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#### Abstract

A graph is called 2-self-centered if its diameter and radius both equal to 2 . In this paper, we begin characterizing these graphs by characterizing edge-maximal 2 -self-centered graphs via their complements. Then we split characterizing edge-minimal 2 -self-centered graphs into two cases. First, we characterize edge-minimal 2 -self-centered graphs without triangles by introducing specialized bi-independent covering (SBIC) and a structure named generalized complete bipartite graph (GCBG). Then, we complete characterization by characterizing edge-minimal 2 -self-centered graphs with some triangles. Hence, the main characterization is done since a graph is 2-selfcentered if and only if it is a spanning subgraph of some edge-maximal 2 -self-centered graphs and, at the same time, it is a spanning supergraph of some edge-minimal 2 -self-centered graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected finite simple graph. For $u, v \in V$ the distance of $u$ and $v$, denoted by $d_{G}(u, v)$ or $d(u, v)$, is the length of a shortest path between $u$ and $v$. The eccentricity of a vertex $v, \operatorname{ecc}(v)$, is $\max \{d(u, v): u \in V\}$. The maximum and minimum eccentricity of vertices of $G$ are called diameter and radius of $G$ and are denoted by $\operatorname{diam}(G)$ and $\operatorname{rad}(G)$, respectively. Center of a graph $G$ is the subgraph induced by vertices with eccentricity $\operatorname{rad}(G)$. A graph is called self-centered if it is equal to its center, or equivalently, its diameter equals its radius.

A graph $G$ is called $k$-self-centered if $\operatorname{diam}(G)=\operatorname{rad}(G)=k$. The terminology $k$-equi-eccentric graph is also used by some authors. For studies on these graphs see $[1-4,7]$ and $[6]$.

Clearly, a graph $G$ is 1 -self-centered if and only if $G$ is a complete graph. In this paper, we try to characterize 2 -self-centered graphs. An edge-maximal 2-self-centered graph can be easily characterized via a condition on its complement. We do this in Section 2, using a lemma which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be 2 -self-centered. For edge-minimal 2 -self-centered graphs we need to divide the discussion into two cases: triangle-free or not. We do these in Section 3. Then, the characterization is done in sight of the following theorem.

Theorem 1. A finite graph $G$ is 2 -self-centered if and only if there is an edgeminimal 2-self-centered graph $G^{\prime}$ and an edge-maximal 2 -self-centered graph $G^{\prime \prime}$ such that $G^{\prime}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G$ while $G$ is itself a spanning subgraph of $G^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. The proof is clear. Note that $G^{\prime} \subseteq G$ implies $\operatorname{rad}(G) \geqslant \operatorname{rad}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=2$ and $G \subseteq G^{\prime \prime}$ implies $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leqslant \operatorname{diam}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$.

Throughout this paper $G$ is a connected finite simple graph and its complement is denoted by $\bar{G}$. If $G$ is a graph and $e$ is an edge in $G$, then $G \backslash e$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by omitting $e$. Moreover, the graph obtained by adding an edge $e \notin E(G)$ to $G$ is denoted by $G+e$. Whenever two vertices $u$ and $v$ are adjacent, we might write $u \sim v$. For concepts and notations of graph theory, the reader is referred to [5].

## 2. Edge-Maximal 2-Self-Centered Graphs

In this section, we present a characterization for edge-maximal 2 -self-centered graphs. The following lemma is not only essential to do so, but it is also going to be used all over this paper.

Lemma 2. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with $n$ vertices. Then $G$ is 2 -self-centered if and only if the following two conditions are true:
(i) $2 \leqslant \operatorname{deg}(v) \leqslant n-2$ for all $v \in V$;
(ii) for each $u, v \in V$ with $u v \notin E$ there is $a w \in V$ such that $u w, w v \in E$.

Proof. The proof is obvious. Note that if $G$ has a vertex $v$ with $\operatorname{deg}(v)=n-1$ then $\operatorname{rad}(G)=1$ and if there is a vertex $u$ with $\operatorname{deg}(u)=1$ then its neighbour should be adjacent to any vertex of $G$, since otherwise $\operatorname{ecc}(u)>2$.

Remark 3. If we show that for a graph $G$ item (ii) of Lemma 2 holds and no vertex is adjacent to all vertices, then we can deduce that no vertex has degree 1 and therefore $G$ is 2 -self-centered.

