Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 37 (2017) 1067–1078 doi:10.7151/dmgt.1980

# ON H-IRREGULARITY STRENGTH OF GRAPHS

FARAHA ASHRAF

Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences GC University, Lahore, Pakistan

e-mail: faraha27@gmail.com

# Martin Bača<sup>1</sup>

MARCELA LASCSÁKOVÁ

AND

Andrea Semaničová-Feňovčíková

Department of Applied Mathematics and Informatics Technical University, Košice, Slovakia

> e-mail: martin.baca@tuke.sk marcela.lascsakova@tuke.sk andrea.fenovcikova@tuke.sk

## Abstract

New graph characteristic, the total *H*-irregularity strength of a graph, is introduced. Estimations on this parameter are obtained and for some families of graphs the precise values of this parameter are proved. **Keywords:** *H*-covering, *H*-irregular labeling, *H*-irregularity strength.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C78, 05C70.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a connected, simple and undirected graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). A *labeling* of a graph is a map that carries graph elements to the numbers (usually to the positive or non-negative integers). If the domain is the vertex-set or the edge-set, the labelings are called respectively *vertex labelings* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author.

or edge labelings. If the domain is  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  then we call the labeling total labeling. The most complete recent survey of graph labelings is [12].

For an edge k-labeling  $\delta : E(G) \to \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  the associated weight of a vertex  $x \in V(G)$  is  $w_{\delta}(x) = \sum_{xy \in E(G)} \delta(xy)$ , where the sum is over all vertices y adjacent to x.

Chartrand, Jacobson, Lehel, Oellermann, Ruiz and Saba in [9] introduced edge k-labeling  $\delta$  of a graph G such that  $w_{\delta}(x) \neq w_{\delta}(y)$  for all vertices  $x, y \in V(G)$ with  $x \neq y$ . Such labelings are called *irregular assignments* and the *irregularity* strength s(G) of a graph G is known as the minimum k for which G has an irregular assignment using labels at most k. The irregularity strength s(G) can be interpreted as the smallest integer k for which G can be turned into a multigraph G' by replacing each edge by a set of at most k parallel edges, such that the degrees of the vertices in G' are all different.

Finding the irregularity strength of a graph seems to be hard even for graphs with simple structure, see [2, 3, 4, 7, 10, 11, 17, 18, 19].

Motivated by irregularity strengths, Bača, Jendrol', Miller and Ryan in [5] defined the total labeling  $\varphi: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$  to be an *edge irregular* total k-labeling of the graph G if for every two different edges xy and x'y' of G one has

$$wt_{\varphi}(xy) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(xy) + \varphi(y) \neq wt_{\varphi}(x'y') = \varphi(x') + \varphi(x'y') + \varphi(y').$$

The minimum k for which the graph G has an edge irregular total k-labeling is called the *total edge irregularity strength* of the graph G, tes(G). The total edge irregularity strength is an invariant analogous to the irregularity strength.

A lower bound on the total edge irregularity strength of a graph G is given in [5]

(1) 
$$\operatorname{tes}(G) \ge \max\left\{ \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|+2}{3} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2} \right\rceil \right\},\$$

where  $\Delta(G)$  is the maximum degree of G.

Ivančo and Jendrol' [14] posed a conjecture that for an arbitrary graph G different from  $K_5$  and with maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$ ,  $\operatorname{tes}(G) = \max\{\lceil (|E(G)| + 2)/3 \rceil, \lceil (\Delta(G) + 1)/2 \rceil\}$ . This conjecture has been verified for complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs in [15] and [16], for the categorical product of two cycles in [1], for generalized Petersen graphs in [13], for generalized prisms in [6], for corona product of a path with certain graphs in [20] and for large dense graphs with  $(|E(G)| + 2)/3 \leq (\Delta(G) + 1)/2$  in [8].

An *edge-covering* of G is a family of subgraphs  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t$  such that each edge of E(G) belongs to at least one of the subgraphs  $H_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$ . Then it is said that G admits an  $(H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t)$ -(*edge*) covering. If every subgraph  $H_i$  is isomorphic to a given graph H, then the graph G admits an H-covering.

