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#### Abstract

For a graph $G$ and even integers $b \geqslant a \geqslant 2$, a spanning subgraph $F$ of $G$ such that $a \leqslant \operatorname{deg}_{F}(x) \leqslant b$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{F}(x)$ is even for all $x \in V(F)$ is called an even $[a, b]$-factor of $G$. In this paper, we show that a 2-edge-connected graph $G$ of order $n$ has an even $[2, b]$-factor if $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\} \geqslant$ $\max \left\{\frac{2 n}{2+b}, 3\right\}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$. Moreover, we show that for $b \geqslant 3 a$ and $a>2$, there exists an infinite family of 2-edge-connected graphs $G$ of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geqslant a$ such that $G$ satisfies the condition $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)>\frac{2 a n}{a+b}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$, but has no even $[a, b]$-factors. In particular, the infinite family of graphs gives a counterexample to the conjecture of Matsuda on the existence of an even [ $a, b]$-factor.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider only finite undirected graphs with no loops and no multiple edges. For a graph $G$, we let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex set and
the edge set of $G$, respectively. For a vertex $x$ of $G, \operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)$ denotes the degree of $x$ in $G$. We let $\delta(G)$ denote the minimum degree of $G$. For two integers $a$ and $b$ with $1 \leqslant a \leqslant b$, a spanning subgraph $F$ of $G$ such that $a \leqslant \operatorname{deg}_{F}(x) \leqslant b$ for all $x \in V(F)$ is called an $[a, b]$-factor of $G$. A [k,k]-factor is usually called a $k$-factor. An $[a, b]$-factor $F$ is said to be a parity $[a, b]$-factor if $\operatorname{deg}_{F}(x) \equiv a \equiv b(\bmod 2)$ for all $x \in V(F)$. In particular, a parity $[a, b]$-factor is an even $[a, b]$-factor if $a \equiv b \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.

We first introduce some known results on degree conditions for the existence of an even $[2, b]$-factor.

Theorem 1 (Kouider and Vestergaard [1]). Let $b \geqslant 2$ be an even integer, and let $G$ be a 2 -edge-connected graph of order $n$. If $\delta(G) \geqslant \max \left\{\frac{2 n}{2+b}, 3\right\}$, then $G$ has an even $[2, b]$-factor.

Theorem 2 (Matsuda [4]). Let $b \geqslant 2$ be an even integer, and let $G$ be a-edge-connected graph of order $n$. If $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \geqslant \max \left\{\frac{4 n}{2+b}, 5\right\}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$, then $G$ has an even $[2, b]$-factor.

In this paper, we prove the following theorem, which implies Theorems 1 and 2 .

Theorem 3. Let $b \geqslant 2$ be an even integer, and let $G$ be a 2-edge-connected graph of order $n$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\} \geqslant \max \left\{\frac{2 n}{2+b}, 3\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$, then $G$ has an even $[2, b]$-factor.
Let $x$ and $y$ be nonadjacent vertices of $G$. Then $\delta(G) \geqslant \max \left\{\frac{2 n}{2+b}, 3\right\}$ implies $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \geqslant \max \left\{\frac{4 n}{2+b}, 5\right\}$, and $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \geqslant \max \left\{\frac{4 n}{2+b}, 5\right\}$ implies $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\} \geqslant \max \left\{\frac{2 n}{2+b}, 3\right\}$. Hence Theorem 3 implies Theorems 1 and 2.

Additionally, we here show that Theorem 3 is stronger than Theorem 2. In order to show that, we construct an infinite family of graphs as follows: For a positive integer $t$ and an even integer $b \geqslant 4$, we define the graph $G_{0}$ obtained from $\frac{b}{2}$ cliques $K_{t}^{1}, K_{t}^{2}, \ldots, K_{t}^{\frac{b}{2}}$ of order $t$ and one vertex $v_{0}$ by joining a vertex $v_{0}$ to two vertices of $K_{t}^{i}$ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \frac{b}{2}$ (a clique means a complete graph), and let $\mathcal{G}_{0}=\left\{G_{0}(b, t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, b \in 2 \mathbb{Z}^{+}, t>\frac{b^{2}+b-6}{b-2}\right\}$. For each $G_{0} \in \mathcal{G}_{0}$, it is easily seen that $G_{0}$ is 2-edge-connected, and that the order of $G_{0}$ is $n=\frac{b}{2} t+1$. By the definition of $G_{0}$, we have $n>\frac{b^{3}+b^{2}-4 b-4}{2(b-2)}$. Hence it follows that if $b \geqslant 4$, then