A 2-self-centered graph $G$ is said to be edge-maximal if there are no nonadjacent $u, v \in V(G)$ such that $G+u v$ is 2 -self-centered. The following theorem is a characterization for edge-maximal 2 -self-centered graphs.

Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a 2-self-centered graph. Then $G$ is edge-maximal if and only if $\bar{G}$ is disconnected and each connected component of $\bar{G}$ is a star with at least two vertices.

Proof. Let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}$ be the connected components of $\bar{G}$, where $r$ is a positive integer. At first, note that each $H_{i}$ should be a tree with at least two vertices. To see this, if $H_{i}$ has only one vertex $v$, then the degree of $v$ in $\bar{G}$ is zero and thus its degree should be $n-1$ in $G$ which contradicts to (i) of Lemma 2. Furthermore, if the connected component $H_{i}$ is not a tree, then there is an edge $e$ with end vertices $u_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ in $H_{i}$ which is not a cut edge. Let $H=G+e$. Since $G$ is edge-maximal, $H$ cannot be 2 -self-centered. Using Lemma 2, we can deduce that the degree of $u_{0}$ or $v_{0}$ in $G$ must be $n-2$. This means that the degree of $u_{0}$ or $v_{0}$ in $\bar{G}$ is 1 and consequently $e$ is a cut edge, a contradiction.

Now, we show that each connected component $H_{i}$ is a star. Let $u$ be a vertex with maximum degree $k$ in $H_{i}$. If $k=1$ then $H_{i}$ is $K_{1,1}$. Let $k \geqslant 2$. If $H_{i}$ is not $K_{1, k}$ then one of the neighbours of $u$, say $v$, has a neighbour $w \neq u$. Let $e^{\prime}$ be the edge between $u$ and $v$ in $\bar{G}$ and $H^{\prime}=G+e^{\prime}$. Since $G$ is edge-maximal, $H^{\prime}$ cannot be 2 -self-centered. Using Lemma 2, we can again deduce that the degree of $u$ or $v$ in $G$ should be $n-2$. This means that the degree of $u$ or $v$ in $\bar{G}$ is 1 ; which is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that $\bar{G}$ is a disconnected graph whose connected components are all stars, each of which has at least two vertices. Then, $2 \leqslant \operatorname{deg}(v) \leqslant$ $n-2$ for all $v \in V(G)$ and whenever $u$ and $v$ are two non-adjacent vertices of $G$, there must be a $w \in V(G)$ such that $u$ and $v$ are both adjacent to $w$. Therefore, by Lemma $2 G$ is a 2 -self-centered graph. Moreover, since every connected component of $\bar{G}$ is a star with at least two vertices, adding an edge between two
non-adjacent vertices in $G$ makes the complement to have a singleton as a connected component, which means that the resulted graph is not 2 -self-centered.

## 3. Edge-Minimal 2-Self-Centered Graphs

A 2-self-centered graph $G$ is said to be edge-minimal if for each $e \in E(G), G \backslash e$ is not a 2 -self-centered graph. In this section, we determine all edge-minimal 2 -self-centered graphs. To do so, let at first suppose that $\bar{G}$ is disconnected.

Proposition 5. Let $G$ be a graph. Then $G$ is an edge-minimal 2 -self-centered graph such that $\bar{G}$ is disconnected if and only if it is the complete bipartite graph $K_{k, \ell}$ for some $k, \ell \geqslant 2$.

Proof. Let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}$ be the connected components of $\bar{G}$, where $r \geqslant 2$. At first we prove that each $H_{i}$ is a clique in $\bar{G}$, or in another word, each $H_{i}$ is an independent set in $G$. Let $e$ be an edge in $G$ between two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $H_{i}$. If $H=G \backslash e$, then edge minimality of $G$ implies that $H$ cannot be 2-self-centered.

Let $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ be two non-adjacent vertices of $H$. Then $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ are belonged to a connected component $H_{j}$ of $\bar{G}$. Let $w^{\prime}$ be any vertex of $H_{j^{\prime}}$, where $j^{\prime} \neq j$. Thus $u^{\prime} w^{\prime}, w^{\prime} v^{\prime} \in E(H)$. This shows that $H$ satisfies part (ii) of Lemma 2.