Let G be a graph admitting H-covering. For the subgraph  $H \subseteq G$  under the total k-labeling  $\varphi$ , we define the associated H-weight as

$$wt_{\varphi}(H) = \sum_{v \in V(H)} \varphi(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H)} \varphi(e).$$

A total k-labeling  $\varphi$  is called an *H*-irregular total k-labeling of the graph *G* if for every two different subgraphs *H'* and *H''* isomorphic to *H* there is  $wt_{\varphi}(H') \neq wt_{\varphi}(H'')$ . The total *H*-irregularity strength of a graph *G*, denoted ths(*G*, *H*), is the smallest integer *k* such that *G* has an *H*-irregular total *k*-labeling. If *H* is isomorphic to  $K_2$ , then the  $K_2$ -irregular total *k*-labeling is isomorphic to the edge irregular total *k*-labeling and thus the total  $K_2$ -irregularity strength of a graph *G* is equivalent to the total edge irregularity strength, that is ths(*G*,  $K_2$ ) = tes(*G*).

Analogously, we can define H-irregular edge k-labeling and H-irregular vertex k-labeling.

Let G be a graph admitting H-covering. For the subgraph  $H \subseteq G$  under the edge k-labeling  $\beta$ ,  $\beta : E(G) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ , we define the associated H-weight as

$$wt_{\beta}(H) = \sum_{e \in E(H)} \beta(e).$$

An edge k-labeling  $\beta$  is called an *H*-irregular edge k-labeling of the graph *G* if for every two different subgraphs H' and H'' isomorphic to *H* there is  $wt_{\beta}(H') \neq wt_{\beta}(H'')$ . The edge *H*-irregularity strength of a graph *G*, denoted ehs(*G*, *H*), is the smallest integer k such that *G* has an *H*-irregular edge k-labeling.

Let G be a graph admitting H-covering. For the subgraph  $H \subseteq G$  under the vertex k-labeling  $\alpha, \alpha : V(G) \to \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , we define the associated H-weight as

$$wt_{\alpha}(H) = \sum_{v \in V(H)} \alpha(v).$$

A vertex k-labeling  $\alpha$  is called an *H*-irregular vertex k-labeling of the graph *G* if for every two different subgraphs H' and H'' isomorphic to *H* there is  $wt_{\alpha}(H') \neq wt_{\alpha}(H'')$ . The vertex *H*-irregularity strength of a graph *G*, denoted vhs(*G*, *H*), is the smallest integer k such that *G* has an *H*-irregular vertex k-labeling. Note that vhs(*G*, *H*) =  $\infty$  if there exist two subgraphs in *G* isomorphic to *H* that have the same vertex sets. Evidently, if there exist two subgraphs  $H_i, H_j, i \neq j$ , such that  $V(H_i) = V(H_j)$  then

$$wt_{\alpha}(H_i) = \sum_{v \in V(H_i)} \alpha(v) = \sum_{v \in V(H_j)} \alpha(v) = wt_{\alpha}(H_j).$$

In the paper, we estimate the bounds of the parameter ths(G, H) and determine the exact values of the total *H*-irregularity strength for several families of graphs, namely, paths, ladders and fans.

#### 2. Results

Our first result gives a lower bound of the total *H*-irregularity strength.

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a graph admitting an H-covering given by t subgraphs isomorphic to H. Then

$$\operatorname{ths}(G,H) \ge \left\lceil 1 + \frac{t-1}{|V(H)| + |E(H)|} \right\rceil$$

**Proof.** Let G be a graph that admits an H-covering given by t subgraphs isomorphic to H. Assume that  $\varphi$  is an H-irregular total k-labeling of a graph G with  $\operatorname{ths}(G, H) = k$ . The smallest weight of a subgraph H under the total k-labeling is at least |V(H)| + |E(H)| and the largest H-weight admits the value at most (|V(H)| + |E(H)|)k. Since H-covering of G is given by t subgraphs, we get

$$|V(H)| + |E(H)| + t - 1 \le (|V(H)| + |E(H)|)k$$

and

$$k \ge \left\lceil 1 + \frac{t-1}{|V(H)| + |E(H)|} \right\rceil.$$

If H is isomorphic to  $K_2$ , then immediately from Theorem 1 it follows the lower bound on the total edge irregularity strength given in [5].

