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#### Abstract

A graph is said to be characterized by its permanental spectrum if there is no other non-isomorphic graph with the same permanental spectrum. In this paper, we investigate when a complete bipartite graph $K_{p, p}$ with some edges deleted is determined by its permanental spectrum. We first prove that a graph obtained from $K_{p, p}$ by deleting all edges of a star $K_{1, l}$, provided $l<p$, is determined by its permanental spectrum. Furthermore, we show that all graphs with a perfect matching obtained from $K_{p, p}$ by removing five or fewer edges are determined by their permanental spectra
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## 1. InTRODUCTION

By a graph we always mean a simple undirected graph $G$ with the vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and the edge set $E(G)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$. Denote by $\bar{G}$

[^0]the complement of $G$. The degree of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is denoted by $d_{G}(v)$, abbreviated as $d(v)$. Let $G-E(H)$ be a graph obtained from $G$ by deleting the edges of $H$, where $H$ is a subgraph of $G$. Let $G \cup H$ be the union of two graphs $G$ and $H$ which have no common vertices. For any positive integer $l$, let $l G$ denote the union of $l$ disjoint copies of graph $G$. The complete graph, path, cycle and star of order $n$ are denoted by $K_{n}, P_{n}, C_{n}$ and $K_{1, n-1}$, respectively. Let $c_{i}(G)$ and $p_{i}(G)$ denote respectively the number of $i$-cycles and $i$-vertex paths in $G$.

An $r$-matching in $G$ is a set of $r$ pairwise non-adjacent edges. The number of $r$-matchings in $G$ is denoted by $q(G, r)$. For an $r$-matching $M$ in $G$, if $G$ has no $r^{\prime}$-matching such that $r^{\prime}>r$, then $M$ is called a maximum matching of $G$. The number $\nu(G)$ of edges in a maximum matching is called the matching number of $G$.

The permanent of an $n \times n$ matrix $X$ with entries $x_{i j}(i, j=1,2, \ldots, n)$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{per}(X)=\sum_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i \sigma(i)}
$$

where the sum is taken over all permutations $\sigma$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Valiant [19] has shown that computing the permanent is \#P-complete even when restricted to $(0,1)$-matrices.

Let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$. The polynomial $\phi(G, x)=\operatorname{det}(x I-$ $A(G)$ ), where $I$ is the identity matrix, is called the characteristic polynomial of graph $G$. The adjacency spectrum of graph $G$ consists of the eigenvalues of $A(G)$ together with their multiplicities. Similarly, the permanental polynomial of $G$, denoted by $\pi(G, x)$, is defined as $\pi(G, x)=\operatorname{per}(x I-A(G))$, where $I$ is the identity matrix. The permanental spectrum (per-spectrum for short) of $G$ is the collection of all roots (together with their multiplicities) of $\pi(G, x)$. The multiplicity of zeroes in the per-spectrum of $G$ is called permanental nullity of $G$, denoted by $\eta_{p e r}(G)$.

The permanental polynomials of graphs was systematically introduced in mathematical and chemical literature almost simultaneously by Merris et al. [18] and Kasum et al. [14]. For a period of time, little about the study of permanental polynomials seems to have been published. This may be due to the difficulty of computing $\operatorname{per}(x I-A(G))$. However, permanental polynomials and their applications have received a lot of attention from researchers in recent years. See, for example, $[1,2,3,5-8,12,21,23,24,25]$, and the references therein.

Two graphs are cospectral (respectively per-cospectral) if they share the same adjacency spectrum (respectively per-spectrum). A graph $G$ is said to be determined by its per-spectrum (DPS for short) if every graph per-cospectral with $G$ is isomorphic to $G$.

For any graph polynomial, it is of interest to determine its ability to characterize graphs, see [9, 10]. Merris et al. [18] first found that the per-spectrum
distinguishes the five cospectral graphs of [13]. And they stated that the perspectrum seems a little better than the adjacency spectrum when it comes to distinguishing graphs which are not trees. Motivated by the Merris et al.'s statement, Liu and Zhang $[15,16]$ investigated paths, stars, cycles and lollipop graphs which are DPS. And they stated that graphs determined by the adjacency spectra are not necessarily determined by the permanental spectra. Up to now, only a few types of graphs with very special structures have been proved to be DPS, such as, all graphs which are obtained from a complete graph by removing six or fewer edges [22, 26], and complete bipartite graphs [20]. Furthermore, Borowiecki [4] showed that if $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are bipartite graphs without cycles of length $k, k \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4)$, then $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are per-cospectral if and only if $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are cospectral. Yan and Zhang [23] gave a method to construct infinitely many pairs of 2 -connected bipartite graphs which are per-cospectral.

In this paper, we intend to investigate when a complete regular bipartite graph with some edges deleted is DPS. And we obtain the results as follows. We show that $K_{p, p}-E\left(K_{1, l}\right)$ is DPS, and prove that all graphs with a perfect matching obtained from $K_{p, p}$ by removing at most five edges are DPS. If the restriction "a perfect matching" is canceled in some graphs above, then these graphs are not necessarily determined by their per-spectra.

## 2. Preliminaries

Zhang et al. [26] enumerated all graphs with at most five edges and no isolated vertices. It is not difficult to check that there exist exactly 37 non-isomorphic bipartite graphs in these graphs. Thus, up to isomorphism there exist exactly 37 bipartite graphs obtained from $K_{p, p}$ by removing five or fewer edges, where $p \geq 5$, which are labeled by $G_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 37$, and depicted in Figure 1 .

Lovász gave a formula about the relation between $q(G, r)$ and $q(\bar{G}, i)$, which will play a key role in the proofs of our main results.
Lemma 2.1 [17]. Let $G$ be a simple graph with $n$ vertices and $\bar{G}$ the complement of $G$. Then

$$
q(G, r)=\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^{i}\binom{n-2 i}{2 r-2 i}(2 r-2 i-1)!!q(\bar{G}, i),
$$

where $s!!=s \times(s-2)!!$, and $(-1)!!=0!!=1$.
Lemma 2.2 [11]. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then
(i) $q(G, 2)=\binom{m}{2}-\sum_{v \in V(G)}\binom{d(v)}{2}$,
(ii) $q(G, 3)=\binom{m}{3}-(m-2) \sum_{v \in V(G)}\binom{d(v)}{2}+2 \sum_{v \in V(G)}\binom{d(v)}{3}+\sum_{u v \in E(G)}(d(u)-1)$ $(d(v)-1)$.


Figure 1. All graphs obtained from $K_{p, p}$ by deleting five or fewer edges drawn.

A subgraph $H$ of a graph $G$ is said to be a Sachs graph if each component of $H$ is either a single edge or a cycle.

Lemma 2.3 [18]. Let $G$ be a graph with $\pi(G, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}(G) x^{n-k}$. Then

$$
b_{k}(G)=(-1)^{k} \sum_{H} 2^{c(H)}, 1 \leq k \leq n
$$

where the sum is taken over all Sachs subgraphs $H$ of $G$ on $k$ vertices, and $c(H)$ is the number of cycles in $H$.