Since $H$ is not 2 -self-centered, Lemma 2 implies that the degree of $u$ or $v$ in $H$ is 1 . Let the degree of $u$ in $H$ be 1 . Thus $u$ has a neighbour $w$ in $H$. This implies that all other vertices of $G$ are in $H_{i}$. We know that $v$ is also in $H_{i}$. Thus $H_{i}$ contains all vertices except $w$ and $w$ is itself a component. Hence, the degree of $w$ in $G$ is $n-1$ which contradicts to Lemma 2.

Now we show that $r=2$. Let $r \geqslant 3$. Choose $x, y$ and $z$ in three different components. Let $e=x y$ and $H=G \backslash e$. Due to the existence of $z, H$ is clearly 2 -self-centered which contradicts the edge-minimality of $G$.

Conversely, the complete bipartite graph $K_{k, \ell}$ for $k, \ell \geqslant 2$ is an edge-minimal 2 -self-centered graph such that its complement is disconnected.

For those 2 -self-centered graphs that have connected complements, Proposition 5 is not useful. So, we may develop the characterization in some separate propositions for them, or, we can prove a more general statement which covers this case as a special case. In this paper, we do the later one, for which some preliminaries are needed.

Definition 6. Let $G$ be a 2-self-centered graph. A vertex $x$ in $G$ is called critical for $u$ and $v$ if $u v \notin E$ and $x$ is the only common neighbour of $u$ and $v$.

Lemma 7. Let $G$ be an edge minimal 2 -self-centered graph with no critical vertex for any pair of vertices. Then $G$ is triangle-free. Furthermore, every triangle-free 2 -self-centered graph is edge-minimal.

Proof. Suppose in contrary that there are $u, v, w \in V(G)$ such that $u v, v w, w u \in$ $E(G)$. If $\operatorname{deg}(u)=\operatorname{deg}(v)=\operatorname{deg}(w)=2$, then $G$ is itself a triangle which contradicts to $\operatorname{rad}(G)=2$. If $\operatorname{deg}(u)=\operatorname{deg}(v)=2$, then $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2$ implies that all other vertices of $G$ are neighbours of $w$. Thus $\operatorname{deg}(w)=n-1$ which contradicts the fact that $\operatorname{rad}(G)=2$. Hence, at most one of the vertices $u, v$ and $w$ has degree 2 . Suppose that $\operatorname{deg}(u)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(v)$ are both greater than 2 .

Let $e=u v$ and $H=G \backslash e$. Then edge-minimality of $G$ implies that $H$ is not a 2 -self-centered graph. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$ are at least 3, this happens only if there are two vertices $x$ and $y$ such that $d_{H}(x, y)>2$. Since $d_{G}(x, y) \leqslant 2$ it can be deduced that $\{x, y\} \cap\{u, v\} \neq \emptyset$. The cases $x=u$ and $y=v$ cannot happen at the same time because we have the path $x \sim w \sim y$ in $H$. If $x=u$ and $y$ is a vertex other than $v$, then there is a path $x t, t y$ in $G$ for some vertex $t$, since $v$ is not critical for $u$ and $y$. For the case $y=v$ and any other vertex $x$ the argument is similar. Therefore, $H$ is a 2 -self-centered graph, a contradiction.

Moreover, let $G$ be triangle-free. If $G$ is not edge-minimal, then there is an edge $e$ with ends $u$ and $v$ such that $G \backslash e$ is still a 2-self-centered graph. Thus there is a path of length 2 between $u$ and $v$ in $G \backslash e$. This gives a triangle in $G$.

Nevertheless, there are examples of edge-minimal 2-self-centered graphs possessing some critical vertices with or without triangles.
Example 8. Let $G$ be the graph with vertex set $V=\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ and edge set $E=\{01,23,12,14,15,23,36,37,46,57,67\}$. Then $G$ is an edge-minimal 2 -self-centered graph possessing the critical vertex 6 for the vertices 4 and 7 , with a triangle on $3,6,7$, see Figure 1.


Figure 1. The graph $G$ of Example 8.

Example 9. Let $H$ be a graph constructed in the following way: consider the graph $K_{3,3}$ with two vertices $y$ and $z$ in different parts connected by the edge $e$. Omit $e$ and add a vertex $x$ with two edges $x y$ and $x z$ to obtain $H$. Then $H$ is an edge minimal 2 -self-centered graph possessing the critical vertex $x$ for the vertices $y$ and $z$, without any triangle.


