $\Delta(G)$ (or, simply by $\Delta$ when $G$ is clear in the context). A trivial upper bound is that $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 2 \Delta(G)^{2}-$ $2 \Delta(G)+1$. Fouquet and Jolivet [13] established a Brooks type upper bound $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 2 \Delta(G)^{2}-2 \Delta(G)$, which is not true only for $G=C_{5}$ as pointed out by Shiu and Tam [24]. The following conjecture was posed by Erdős and Nešetřil [10, 11].
Conjecture 1. For any graph $G$ of maximum degree $\Delta$,

$$
\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant \begin{cases}\frac{5}{4} \Delta^{2} & \text { if } \Delta \text { is even } ; \\ \frac{5}{4} \Delta^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta+\frac{1}{4} & \text { if } \Delta \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

For graphs with maximum degree $\Delta(G)=3$, Conjecture 1 was verified by Andersen [1] and by Horák, Qing and Trotter [18], independently. For $\Delta(G)=4$, while Conjecture 1 asserts that $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 20$, Horák [17] obtained $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 23$ and Cranston [8] proved $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 22$. For general graphs $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta$, Molloy and Reed [22] showed that $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 1.998 \Delta^{2}$. Most recently, this bound has been improved by Bruhn and Joos [4] to $1.93 \Delta^{2}$.

Strong edge-coloring for planar graphs has been investigated by many authors. Fouquet and Jolivet $[13,14]$ first studied strong edge-coloring for cubic planar graphs. Let $G$ be a planar graph with maximum degree $\Delta$ and girth $g$. Faudree et al. [12] proved that $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 4 \Delta+4$. Bensmail et al. [2] established the bound $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 3 \Delta+1$ for $g \geqslant 6$. Hudák et al. [19] showed $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 3 \Delta$ if $g \geqslant 7$, and the bound is sharp for some subcubic (that is, $\Delta \leqslant 3$ ) planar graphs. Furthermore, Hocquard et al. [16] showed that $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 9$ for subcubic planar graphs $G$ which do not contain cycles of lengths 4 or 5 . DeOrsey et al. [9] recently reduced this bound to $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 5$ if $g \geqslant 30$. For planar graphs with large girth, Borodin and Ivanova [3] established a rather tight bound $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 2 \Delta-1$ if $g \geqslant 40\lfloor\Delta / 2\rfloor+1$; Chang et al. [7] further confirmed that the bound also holds if $g \geqslant 10 \Delta+46$. Clearly, the bound $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 2 \Delta-1$ becomes sharp when $G$ contains two adjacent vertices of maximum degree $\Delta$.

By definition, a trivial lower bound of $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G)$ for a graph $G$ would be $\sigma(G)$, where

$$
\sigma(G):=\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)-1 \mid u v \in E(G)\right\} .
$$

If $G$ has no edges, then define $\sigma(G)=0$. It is known and easy to verify that for a tree $T$, we have $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)=\sigma(T)$. Wu and Lin [25] proved that if $\sigma(G) \leqslant 4$ and $G$ is not isomorphic to the graph of the 5 -cycle with a chord connecting two non-adjacent vertices, then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 6$. Recently, Chang and Duh [5] assert that $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G)=\sigma(G)$ if $G$ is a planar graph with $\sigma(G)=\sigma \geqslant 5, \sigma \geqslant \Delta(G)+2$, and girth $g \geqslant 5 \sigma+16$. This result implies that a planar graph with large girth behaves like a tree locally.

A Halin graph is a plane graph $G$ constructed as follows. Let $T$ be a tree with at least 4 vertices, called the characteristic tree of $G$. All vertices of $T$ are either of degree 1, called leaves, or of degree at least 3 . We draw $T$ on the plane. Let $C$ be a cycle, called the adjoint cycle of $G$, connecting all leaves of $T$ in such a way that $C$ forms the boundary of the unbounded face. We usually write $G=T \cup C$ to reveal the characteristic tree and the adjoint cycle. For $n \geqslant 3$, the wheel $W_{n}$ with $n+1$ vertices is a particular Halin graph whose characteristic tree is the complete bipartite graph $K_{1, n}$ (called a star). A graph is said to be cubic if the degree of every vertex is 3 . For $h \geqslant 1$, a cubic Halin graph $N e_{h}$, called a necklace, was introduced in [23]. Its characteristic tree $T$ consists of the path $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{h}$, $v_{h+1}$ and leaves $v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{h}^{\prime}$ such that the unique neighbor of $v_{i}^{\prime}$ in $T$ is $v_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant h$ and vertices $v_{0}, v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{h}^{\prime}, v_{h+1}$ are connected in this order to form the adjoint cycle $C_{h+2}$.

Lai, Lih and Tsai [20] proved the following result.
Theorem 2 [20]. If a Halin graph $G=T \cup C$ is different from a certain necklace $N e_{2}$ and any wheel $W_{n}, n \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant \chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+3$.
For cubic Halin graphs, Lih and Liu improved the above bound as follows.
Theorem 3 [21]. A cubic Halin graph $G$ different from $\mathrm{Ne}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Ne}_{4}$ satisfies $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 7$.