Lemma 2.4 [15]. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges, and let $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be the degree sequence of $G$. Then
(i) $b_{0}(G)=1$,
(ii) $b_{1}(G)=0$,
(iii) $b_{2}(G)=m$,
(iv) $b_{3}(G)=-2 c_{3}(G)$,
(v) $b_{4}(G)=\binom{m}{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{d_{i}}{2}+2 c_{4}(G)$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph with $m$ edges, and let $d_{G}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ denote the degree sum of four vertices which are on the ith quadrangle in $G$. Then

$$
b_{6}(G)=q(G, 3)+2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{c_{4}(G)}\left(m+4-d_{G}\left(Q_{i}\right)\right)+c_{6}(G)\right)
$$

Proof. By the definition of Sachs subgraph, we get that the Sachs subgraphs of $G$ on six vertices are of three kinds: $3 K_{2}, C_{4} \cup K_{2}$ and $C_{6}$. The number of $3 K_{2}$ in $G$ is equal to $q(G, 3)$. For the $i$ th quadrangle in $G$, there exist exactly $m+4-d_{G}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ edges each which is not incident to any vertex of the $i$ th quadrangle. So, the number of $C_{4} \cup K_{2}$ in $G$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{c_{4}(G)}\left(m+4-d_{G}\left(Q_{i}\right)\right)$. It follows, by Lemma 2.3, that $b_{6}(G)=q(G, 3)+2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{c_{4}(G)}\left(m+4-d_{G}\left(Q_{i}\right)\right)+c_{6}(G)\right)$.

Lemma 2.6 [15]. The following parameters and properties of a graph $G$ can be deduced from the per-spectrum.
(i) The number of vertices.
(ii) The number of edges.
(iii) The number of triangles.
(iv) Whether $G$ is bipartite.

Lemma 2.7 [20]. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph with $n$ vertices. Then $\eta_{p e r}(G)=$ $n-2 \nu(G)$.

Remark 2.8. Lemma 2.7 implies that if the matching numbers of two bipartite graphs are not equal, then the two bipartite graphs are not per-cospectral.

Zhang et al. [26] gave a formula to compute the number of 4-cycles in Lemma 2.7. From the proof of Lemma 2.7 of [26], we can easily obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. Let $H \subseteq K_{p, p}$ be a bipartite graph with l edges and let $G=K_{p, p}$ $E(H)$. Then

$$
c_{4}(G)=\left[\binom{p}{2}\right]^{2}-l(p-1)^{2}+\binom{l}{2}+(p-2) \sum_{v \in V(H)}\binom{d(v)}{2}-p_{4}(H)+c_{4}(H) .
$$

By Lemma 2.9, we calculate the number of quadrangles of all graphs except for $G_{1}$ in Figure 1, as shown in Table 1.

Lemma 2.10. Let $H \subseteq K_{p, p}$ be a bipartite graph with l edges, and let $G=K_{p, p}-$ $E(H)$. Then

$$
c_{6}(G)=6\left[\binom{p}{3}\right]^{2}-4 l\left[\binom{p-1}{2}\right]^{2}+3(p-2)^{2}\left(\binom{l}{2}-z(H)\right)+2 z(H)(p-2)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times\binom{ p-1}{2}-p_{4}(H)(p-2)^{2}-2(p-2)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{z(H)}\left(l+2-d_{i}\left(P_{3}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{1}\\
& -2 q(H, 3)+2 y_{1}(H)+y_{2}(H)+p_{5}(H)(p-2)-p_{6}(H)+c_{6}(H),
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{j}\left(P_{3}\right)$ denotes the degree sum of three vertices on the $j$ th $P_{3}$ in $H, z(H)=$ $\sum_{v \in V(H)}\binom{d(v)}{2}, y_{2}(H)$ denotes the number of $P_{4} \cup K_{2}$ in $H$, and $y_{1}(H)$ denotes the number of $2 P_{3}$ in $H$ such that the vertices of degree two in $2 P_{3}$ belong to different partite set of $H$.


Table 1. The number of quadrangles of all graphs except for $G_{1}$ in Figure 1.

Proof. Let $E(H)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{l}\right\}$. For each $i=1,2, \ldots, l$, let $J_{i}$ denote the set of hexagons (6-cycles) of $K_{p, p}$ containing $e_{i}$. We know that $K_{p, p}$ contains $6\left[\binom{p}{3}\right]^{2}$ hexagons. By the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{6}(G)= & 6\left[\binom{p}{3}\right]^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left|J_{i}\right|+\sum_{i<j}\left|J_{i} \cap J_{j}\right|-\sum_{i<j<k}\left|J_{i} \cap J_{j} \cap J_{k}\right| \\
& +\sum_{i<j<k<r}\left|J_{i} \cap J_{j} \cap J_{k} \cap J_{r}\right|-\sum_{i<j<k<r<s}\left|J_{i} \cap J_{j} \cap J_{k} \cap J_{r} \cap J_{s}\right|  \tag{2}\\
& +\sum_{i<j<k<r<s<t}\left|J_{i} \cap J_{j} \cap J_{k} \cap J_{r} \cap J_{s} \cap J_{t}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Since each edge of $K_{p, p}$ is contained in $4\left[\binom{p-1}{2}\right]^{2}$ hexagons, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left|J_{i}\right|=$ $4 l\left[\binom{p-1}{2}\right]^{2}$.

For $i \neq j,\left|J_{i} \cap J_{j}\right|= \begin{cases}2\binom{p-2}{1}\binom{p-1}{2}, & \text { if } e_{i} \text { is adjacent to } e_{j}, \\ 3(p-2)^{2}, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$
For any graph $H$, it contains exactly $\binom{l}{2}-\sum_{v \in V(H)}\binom{d(v)}{2}$ pairs of pairwise disjoint edges. On the other hand, the number of $P_{3}$ in $H$ equals $z(H)=\sum_{v \in V(H)}\binom{d(v)}{2}$. It follows that $\sum_{i<j}\left|J_{i} \cap J_{j}\right|=3(p-2)^{2}\left(\binom{l}{2}-z(H)\right)+2 z(H)(p-2)\binom{p-1}{2}$.