Figure 2. The graph $H$ of Example 9 .
Definition 10. A graph $G$ is called to have a specialized bi-independent covering via $\left(\mathbb{A}_{r}, \mathbb{B}_{s}\right)$ if
(i) $G$ is triangle-free,
(ii) there are two families $\mathbb{A}_{r}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}\right\}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{s}=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots B_{s}\right\}$ of not necessarily distinct independent subsets of $G$ such that we have $V(G)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} A_{i}=$ $\bigcup_{j=1}^{s} B_{j}$,
(iii) for all $u, v \in V(G)$ if $d(u, v) \geq 3$, then there is an $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $u, v \in A_{i}$ or there is $1 \leq j \leq s$ such that $u, v \in B_{j}$,
(iv) for all $u \in V(G)$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ if $d\left(u, A_{i}\right) \geq 2$, then there is a $j \in$ $\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $A_{i} \cap B_{j}=\emptyset$ and $u \in B_{j}$, and
(v) for all $u \in V(G)$ and $j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ if $d\left(u, B_{j}\right) \geq 2$, then there is an $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $A_{i} \cap B_{j}=\emptyset$ and $u \in A_{i}$.
To make it easy, we shorten the name "specialized bi-independent covering" to SBIC. It is straightforward to check that every triangle-free graph $G$ has two families of independent sets $\mathbb{A}_{r}, \mathbb{B}_{s}$ such that $G$ has a SBIC via $\left(\mathbb{A}_{r}, \mathbb{B}_{s}\right)$. To see this, fix two independent coverings of $G$, and by adding enough independent sets to them, we can always satisfy items (iii) to (v) of Definition 10.

We need the following definition to complete our characterization of trianglefree 2 -self-centered graphs.
Definition 11. A graph $G$ is called an $X$-generalized complete bipartite, denoted by $\operatorname{GCB}_{X}\left(k, \ell, \mathbb{A}_{r}, \mathbb{B}_{s}\right)$, if $X$ has an SBIC via $\left(\mathbb{A}_{r}, \mathbb{B}_{s}\right)$ and $G$ is constructed in the following way:
(1) $V(G)=K \cup L \cup Y \cup Z \cup V(X)$ where $|K|=k,|L|=\ell, Y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right\}$ and $Z=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{s}\right\}$.
(2) $a \sim t$ for all $a \in K$ and $t \in L \cup Y$.
(3) $b \sim t$ for all $b \in L$ and $t \in K \cup Z$.
(4) $y_{i} \sim t$ for all $t \in A_{i}$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$.
(5) $z_{j} \sim t$ for all $t \in B_{j}$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$.
(6) $y_{i} \sim z_{j}$ if and only if $A_{i} \cap B_{j}=\emptyset$.

Moreover, there are some special cases that must be treated separately:
(7) If $k=0$ then every member of $Y$ has a neighbour in $Z$ and for all $i, j \in$ $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ we have $A_{i} \cap A_{j} \neq \emptyset$ or there is a $p \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $A_{i} \cap B_{p}=$ $A_{j} \cap B_{p}=\emptyset$.
(8) If $\ell=0$ then every member of $Z$ has a neighbour in $Y$ and for all $i, j \in$ $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ we have $A_{i} \cap A_{j} \neq \emptyset$ or there is a $p \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $A_{i} \cap B_{p}=$ $A_{j} \cap B_{p}=\emptyset$.
(9) If $r=0$ then $k \neq 0$ and if $s=0$ then $\ell \neq 0$.
(10) $r=s=0$ if and only if $X=\emptyset$ and $k, \ell \geq 2$.
(11) If $|X|=1$ then at least one of $k$ or $\ell$ is non-zero.

Proposition 12. Any generalized complete bipartite graph is a triangle-free 2-self-centered graph.

Proof. Let $G=\mathrm{GCB}_{X}\left(k, \ell, \mathbb{A}_{r}, \mathbb{B}_{s}\right)$ and $t=|X|$. Then $n:=|V(G)|=k+\ell+$ $r+s+t$. We show that $G$ has no vertex of degree $n-1$ and then we show that item (ii) of Lemma 2 holds for $G$. Then by Remark 3 we deduce that $G$ is 2 -self-centered. By proving that $G$ has no triangle and using Lemma 7 , we actually show that $G$ is also edge-minimal.