The exact values of $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G)$ for special families of cubic Halin graphs were determined by Shiu and Tam [24] and by Chang and Liu [6].

For a Halin graph $G=T \cup C$ with maximum degree $\Delta$, since $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(T) \leqslant 2 \Delta-1$, the bound in Theorem 2 implies that $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 2 \Delta+2$. We improve this bound and establish a result similar to Theorem 3 for Halin graphs of maximum degree 4.

Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a Halin graph with maximum degree $\Delta \geqslant 4$. Then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant$ $2 \Delta+1$.

Theorem 5. Let $G=T \cup C$ be a Halin graph with maximum degree $\Delta=4$, and let $G$ be different from a wheel. Then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant \chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+2$.

Both bounds in Theorems 4 and 5 are sharp. Consider the graph $G$ in Figure 1. A strong edge-coloring of $G$ must use at least 7 colors on the edges incident to $u$ or $v$. Let these colors be $\{1,2, \ldots, 7\}$. Next, since the edges $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ must use colors different from $\{1,2, \ldots, 7\}$, at least 8 colors are needed. Assume that we only have 8 colors. Then $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ must be colored by the same new color, say color 8 . This implies that the four edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ shown in Figure 1 only have three admissible colors, from the set $\{5,6,7\}$, which is a contradiction as these edges must receive different colors. Hence $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \geqslant 9$. By coloring $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ with colors $5,6,7,9$ and the last edge with color 4 , it follows that $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G)=9$. This example shows that both bounds in Theorems 4 and 5 are sharp.


Figure 1. An example showing sharp bounds of Theorems 4 and 5.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 4

A double star is a tree with exactly two non-leaf vertices. Denote by $D_{a, b}$ a double star, where $a, b$ are the degrees of the two non-leaf vertices and $a \leqslant b$. Prior to the proof of Theorem 4, we quote several known results as follows.

Lemma 6 [20]. Let $G=T \cup C$ be a Halin graph. If $T=D_{a, b}$ is a double star with $a \leqslant b$, then

$$
\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G)= \begin{cases}\chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+4 & \text { if } a=b=3 \\ \chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+2 & \text { if } a=3 \text { and } b \geqslant 4 \\ \chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+1 & \text { if } a \geqslant 4 .\end{cases}
$$

If $T=K_{1, k}\left(\right.$ that is, $G$ is a wheel $\left.W_{k}\right)$, then

$$
\chi_{s}^{\prime}\left(W_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}k+3 & \text { if } k \equiv 0 \\ k+5 & \text { if } k=5 \\ k+4 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 7 [23]. Suppose $h \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
\chi_{s}^{\prime}\left(N e_{h}\right)= \begin{cases}6 & \text { if } h \text { is odd } \\ 7 & \text { if } h \geqslant 6 \text { and } h \text { is even } \\ 8 & \text { if } h=4 \\ 9 & \text { if } h=2\end{cases}
$$

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $G=T \cup C$ be a Halin graph with $\Delta(G) \geqslant 4$. If $T$ is a star or a double star, by Lemma 6, the conclusion of Theorem 4 follows. Assume that $T$ is neither a star nor a double star. We proceed by induction on $|C|$, the length of $C$. The shortest length of $C$ is 6 . Three possible graphs along with their corresponding strong edge-colorings satisfying the desired upper bounds are shown in Figure 2. So the result follows.


Figure 2. All Halin graphs with $|C|=6$ and $\Delta(G)=4$.
Assume $|C| \geqslant 7$. Let $P=u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}$ be a longest path in $T$ with length $l$. As $T$ is neither a star nor a double star, so $l \geqslant 4$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{l-1}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)$.

Denote $u_{1}=v, u_{2}=u, u_{3}=w$, and label the $k \geqslant 2$ leaf neighbors of $v$ as $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$. Since $P$ is a longest path in $T$, it is easy to see that $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ must be on the adjoint cycle $C$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ be vertices on $C$, where $x_{1}$ is adjacent to $v_{1}$ and $x_{2} ; y_{1}$ is adjacent to $v_{k}$ and $y_{2}$. Let $x_{3}$ and $y_{3}$ be vertices not on $C$, where $x_{1} x_{3}$ and $y_{1} y_{3}$ are edges in $T$ (see Figure 3).

Since $G$ is a Halin graph and $u$ is a vertex of degree at least 3 , there exists a path $P^{\prime}$ in $T$ from $u$ to $x_{1}$ or from $u$ to $y_{1}$ with $P \cap P^{\prime}=\{u\}$. Without loss of generality, we shall assume that $P^{\prime}$ is from $u$ to $y_{1}$. By our assumption that $P$ is a longest path, it must be that $\left|P^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 2$. Thus, either $u=y_{3}$ or $u$ is adjacent to $y_{3}$.