**Corollary 2.** Let G = (V, E) be a graph having non-empty edge set. Then

$$\operatorname{ths}(G, K_2) = \operatorname{tes}(G) \ge \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)| + 2}{3} \right\rceil$$

The lower bound in Theorem 1 is tight as can be seen from the following theorems which determine the exact values of the total H-irregularity strength for paths and ladders.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $n, m, 2 \le m \le n$ , be positive integers. Then

ths
$$(P_n, P_m) = \left\lceil \frac{m+n-1}{2m-1} \right\rceil$$
.

**Proof.** Let  $P_n$  be a path with the vertex set  $V(P_n) = \{v_i : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ and the edge set  $E(P_n) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ . Clearly, for every m,  $2 \le m \le n$ , the path  $P_n$  admits a  $P_m$ -covering with exactly n - m + 1 subpaths. Put  $k = \left\lceil \frac{m+n-1}{2m-1} \right\rceil$ . According to Theorem 1, k is the lower bound of ths $(P_n, P_m)$ .

1070

In order to show the converse inequality, it only remains to describe a  $P_m$ -irregular total k-labeling  $\varphi: V(P_n) \cup E(P_n) \to \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  as follows

$$\varphi(v_i) = \left\lceil \frac{m-1+i}{2m-1} \right\rceil, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
$$\varphi(v_i v_{i+1}) = \left\lceil \frac{i}{2m-1} \right\rceil, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

We can see that all vertex and edge labels are at most k. Every subpath  $P_m$  in  $P_n$  is of the form  $P_m^j = v_j v_{j+1} \cdots v_{m+j-1}$ , where  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - m + 1$ . For the  $P_m$ -weight of the path  $P_m^j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - m + 1$ , under the total labeling  $\varphi$  we get

(2) 
$$wt_{\varphi}(P_m^j) = \sum_{v \in V(P_m^j)} \varphi(v) + \sum_{e \in E(P_m^j)} \varphi(e).$$

Since vertex labels and edge labels form non-decreasing sequences, it is enough to prove that  $wt_{\varphi}(P_m^j) < wt_{\varphi}(P_m^{j+1}), j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-m$ .

In fact, with respect to (2), we get

(3) 
$$wt_{\varphi}(P_m^j) = \varphi(v_j) + \varphi(v_j v_{j+1}) + \sum_{i=j+1}^{m+j-1} \varphi(v_i) + \sum_{i=j+1}^{m+j-2} \varphi(v_i v_{i+1})$$

and

(4) 
$$wt_{\varphi}(P_m^{j+1}) = \sum_{i=j+1}^{m+j-1} \varphi(v_i) + \sum_{i=j+1}^{m+j-2} \varphi(v_i v_{i+1}) + \varphi(v_{m+j}) + \varphi(v_{m+j-1} v_{m+j}).$$

Because for every  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - m$ 

$$\varphi(v_{m+j}) + \varphi(v_{m+j-1}v_{m+j}) = \left\lceil \frac{2m-1+j}{2m-1} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{m-1+j}{2m-1} \right\rceil$$
$$= 1 + \left\lceil \frac{j}{2m-1} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{m-1+j}{2m-1} \right\rceil$$
$$= 1 + \varphi(v_j v_{j+1}) + \varphi(v_j),$$

then  $wt_{\varphi}(P_m^j) < wt_{\varphi}(P_m^{j+1})$  and we are done.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $L_n \cong P_n \Box P_2$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , be a ladder admitting a  $C_m$ -covering, m = 4, 6. Then