For $a \in K, \operatorname{deg}(a)=\ell+r=n-s-k-t$. Thus if $r=s=0$ then by item (10) of Definition 11 we have $k \geq 2$ and hence $\operatorname{deg}(a) \leqslant n-2$. If $r$ or $s$ is non-zero, then by item (10) we have $t \neq 0$ and therefore we have $\operatorname{deg}(a) \leqslant n-2$ (by items (2) and (9) of Definition 11, and because no element of $K$ is adjacent to a vertex of $X$ ).

For $b \in L$, by a similar proof to the case $a \in K$ we can deduce that $\operatorname{deg}(b) \leqslant$ $n-2$.

For $y_{i} \in Y$, if $\ell \neq 0$ then $\operatorname{deg}\left(y_{i}\right) \leqslant n-2$ because no element of $L$ is adjacent to $y_{i}$. If $\ell=0$ then either $y_{i}$ is not adjacent to all vertices of $X$ or if $y_{i}$ is adjacent to all vertices of $x$ then it is not adjacent to $z_{j}$ for some $j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ (which its existence is supported by item (8) of Definition 11), each of which cases yields to $\operatorname{deg}\left(y_{i}\right) \leqslant n-2$.

For $z_{j} \in Z$ we have the same argument to $y_{i} \in Y$.
Finally, for each $x \in X$, item (11) of Definition 11 guarantees that $\operatorname{deg}(x) \leqslant$ $n-2$ whenever $X$ has only one vertex. So, assume that $t \geqslant 2$. Therefore, there are two possibilities: either there is $\hat{x} \in X$ such that $x$ is not adjacent to $\hat{x}$, or, $x$ is adjacent to all other vertices of $X$. If the former case is true then $\operatorname{deg}(x) \leqslant n-2$. For the later case, since $x$ is not in any independent set with other vertices of $X$, we have there is some $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\{x\} \cap A_{i}=\{x\} \cap B_{j}=\emptyset$. Thus, by items (4) and (5) of Definition 11, we have $x$ is not adjacent to $y_{i}$ and $z_{j}$ and hence $\operatorname{deg}(x) \leqslant n-2$.

To show that (ii) of Lemma 2 is also satisfied, we should choose two vertices $u$ and $v$ in $G$ and show that whenever they are not adjacent, they have at least
one common neighbour. There are 15 different ways for choosing $u$ and $v$ from $G=K \cup L \cup Y \cup Z \cup X$.

If $(u, v) \in(K \times L) \cup(K \times Y) \cup(L \times Z)$ then $u$ and $v$ are adjacent to each other.

If $(u, v) \in(K \times K) \cup(L \times L)$ then there is a path of length 2 between $u$ and $v$ via one of the sets $L \cup Y$ or $K \cup Z$.

If $(u, v) \in(Y \times Y)$ then if $k \neq 0$ there is a path of length 2 between $u$ and $v$ via any member of $K$. If $k=0$ then, by item (7) of Definition 11, we have either there is a $z_{p} \in Z$ which is a common neighbour of $u$ and $v$, or, $u$ and $v$ are both adjacent to a vertex $x \in X$. The case $(u, v) \in(Z \times Z)$ is also similar.

If $(u, v) \in(L \times Y)$ then if $k \neq 0$ there is a path of length 2 between $u$ and $v$ via any member of $K$. If $k=0$ then, by item (7) of Definition 11, we have every member of $Y$ has a neighbour in $Z$, so $Z$ is non-empty and $v$ has a neighbour in $Z$, namely $\hat{z}$. Since $u$ is also adjacent to $\hat{z}$ by item (3) of Definition 11, there is a path of length 2 between $u$ and $v$. The case $(u, v) \in(K \times Z)$ is also similar.

If $(u, v) \in Y \times Z$ then $u=y_{i}$ and $v=z_{j}$ for some $i$ and $j$. If $A_{i} \cap B_{j} \neq \emptyset$ then we can choose a $c$ in $A_{i} \cap B_{j}$ such that there is a path of length 2 between $u$ and $v$ via $c$. If $A_{i} \cap B_{j}=\emptyset$ then $u$ is adjacent to $v$, by item (6) of Definition 11.

If $(u, v) \in X \times X$ then either $d_{X}(u, v) \leqslant 2$ or by item (iii) of Definition 10 there is an $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ or a $j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that both $u$ and $v$ are adjacent to $y_{i}$ or $z_{j}$.