In the following, we denote by $G^{\prime}=T^{\prime} \cup C^{\prime}$ the Halin graph obtained by adding some new edges to an induced subgraph of $G$ such that $\left|C^{\prime}\right|<|C|$ and $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \Delta(G)$. If $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 4$, then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 2 \Delta(G)+1$ holds because $T^{\prime}$ is a star or double star (see the beginning of the proof) or by the inductive hypothesis as $\left|C^{\prime}\right|<|C|$. If $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=3$, then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 9 \leqslant 2 \Delta(G)+1$ by Theorem 2 ,

Lemma 6 , and because $\Delta(G) \geqslant 4$. In the following case analysis these steps will be repeatedly used, while may not be mentioned explicitly all the time.


Figure 3. The neighborhood around one end of the longest path $P$.
We call $G^{\prime}$ a reduction of $G$. Depending on various situations, different types of $G^{\prime}$ are created. In the corresponding figures, the dashed lines represent new edges added in $G^{\prime}$, and dark vertices represent the vertices that are temporarily deleted from $G$.

Let $\psi$ be a strong edge-coloring of $G^{\prime}$ using the minimum number of colors. A strong edge-coloring $\phi$ of $G$ is obtained as follows. We color the edges that are in both $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ by the same colors used in $\psi$, i.e., let $\phi(e)=\psi(e)$ for every $e \in E(G) \cap E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. For edges in $e \in E(G) \backslash E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, we develop different coloring schemes for different cases, and in each case, we give a strong edge-coloring $\phi$ for $G$ with at most $2 \Delta(G)+1$ colors.

Case A. $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)=3$. There are three possibilities to consider.
Case A.1. $u=y_{3}$. Obtain the reduction $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ by adding two new edges $v x_{1}$ and $v y_{1}$ to the induced subgraph of $G$ on the vertex set $V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$, as indicated in Figure 4. Clearly, $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Delta(G) \geqslant 4$ and $\left|C^{\prime}\right|<|C|$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $\psi\left(v x_{1}\right)=1$ and $\psi\left(v y_{1}\right)=2$. Let $\phi\left(v_{1} x_{1}\right)=1$ and $\phi\left(v_{2} y_{1}\right)=2$ (see Figure 4). We find admissible colors $w_{1}, w_{2}$, and $w_{3}$, one by one. The colors that can not be assigned to $v v_{1}$ are from $\{1,2$, $\left.t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$ and the labels used by edges incident to $u$. Therefore, there are at most $\Delta(G)+4$ forbidden colors for $v v_{1}$. Since $\Delta(G) \geqslant 4$, there exists an admissible color for $v v_{1}$. Color $v v_{1}$ by such an admissible color $w_{1}$.

Next we color $v v_{2}$ which has the forbidden colors in $\left\{1,2, w_{1}, s\right\}$ and the labels used for edges incident to $u$. Similarly, we can find an admissible color for $v v_{2}$. Finally, the forbidden colors for $v_{1} v_{2}$ are in $\left\{1,2, w_{1}, w_{2}, r_{1}, r_{2}, s, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$. If $s \in\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$, then there is an admissible color for $v_{1} v_{2}$. Otherwise, we re-color $v v_{1}$ by $s$, creating an admissible color for $v_{1} v_{2}$.


Figure 4. Case A.1.
Case A.2. $u$ is adjacent to $y_{3}$, and $\Delta(G) \geqslant 5$. Obtain the reduction $G^{\prime}$ in the same way as in Case A.1, as indicated in Figure 5. Clearly, $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Delta(G) \geqslant 4$ and $\left|C^{\prime}\right|<|C|$.


Figure 5. Case A.2.
Without loss of generality, assume that $\psi\left(v x_{1}\right)=1$ and $\psi\left(v y_{1}\right)=2$. Let $\phi\left(v_{1} x_{1}\right)=1$ and $\phi\left(v_{2} y_{1}\right)=2$ (see Figure 5). We find admissible colors $w_{1}, w_{2}$, and $w_{3}$, one by one. By the same argument as in Case A.1, one can easily show that there exists an admissible color $w_{1}$. Color $v v_{1}$ by such an admissible color.

Next we color $v v_{2}$ which has the forbidden colors in $\left\{1,2, w_{1}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ and the labels used for edges incident to $u$. Since $\Delta(G) \geqslant 5$, we can find an admissible color $w_{2}$. Finally, the forbidden colors for $v_{1} v_{2}$ are in $\left\{1,2, w_{1}, w_{2}, r, s_{1}, s_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$. Thus, there exists an admissible color $w_{3}$.