$$\operatorname{ths}(L_n, C_m) = \left\lceil \frac{3m+2n}{4m} \right\rceil.$$

**Proof.** Let  $L_n \cong P_n \Box P_2$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , be a ladder with the vertex set  $V(L_n) = \{v_i, u_i : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  and the edge set  $E(L_n) = \{v_i v_{i+1}, u_i u_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, ..., n-1\} \cup \{v_i u_i : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ . The ladder  $L_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , admits a  $C_4$ -covering with exactly n-1 cycles  $C_4$  and a  $C_6$ -covering with exactly n-2 cycles  $C_6$ . With respect to Theorem 1 we have ths $(L_n, C_m) \ge \left\lceil \frac{3m+2n}{4m} \right\rceil$ . Put  $k = \left\lceil \frac{3m+2n}{4m} \right\rceil$ . To show that k is an upper bound for redthe total  $C_m$ -irregularity strength of  $L_n$  we define a  $C_m$ -irregular total k-labeling  $\varphi_m : V(L_n) \cup E(L_n) \to \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ , m = 4, 6, in the following way:

$$\varphi_4(v_i) = \left\lceil \frac{i+6}{8} \right\rceil, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
  

$$\varphi_4(u_i) = \left\lceil \frac{i+2}{8} \right\rceil, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
  

$$\varphi_4(v_i v_{i+1}) = \left\lceil \frac{i+1}{8} \right\rceil, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$
  

$$\varphi_4(u_i u_{i+1}) = \left\lceil \frac{i}{8} \right\rceil, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$
  

$$\varphi_4(v_i u_i) = \left\lceil \frac{i+4}{8} \right\rceil, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$\varphi_{6}(v_{i}) = \left[\frac{i+10}{13}\right], \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
  

$$\varphi_{6}(u_{i}) = \left[\frac{i+7}{13}\right], \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
  

$$\varphi_{6}(v_{i}v_{i+1}) = \left[\frac{i+5}{13}\right], \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$
  

$$\varphi_{6}(u_{i}u_{i+1}) = \left[\frac{i+3}{13}\right], \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$
  

$$\varphi_{6}(v_{i}u_{i}) = \left[\frac{i}{13}\right], \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

It is a routine matter to verify that under the labelings  $\varphi_4$  and  $\varphi_6$  all vertex and edge labels are at most k. For the  $C_m$ -weight of the cycle  $C_m^j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - \frac{m}{2} + 1$ , under the total labeling  $\varphi_m$ , m = 4, 6, we get

(5) 
$$wt_{\varphi_m}(C_m^j) = \sum_{v \in V(C_m^j)} \varphi_m(v) + \sum_{e \in E(C_m^j)} \varphi_m(e).$$

One can see that vertex labels and edge labels form non-decreasing sequences, therefore it is enough to prove that  $wt_{\varphi_m}(C_m^j) < wt_{\varphi_m}(C_m^{j+1}), j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - \frac{m}{2}$ .

For every  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2$ , we have

$$\varphi_4(v_{j+1}v_{j+2}) + \varphi_4(v_{j+2}) + \varphi_4(u_{j+1}u_{j+2}) + \varphi_4(u_{j+2}) + \varphi_4(v_{j+2}u_{j+2})$$

$$= \left\lceil \frac{j+2}{8} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{j+8}{8} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{j+1}{8} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{j+4}{8} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{j+6}{8} \right\rceil$$

$$= \varphi_4(u_j) + 1 + \varphi_4(u_ju_{j+1}) + \varphi_4(v_jv_{j+1}) + \varphi_4(v_ju_j) + \varphi_4(v_j)$$

thus with respect to (5)  $wt_{\varphi_4}(C_4^{j+1}) = 1 + wt_{\varphi_4}(C_4^j)$ . Because for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_6(v_{j+2}v_{j+3}) + \varphi_6(v_{j+3}) + \varphi_6(u_{j+2}u_{j+3}) + \varphi_6(u_{j+3}) + \varphi_6(v_{j+3}u_{j+3}) \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{j+7}{13} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{j+13}{13} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{j+5}{13} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{j+10}{13} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{j+3}{13} \right\rceil \\ &= \varphi_6(u_j) + 1 + \varphi_6(v_ju_j) + \varphi_6(v_jv_{j+1}) + \varphi_6(v_j) + \varphi_6(u_ju_{j+1}) + \varphi_6$$

then by (5)  $wt_{\varphi_6}(C_6^{j+1}) = 1 + wt_{\varphi_6}(C_6^j)$ . Thus, the labelings  $\varphi_m$ , for m = 4, 6, are desired  $C_m$ -irregular total klabelings.