If $(u, v) \in(K \times X) \cup(L \times X)$ then there is an $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ or a $j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $v$ is adjacent to $y_{i}$ and $z_{j}$. Then, since $u$ is adjacent to $y_{i}$ or $z_{j}$, we have $d_{G}(u, v)=2$.

If $(u, v) \in(Y \times X)$ then then there is an $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $u=y_{i}$. Then, either $d\left(v, A_{i}\right) \leqslant 1$ which means that $d(u, v) \leqslant 2$, or, if $d\left(v, A_{i}\right) \geqslant 2$ then by item (iv) of Definition 10 there is a $j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $A_{i} \cap B_{j}=\emptyset$ and $v \in B_{j}$. Hence by items (5) and (6) of Definition 11 we have $z_{j}$ is adjacent to both $u$ and $v$. The case $(u, v) \in(Z \times X)$ is also similar.

So, for each of 15 ways of choosing $u$ and $v$ from vertices of $G$ we have $d(u, v) \leqslant 2$.

We finally show that $G$ is triangle-free. On contrary, suppose that $u, v, w$ are vertices of a triangle in $G$. The case that none of $u, v$ and $w$ is a vertex of $X$ cannot happen because $K \cup Z$ and $L \cup Y$ are independent sets. Since $X$ is triangle-free, $u, v$ and $w$ are not all together vertices of $X$. Meanwhile, if only two vertices of $\{u, v, w\}$ are in $X$, then the third is not adjacent to the other two because they cannot be in the same independent set in $X$. So, at most one of $\{u, v, w\}$ is a vertex of $X$. Let for instance $w$ be a vertex of $X$. Then $u$ and $v$ are not members of $Y$ or $Z$ at the same time, because otherwise they are not adjacent together. The case that one of $u$ and $v$ is in $Y$ and the other in $Z$ is also impossible because it is contrary to item (6) of Definition 11.

Theorem 13. A graph $G$ is a triangle-free 2-self-centered graph if and only if there are positive integers $k, \ell, r, s$ and a graph $X$ which has a $S B I C$ via $\left(\mathbb{A}_{r}, \mathbb{B}_{s}\right)$ such that $G=\mathrm{GCB}_{X}\left(k, \ell, \mathbb{A}_{r}, \mathbb{B}_{s}\right)$.

Proof. Let $Y^{\prime}$ be a maximal independent subset of $G$, let $Z^{\prime}$ be a maximal independent subset of $G \backslash Y^{\prime}$ and let $X=G \backslash\left(Y^{\prime} \cup Z^{\prime}\right)$. Suppose that $K$ (respectively $L$ ) is the set of all vertices in $Z^{\prime}$ (respectively $Y^{\prime}$ ) which are not adjacent to any member of $X$ and put $Y=Y^{\prime} \backslash L, Z=Z^{\prime} \backslash K$.

Let $a \in K$ and $y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$. We claim that $a y^{\prime} \in E$. Suppose on the contrary that $a y^{\prime} \notin E$. Since $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2$ there is a $u$ in $G$ such that $a u, u y^{\prime} \in E$. The vertex $u$ cannot be in $Y^{\prime}$ or $Z^{\prime}$ since $Y^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\prime}$ are independent sets. Hence $u \in X$. This contradicts to the definition of $K$.

A similar argument shows that each member of $L$ is adjacent to each member of $Z^{\prime}$.

Let $k=|K|, \ell=|L|, r=|Y|, s=|Z|, Y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right\}$ and $Z=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{s}\right\}$. Now put $A_{i}=N_{X}\left(y_{i}\right)$ and $B_{j}=N_{X}\left(z_{j}\right)$. We show that $A_{i}$ 's and $B_{j}$ 's are independent subsets of $X$ and $X$ has a $\operatorname{SBIC}$ via $\left(\mathbb{A}_{r}, \mathbb{B}_{s}\right)$.

Let $x$ be an arbitrary member of $X$. Since $Y^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\prime}$ are maximal independent, there should be neighbours for $x$ in $Y^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\prime}$. We know that these neighbours are in $Y$ and $Z$. Let $y_{i}$ and $z_{j}$ be adjacent to $x$. Thus $x \in A_{i}$ and $x \in B_{j}$. This shows that $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} A_{i}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{s} B_{j}$.