Case A.3. $u$ is adjacent to $y_{3}$, and $\Delta(G)=4$. Then $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(y_{3}\right)$ is either 3 or 4. Obtain the reduction $G^{\prime}$ from $G$ with partial labels to some vertices as indicated in Figure 6(a) and 6(b), respectively. Clearly, $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \Delta(G)$ and $\left|C^{\prime}\right|<|C|$. Assume that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(y_{3}\right)=3$. Then $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Delta(G)=4$. We find
admissible colors $w_{1}, w_{2}$, and $w_{3}$, one after another. For $v_{1} v_{2}$, the forbidden colors are in $\left\{1,2,3, r_{1}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$. Hence there is an admissible color $w_{1}$ for $v_{1} v_{2}$. Next, the forbidden colors for $y_{1} y_{2}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3, w_{1}, r_{2}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$. We can color $y_{1} y_{2}$ by an admissible color $w_{2}$. Finally, the forbidden colors for $v_{2} y_{1}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3, w_{1}, w_{2}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$. Again, there exists an admissible color $w_{3}$ for $v_{2} y_{1}$.


Figure 6. Case A.3.
Assume $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(y_{3}\right)=4$. Note, even if $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=3$ or $T^{\prime}$ is a star (or double star), we can still find a strong edge coloring for $G^{\prime}$ by up to 9 colors. The forbidden colors for $y_{1} y_{3}$ are in $\{1,2,3\}$ and labels used on edges incident to $u$. Thus there are at most $\Delta(G)+3$ forbidden colors. We color $y_{1} y_{3}$ by an admissible color $w_{1}$. Next, the forbidden colors for $v_{1} v_{2}$ are $\left\{1,2,3,4, w_{1}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$. Because $2 \Delta(G)+1 \geqslant 9$, we can find an admissible color $w_{2}$ for $v_{1} v_{2}$. The forbidden colors for $y_{2} z$ are in $\left\{1,2,3,4, w_{1}, r, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$. Again, there is an admissible color $w_{3}$ for $y_{2} z$. Finally, the forbidden colors for $v_{2} y_{1}$ are from $\left\{1,2,3,4, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, r\right\}$. So there is an admissible color $w_{4}$ for $v_{2} y_{1}$.

Case B. $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v) \geqslant 4$. We consider two cases separately.
Case B.1. $\Delta(G)=4$. Then $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)=4$. There are two subcases.
Subcase B.1.1. $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)=3$. Obtain the reduction $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ by adding two new edges $v x_{1}$ and $v y_{1}$ to the induced subgraph of $G$ on the vertex set $V(G) \backslash$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ as depicted in Figure 7.

Since we assumed earlier that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{l-1}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)=4$, we have $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Delta(G)=4$, and $\left|C^{\prime}\right|<|C|$ holds. We fix colors on some edges as shown in Figure 7. Note that in Figure 7(a) we assign $\phi\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)=\phi\left(v v_{2}\right)=3$ but in Figure 7(b) we assign $\phi\left(y_{1} y_{3}\right)=\phi\left(v v_{2}\right)=3$ and $\phi\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)=s$. We find admissible colors $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}$, and $w_{4}$.


Figure 7. Subcase B.1.1.
For the subcase depicted in Figure 7(a), the forbidden colors for $v v_{1}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$ and the three colors used in the neighborhood of $u$. Thus, there are at most 8 forbidden colors, implying there is an admissible color $w_{1}$ for $v v_{1}$. Next, the forbidden colors for $v v_{3}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3, w_{1}\right\}$ and the three colors used in the neighborhood of $u$. There is an admissible color $w_{2}$ for $v v_{3}$. The forbidden colors for $v_{1} v_{2}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3, w_{1}, w_{2}, r_{1}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$, so there is an admissible color $w_{3}$ for $v_{1} v_{2}$. Finally, the forbidden colors for $v_{2} v_{3}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$. Therefore, there is an admissible color $w_{4}$ for $v_{2} v_{3}$.

For the subcase depicted in Figure 7(b), the arguments are the same as in Figure 7 (a) except for $v v_{3}$, which has forbidden colors from $\left\{1,2,3, w_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and the three colors used in the neighborhood of $u$. So there is an admissible color $w_{2}$ for $v v_{3}$.

Subcase B.1.2. $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)=4$. We distinguish several cases. In each case $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \Delta(G)$ and $\left|C^{\prime}\right|<|C|$ hold.
(1) $u=y_{3}, u$ is adjacent to neither $x_{1}$ nor $x_{3}$, and $\mid\{\psi(u w), \psi(u z)\} \cap\left\{\psi\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\right.$, $\left.\psi\left(x_{1} x_{3}\right)\right\} \mid \leqslant 1$, where $z$ is the fourth neighbor of $u$, as shown in Figure 8(a). Without loss of generality, assume that $\psi(u z) \notin\left\{\psi\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), \psi\left(x_{1} x_{3}\right)\right\}$. Let $\phi\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=$ $\psi(u z)=3$ and $\phi\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)=\psi(u w)=4$, as indicated in Figure 8(a). Note, $t_{1}, t_{2} \neq 3$. The forbidden colors for $v v_{1}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5,6, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$. So there is an admissible color for $w_{1}$. Next, the forbidden colors for $w_{2}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5,6, w_{1}, s\right\}$. Again, there is an admissible color for $w_{2}$. The forbidden colors for $w_{3}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5,6, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$, so there is an admissible color for $w_{3}$.
(2) $u=y_{3}, u$ is adjacent to neither $x_{1}$ nor $x_{3}$, and $\{\psi(u w), \psi(u z)\}=$ $\left\{\psi\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), \psi\left(x_{1} x_{3}\right)\right\}$, where $z$ is the fourth neighbor of $u$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\psi\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=\psi(u w)=5$ and $\psi\left(x_{1} x_{3}\right)=\psi(u z)=7$. Let $\psi(u v)=3, \phi\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=\psi\left(u y_{1}\right)=4, \phi\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)=5$, and $\phi\left(v v_{2}\right)=\psi\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)=6$, as indicated in Figure 8(b). Clearly, the remaining edges $v v_{1}$ and $v v_{3}$ can be colored by any two colors not in the set $\{1,2,3, \ldots, 7\}$.