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{G_{0}}(x)+\operatorname{deg}_{G_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(K_{t}^{1}\right)\right|-1+b=t-1+b=\frac{2 n-2}{b}-1+b<\frac{4 n}{2+b}
$$

and

$$
\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G_{0}}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right)\right\}=\left|V\left(K_{t}^{1}\right)\right|-1=t-1=\frac{2 n-2}{b}-1>\frac{2 n}{2+b}
$$

for any vertex $x \in\left(\bigcup_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \frac{b}{2}} V\left(K_{t}^{i}\right)\right) \backslash N_{G_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right)$. Thus Theorem 3 guarantees the existence of an even $[2, b]$-factor in $G_{0}$, but Theorem 2 does not. Consequently, Theorem 3 is stronger than Theorem 2.

In order to prove Theorem 3, we actually prove the following two theorems, which are obtained from Theorem 3 by dividing it into two cases on the order $n$ of a graph $G$.

Theorem 4. Let $b \geqslant 2$ be an even integer, and let $G$ be a 2 -edge-connected graph of order $n$. If $n \geqslant b+3$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\} \geqslant \frac{2 n}{2+b} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$, then $G$ has an even $[2, b]$-factor.
Theorem 5. Let $b \geqslant 2$ be an even integer, and let $G$ be a 2-edge-connected graph of order $n$. If $n \leqslant b+2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\} \geqslant 3 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$, then $G$ has an even $[2, b]$-factor.
Combining these, we can obtain Theorem 3.
In the rest of this section, we discuss extending "an even [2, b]-factor" in Theorem 3 to "an even $[a, b]$-factor" briefly. In 2005, Matsuda [4] posed the following conjecture as a natural generalization of Theorem 2 .
Conjecture 6 (Matsuda [4]). Let $2 \leqslant a \leqslant b$ be even integers, and let $G$ be a 2-edge-connected graph of order $n \geqslant 2 a+b+\frac{a^{2}-3 a}{b}-2$. If $\delta(G) \geqslant a$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \geqslant \frac{2 a n}{a+b}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$, then $G$ has an even $[a, b]$-factor.

In 2004, Kouider and Vestergaard constructed an infinite family of $k$-connected graphs $G^{*}$ of order $n$ with $\delta\left(G^{*}\right) \geqslant \frac{a n}{a+b}$ having no even $[a, b]$-factors such that $b>3 a^{2}, k \leqslant a-1$ and $k$ is odd (see Example 3 in [2]). If $n$ is sufficiently large and $k \geqslant 3$, then the graph $G^{*}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Conjecture 6 . Thus $G^{*}$ is a kind of counterexamples in the case where $b>3 a^{2}$. Nevertheless, Conjecture 6 was open when $b \leqslant 3 a^{2}$.

In this paper, we also prove that Conjecture 6 does not hold even when $3 a \leqslant b \leqslant 3 a^{2}$. Furthermore, we prove that the similar degree condition to (1) (i.e., $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\}>\frac{a n}{a+b}$ ) does not guarantee the existence of an even $[a, b]$-factor even when the difference of $a$ and $b$ is not so large.

Proposition 7. Let $4 \leqslant a \leqslant b$ be even integers. Then the following assertions hold:
(i) For $b \geqslant 3 a$, there exists an infinite family of 2-edge-connected graphs $G$ of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geqslant a$ such that $G$ satisfies $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)>\frac{2 a n}{a+b}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$, but has no $[a, b]$-factors.
(ii) For $b>a$, there exists an infinite family of 2-edge-connected graphs $G$ of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geqslant a$ such that $G$ satisfies $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\}>\frac{a n}{a+b}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$, but has no $[a, b]$-factors.
Although Conjecture 6 is not true in the case where $b \geqslant 3 a$ by Proposition 7 , the case where $3 a>b \geqslant a$ is still open.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, Proposition 7 is described in detail. We introduce preliminaries used in our proofs of Theorems 4 and 5 in Section 3, and we show the sharpness of Theorems 4 and 5 in Section 4. In Section 5 , we prove Theorems 4 and 5 .