Note that any three edges in a $C_{6}$ induce a $P_{4}, 3 K_{2}$ or $P_{3} \cup K_{2}$. Observe that any $P_{3}$ in $H$ is contained in $l+2-d\left(P_{3}\right)$ disjoint unions of $P_{3}$ and $K_{2}$ in $H$. Further, exactly $2(p-2)\left(l+2-d_{i}\left(P_{3}\right)\right)$ hexagons in $K_{p, p}$ contain the disjoint unions of the $i$ th $P_{3}$ and $K_{2}$ in $H$. We again note that any $3 K_{2}$ in $H$ is contained exactly in two 6 -cycles which are spanned by $3 K_{2}$ in $K_{p, p}$, and the number of $3 K_{2}$ in $H$ equals $q(H, 3)$. Hence, there exist $2 q(H, 3)$ hexagons in $K_{p, p}$ containing all $3 K_{2}$ in $H$. Additionally, any $P_{4}$ is contained in $(p-2)^{2} 6$-cycles in $K_{p, p}$. It follows that $\sum_{i<j<k}\left|J_{i} \cap J_{j} \cap J_{k}\right|=p_{4}(H)(p-2)^{2}+2(p-2)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{z(H)}\left(l+2-d_{i}\left(P_{3}\right)\right)\right)+2 q(H, 3)$.

Similarly, any four edges in a $C_{6}$ induce a $P_{5}, 2 P_{3}$ or $P_{4} \cup K_{2}$, where the vertices of degree two in $2 P_{3}$ must belong to different partite in $H$. It can be seen that any $2 P_{3}$ is contained in two 6 -cycles which are spanned by $2 P_{3}$ in $K_{p, p}$. For a $P_{5}$, there exist exactly $p-2$ hexagons in $K_{p, p}$ containing it. It follows that $\sum_{i<j<k<r}\left|J_{i} \cap J_{j} \cap J_{k} \cap S_{s}\right|=2 y_{1}(H)+y_{2}(H)+p_{5}(H)(p-2)$.

Since every five edges in a $C_{6}$ induce a $P_{6}$, we have $\sum_{i<j<k<r<s} \mid J_{i} \cap J_{j} \cap J_{k} \cap$ $J_{r} \cap J_{s} \mid=p_{6}(H)$. Similarly, $\sum_{i<j<k<r<s<t}\left|J_{i} \cap J_{j} \cap J_{k} \cap J_{r} \cap J_{s} \cap J_{t}\right|=c_{6}(H)$. Substituting such equations into the expression (2), we obtain equation (1).

Let $Q_{4}(G)$ be the set of all 4-cycles of $G$. For each $Q \in Q_{4}(G)$, define $d_{G}(Q)$ $=\sum_{v \in V(Q)} d_{G}(v)$ and $D(G)=\sum_{Q \in Q_{4}(G)} d_{G}(Q)$.

## Lemma 2.11.

$$
D(G)= \begin{cases}\left.4 p\left[\begin{array}{l}
p \\
2
\end{array}\right)\right]^{2}-20 p^{3}+60 p^{2}-24 p-28, & \text { if } G=G_{10}, G_{17} \text { with } p \geq 4, \\
4 p\left[\left(\begin{array}{c}
p
\end{array}\right)\right]^{2}-25 p^{3}+72 p^{2}-p-64, & \text { if } G=G_{22}, G_{36} \text { with } p \geq 5, \\
4 p\left[\binom{p}{2}\right]^{2}-25 p^{3}+84 p^{2}-40 p-46, & \text { if } G=G_{28}, G_{37} \text { with } p \geq 5, \\
4 p\left[\binom{p}{2}\right]^{2}-25 p^{3}+84 p^{2}-55 p-24, & \text { if } G=G_{30} \text { with } p \geq 3, \\
4 p\left[\binom{p}{2}\right]^{2}-25 p^{3}+84 p^{2}-57 p-18, & \text { if } G=G_{35} \text { with } p \geq 3 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We only consider the case $G=G_{30}$. The proof of other cases is quite similar to $G_{30}$ and is thus omitted.

We use the notation in Figure 1 for $G_{30}$ and let $H$ be a path of order 6 as a subgraph of $K_{p, p}$. Let $e_{1}=v_{1} v_{2}, e_{2}=v_{2} v_{3}, e_{3}=v_{3} v_{4}, e_{4}=v_{4} v_{5}$ and $e_{5}=v_{5} v_{6}$ denote the edges of $H$. Direct computation yields $\left.D\left(K_{p, p}\right)=4 p\left[\begin{array}{l}p \\ 2\end{array}\right)\right]^{2}$. We will compute $D\left(G_{30}\right)$ by deleting the edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{5}$ one edge in turn.
Step 1. We observe that $K_{p, p}$ has $(p-1)^{2}$ quadrangles containing $e_{1}$, and these quadrangles will be destroyed in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}$. We also note that $K_{p, p}-e_{1}$ has $2(p-1)\binom{p-1}{2}$ quadrangles containing exactly one endpoint of $e_{1}$ and three vertices in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. For each of such 4 -cycle, its degree sum in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}$ will decrease by 1 . As each quadrangle in $K_{p, p}$ has degree sum $4 p$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(K_{p, p}\right)-D\left(K_{p, p}-e_{1}\right)=4 p(p-1)^{2}+2(p-1)\binom{p-1}{2}=5 p^{3}-12 p^{2}+9 p-2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. Note that $K_{p, p}-e_{1}$ has $(p-1)(p-2)$ quadrangles containing $e_{2}$, and these quadrangles will be destroyed in $K_{p, p}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$, and that the degree sum of each such quadrangle in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}$ is $4 p-1$. We also note that $K_{p, p}-e_{1}$ has $(p-1)\binom{p-2}{2}+(p-2)\binom{p-1}{2}$ quadrangles containing exactly one endpoint of $e_{2}$ and three vertices in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$, and for each of such 4 -cycles, its degree sum in $K_{p, p}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ will decrease by 1 from its degree sum in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}$. Moreover, $K_{p, p}-e_{1}$ has $\binom{p-1}{2}$ quadrangles containing vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$ but not $v_{2}$, and for each of such 4-cycles, its degree sum in $K_{p, p}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ will decrease by 1 from its degree sum in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}$. Thus, after deleting $e_{2}$ in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& D\left(K_{p, p}-e_{1}\right)-D\left(K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}\right) \\
& =(p-1)\left((p-2)(4 p-1)+\binom{p-2}{2}+\binom{p-1}{2}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& =5 p^{3}-18 p^{2}+19 p-6 .
\end{align*}
$$

Step 3. Again $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}$ has $(p-2)^{2}+(p-2)$ quadrangles containing $e_{3}$, and these quadrangles will be destroyed in $K_{p, p}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$. Among these quadrangles, there exist ( $p-2$ ) 4 -cycles each of which contains vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, v_{3}\right\}$ and one vertex in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$, and has degree sum $4 p-2$ in $K_{p, p}-$ $e_{1}-e_{2}$; and each of the $(p-2)^{2}$ others has degree sum $4 p-1$ in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}$, and each of such 4 -cycles contains two endpoints of $e_{3}$ and two vertices in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. Moreover, $K_{n}-e_{1}-e_{2}$ has $2(p-2)\binom{p-2}{2} 4$-cycles each of which contains exactly one endpoint of $e_{3}$ and three vertices in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$; and has $2\binom{p-2}{2}+(p-2)^{2} 4$-cycles each of which contains exactly one of vertex pairs in $\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{3}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{4}\right),\left(v_{2}, v_{4}\right)\right\}$ and two vertices in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$. The degree sum of each of these $(2 p-2)\binom{p-2}{2}+(p-2)^{2}$ quadrangles in $K_{p, p}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ will be decreased by 1 in $K_{p, p}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& D\left(K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}\right)-D\left(K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}\right) \\
& =4 p(p-2)^{2}+(p-2)(4 p-2)+(2 p-2)\binom{p-2}{2}  \tag{5}\\
& =5 p^{3}-18 p^{2}+17 p-2 .
\end{align*}
$$