Let G be a graph admitting H-covering. By the symbol  $\mathbb{H}_m^S = (H_1^S, H_2^S)$  $\ldots, H_m^S$  we denote the set of all subgraphs of G isomorphic to H such that the graph  $S, S \not\cong H$ , is their maximum common subgraph. Thus  $V(S) \subset V(H_i^S)$ and  $E(S) \subset E(H_i^S)$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ . Next theorem gives another lower bound of the total *H*-irregularity strength.

**Theorem 5.** Let G be a graph admitting an H-covering. Let  $S_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., z, be all subgraphs of G such that  $S_i$  is a maximum common subgraph of  $m_i, m_i \geq 2$ , subgraphs of G isomorphic to H. Then

ths(G, H) 
$$\geq \max\left\{ \left[ 1 + \frac{m_1 - 1}{|V(H/S_1)| + |E(H/S_1)|} \right], \dots, \left[ 1 + \frac{m_z - 1}{|V(H/S_z)| + |E(H/S_z)|} \right] \right\}.$$

**Proof.** Let G be a graph admitting an H-covering. Suppose  $\mathbb{H}_{m_i}^{S_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , z, is the set of all subgraphs  $H_1^{S_i}, H_2^{S_i}, \ldots, H_{m_i}^{S_i}$ , where each of them is isomorphic to H, and  $S_i$  is their maximum common subgraph. Let  $\psi$  be an optimal total labeling of G. The H-weights of the graphs  $H_1^{S_i}, H_2^{S_i}, \ldots, H_{m_i}^{S_i}$ 

$$wt\left(H_j^{S_i}\right) = \sum_{v \in V(S_i)} \psi(v) + \sum_{e \in E(S_i)} \psi(e) + \sum_{v \in V\left(H_j^{S_i}/S_i\right)} \psi(v) + \sum_{e \in E\left(H_j^{S_i}/S_i\right)} \psi(e),$$

 $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m_i$ , are all distinct. Moreover, each of them contains the value  $\sum_{v \in V(S_i)} \psi(v) + \sum_{e \in E(S_i)} \psi(e)$ . The largest among these *H*-weights must be at

$$\sum_{v \in V(S_i)} \psi(v) + \sum_{e \in E(S_i)} \psi(e) + |V(H/S_i)| + |E(H/S_i)| + m_i - 1.$$

This weight is the sum of at most  $|V(H/S_i)| + |E(H/S_i)|$  labels (without labels from the set  $\{\psi(x) : x \in V(S_i) \cup E(S_i)\}$ ). So at least one label has the value at least  $[1 + (m_i - 1)/(|V(H/S_i)| + |E(H/S_i)|)]$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., z. Thus for the total *H*-irregularity strength of graph *G* we have

$$\operatorname{ths}(G,H) \ge \max\left\{ \left\lceil 1 + \frac{m_1 - 1}{|V(H/S_1)| + |E(H/S_1)|} \right\rceil, \dots, \left\lceil 1 + \frac{m_z - 1}{|V(H/S_z)| + |E(H/S_z)|} \right\rceil \right\}.$$

If H is isomorphic to  $K_2$  then from Theorem 5 it follows the lower bound on the total edge irregularity strength given in [5].