## 2. Construction of Graphs Without Even $[a, b]$-Factors

In this section, we mention in more detail on Proposition 7. We here construct an infinite family, which gives a new counterexample to the conjecture of Matsuda.

Construction of the family $\mathcal{G}^{*}$. For an integer $t$ and even integers $a$ and $b$ such that $t \geqslant a+2$ and $b \geqslant a \geqslant 4$, we construct a graph $G^{*}(a, b, t)$ as follows: Recall that a clique means a complete graph. Let $C^{0}, C_{t}^{1}, C_{t}^{2}$ be three disjoint cliques of order $2, t$ and $t$, respectively. Let $V\left(C^{0}\right)=\{x, y\}$, and let $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{a-1}$ (resp., $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{a-1}$ ) be distinct $a-1$ vertices of $C_{t}^{1}$ (resp., $C_{t}^{2}$ ). We define the graph $G^{*}(a, b, t)$ obtained from $C^{0}, C_{t}^{1}$ and $C_{t}^{2}$ by adding $x v_{1}, x u_{i}, y u_{1}$ and $y v_{i}$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant a-1$ (see Figure 1), and let $\mathcal{G}^{*}=\left\{G^{*}(a, b, t) \mid t \geqslant a+2, b \geqslant a \geqslant 4\right\}$.

For each $G^{*} \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$, it is easy to check the following:
(i) $\delta\left(G^{*}\right)=a\left(=\operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(x)=\operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(y)\right)$,
(ii) the order of $G^{*}$ is $n \geqslant 2 a+b+\frac{a^{2}-3 a}{b}-2$ if $t$ is large enough,
(iii) $G^{*}$ is 2-edge-connected from $a \geqslant 4$.


Figure 1. The graph $G^{*}(a, b, t)$.

Lemma 8. Let $4 \leqslant a \leqslant b$ be even integers. Then the following assertions hold:
(i) For $b \geqslant 3 a$, every graph $G^{*} \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$ satisfies $\operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(x)+\operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(y)>\frac{2 a n}{a+b}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G^{*}$.
(ii) For $b>a$, every graph $G^{*} \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$ satisfies $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(y)\right\}>\frac{a n}{a+b}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G^{*}$.

Proof. (i) Let $G^{*} \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$. By the construction of $G^{*}$, the following two facts hold:
(F1) Vertices having the minimum degree are only $x$ and $y$, and $G^{*}[\{x, y\}]$ is a clique;
(F2) Vertices having the second smallest degree belong to $V\left(C_{t}^{1}\right) \backslash N_{G^{*}}\left(V\left(C^{0}\right)\right)$ or to $V\left(C_{t}^{2}\right) \backslash N_{G^{*}}\left(V\left(C^{0}\right)\right)$, each of which is nonadjacent to $x$ and $y$.

In view of (F1) and (F2), it suffices to check the degree condition only for two vertices $w \in V\left(C_{t}^{1}\right) \backslash N_{G^{*}}\left(V\left(C^{0}\right)\right)$ and $z \in V\left(C^{0}\right)$. By $b \geqslant 3 a$ and $a \geqslant 4$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(w)+\operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(z)=t-1+a \geqslant t+3=\frac{n}{2}+2>\frac{2 a n}{a+b} .
$$

(ii) Let $G^{*} \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$. Similarly to the proof of (i), it suffices to check the degree condition only for two vertices $w \in V\left(C_{t}^{1}\right) \backslash N_{G^{*}}\left(V\left(C^{0}\right)\right)$ and $z \in V\left(C^{0}\right)$. By $b>a \geqslant 4$, we get

$$
\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(w), \operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(z)\right\}=t-1=\frac{n}{2}-2>\frac{a n}{a+b} .
$$

Lemma 9. Every graph $G^{*} \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$ has no even $[a, b]$-factors.
Proof. Suppose that $G^{*} \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$ has an even $[a, b]$-factor $F$. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(x)=a=$ $\operatorname{deg}_{G^{*}}(y)$, we obtain $\operatorname{deg}_{F}(x)=a=\operatorname{deg}_{F}(y)$. Also, since $\left|V\left(C_{t}^{1}\right) \cap N_{F}\left(V\left(C^{0}\right)\right)\right|=$ $a-1$ is odd, $F\left[V\left(C_{t}^{1}\right)\right]$ is a graph having odd number of vertices with odd degree. This is a contradiction.