Step 4. We note that $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}$ has $(p-2)(p-3)+2 p-5$ quadrangles containing $e_{4}$. Among these quadrangles, there exist $(p-2) 4$-cycles each of which contains three vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right\}$ and one vertex in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right\}$, and has degree sum $4 p-2$ in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}$; there exist $(p-3) 4$-cycles each of which contains three vertices $\left\{v_{2}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right\}$ and one vertex in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right\}$, and has degree sum $4 p-3$ in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}$; and each of $(p-2)(p-3)$ others has degree sum $4 p-1$ in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}$, and each of such 4 -cycles contains two endpoints of $e_{4}$ and two vertices in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$. All these 4 -cycles will be destroyed in $K_{n}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$. Furthermore, $K_{p, p}-$
$e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}$ has $(p-2)\binom{p-3}{2}+(p-3)\binom{p-2}{2} 4$-cycles each of which contains exactly one endpoint of $e_{4}$ and three vertices in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right\}$; and has $2(p-2)(p-3)+2\binom{p-2}{2}+\binom{p-3}{2} 4$-cycles each of which contain exactly one of vertex pairs in $\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{4}\right),\left(v_{2}, v_{4}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{5}\right),\left(v_{2}, v_{5}\right),\left(v_{3}, v_{5}\right)\right\}$ and two vertices in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right\}$. The degree sum of each of these $2(p-2)(p-3)+$ $(p-1)\binom{p-3}{2}+(p-1)\binom{p-2}{2}$ quadrangles in $K_{p, p}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ will be decreased by 1 in $K_{p, p}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$. Thus, after deleting $e_{4}$ in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& D\left(K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}\right)-D\left(K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}\right) \\
& =4 p^{3}-11 p^{2}-6 p+19+(p-1)(p-3)^{2}=5 p^{3}-18 p^{2}+9 p+10 . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 5. We observe that $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}$ has $(p-3)^{2}+3(p-3)$ quadrangles containing $e_{5}$, and these quadrangles will be destroyed in $K_{n}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$. Among these quadrangles, there exist $(p-3) 4$-cycles each of which contains three vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$ and one vertex in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$, and has degree sum $4 p-2$ in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}$; there exist $2(p-3) 4$-cycles each of which contains one of vertex pairs in $\left\{\left(v_{2}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right),\left(v_{3}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right)\right\}$ and one vertex in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$, and has degree sum $4 p-3$ in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}$; and each of the $(p-3)^{2}$ others has degree sum $4 p-1$ in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}$, and each of such 4 -cycles contains two endpoints of $e_{5}$ and two vertices in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$. Moreover, $K_{n}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}$ has $2(p-3)\binom{p-3}{2} 4$-cycles each of which contains exactly one endpoint of $e_{5}$ and three vertices in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$; it has $4\binom{p-3}{2}+3(p-3)^{2}$ quadrangles each of which contains one of vertex pairs in $\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{5}\right),\left(v_{2}, v_{5}\right),\left(v_{3}, v_{5}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{6}\right),\left(v_{2}, v_{6}\right),\left(v_{3}, v_{6}\right),\left(v_{4}, v_{6}\right)\right\}$ and two vertices in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$; and it has $2(p-3)$ quadrangles each of which contains three vertices either $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{6}\right\}$ or $\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, v_{6}\right\}$, and one vertex in $V\left(K_{p, p}\right)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$. The degree sum of each of these $2(p-$ 1) $\binom{p-3}{2}+3(p-3)^{2}+2(p-3)$ quadrangles in $K_{p, p}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ will be decreased by 1 in $K_{p, p}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$. Thus, after deleting $e_{5}$ in $K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& D\left(K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}\right)-D\left(K_{p, p}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}-e_{5}\right) \\
& =(4 p+2)(p-3)^{2}+2(p-1)\binom{p-3}{2}+12 p^{2}-42 p+18  \tag{7}\\
& =5 p^{3}-18 p^{2}+p+24 .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining equations (3)-(7), we have $\left.D\left(G_{30}\right)=4 p\left[\begin{array}{c}p \\ 2\end{array}\right)\right]^{2}-25 p^{3}+84 p^{2}-$ $55 p-24$.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. The graph $K_{p, p}-E\left(K_{1, l}\right)$ is DPS, where $l<p$.

Proof. We directly verify that if $p \leq 2$ then $K_{p, p}-E\left(K_{1, l}\right)$ is DPS. Assume $p \geq 3$. Let $G$ be a graph per-cospectral with $K_{p, p}-E\left(K_{1, l}\right)$. By Lemma 2.6, we know that $G$ is a bipartite graph with $2 p$ vertices and $p^{2}-l$ edges. Moreover, by Lemma 2.7, we have $\nu(G)=p$. Thus, $G$ must be isomorphic to some $K_{p, p}-E(H)$ for a subgraph $H$ of $K_{p, p}$ with $|E(H)|=l$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q\left(K_{p, p}-E(H), 2\right) \\
(8) & =3!!\binom{2 p}{4}-\left(2\binom{p}{2}+l\right)\binom{2 p-2}{2}+2 q\left(K_{p}, 2\right)+\left[\binom{p}{2}\right]^{2}+2 l\binom{p-1}{2} \\
& +\binom{l}{2}-\sum_{v \in V(H)}\binom{d(v)}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemmas 2.4(v) and 2.9, and equation (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{4}\left(K_{p, p}-E\left(K_{1, l}\right)\right)-b_{4}\left(K_{p, p}-E(H)\right) \\
& =(2 p-5)\left(\sum_{\left.v \in V\left(K_{1}, l\right)\right)}\binom{d(v)}{2}-\sum_{v \in V(H)}\binom{d(v)}{2}\right)+2 p_{4}(H)-2 c_{4}(H)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\sum_{v \in V(H)}\binom{d(v)}{2}$ equals the number of $P_{3}$ 's in $H, \sum_{v \in V(H)}\binom{d(v)}{2} \leq\binom{ l}{2}$, which implies that if $H \not \not K_{1, l}$ then $\sum_{v \in V(H)}\binom{d(v)}{2}<\sum_{v \in V\left(K_{1, l}\right)}\binom{d(v)}{2}$. It can be shown that every 4-cycle yields four distinct $P_{4}$ 's, which indicates that $p_{4}(H)$ $-c_{4}(H) \geq 0$. It follows that $b_{4}\left(K_{p, p}-E\left(K_{1, l}\right)\right)-b_{4}\left(K_{p, p}-E(H)\right)>0$, a contradiction. Hence, $H \cong K_{1, l}$.

By Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following immediate consequence.
Corollary 3.2. If $l<p$, then graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{4}, G_{8}$ and $G_{25}$ are DPS.
Theorem 3.3. Graph $G_{3}$ is DPS.
Proof. There exist exactly two non-isomorphic graphs obtained from $K_{p, p}$ by deleting two edges, that is, $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$. By Theorem 3.1, $G_{2}$ is DPS when $p>2$. If $p=2$, then $1=\nu\left(G_{2}\right) \neq \nu\left(G_{3}\right)=2$. By Lemma 2.7, $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ are not per-cospectral. This indicates that $G_{3}$ is DPS.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ be a set of graphs obtained from $K_{p, p}$ by removing three edges. If the matching number of $G \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$ equals $p$, then $G$ is DPS.

Proof. We know that $\mathcal{G}_{1}=\left\{G_{4}, G_{5}, G_{6}, G_{7}\right\}$. By Theorem 3.1, we know that $G_{4}$ is DPS when $p \neq 3$. Additionally, we find that if $p=3$, then $\nu\left(G_{4}\right)=2$ and the matching number of every graph in $\mathcal{G}_{1}-\left\{G_{4}\right\}$ equals 3 . This indicates, by Lemma 2.7, that $G_{4}$ is not per-cospectral with every graph in $\mathcal{G}_{1}-\left\{G_{4}\right\}$.

By the above argument, we note that Theorem 3.4 holds only when $G_{5}, G_{6}$ and $G_{7}$ are not pairwise per-cospectral. By Lemma $2.4(\mathrm{v})$ and Table 1, we have $b_{4}\left(G_{6}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{7}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 p}\binom{d_{G_{7}}\left(v_{i}\right)}{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{2 p}\binom{d_{G_{6}}\left(v_{i}\right)}{2}+2\left(c_{4}\left(G_{6}\right)-c_{4}\left(G_{7}\right)\right)=4 p-12$. Hence $b_{4}\left(G_{6}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{7}\right)=0$ only when $p=3$. Using Maple 12.0, we compute the permanental polynomials of $G_{6}$ and $G_{7}$ for $p=3$, respectively, as $\pi\left(G_{6}, x\right)=$ $x^{6}+6 x^{4}+9 x^{2}+1$ and $\pi\left(G_{7}, x\right)=x^{6}+6 x^{4}+9 x^{2}+4$. So, $G_{6}$ and $G_{7}$ are not per-cospectral. Similarly, we have $b_{4}\left(G_{5}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{6}\right)=-2 p+7$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{5}\right)-$ $b_{4}\left(G_{7}\right)=2 p-5$. There exists no integer $p$ such that $b_{4}\left(G_{5}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{6}\right)=0$ or $b_{4}\left(G_{5}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{7}\right)=0$. These indicate that neither the pair $G_{5}$ and $G_{6}$, nor $G_{5}$ and $G_{7}$ are per-cospectral.
Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ denote the set of graphs obtained from $K_{p, p}$ by removing four edges. If the matching number of $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$ equals $p$, then $G$ is DPS.
Proof. It can be shown that $\mathcal{G}_{2}=\left\{G_{8}, G_{9}, \ldots, G_{17}\right\}$. By Theorem 3.1, we know that if $p \neq 4$, then $G_{8}$ is DPS. Checking every graph in $\mathcal{G}_{2}$, we note that the matching number of all graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{2}-\left\{G_{8}\right\}$ equals 4 and $\nu\left(G_{8}\right)=3$ when $p=4$. So, we obtain, by Lemma 2.7, that if $p=4$, then $G_{8}$ is not per-cospectral with any graph in $\mathcal{G}_{2}-\left\{G_{8}\right\}$. In what follows we show that $G_{9}, G_{10}, \ldots, G_{17}$ are not pairwise per-cospectral. First, by Lemma $2.4(\mathrm{v})$ and Table 1, we compute the subtractions of 4th coefficients of permanental polynomials of any two graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{2}-\left\{G_{8}\right\}$, as shown in Table 2.

By Table 2, we note that $b_{4}\left(G_{10}\right)=b_{4}\left(G_{17}\right)$. By Lemma $2.2(\mathrm{ii})$, we have $q\left(G_{10}, 3\right)=q\left(G_{17}, 3\right)=\binom{p^{2}-4}{3}-\left(p^{2}-6\right)\left((2 p-6)\binom{p}{2}+4\binom{p-1}{2}+2\binom{p-2}{2}\right)+$ $2\left((2 p-6)\binom{p}{3}+4\binom{p-1}{3}+2\binom{p-2}{3}\right)+p^{4}-2 p^{3}-11 p^{2}+28 p-8$. Additionally, by Lemma 2.10, we have $c_{6}\left(G_{10}\right)=6\left[\binom{p}{3}\right]^{2}-16\left[\binom{p-1}{2}\right]^{2}+12(p-2)^{2}+4(p-2)\binom{p-1}{2}-$ $8(p-2)$ and $c_{6}\left(G_{17}\right)=6\left[\binom{p}{3}\right]^{2}-16\left[\binom{p-1}{2}\right]^{2}+12(p-2)^{2}+4(p-2)\binom{p-1}{2}-8(p-2)+2$. By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.11, we have $b_{6}\left(G_{10}\right)-b_{6}\left(G_{17}\right)=-4 \neq 0$, which implies that $G_{10}$ and $G_{17}$ are not per-cospectral.

By Table 2, we see that $b_{4}\left(G_{14}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{15}\right)=4 p-12, b_{4}\left(G_{15}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{16}\right)=$ $-8 p+24$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{14}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{16}\right)=-4 p+12$. Hence $b_{4}\left(G_{14}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{15}\right)=0$, $b_{4}\left(G_{15}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{16}\right)=0$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{14}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{16}\right)=0$ only when $p=3$. However, by the definition of $G_{15}$, we have $p \geq 4$ in $G_{15}$. Thus, neither the pair $G_{14}$ and $G_{15}$, nor $G_{15}$ and $G_{16}$ are per-cospectral. Additionally, examining $G_{14}$ and $G_{16}$, we note that $\nu\left(G_{14}\right)=3$ and $\nu\left(G_{16}\right)=2$ when $p=3$. This implies, by Lemma 2.7, that $G_{14}$ and $G_{16}$ are not per-cospectral. Similarly, we also note that $b_{4}\left(G_{10}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{12}\right)=-4 p+16$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{12}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{17}\right)=4 p-16$. Hence $b_{4}\left(G_{10}\right)-$ $b_{4}\left(G_{12}\right)=0$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{12}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{17}\right)=0$ only when $p=4$. Employing Maple 12.0, we compute $\pi\left(G_{10}, x\right), \pi\left(G_{12}, x\right)$ and $\pi\left(G_{17}, x\right)$ with $p=4$, respectively, as $\pi\left(G_{10}, x\right)=x^{8}+12 x^{6}+60 x^{4}+112 x^{2}+64, \pi\left(G_{12}, x\right)=x^{8}+12 x^{6}+60 x^{4}+96 x^{2}+16$ and $\pi\left(G_{17}, x\right)=x^{8}+12 x^{6}+60 x^{4}+116 x^{2}+64$. These imply that neither the pair $G_{10}$ and $G_{12}$, nor $G_{12}$ and $G_{17}$ are per-cospectral.