(a) Condition (1).

(c) Condition (3).

(b) Condition (2).

(d) Condition (5).

(e) Condition (7).

Figure 8. Subcase B.1.2.
(3) $u=y_{3}$ and $u=x_{3}$ (that is, $u$ is adjacent to both $y_{1}$ and $x_{1}$ ). Let $\phi\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=\psi\left(u y_{1}\right)=3, \phi\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)=\psi(u w)=4$ and $\phi\left(v v_{2}\right)=\psi\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)=5$ as indicated in Figure 8(c). We find admissible colors $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$. The forbidden colors for $v v_{1}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7, t_{1}\right\}$. Hence, there is an admissible color $w_{1}$ for $v v_{1}$. Then the forbidden colors for $v v_{3}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7, w_{1}\right\}$. Thus, there is an admissible color $w_{2}$ for $v v_{3}$.
(4) $u$ is adjacent to $y_{3}, u=x_{3}$, and $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(y_{3}\right)=3$. (Symmetrically, $u$ is adjacent to $x_{3}, u=y_{3}$, and $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{3}\right)=3$.) Take $P=y_{1}, y_{3}, u, w, u_{4}, \ldots, u_{l}$ as a longest path, and such a graph was discussed in Subcase A. 3 (see Figure 6(b), where the positions of $y_{3}$ and $v$ are switched).
(5) $u$ is adjacent to $y_{3}, u=x_{3}$, and $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(y_{3}\right)=4$. Let $z$ be the fourth neighbor of $y_{3}$. (Symmetrically, $u$ is adjacent to $x_{3}, u=y_{3}$, and $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{3}\right)=4$.) The reduction $G^{\prime}$ and partial labels are shown in Figure 8(d). The forbidden colors for $v v_{2}$ are in $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$. Hence, there is an admissible color $w_{1}$ for $v v_{2}$. The forbidden colors for $y_{2} z$ are in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5,7, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$. Thus, there is an admissible color $w_{2}$ for $y_{2} z$. The forbidden colors for $y_{1} y_{3}$ are from $\left\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7, w_{2}\right\}$, leaving an admissible color $w_{3}$ for $y_{1} y_{3}$.
(6) $u$ is adjacent to both $x_{3}$ and $y_{3}$, and $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{3}\right)=3$ or $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(y_{3}\right)=3$. Say $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{3}\right)=3$ (the other case is symmetric). Then take $P=x_{1}, x_{3}, u, w, u_{4}, \ldots, u_{l}$ as a longest path, and such case has been discussed in Case A (see Figure 6).
(7) $u$ is adjacent to both $x_{3}$ and $y_{3}$, and $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{3}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(y_{3}\right)=4$. The reduction $G^{\prime}$ and partial labels are indicated in Figure 8(e). Since $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{l-1}\right) \geqslant$ $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)=4$, we have $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Delta(G)$. The forbidden colors for $y_{2} z_{1}$ are from $\left\{1,2,3,5,6,7, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$. Hence, there is an admissible color $w_{1}$ for $y_{2} z_{1}$. The forbidden colors for $y_{2} y_{3}$ are in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7, w_{1}\right\}$. Thus, there is an admissible color $w_{2}$ for $y_{2} y_{3}$. The forbidden colors for $v v_{2}$ are from $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$. So there is an admissible color $w_{3}$ for $v v_{2}$.
(8) $u$ is adjacent to $y_{3}$, but not $x_{1}$ nor $x_{3}$. Then $u$ must have another neighbor, say $z$, besides $y_{3}$, that is a leaf or distance one away from the adjoining cycle $C$. The position of $z$ will be similar to the one in Figure 8(b) (where $z$ might be on the cycle). We then consider the longest path $P^{*}=y_{1}, y_{3}, u, \ldots, u_{l}$, which falls in one of the cases discussed earlier.

Case B.2. $\Delta(G) \geqslant 5$. Obtain the reduction $G^{\prime}$ by adding two new edges $v x_{1}$ and $v y_{1}$ to the induced subgraph of $G$ on the vertex set $V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$, $k \geqslant 3$, as shown in Figure 9. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{l-1}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$, we have $\Delta(G)=\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left|C^{\prime}\right|<|C|$ holds. Without loss of generality, let $\phi\left(v_{1} x_{1}\right)=\psi\left(v x_{1}\right)=1$ and $\phi\left(v_{k} y_{1}\right)=\psi\left(v y_{1}\right)=2$.