By Lemmas 8,9 and the construction of $\mathcal{G}^{*}$, Proposition 7 can be proved if $t$ is large enough.

## 3. Preliminaries

In this section, we give notation and lemmas used in our proofs of Theorems 4 and 5 .

Our notation is standard possibly except the following. Let $G$ be a graph. For a vertex $x$ of $G, N_{G}(x)$ denotes the set of vertices adjacent to $x$ in $G ; \operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)=$ $\left|N_{G}(x)\right|$. For $A \subseteq V(G)$, we let $N_{G}(A)$ denote the union of $N_{G}(x)$ as $x$ ranges over $A$. For $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ with $A \cap B=\emptyset, e_{G}(A, B)$ denotes the number of
those edges of $G$ which join a vertex in $A$ and a vertex in $B$. For $A \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph of $G$ induced by $A$ is denoted by $G[A]$, and $G-A$ denotes the subgraph $G[V(G)-A]$. A vertex set $A$ is called independent if $G[A]$ has no edges.

In our proofs of Theorems 4 and 5 , we depend on the following lemma, which is a special case of the parity $(g, f)$-factor theorem of Lovász [3] (for this necessary and sufficient criterion, an alternative proof was given by Tutte [5]).

Lemma 10 (Lovász [3]). Let $b \geqslant 2$ be an even integer, and let $G$ be a graph. Then $G$ has an even $[2, b]$-factor if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{G}(S, T) & :=b|S|+\sum_{y \in T}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)-2\right)-h_{G}(S, T) \\
& =b|S|+\sum_{y \in T}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)-2\right)-e_{G}(S, T)-h_{G}(S, T) \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $V(G)$, where $h_{G}(S, T)$ is the number of components $C$ of $G-S-T$ such that $e_{G}(V(C), T) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, and such a component $C$ is briefly called an odd component of $G-S-T$.

In addition to the above lemma, we use the following two lemmas in our proofs. Since they are well-known, we omit the proofs (see [4] in detail).

Lemma 11. Let $G$ be a graph, and let $S$ and $T$ be disjoint subsets of $V(G)$. Then the following assertion hold:

$$
\theta_{G}(S, T) \equiv 0(\bmod 2) .
$$

Lemma 12. Let $b \geqslant 2$ be an even integer, and let $G$ be a 2 -edge-connected graph. Let $S$ and $T$ be disjoint subsets of $V(G)$ for which $\theta_{G}(S, T) \leqslant-2$. Then the following assertions holds:
(i) $2|T| \geqslant b|S|+2$,
(ii) $|T| \geqslant 2$.

For a graph $G$ satisfying the hypothesis in Theorem 3, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 13. Let $b \geqslant 2$ be an even integer, and let $G$ be a 2 -edge-connected graph of order $n$ such that $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\} \geqslant \max \left\{\frac{2 n}{2+b}, 3\right\}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$. Assume that there exist disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $V(G)$ satisfying $\theta_{G}(S, T) \leqslant-2$. Choose such subsets $S$ and $T$ so that $|T|$ is as small as possible. Then the following assertions hold:
(i) $T$ is an independent set of $G$,
(ii) $\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \geqslant 3|T|-1$.

Proof. To prove (i), let $T^{\prime}=T-\{v\}$ for any $v \in T$. Then $T^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 12(ii). By the choice of $T$ and Lemma 11, we have $\theta_{G}\left(S, T^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0$ and $\theta_{G}(S, T) \leqslant-2$. Thus, by subtracting these inequalities, $2 \leqslant \theta_{G}\left(S, T^{\prime}\right)-$ $\theta_{G}(S, T) \leqslant-\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(v)+2+h_{G}(S, T)-h_{G}\left(S, T^{\prime}\right)$, which implies $\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(v) \leqslant$ $h_{G}(S, T)-h_{G}\left(S, T^{\prime}\right)$. This inequality together with $e_{G}(v, V(G)-S-T) \geqslant$ $h_{G}(S, T)-h_{G}\left(S, T^{\prime}\right)$ yields $\operatorname{deg}_{G[T]}(v)=\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(v)-e_{G}(\{v\}, V(G)-S-T) \leqslant 0$, which means that $T$ is an independent subset of $V(G)$. Thus, (i) holds.