**Corollary 6.** Let G = (V, E) be a graph with maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$ . Then

$$\operatorname{ths}(G, K_2) = \operatorname{tes}(G) \ge \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G) + 1}{2} \right\rceil$$

The lower bound in Theorem 5 is tight as can be seen from the next theorem.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $F_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , be a fan on n + 1 vertices. Then

$$\operatorname{ths}(F_n, C_3) = \left\lceil \frac{n+3}{5} \right\rceil.$$

**Proof.** A fan  $F_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , is a graph obtained by joining all vertices of path  $P_n$  to a new vertex, called the centre. Thus  $F_n$  contains n+1 vertices, say,  $w, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ and 2n-1 edges  $wv_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , and  $v_iv_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ . The fan  $F_n$ admits a  $C_3$ -covering with exactly n-1 cycles  $C_3$ . In view of the lower bound from Theorem 5 it suffices to prove the existence of a  $C_3$ -irregular total labeling  $\psi : V(F_n) \cup E(F_n) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, \lceil (n+3)/5 \rceil\}$  such that  $wt_{\psi}(C_3^j) \neq wt_{\psi}(C_3^i)$  for every  $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, j \neq i$ . We describe the irregular total labeling  $\psi$  in the following way:

$$\psi(v_i) = \left\lceil \frac{i+3}{5} \right\rceil, \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
  

$$\psi(v_i v_{i+1}) = \left\lceil \frac{i+2}{5} \right\rceil, \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$
  

$$\psi(wv_i) = \left\lceil \frac{i}{5} \right\rceil, \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
  

$$\psi(w) = 1.$$

Under the labeling  $\psi$  all vertex labels and edge labels are at most  $\lceil (n+3)/5 \rceil$ and for  $C_3$ -weight of the cycle  $C_3^j = v_j v_{j+1} w$ , j = 1, 2, ..., n-1, we have

(6) 
$$wt_{\psi}(C_3^j) = \psi(v_j) + \psi(v_jv_{j+1}) + \psi(v_{j+1}) + \psi(wv_j) + \psi(wv_{j+1}) + \psi(w).$$

1075

Since under the labeling  $\psi$  vertex labels and edge labels form non-decreasing sequences and for every j = 1, 2, ..., n - 2,

$$\psi(v_{j+1}v_{j+2}) + \psi(v_{j+2}) + \psi(wv_{j+2}) = \left\lceil \frac{j+3}{5} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{j+5}{5} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{j+2}{5} \right\rceil$$
$$= \psi(v_j) + 1 + \psi(wv_j) + \psi(v_jv_{j+1}),$$

with respect to (6) we get  $wt_{\psi}(C_3^{j+1}) = 1 + wt_{\psi}(C_3^j)$ . It proves that the irregular total labeling  $\psi$  has the required properties.

Next we will introduce an upper bound for the parameter ths(G, H).

**Theorem 8.** Let G be a graph admitting an H-covering. Then

$$\operatorname{ths}(G, H) \le 2^{|E(G)| - 1}$$

**Proof.** Let G be a graph admitting H-covering given by subgraphs  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t$ . Let us denote the edges of G arbitrarily by the symbols  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{|E(G)|}$ . We define a total  $2^{|E(G)|-1}$ -labeling f of G in the following way:

$$f(v) = 1,$$
 for  $v \in V(G),$   
 $f(e_i) = 2^{i-1},$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., |E(G)|.$ 

Let us define the labeling  $\theta$  such that

$$\theta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e_i \in E(H_j), \\ 0, & \text{if } e_i \notin E(H_j), \end{cases}$$

where i = 1, 2, ..., |E(G)|, j = 1, 2, ..., t.

The *H*-weights are the sums of all vertex labels and edge labels of vertices and edges in the given subgraph. Thus, for j = 1, 2, ..., t, we have

(7)  
$$wt_f(H^j) = \sum_{v \in V(H^j)} f(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H^j)} f(e) = \sum_{v \in V(H^j)} 1 + \sum_{e_i \in E(H^j)} 2^{i-1}$$
$$= |V(H_j)| + \sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} \theta_{i,j} 2^{i-1}.$$

As  $|V(H_j)| = |V(H)|$  for every j = 1, 2, ..., t, for proving that the *H*-weights are all distinct it is enough to show that the sums  $\sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} \theta_{i,j} 2^{i-1}$  are distinct for every j = 1, 2, ..., t. However, this is evident if we note that the ordered |E(G)|tuple  $(\theta_{|E(G)|,j}\theta_{|E(G)|-1,j}\cdots\theta_{2,j}\theta_{1,j})$  corresponds to binary code representation of the sum (7). As different subgraphs isomorphic to *H* cannot have the same edge sets, we immediately get that the |E(G)|-tuples are different for different subgraphs. In certain cases we can decrease the upper bound of ths(G, H) from Theorem 8 as follows.