For $u=y_{3}$ (or $u$ is adjacent to $y_{3}$, respectively), let $\phi\left(v v_{2}\right)=\psi\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)=3$ $\left(\phi\left(v v_{2}\right)=\psi\left(y_{1} y_{3}\right)=3\right.$, respectively) as indicated in Figure 9(a) (Figure 9(b), respectively). If $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)=4$, then the coloring scheme is the same as the ones used in Subcase B.1.1.

Thus we assume $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v) \geqslant 5$. We proceed to color the remaining edges, $v v_{1}$, $v v_{3}, \ldots, v v_{k}$ and $v_{j} v_{j+1}$, for $j=1,2, \ldots, k-1$.


Figure 9. Case B.2.
For $u=y_{3}$ (see Figure $9(\mathrm{a})$ ), the forbidden colors for $v v_{1}$ are $\left\{1,2,3, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$ and colors used in the neighborhood of $u$. So there are at most $\Delta(G)+5 \leqslant 2 \Delta(G)$ forbidden colors. Hence, there exists an admissible color for $v v_{1}$. Next we color $v v_{k}$, which has forbidden colors $\left\{1,2,3, \phi\left(v v_{1}\right)\right\}$ and the labels used for edges incident to $u$. Again, there is an admissible color for $v v_{k}$. For $i=3,4, \ldots, k-1$, we color $v v_{i}$ one after another. By direct calculation, the number of forbidden colors for $v v_{i}$ is at most $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$. Hence, we can color all $v v_{i}$ by admissible colors.

Next we color $v_{1} v_{2}$, which has forbidden colors $\left\{1, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$ and colors used in the neighborhood of $v$. Hence there is an admissible color for $v_{1} v_{2}$. Next we sequentially color $v_{j} v_{j+1}$ for $j=2,3, \ldots, k-2$. Using the assumption that $\Delta(G) \geqslant 5$, one can easily verify that there exists an admissible color at each step. Finally, the forbidden colors for $v_{k-1} v_{k}$ are $\left\{2, s, \phi\left(v_{k-2} v_{k-1}\right), \phi\left(v_{k-3} v_{k-2}\right)\right\}$ and the labels used in the neighborhood of $v$. Thus we can find an admissible color for $v_{k-1} v_{k}$.

For the case that $u$ is adjacent to $y_{3}$, the argument is the same except for the edge $v v_{k}$, which has forbidden colors from $\left\{1,2,3, s, \phi\left(v v_{1}\right)\right\}$ and the labels used by the edges incident to $u$. As $\Delta(G) \geqslant 5$, we can find an admissible color for $v v_{k}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 5

Let $G=T \cup C$ be a Halin graph with $\Delta(G)=4$, and let $G$ be different from a wheel. By Theorem 4 , if $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)=7$, then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant \chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+2$. So Theorem 5
holds. Thus we assume $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)=6$. That is, every vertex of degree 4 is adjacent to vertices of degree 3 only. Similarly to the previous section, we proceed by induction on $|C|$, the length of $C$. If $|C|=4$, then $G=W_{4}$ which contradicts the assumption. If $|C|=5$, then $T=D_{3,4}$ is a double star. The result follows by Lemma 6 . If $|C|=6$, the only three possible graphs are in Figure 2(a), 2(b), and 2 (c). So the result follows.

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 4, we consider a reduction $G^{\prime}=T^{\prime} \cup C^{\prime}$ of $G$ with characteristic tree $T^{\prime}$ and adjoint cycle $C^{\prime}$. If $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=4$ and $G^{\prime}$ is not a wheel, then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \chi_{s}^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime}\right)+2 \leqslant \chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+2$ follows by the induction hypothesis, since $\left|C^{\prime}\right|<|C|$. If $G^{\prime}=W_{4}$ or if $G^{\prime}$ is a cubic Halin graph different from $N e_{2}$, then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 8=\chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+2$ by Theorem 3, Lemma 6 , and Lemma 7. Finally, the case when $G^{\prime}=N e_{2}$ is considered at the end of the proof.

Assume $|C| \geqslant 7$. Let $P=u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}$ be a longest path in $T$, where $l$ is the length of $P$. The result holds if $T$ is a double star by Lemma 6 (note that $b \geqslant 4$ ). Thus, we assume $l \geqslant 4$. Without loss of generality, we also assume that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{1}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{l-1}\right)$.

Case A. There exists a longest path $P$ with both non-leaf ends of degree 4. That is, $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{l-1}\right)=4$. Then $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{2}\right)=3$. Consider the following two cases.

Case A.1. In $T, u_{2}$ has exactly one neighbor that is a leaf.