Suppose that there exist two vertices $x, y \in T$ satisfying $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)$ $=2$. Then, in the case where $n \geqslant b+3$, by (i) and the condition of Theorem 4, we have $2=\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\} \geqslant \frac{2 n}{2+b}$, which contradicts $n \geqslant b+3$. In the case where $n \leqslant b+2$, by (i) and the condition of Theorem 5 , we have $2=\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\} \geqslant 3$, a contradiction. In either case, we obtain a contradiction. Hence $T$ has at most one vertex $t$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(t)=2$. Consequently, we have $\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \geqslant 3(|T|-1)+2=3|T|-1$. Thus, (ii) holds.

## 4. Sharpness of Theorems 4 and 5

In this section, we discuss the sharpness of Theorems 4 and 5. In Theorems 4 and 5 , the degree conditions (2) and (3) are best possible. Moreover, the hypothesis "2-edge-connected" cannot be dropped. For Theorem 4, the lower bound of the order (i.e., " $b+3$ ") is sharp. Although our result is a generalization of Theorem 2, the examples in [4] are applicable to Theorems 4 and 5 as they stand. Here we include them for the convenience of the reader.

Example 1. The degree condition (2) is best possible in the sense that we cannot replace $\frac{2 n}{2+b}$ with $\frac{2 n-2}{2+b}$ (noting that $\frac{2 n-1}{2+b}$ cannot be an integer, and thus an integer $a>\frac{2 n}{2+b}$ if and only if $a>\frac{2 n-1}{2+b}$ ). To check it, we construct an infinite family of 2-edge-connected graphs $G_{1}$ of order sufficiently large $n$ without even $[2, b]$-factors such that the degree condition of $G_{1}$ is a little smaller than $\frac{2 n}{2+b}$ as follows: For a positive integer $t$ and an even integer $b \geqslant 2$, let $K_{2 t}$ (resp., $\left.(b t+1) K_{1}\right)$ be a clique of order $2 t$ (resp., $b t+1$ cliques of order 1 ). We define the graph $G_{1}(b, t)$ obtained by joining $K_{2 t}$ and $(b t+1) K_{1}$, and let $\mathcal{G}_{1}=\left\{G_{1}(b, t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, b \geqslant 2\right.$ is even $\}$. For each $G_{1} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$, the order of $G_{1}$ is $n=(2+b) t+1$ and $G_{1}$ is 2-edge-connected. Also, it follows that

$$
\frac{2 n}{2+b}>\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G_{1}}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G_{1}}(y)\right\}=2 t=\frac{2 n}{2+b}-\frac{2}{2+b}>\frac{2 n}{2+b}-1
$$

for any nonadjacent vertices $x, y \in V\left((b t+1) K_{1}\right)$. However, $G_{1}$ has no $[2, b]$ factors as $b\left|V\left(K_{2 t}\right)\right|<2\left|V\left((b t+1) K_{1}\right)\right|$.

Example 2. The condition "2-edge-connected" in Theorem 4 cannot be deleted for $b \geqslant 6$. To check it, we construct an infinite family of connected graphs $G_{2}$
of order sufficiently large $n$ without even $[2, b]$-factors such that $G_{2}$ satisfies the condition $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(y)\right\} \geqslant \frac{2 n}{2+b}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x, y \in$ $V\left(G_{2}\right)$, but is not 2-edge-connected as follows: For a positive integer $t$ and an even integer $b \geqslant 6$, we define the graph $G_{2}(t)$ obtained from two cliques $K_{t}^{1}, K_{t}^{2}$ and one vertex $v_{0}$ by joining a vertex $v_{0}$ to a vertex of $K_{t}^{1}$ and to a vertex of $K_{t}^{2}$, and let $\mathcal{G}_{2}=\left\{G_{2}(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\right\}$. For each $G_{2} \in \mathcal{G}_{2}, G_{2}$ is not 2-edge-connected. Also, the order of $G_{2}$ is $n=2 t+1$, and it follows that $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(u), \operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}\left(v_{0}\right)\right\}=$ $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(u)=t-1=\frac{n-3}{2} \geqslant \frac{2 n}{2+b}$ for any vertex $u \in\left(V\left(K_{t}^{1}\right) \backslash N_{G_{2}}\left(v_{0}\right)\right) \cup\left(V\left(K_{t}^{2}\right) \backslash\right.$ $\left.N_{G_{2}}\left(v_{0}\right)\right)$ for $b \geqslant 6$. However, $G_{2}$ has no even [2,b]-factors. In fact, putting $S=\emptyset$ and $T=\left\{v_{0}\right\}$ in Lemma 10, we can check that both $K_{t}^{1}$ and $K_{t}^{2}$ are odd components of $G-S-T$, and thus $\theta_{G_{2}}\left(\emptyset,\left\{v_{0}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}\left(v_{0}\right)-2-2=-2<0$.