**Theorem 9.** Let G be a graph admitting an H-covering given by t subgraphs isomorphic to H. If every subgraph  $H_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., t, isomorphic to H contains at least one edge e such that  $e \notin E(H_j)$  for every j = 1, 2, ..., t,  $j \neq i$ , then

$$\operatorname{ths}(G, H) \le t.$$

**Proof.** Let G be a graph admitting H-covering given by subgraphs  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t$ . Let us denote by  $e_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$ , the edge of  $H_i$  such that  $e_i \notin E(H_j)$  for every  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t, j \neq i$ .

We define a total *t*-labeling f of G in the following way:

$$f(v) = 1, \qquad \text{for } v \in V(G),$$
  

$$f(e) = 1, \qquad \text{for } e \in E(G) \setminus \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t\},$$
  

$$f(e_i) = i, \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, t.$$

For the *H*-weight of the subgraph  $H_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., t, we obtain

$$wt_f(H^j) = \sum_{v \in V(H^j)} f(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H^j)} f(e)$$
  
=  $\sum_{v \in V(H^j)} f(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H^j) \setminus \{e_j\}} f(e) + f(e_j)$   
=  $\sum_{v \in V(H^j)} 1 + \sum_{e \in E(H^j) \setminus \{e_j\}} 1 + j = |V(H_j)| + (|E(H_j)| - 1) + j.$ 

As  $|V(H_j)| = |V(H)|$  and  $|E(H_j)| = |E(H)|$  for every j = 1, 2, ..., t, we get

$$wt_f(H^j) = |V(H)| + |E(H)| - 1 + j,$$

which means that all H-weights are distinct. This concludes the proof.

## 3. CONCLUSION

In this paper we introduced a new graph parameter, the total *H*-irregularity strength, ths(*G*, *H*), as a generalization of the well-known total edge irregularity strength. We proved that for every graph *G* admitting an *H*-covering given by t subgraphs isomorphic to *H*, ths(*G*, *H*)  $\geq [1 + (t - 1)/(|V(H)| + |E(H)|)]$  and the sharpness of this bound is reached for the following graphs: the path  $P_n$  covered by paths  $P_m$ ,  $m \leq n$ , and the ladder covered by a cycle.

1076

Further, we proved that if  $S_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., z, are all subgraphs of a graph G admitting an H-covering such that  $S_i$  is a maximum common subgraph of  $m_i, m_i \ge 2$ , subgraphs of G isomorphic to H, then ths $(G, H) \ge \max\{\lceil 1 + (m_1 - 1)/(|V(H/S_1)| + |E(H/S_1)|)\rceil, ..., \lceil 1 + (m_z - 1)/(|V(H/S_z)| + |E(H/S_z)|)\rceil\}$ . The tightness of this bound was proved for the fan  $F_n$  covered by cycles  $C_3$ .

We conclude with the following conjecture which is a generalization of the conjecture posed by Ivančo and Jendrol' [14].

**Conjecture 10.** Let  $S_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., z, be all subgraphs of G such that  $S_i$  is a maximum common subgraph of  $m_i$ ,  $m_i \ge 2$ , subgraphs of G isomorphic to H. Then for every graph G admitting an H-covering given by t subgraphs isomorphic to H, except when G is isomorphic to  $K_5$  and H is isomorphic to  $K_2$ , it holds

$$\operatorname{ths}(G, H) = \max\left\{ \left\lceil 1 + \frac{t-1}{|V(H)| + |E(H)|} \right\rceil, \left\lceil 1 + \frac{m_1 - 1}{|V(H/S_1)| + |E(H/S_1)|} \right\rceil, \dots, \\ \left\lceil 1 + \frac{m_z - 1}{|V(H/S_z)| + |E(H/S_z)|} \right\rceil \right\}.$$

### Acknowledgement

The research for this article was supported by APVV-15-0116.