Figure 10. Case A.1.
The reduction $G^{\prime}$ along with proposed colors for some edges are depicted in Figure 10. We now find admissible colors $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}$, and $w_{5}$. First we can find an admissible color $w_{1}$ for $u_{1} u_{2}$ that is different from 1,2 and the colors used in the neighborhood of $u_{3}$. Next, we can find an admissible color $w_{2}$ for $v_{1} v_{2}$ that is not in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5, w_{1}\right\}$. Finally, we find three pairwise distinct admissible colors $w_{3}, w_{4}, w_{5}$, which are not in $\left\{1,2,3, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$.

Case A.2. In $T$, none of the neighbors of $u_{2}$ is a leaf.
Without loss of generality, we assume that the colors assigned by $\psi$ to the edges incident to $u_{3}$ are $3,4,5$, and 6 (if $u_{3}$ has degree 3 , then we only use colors 3 , 4 , and 5 , and ignore the respective edge labeled by 6 in Figure 11). Consider two possibilities. For the graph depicted in each Figure 11(a) and 11(b) we obtain the reduction $G^{\prime}$ and complete the labeling $\phi$ by using only eight colors, respectively.


Figure 11. Case A.2.
Case B. Every longest path $P$ has $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)=3$. That is, at least one nonleaf end has degree 3.

Case B.1. $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{2}\right)=3$.


Figure 12. Subcase B.1.1.
Subcase B.1.1. In $T, u_{2}$ has exactly one neighbor that is a leaf. The reduction $G^{\prime}$ along with proposed colors for some edges are depicted in Figure 12. Note if $u_{3}$ has degree 3 , we simply ignore the edge labeled by $t_{3}$ in Figure 12. We color $u_{1} u_{2}$ by a color $w_{1}$ not from $\left\{1,2,3, t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right\}$. Next, color $v_{1} v_{2}$ by a color $w_{2}$
not from $\left\{1,2,3,4,5, w_{1}\right\}$. Finally, color $u_{1} v_{1}$ by an admissible color $w_{3}$ not in $\left\{1,2,3, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$.

Subcase B.1.2. In $T$, none of the neighbors of $u_{2}$ is a leaf. Then $u_{2}$ has two neighbors, denoted as $u_{1}$ and $v_{4}$, that are distance one away from the adjoining cycle $C$. First consider the case that $v_{4}$ has degree 4 . Then by our assumption of Case B, the degree of the other non-leaf end of the path $P$ must have degree 3 . We consider the reverse order of $P$, denoted as $P^{*}$, as our longest path. That is, $P^{*}=u_{l}, u_{l-1}, u_{l-2}, \ldots, u_{1}, u_{0}$, where $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{l-1}\right)=3$. If $P^{*}$ falls again in Subcase B.1.2, $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{l-2}\right)=3$ and none of the neighbors of $u_{l-2}$ is a leaf, then by the assumption of Case B, every non-leaf neighbor of $v_{l-2}$ that is distance two away from the adjoining cycle $C$ must be degree 3 (for otherwise, there is a longest path with both non-leaf ends of degree 4, which was discussed in Case A).

Therefore, we only need to consider the case that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{4}\right)=3$, which is shown in Figure 13, where the reduction $G^{\prime}$ and partial labels are indicated.


Figure 13. The second possibility of Subcase B.1.2.
We shall find colors for the remaining edges. First, color $v_{3} v_{4}$ and $v_{1} v_{2}$ by two admissible colors $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ different from $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$. Next, color $v_{2} v_{4}$ and $v_{1} v_{2}$ by two admissible colors $w_{3}$ and $w_{4}$ not from $\left\{1,2,3, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$, and assign $u_{1} v_{1}$ the color $w_{5}=w_{1}$. Finally, color $u_{0} u_{1}$ by an admissible color $w_{6}$ different from $\left\{1,2,3, w_{4}, w_{5}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$. Since we have 8 colors, this can be accomplished.

Case B.2. $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{2}\right)=4$. Then $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{3}\right)=3$.
Subcase B.2.1. In $T, u_{2}$ has exactly two neighbors that are leaves. Consider possible situations depicted in Figure 14. Figure 14(a) shows the situation that the two leaves are adjacent on $C$. We color $v_{2} v_{3}$ by a color $w_{1}$ not from the set $\left\{1,2,3,4,5, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$. Next, color $u_{2} v_{2}$ and $u_{1} u_{2}$ by two colors $w_{2}$ and $w_{3}$ not in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5, w_{1}\right\}$.

(a)

(c)

(b)

(d)

(e)

Figure 14. Five possibilities of Subcase B.2.1.

Now assume that the two leaves are not adjacent on $C$. The length of a longest path from $u_{3}$ to the adjoint cycle $C$ on one side of $v_{1}$ is at most three, as $P$ is a longest path. Suppose the length is one. Then there is only one possibility which is shown in Figure $14(\mathrm{~b})$. Color $u_{2} v_{4}$ by a color $w_{1}$ not in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$. Color $u_{2} v_{2}$ by a color $w_{2}$ not in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5,6, w_{1}\right\}$. Finally, color $u_{1} u_{2}$ by a color $w_{3}$ not in $\left\{1,2,3,4,5, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$.