Example 3. The lower bound of order $n \geqslant b+3$ in Theorem 4 is sharp for $b \geqslant 4$. To check it, we construct an infinite family of 2-edge-connected graphs $G_{3}$ of order $n=b+2$ without even $[2, b]$-factors such that $G_{3}$ satisfies the condition $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G_{3}}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G_{3}}(y)\right\} \geqslant \frac{2 n}{2+b}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G_{3}$ as follows: For an even integer $b \geqslant 4$, we define the graph $G_{3}(b)$ obtained from two vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}$ and a path $P_{b}$ of order $b$ by joining each $v_{i}$ to two endvertices of $P_{b}$, and let $\mathcal{G}_{3}=\left\{G_{3}(b) \mid b \geqslant 4\right.$ is even $\}$. For each $G_{3} \in \mathcal{G}_{3}, G_{3}$ is 2-edge-connected. Also, the order of $G_{3}$ is $n=b+2$, and it follows that $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G_{3}}\left(v_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}_{G_{3}}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\}=$ $2=\frac{2 n}{2+b}$. However, it is clear that $G_{3}$ has no $[2, b]$-factors. Note that $G_{3}$ also shows that the degree condition (3) in Theorem 5 is best possible in the sense that we cannot replace 3 with 2 .

## 5. Proof of Theorems 4 and 5

In this section, we prove Theorems 4 and 5 . Suppose that a graph $G$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorems 4 or 5 . By Lemmas 10 and 11, it suffices to show that there exist no disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $V(G)$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{G}(S, T) \leqslant-2 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.1. Proof of Theorem 4

Let $b \geqslant 2$ be an even integer, and let $G$ be a 2 -edge-connected graph of order $n \geqslant b+3$ such that $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\} \geqslant \frac{2 n}{2+b}$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$. By way of contradiction, suppose that $G$ does not have an even [2, $b]$-factor. Then by Lemmas 10 and 11, there exist disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $G$ satisfying (4). We choose such $S$ and $T$ so that $|T|$ is as small as possible.

Let $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{|T|}$ be the vertices of $T$. Note that $|T| \geqslant 2$ by Lemma $12(\mathrm{ii})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{2}\right) \leqslant \cdots \leqslant$
$\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{|T|}\right)$. By Lemma 13(i), $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{|T|}\right\}$ is an independent set of $G$. Consequently, by the condition of Theorem 4 , we have

$$
\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\}=\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{i}\right) \geqslant \frac{2 n}{2+b}
$$

for each $2 \leqslant i \leqslant|T|$. By this inequality, we obtain
(5) $\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)=\sum_{y \in T \backslash\left\{t_{1}\right\}} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right) \geqslant(|T|-1) \frac{2 n}{2+b}+\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right)$.

We divide the proof into two cases on the cardinality of $|T|$.
Case $1 .|T| \geqslant b+1$.
Claim 14. $|S| \leqslant \frac{2 n}{2+b}-1$.
Proof. Suppose that $|S|>\frac{2 n}{2+b}-1$, i.e., $2 n-(2+b)|S|<2+b$. Since the both sides of this inequality are even, $2 n-(2+b)|S| \leqslant b$ holds. By $n \geqslant|S|+|T|+h_{G}(S, T)$, this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
2|T|-b|S| & \leqslant 2\left(n-|S|-h_{G}(S, T)\right)-b|S| \\
& =2 n-(2+b)|S|-2 h_{G}(S, T) \leqslant b-2 h_{G}(S, T) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, it follows from (4) and $2|T|-b|S| \leqslant b-2 h_{G}(S, T)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y) & \leqslant 2|T|-b|S|+h_{G}(S, T)-2 \\
& \leqslant b-2 h_{G}(S, T)+h_{G}(S, T)-2 \leqslant b-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $|T| \geqslant b+1$, there exist at least two vertices $x$ and $y$ of $T$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(x)=\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)=0$. Therefore by the condition of Theorem 4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S| \geqslant \max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\} \geqslant \frac{2 n}{2+b} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 12 (i) and $n \geqslant|S|+|T|+h_{G}(S, T)$, we have $2\left(n-|S|-h_{G}(S, T)\right) \geqslant 2|T| \geqslant b|S|+2$, which implies $|S| \leqslant \frac{\left.2\left(n-h_{G}(S, T)-1\right)\right)}{2+b}<\frac{2 n}{2+b}$. This contradicts (6).