#### References

- A. Ahmad, M. Bača and M.K. Siddiqui, On edge irregular total labeling of categorical product of two cycles, Theory Comput. Syst. 54 (2014) 1–12. doi:10.1007/s00224-013-9470-3
- M. Aigner and E. Triesch, Irregular assignments of trees and forests, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 3 (1990) 439–449. doi:10.1137/0403038
- [3] D. Amar and O. Togni, Irregularity strength of trees, Discrete Math. 190 (1998) 15–38. doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(98)00112-5
- M. Anholcer and C. Palmer, Irregular labellings of circulant graphs, Discrete Math. 312 (2012) 3461–3466. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2012.06.017
- [5] M. Bača, S. Jendrol', M. Miller and J. Ryan, On irregular total labellings, Discrete Math. 307 (2007) 1378–1388. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2005.11.075
- M. Bača and M.K. Siddiqui, Total edge irregularity strength of generalized prism, Appl. Math. Comput. 235 (2014) 168–173. doi:10.1016/j.amc.2014.03.001

- T. Bohman and D. Kravitz, On the irregularity strength of trees, J. Graph Theory 45 (2004) 241–254. doi:10.1002/jgt.10158
- [8] S. Brandt, J. Miškuf and D. Rautenbach, On a conjecture about edge irregular total labellings, J. Graph Theory 57 (2008) 333–343. doi:10.1002/jgt.20287
- [9] G. Chartrand, M.S. Jacobson, J. Lehel, O.R. Oellermann, S. Ruiz and F. Saba, *Irregular networks*, Congr. Numer. 64 (1988) 187–192.
- [10] R.J. Faudree, M.S. Jacobson, J. Lehel and R.H. Schlep, Irregular networks, regular graphs and integer matrices with distinct row and column sums, Discrete Math. 76 (1988) 223-240. doi:10.1016/0012-365X(89)90321-X
- [11] A. Frieze, R.J. Gould, M. Karoński and F. Pfender, On graph irregularity strength, J. Graph Theory 41 (2002) 120–137. doi:10.1002/jgt.10056
- [12] J.A. Gallian, *Graph labeling*, Electron. J. Combin. **17** (2014) 1–389.
- K.M.M. Haque, Irregular total labellings of generalized Petersen graphs, Theory Comput. Syst. 50 (2012) 537–544. doi:10.1007/s00224-011-9350-7
- [14] J. Ivančo and S. Jendrol', Total edge irregularity strength of trees, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 26 (2006) 449–456. doi:10.7151/dmgt.1337
- [15] S. Jendrol', J. Miškuf and R. Soták, Total edge irregularity strength of complete and complete bipartite graphs, Electron. Notes Discrete Math. 28 (2007) 281–285. doi:10.1016/j.endm.2007.01.041
- [16] S. Jendrol', J. Miškuf and R. Soták, Total edge irregularity strength of complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs, Discrete Math. **310** (2010) 400–407. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2009.03.006
- M. Kalkowski, M. Karoński and F. Pfender, A new upper bound for the irregularity strength of graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 25 (2011) 1319–1321. doi:10.1137/090774112
- [18] P. Majerski and J. Przybyło, On the irregularity strength of dense graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 28 (2014) 197–205. doi:10.1137/120886650
- T. Nierhoff, A tight bound on the irregularity strength of graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 13 (2000) 313–323. doi:10.1137/S0895480196314291
- [20] Nurdin, A.N.M. Salman and E.T. Baskoro, The total edge-irregular strengths of the corona product of paths with some graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 65 (2008) 163–175.

Received 2 May 2016 Accepted 1 September 2016