If there is a path of length two from $u_{3}$ to the adjoint cycle $C$, then there are two possibilities as shown in Figure 14(c) and Figure 14(d). Assume that the colors used in the neighborhood of $u_{4}$ are from the set $\{3,4,5,8\}$. We directly color the remaining edges as depicted on those two figures.

Assume that there is a path of length three from $u_{3}$ to the adjoint cycle $C$ which intersects $P$ only at $u_{3}$. Let $u_{3}, v_{2}, v_{1}, v_{0}$ be such a path from $u_{3}$ to $C$. Then there is another longest path in $T, P^{\prime}=u_{l}, u_{l-1}, \ldots, u_{3}, v_{2}, v_{1}, v_{0}$. Assume $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=4$. By our assumption that every longest path has at least one nonleaf end of degree 3 , it must be that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{l-1}\right)=3$. We then consider $P^{*}$, the reverse ordering of $P$, namely, $P^{*}=u_{l}, u_{l-1}, \ldots, u_{1}, u_{0}$. Observe that the same situation will not occur to $P^{*}$, since if $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{l-2}\right)=4, \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{l-3}\right)=3$, there is a path of length three from $u_{l-3}$ to $C$ (denoted as $u_{l-3}, v_{2}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{0}^{\prime}$ ), and $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)=4$, then we obtain a longest path $v_{0}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}, u_{l-3}, \ldots, u_{0}$ with both non-leaf ends of degree 4, which has been discussed in Case A.

Thus, assume $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=3$. By symmetry of considering $P$ and $P^{\prime}$, the only possibility is drawn in Figure 14(e), in which an extended strong edge-coloring is shown using 8 colors.


Figure 15. Two possibilities of Subcase B.2.2.
Subcase B.2.2. In $T, u_{2}$ has exactly one neighbor that is a leaf. There are two possible situations as shown in Figure 15. In Figure 15(a), a strong edge-coloring is given on the extended edges of $G^{\prime}$. In Figure $15(\mathrm{~b})$, we color the edges by the following sequence: Color the two edges labeled as $w_{1}$ by an admissible color not from $\left\{1,2,3, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$. Color the two edges labeled as $w_{2}$ by an admissible color not
from $\left\{1,2,3, w_{1}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$. Color the edge labeled as $w_{3}$ by an admissible color not from $\left\{1,2,3,4,5, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. Finally, color the remaining two edges labeled as $w_{4}$ and $w_{5}$ by two different admissible colors not from $\left\{1,2,3, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}$.

Subcase B.2.3. In $T$, none of the neighbors of $u_{2}$ is a leaf. The reduction $G^{\prime}$ and the completion of $\phi$ using eight colors are demonstrated in Figure 16. This completes all cases.


Figure 16. Subcase B.2.3.
We now discuss the situation that the reduction graph $G^{\prime}$ is $N e_{2}$. Notice that this does not occur in Case A. For Subcase B.1.1, if $G^{\prime}=N e_{2}$, then $G$ is a cubic graph, contradicting our assumption that $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)=6$. Similarly, for the second possibility in Subcase B.1.2, $G^{\prime}$ is not $N e_{2}$.

These leave a total of fourteen possible situations from the first possibility (Figure 11(b)) of Subcase B.1.2, as well as Subcases B.2.1, B.2.2 and B.2.3, when the reduction graph $G^{\prime}$ is $N e_{2}$. These fourteen situations are depicted in Figure 17, where a strong edge coloring using at most eight colors is given in each situation. This completes the proof of Theorem 5 .

For a Halin graph $G=T \cup C$ with maximum degree at most 4 and $G$ is not a wheel, $N e_{2}$, nor $N e_{4}$, it has been shown that $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant \chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+2$, and the bound is sharp (cf. [21] and Theorem 5). We propose

Conjecture 8. If $G=T \cup C$ is a Halin graph other than a wheel, $N e_{2}$, or $N e_{4}$, then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant \chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+2$.

If the answer to Conjecture 8 is affirmative, then the bound is sharp for infinitely many graphs besides the ones mentioned in Lemmas 6 and 7. Let $a, b, c$ be positive integers, $b \geqslant 4$. A tree $T$ is a triple star, denoted by $T=S_{a, b, c}$, if it has exactly three non-leaf vertices which have degrees $a, b$, and $c$ (in this order on a longest path), respectively. We draw $T$ on the plane by fixing a longest path of


Figure 17. Fourteen special graphs.
length four horizontally, $u_{0}-u-v-w-w_{0}$ (where $u, v, w$ are non-leaf vertices), and draw at least one pendant edge of $v$ towards each of the up and down sides of the path. For instance, Figure 1 shows $T=S_{3,4,4}$. Let $k \geqslant 4$ be a positive integer. Similar to the argument for Figure 1, one can show that if $T=S_{3, k, 3}$, then $\chi_{s}^{\prime}(G)=\chi_{s}^{\prime}(T)+2$.
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