Finally, checking Table 2, we note that there exists no integer $p$ such that the subtractions of 4th coefficients of permanental polynomials of any two graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{2}-\left\{G_{8}\right\}$ equal 0 excepting the cases as above, which imply that these graphs are not pairwise per-cospectral. So, the theorem is proved.

|  | $G_{10}$ | $G_{11}$ | $G_{12}$ | $G_{13}$ | $G_{14}$ | $G_{15}$ | $G_{16}$ | $G_{17}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $G_{9}$ | $2 p-5$ | $4 p-10$ | $-2 p+11$ | 4 | $2 p-3$ | $6 p-15$ | $-2 p+9$ | $2 p-5$ |
| $G_{10}$ |  | $2 p-5$ | $-4 p+16$ | $-2 p+9$ | 2 | $4 p-10$ | $-4 p+14$ | 0 |
| $G_{11}$ |  |  | $-6 p+21$ | $-4 p+14$ | $-2 p+7$ | $2 p-5$ | $-6 p+19$ | $-2 p+5$ |
| $G_{12}$ |  |  |  | $2 p-7$ | $4 p-14$ | $8 p-26$ | -2 | $4 p-16$ |
| $G_{13}$ |  |  |  |  | $2 p-7$ | $6 p-19$ | $-2 p+5$ | $2 p-9$ |
| $G_{14}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $4 p-12$ | $-4 p+12$ | -2 |
| $G_{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-8 p+24$ | $-4 p+10$ |
| $G_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $4 p-14$ |  |

Table 2. The subtractions of 4th coefficients of permanental polynomials of any two graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{2}-\left\{G_{8}\right\}$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\mathcal{G}_{3}$ be a set of graphs obtained from $K_{p, p}$ by removing five edges. If the matching number of $G \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$ equals $p$, then $G$ is DPS.

Proof. We know that $\mathcal{G}_{3}=\left\{G_{18}, G_{19}, \ldots, G_{37}\right\}$. By Theorem 3.1, if $p \neq 5$, then $G_{25}$ is DPS. Checking every graph in $\mathcal{G}_{3}$, we note that the matching number of all graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{3}-\left\{G_{25}\right\}$ equals 5 and $\nu\left(G_{25}\right)=4$ when $p=5$. By Lemma 2.7, $G_{25}$ is not per-cospectral with any graph in $\mathcal{G}_{3}-\left\{G_{25}\right\}$. In what follows we show that any two graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{3}-\left\{G_{25}\right\}$ are not per-cospectral. We first compute the subtractions of 4th coefficients of permanental polynomials of any two graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{3}-\left\{G_{25}\right\}$, see Table 3.

By Table 3, we observe that $b_{4}\left(G_{30}\right)=b_{4}\left(G_{35}\right)$. By Lemma 2.2(ii), we have $q\left(G_{30}, 3\right)=\binom{p^{2}-5}{3}-\left(p^{2}-7\right)\left((2 p-6)\binom{p}{2}+2\binom{p-1}{2}+4\binom{p-2}{2}\right)+2\left((2 p-6)\binom{p}{3}+\right.$ $\left.2\binom{p-1}{3}+4\binom{p-2}{3}\right)+p^{4}-2 p^{3}-14 p^{2}+38 p-14$ and $q\left(G_{35}, 3\right)=\binom{p^{2}-5}{3}-\left(p^{2}-7\right)$ $\left((2 p-6)\binom{p}{2}+2\binom{p-1}{2}+4\binom{p-2}{2}\right)+2\left((2 p-6)\binom{p}{3}+2\binom{p-1}{3}+4\binom{p-2}{3}\right)+p^{4}-2 p^{3}-$ $14 p^{2}+38 p-15$. Additionally, by Lemma 2.10, we have $\left.c_{6}\left(G_{30}\right)=6\left[\begin{array}{c}p \\ 3\end{array}\right)\right]^{2}-$
 $20\left[\binom{p-1}{2}\right]^{2}+14(p-2)^{2}+8(p-2)\binom{p-1}{2}-8(p-2)$. By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.11, we obtain that $b_{6}\left(G_{30}\right)-b_{6}\left(G_{35}\right)=2 p^{2}-16 p+31$. Solving $2 p^{2}-16 p+31=0$, we have $p=\frac{8 \pm \sqrt{2}}{2}$. This contradicts that $p$ is an integer. So, $\pi\left(G_{30}, x\right) \neq \pi\left(G_{35}, x\right)$.

Similarly, we note that $b_{4}\left(G_{22}\right)=b_{4}\left(G_{36}\right)$. By Lemma $2.2(\mathrm{ii})$, we have $q\left(G_{22}, 3\right)=q\left(G_{36}, 3\right)=\binom{p^{2}-5}{3}-\left(p^{2}-7\right)\left((2 p-8)\binom{p}{2}+6\binom{p-1}{2}+2\binom{p-2}{2}\right)+2((2 p-$ 8) $\left.\binom{p}{3}+6\binom{p-1}{3}+2\binom{p-2}{3}\right)+p^{4}-2 p^{3}-14 p^{2}+34 p-3$. By Lemma 2.10, we have
$c_{6}\left(G_{22}\right)=6\left[\binom{p}{3}\right]^{2}-20\left[\binom{p-1}{2}\right]^{2}+24(p-2)^{2}+4(p-2)\binom{p-1}{2}-12(p-2)-8$ and $c_{6}\left(G_{36}\right)=6\left[\binom{p}{3}\right]^{2}-20\left[\binom{p-1}{2}\right]^{2}+24(p-2)^{2}+4(p-2)\binom{p-1}{2}-12(p-2)-6$. By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.11, we obtain that $b_{6}\left(G_{22}\right)-b_{6}\left(G_{36}\right)=-4 \neq 0$, which indicates that $G_{22}$ and $G_{36}$ are not per-cospectral.