Each $A_{i}$ and each $B_{j}$ is independent, since $G$ is triangle-free. Moreover, if $y_{i}$ and $z_{j}$ are not adjacent to each other, then $\operatorname{since} \operatorname{diam}(G)=2$, there should be an $x \in X$ with $y_{i} x, x z_{j} \in E(X)$. Thus $x \in A_{i} \cap B_{j}$. If $y_{i}$ is adjacent to $z_{j}$ then there must not be such an $x$, so we have $y_{i} \sim z_{j}$ if and only if $A_{i} \cap B_{j}=\emptyset$.

Furthermore, $X$ is triangle-free since $X$ is a subgraph of the triangle-free graph $G$.

Items (iii), (iv) and (v) of Definition 10 must hold because $G$ is a triangle-free 2 -self-centered graph. Therefore, $X$ has an $\operatorname{SBIC}$ via $\left(\mathbb{A}_{r}, \mathbb{B}_{s}\right)$.

Items (1) to (6) of Definition 11 have already hold. Moreover, items (7) to (11) of Definition 11 must also hold because $G$ is a triangle-free 2-self-centered graph. Hence $G=\operatorname{GCB}_{X}\left(k, \ell, \mathbb{A}_{r}, \mathbb{B}_{s}\right)$.

Since the converse is evident by Proposition 12, we are done with the proof.
The reader should note that every complete bipartite graph $K_{k, \ell}$ with $k, \ell \geqslant 2$ is a generalized complete bipartite graph $\mathrm{GCB}_{\emptyset}(k, \ell, \emptyset, \emptyset)$.

Now, we can consider edge-minimal 2-self-centerd graphs with some triangles. We need the following procedure to proceed.

Procedure 14. Let $G$ be a graph, $u, v, w$ form a triangle in $G$ and suppose that $v$ is a critical vertex for $u$ and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}$ and/or $u$ is a critical vertex for $v$ and $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{q}$. Remove the edge $u v$ and add edges $u v_{1}, \ldots, u v_{p}$ and $v u_{1}, \ldots, v u_{q}$.

The following theorem characterizes edge-minimal 2 -self-centered graphs with triangles, which completes the characterization of all 2 -self-centered graphs.

Theorem 15. Let $G$ be a graph. Then $G$ is an edge-minimal 2-self-centered graph with some triangle if and only if the following two conditions are true:
(i) for each edge of every triangle in $G$, at least one end-vertex is a critical vertex (for the other end-vertex of that edge and some other vertices of $G$ ), and
(ii) iteration of Procedure 14 on $G$ (at most to the number of triangles of $G$ ) transforms $G$ to a triangle-free 2-self-centered graph.

Proof. Assume that $u, v, w$ form a triangle in $G$. Since $G$ is edge-minimal, if we omit the edge $u v$, then the resulting graph is not 2 -self-centered. This shows that $u$ or $v$ is a critical vertex. Let $u$ be a critical vertex. Thus there are vertices $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{q}$ such that $u$ is the common neighbour of $v$ and each of the $u_{i}$ 's. Moreover, if $v$ is also a critical vertex for $u$ and some other vertices, then we suppose that $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}$ are the vertices such that $v$ is a common neighbour of $u$ and each of the $v_{j}$ 's.

If we omit $u v$ and add edges $u_{1} v, \ldots, u_{q} v, u v_{1}, \ldots, u v_{p}$, then the resulting graph $G^{\prime}$ is clearly 2 -self-centered and the number of triangles of $G^{\prime}$ is less than the number of triangles of $G$. To see this, note that edges of a triangle on $u, v$ and $w$ are omitted and no new triangle is added. In contrary, suppose that we have a new triangle. Then it should be of the form $u_{i}, v, t$ (or $v_{j}, u, s$ ) which contradicts to the fact that $u$ (or $v$ ) is a critical vertex for $u_{i}$ and $v$ (for $v_{j}$ and $u$ ).

If $G$ has still some triangle then we can proceed this process. Therefore, we finally transform $G$ into a triangle-free 2 -self-centered graph.

Conversely, if the two conditions are true for a graph $G$ with some triangles, then $G$ is an edge-minimal 2-self-centered graph because condition (ii) guarantees that $G$ is 2 -self-centered while condition (i) obligates $G$ to be edge-minimal.
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