By (4), (5), Claim 14, $e_{G}(S, T) \leqslant|S||T|, h_{G}(S, T) \leqslant n-|S|-|T|$ and $b+1-|T| \leqslant 0$ (by the assumption of Case 1 ), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 & \geqslant \theta_{G}(S, T) \\
& \geqslant b|S|+(|T|-1) \cdot \frac{2 n}{2+b}+\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right)-|S||T|-2|T|-(n-|S|-|T|)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(b+1-|T|)|S|+\left(\frac{2 n}{2+b}-1\right)|T|+\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right)-\frac{2 n}{2+b}-n \\
& \geqslant(b+1-|T|)\left(\frac{2 n}{2+b}-1\right)+\left(\frac{2 n}{2+b}-1\right)|T|+\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right)-\frac{2 n}{2+b}-n \\
& =b\left(\frac{2 n}{2+b}-1\right)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right)-1-n
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right) \leqslant \frac{(2-b) n}{2+b}+b-1$. If $b \geqslant 4$, then by $n>b+2$,

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right) \leqslant \frac{n}{2+b}(2-b)+b-1<2-b+b-1=1
$$

i.e., $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, which means that $t_{1}$ is an isolated vertex. If $b=2$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(t_{1}\right) \leqslant 1$ holds. In either case, we get a contradiction because $G$ is 2-edgeconnected.

Case 2. $|T| \leqslant b$. By Lemma 12(i), we have $|S|<\frac{2|T|}{b} \leqslant 2$, which means that $|S|=0$ or $|S|=1$.

Let $h_{1}$ (resp., $h_{2}$ ) be the number of odd components $C$ of $G-S-T$ such that $e_{G}(V(C), T)=1$ (the number of odd components $C$ of $G-S-T$ such that $e_{G}(V(C), T) \neq 1$, i.e., $\left.e_{G}(V(C), T) \geqslant 3\right)$. Then $h_{G}(S, T)=h_{1}+h_{2}$.
Claim 15. $|S|=1$.
Proof. Suppose that $|S|=0$, i.e., $S=\emptyset$. Since $G$ is 2-edge-connected, we obtain $h_{1}=0$. Then $h_{G}(\emptyset, T)=h_{2}$ holds. Hence it follows from (4) and $\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \geqslant$ $3 h_{2}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \geqslant \theta_{G}(\emptyset, T) & =\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)-2|T|-h_{G}(\emptyset, T) \\
& \geqslant 3 h_{2}-2|T|-h_{2}=2 h_{2}-2|T|
\end{aligned}
$$

implying $|T| \geqslant h_{2}+1$. By this inequality, (4) and Lemma 13 (ii), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \geqslant \theta_{G}(\emptyset, T) & =\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)-2|T|-h_{G}(\emptyset, T) \\
& \geqslant(3|T|-1)-2|T|-h_{2}=|T|-h_{2}-1 \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction.
Since $\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y) \geqslant h_{1}+3 h_{2}$ and $h_{G}(S, T)=h_{1}+h_{2}$, it follows from Claim 15 and (4) that