By Table 3, we again observe that $b_{4}\left(G_{28}\right)=b_{4}\left(G_{37}\right)$. By Lemma 2.2(ii), we have $q\left(G_{28}, 3\right)=q\left(G_{37}, 3\right)=\binom{p^{2}-5}{3}-\left(p^{2}-7\right)\left((2 p-7)\binom{p}{2}+5\binom{p-1}{2}+\binom{p-2}{2}+\right.$ $\left.\binom{p-3}{2}\right)+2\left((2 p-7)\binom{p}{3}+5\binom{p-1}{3}+\binom{p-2}{3}+\binom{p-3}{3}\right)+p^{4}-2 p^{3}-14 p^{2}+38 p-11$. By Lemma 2.10, we have $c_{6}\left(G_{28}\right)=6\left[\binom{p}{3}\right]^{2}-20\left[\binom{p-1}{2}\right]^{2}+18(p-2)^{2}+8(p-2)\binom{p-1}{2}-$ $18(p-2)$ and $c_{6}\left(G_{36}\right)=6\left[\binom{p}{3}\right]^{2}-20\left[\binom{p-1}{2}\right]^{2}+18(p-2)^{2}+8(p-2)\binom{p-1}{2}-18(p-$ $2)+6$. By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.11 , we have $b_{6}\left(G_{28}\right)-b_{6}\left(G_{37}\right)=-12 \neq 0$, which indicates that $G_{28}$ and $G_{37}$ are not per-cospectral.

By Table 3, note that $b_{4}\left(G_{19}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{31}\right)=-4 p+16, b_{4}\left(G_{24}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{34}\right)=$ $-4 p+16, b_{4}\left(G_{30}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{36}\right)=4 p-16$, and $b_{4}\left(G_{35}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{36}\right)=4 p-16$. So, only for $p=4 b_{4}\left(G_{19}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{31}\right)=0, b_{4}\left(G_{24}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{34}\right)=0, b_{4}\left(G_{30}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{36}\right)=0$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{35}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{36}\right)=0$, hold. Using Maple 12.0, we compute the permanental polynomials of $G_{19}, G_{24}, G_{30}, G_{31}, G_{34}, G_{35}$ and $G_{36}$ for $p=4$, respectively. We have $\pi\left(G_{19}, x\right)=x^{8}+11 x^{6}+46 x^{4}+74 x^{2}+36, \pi\left(G_{24}, x\right)=x^{8}+11 x^{6}+47 x^{4}+74 x^{2}+$ $16, \pi\left(G_{30}, x\right)=x^{8}+11 x^{6}+45 x^{4}+67 x^{2}+25, \pi\left(G_{31}, x\right)=x^{8}+11 x^{6}+46 x^{4}+65 x^{2}+9$, $\pi\left(G_{34}, x\right)=x^{8}+11 x^{6}+47 x^{4}+56 x^{2}+4, \pi\left(G_{35}, x\right)=x^{8}+11 x^{6}+45 x^{4}+68 x^{2}+16$ and $\pi\left(G_{36}, x\right)=x^{8}+11 x^{6}+45 x^{4}+76 x^{2}+36$, which indicate that none of the pairs $G_{19}$ and $G_{31}, G_{24}$ and $G_{34}, G_{30}$ and $G_{36}$, and $G_{35}$ and $G_{36}$ are per-cospectral. Similarly, we again note that $b_{4}\left(G_{28}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{34}\right)=-4 p+20$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{34}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{37}\right)=$ $4 p-20$. Hence $b_{4}\left(G_{28}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{34}\right)=0$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{34}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{37}\right)=0$ only when $p=5$. Employing Maple 12.0, we compute the permanental polynomials of $G_{28}, G_{34}$ and $G_{37}$ with $p=5$, respectively. We obtained that $\pi\left(G_{28}, x\right)=x^{10}+20 x^{8}+210 x^{6}+$ $1116 x^{4}+2376 x^{2}+1296, \pi\left(G_{34}, x\right)=x^{10}+20 x^{8}+210 x^{6}+1092 x^{4}+2040 x^{2}+576$ and $\pi\left(G_{37}, x\right)=x^{10}+20 x^{8}+210 x^{6}+1128 x^{4}+2424 x^{2}+1296$, which imply that neither the pair $G_{28}$ and $G_{34}$, nor $G_{34}$ and $G_{37}$ are per-cospectral.

By Table 3, we find that $b_{4}\left(G_{30}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{33}\right)=-4 p+12$. Hence, $b_{4}\left(G_{30}\right)-$ $b_{4}\left(G_{33}\right)=0$ only when $p=3$. Checking $G_{30}$ and $G_{33}$, note that $\nu\left(G_{30}\right)=3$ and $\nu\left(G_{32}\right)=2$ when $p=3$, which implies, by Lemma 2.7 , that $G_{30}$ and $G_{30}$ are not per-cospectral. Similarly, we again note that $b_{4}\left(G_{33}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{35}\right)=4 p-12$. Checking $G_{33}$ and $G_{35}$, we know that the matching number of $G_{33}$ and $G_{35}$ equals 2 when $p=3$. This contradicts the assumption $p=3$.

By Table 3, we see that $b_{4}\left(G_{18}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{26}\right)=-4 p+16, b_{4}\left(G_{22}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{30}\right)=$ $-4 p+16$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{22}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{35}\right)=-4 p+16$, respectively. Hence $b_{4}\left(G_{18}\right)-$ $b_{4}\left(G_{26}\right)=0, b_{4}\left(G_{22}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{30}\right)=0$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{22}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{35}\right)=0$ only when $p=4$. However, by the definitions of $G_{18}$ and $G_{22}$, we have $p \geq 5$ in $G_{18}$ and $G_{22}$. By Lemma 2.6, these mean that none of the pairs $G_{18}$ and $G_{26}, G_{22}$ and $G_{30}$, and $G_{22}$ and $G_{35}$ are per-cospectral. Similarly, by Table 3 , we see that $b_{4}\left(G_{21}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{29}\right)=$
$4 p-12$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{24}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{29}\right)=8 p-24$. Hence $b_{4}\left(G_{21}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{29}\right)=0$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{24}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{29}\right)=0$ only when $p=3$. These contradict the fact $p \geq 5$ in $G_{29}$ by the definition of $G_{29}$. So, neither the pair $G_{21}$ and $G_{29}$, nor $G_{24}$ and $G_{29}$ are per-cospectral.

Additionally, by Table 3 , we also see that $b_{4}\left(G_{19}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{23}\right)=4 p-12$, $b_{4}\left(G_{19}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{26}\right)=-6 p+18, b_{4}\left(G_{19}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{32}\right)=-8 p+24, b_{4}\left(G_{20}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{26}\right)=$ $-4 p+12, b_{4}\left(G_{21}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{24}\right)=-4 p+12, b_{4}\left(G_{21}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{28}\right)=-4 p+8, b_{4}\left(G_{21}\right)-$ $b_{4}\left(G_{37}\right)=-4 p+8, b_{4}\left(G_{23}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{32}\right)=-12 p+36, b_{4}\left(G_{27}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{30}\right)=4 p-4$, $b_{4}\left(G_{27}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{35}\right)=4 p-4$ and $b_{4}\left(G_{31}\right)-b_{4}\left(G_{32}\right)=-4 p+8$. Observing these equations, it can be seen that only when $p=1,2$ or 3 these equations equal zero. By the definitions of $G_{19}, G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{23}, G_{24}, G_{26}, G_{27}, G_{28}, G_{30}, G_{31}, G_{32}$ and $G_{35}$, we have $p \geq 4$ for these graphs. So, $G_{19}$ and $G_{23}, G_{19}$ and $G_{26}, G_{19}$ and $G_{32}, G_{20}$ and $G_{26}, G_{21}$ and $G_{24}, G_{21}$ and $G_{28}, G_{21}$ and $G_{37}, G_{23}$ and $G_{32}, G_{27}$ and $G_{30}, G_{27}$ and $G_{35}$, and $G_{31}$ and $G_{32}$ are not per-cospectral.

Finally, checking Table 3, we note that there exists no integer $p$ such that the subtractions of 4 th coefficients of permanental polynomials of any two graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{2}-\left\{G_{25}\right\}$ equal 0 excepting the cases as above, which implies that these graphs are not pairwise per-cospectral. So, the theorem is proved.

## 4. Discussions

In this paper, we investigated which graphs obtained from $K_{p, p}$ by removing some edges are DPS. From the proofs of main theorems in Section 3, we know that the matching number plays a key role. If the matching number is less than $p$ in these graphs as above, then we do not find a suitable method to show whether these graphs are DPS or not. But we find that $G_{33}=C_{4} \cup 2 K_{1}$ and $G_{35}=2 P_{3}$ are per-cospectral when $p=3$, and the matching number of $C_{4} \cup 2 K_{1}$ and $2 P_{3}$ equals 2. Furthermore, we can show that $C_{4} \cup 2 K_{1}$ and $2 P_{3}$ is one of two pairs of minimum per-cospectral graphs (with the minimum number of edges), and the other pair is $K_{1,3} \cup K_{2}$ and $P_{5} \cup K_{1}$.

Professor Haemers conjectured that almost all graphs are determined by their adjacency spectra. Thus, we propose an interesting question which can be thought of parallel question of considered in this paper, i.e.,
Question. Which graphs obtained from $K_{p, p}$ by removing five or fewer edges are determined by their adjacency spectra?
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|  | $G_{19}$ |  | $G_{21}$ | $G_{22}$ | $G_{23}$ | $G_{24}$ | $G_{26}$ | $G_{27}$ | $G_{28}$ | $G_{29}$ | $G_{30}$ | $G_{31}$ | $G_{32}$ | $G_{33}$ | $G_{34}$ | $G_{35}$ | $G_{36}$ | $G_{37}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{18}$ | 2 | 4 | 2p-3 | 2p-5 | 4p-10 | $-2 p+9$ | $-4 p+16$ | $-6 p+15$ | $-2 p+5$ | 6p-15 | $-2 p+11$ | $-4 p+18$ | $-8 p+26$ | $-6 p+23$ | $-6 p+25$ | $-2 \mathrm{p}+11$ | 2p-5 | $-2 p+5$ |
| $G_{19}$ |  | 2 | 2p-5 | 2p-7 | 4p-12 | $-2 p+7$ | $-4 p+14$ | $-6 p+13$ | $-2 p+3$ | 6p-17 | $-2 p+9$ | $-4 p+16$ | $-8 p+24$ | $-6 p+21$ | $-6 p+23$ | $-2 \mathrm{p}+9$ | 2p-7 | $-2 p+3$ |
| $G_{20}$ |  |  | 2p-7 | 2p-9 | 4p-14 | $-2 p+5$ | $-4 p+12$ | $-6 p+11$ | $-2 p+1$ | 6p-19 | $-2 p+7$ | $-4 p+14$ | $-8 p+22$ | $-6 p+19$ | $-6 p+21$ | $-2 p+7$ | 2p-9 | $-2 \mathrm{p}+1$ |
| $G_{21}$ |  |  |  | -2 | 2p-7 | $-4 p+12$ | $-6 p+19$ | $-8 p+18$ | $-4 p+8$ | 4p-12 | $-4 p+14$ | $-6 p+21$ | $-10+29$ | $-8 p+26$ | $-8 p+28$ | $-4 p+14$ | -2 | $-4 \mathrm{p}+8$ |
| $G_{22}$ |  |  |  |  | 2p-5 | $-4 p+14$ | $-6 p+21$ | $-8 p+20$ | $-4 \mathrm{p}+10$ | 4p-10 | $-4 p+16$ | $-6 p+23$ | $-10 \mathrm{p}+31$ | $-8 p+28$ | $-8 p+30$ | $-4 p+16$ | 0 | $-4 \mathrm{p}+10$ |
| $G_{23}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $-6 p+19$ | $-8 p+26$ | $-10 p+25$ | $-6 \mathrm{p}+15$ | $2 \mathrm{p}+5$ | $-6 p+21$ | $-8 p+28$ | $-12 p+36$ | $-10+33$ | $-10 \mathrm{p}+35$ | $-6 p+21$ | $-2 p+5$ | $-6 \mathrm{p}+15$ |
| $G_{24}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-2 p+7$ | $-4 \mathrm{p}+6$ | -4 | 8p-24 | 2 | $-2 p+9$ | $-6 p+17$ | $-4 p+14$ | $-4 p+16$ | 2 | 4p-14 | -4 |
| $G_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -2p-1 | 2p-11 | 10p-31 | 2p-5 | 2 | $-4 p+10$ | $-2 p+7$ | $-2 p+9$ | 2p-5 | 6p-21 | 2p-11 |
| $G_{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4p-10 | 12p-30 | 4p-4 | $2 \mathrm{p}+3$ | $-2 p+11$ | 8 | 10 | 4p-4 | 8p-20 | 4p-10 |
| $G_{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8p-20 | 6 | $-2 p+13$ | $-6 p+21$ | $-4 p+18$ | $-4 p+20$ | 6 | 4p-10 | 0 |
| $G_{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-8 p+26$ | $-10 \mathrm{p}+33$ | $-14 p+41$ | $-12 \mathrm{p}+38$ | $-12 \mathrm{p}+40$ | $-8 p+26$ | $-4 p+10$ | $-8 p+20$ |
| $G_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-2 \mathrm{p}+7$ | $-6 p+15$ | $-4 p+12$ | $-4 p+14$ | 0 | 4p-16 | -6 |
| $G_{31}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-4 p+8$ | $-2 p+5$ | $-2 p+7$ | 2p-7 | $6 \mathrm{p}-23$ | 2p-13 |
| $G_{32}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2p-3 | 2p-1 | $6 \mathrm{p}-15$ | 10p-31 | $6 \mathrm{p}-21$ |
| $G_{33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \mathrm{p}-12$ | 8p-28 | $4 \mathrm{p}-18$ |
| $G_{34}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4p-14 | 8p-30 | 4p-20 |
| $G_{35}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4p-16 | -6 |
| $G_{36}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-4 p+10$ |

Table 3. The subtractions of fourth coefficients of permanental polynomials of any two graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{3}-\left\{G_{25}\right\}$.
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