$$
-2 \geqslant \theta_{G}(S, T) \geqslant b+\left(h_{1}+3 h_{2}\right)-2|T|-\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right)=2 h_{2}-2|T|+b
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T| \geqslant h_{2}+\frac{b+2}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 16. $h_{1} \geqslant \frac{b+4}{2}$.
Proof. By (4), (7), Lemma 13(ii), Claim 15, $e_{G}(S, T) \leqslant|S||T| \leqslant b$ and $h_{G}(S, T)$ $=h_{1}+h_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \geqslant \theta_{G}(S, T) & \geqslant b+(3|T|-1)-b-2|T|-\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right) \\
& \geqslant|T|-h_{1}-h_{2}-1 \geqslant \frac{b+2}{2}-h_{1}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $h_{1} \geqslant \frac{b+4}{2}$, as desired.
For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant h_{1}$, let $C_{i}^{\prime}$ be the odd components of $G-S-T$ such that $e_{G}\left(V\left(C_{i}^{\prime}, T\right)=1\right.$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left|C_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant$ $\left|C_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \cdots \leqslant\left|C_{h_{1}}^{\prime}\right|$. Note that there exist at least two components $C_{1}^{\prime}$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}$ by Claim 16. For two vertices $u_{1} \in V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $u_{2} \in V\left(C_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, it follows from the definition of $C_{i}^{\prime}$, Claim 15 and the condition of Theorem 4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 n}{2+b} & \leqslant \max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \leqslant \max \left\{\left|C_{1}^{\prime}\right|-1+e_{G}\left(u_{1}, S \cup T\right),\left|C_{2}^{\prime}\right|-1+e_{G}\left(u_{2}, S \cup T\right)\right\} \\
& \leqslant \max \left\{\left|C_{1}^{\prime}\right|+1,\left|C_{2}^{\prime}\right|+1\right\}=\left|C_{2}^{\prime}\right|+1
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $\left|C_{2}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \frac{2 n}{2+b}-1$. Hence, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{h_{1}}\left|C_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant\left|C_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\left(h_{1}-1\right)\left(\frac{2 n}{2+b}-1\right)
$$

It follows from this inequality, (7) and Claim 16 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & \geqslant|S|+|T|+\left|C_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\left(h_{1}-1\right)\left(\frac{2 n}{2+b}-1\right) \\
& \geqslant 1+h_{2}+\frac{b+2}{2}+\left|C_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\frac{b+2}{2}\left(\frac{2 n}{2+b}-1\right)>n
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Consequently, this completes the proof of Theorem 4.

### 5.2. Proof of Theorem 5

Let $b \geqslant 2$ be an even integer, and let $G$ be a 2-edge-connected graph of order $n \leqslant b+2$ such that $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right\} \geqslant 3$ for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$. By way of contradiction, suppose that $G$ does not have an even [2, b]-factor. Then by Lemmas 10 and 11, there exist disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $G$ satisfying (4). We choose such $S$ and $T$ so that $|T|$ is as small as possible.

By Lemma 12(i), $|T| \geqslant \frac{b|S|}{2}+1$. If $|S| \geqslant 2$, then we obtain $n \geqslant|S|+|T| \geqslant$ $|S|+\left(\frac{b|S|}{2}+1\right) \geqslant b+3$, which contradicts that $n \leqslant b+2$. Hence we have that $|S|=0$ or $|S|=1$.

Claim 17. $|S|=1$.
Proof. Suppose that $|S|=0$, i.e., $S=\emptyset$. Since $G$ is 2-edge-connected, all of the odd components $C$ of $G-T$ satisfy $e_{G}(V(C), T) \geqslant 3$. By (4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \geqslant \theta_{G}(\emptyset, T) & =\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)-2|T|-h_{G}(\emptyset, T) \\
& \geqslant 3 h_{G}(\emptyset, T)-2|T|-h_{G}(\emptyset, T)=2 h_{G}(\emptyset, T)-2|T|,
\end{aligned}
$$

implying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T| \geqslant h_{G}(\emptyset, T)+1 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows from (4), (8) and Lemma 13(ii) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \geqslant \theta_{G}(\emptyset, T) & =\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)-2|T|-h_{G}(\emptyset, T) \\
& \geqslant(3|T|-1)-2|T|-h_{G}(\emptyset, T)=|T|-h_{G}(\emptyset, T)-1 \geqslant 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction.
By (4), Lemma 13(ii), Claim 17 and $e_{G}(S, T) \leqslant|T|$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{G}(S, T) & \geqslant b+\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)-e_{G}(S, T)-2|T|+2 \\
& \geqslant b+(3|T|-1)-|T|-2|T|+2=b+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore by the above inequality and Lemma 12(ii), we obtain $n \geqslant|S|+|T|+$ $h_{G}(S, T) \geqslant 1+2+(b+1) \geqslant b+4$, which contradicts the assumption that $n \leqslant b+2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.
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