

NOTE

ON DOUBLE-STAR DECOMPOSITION OF GRAPHS

SAIEED AKBARI^a, SHAHAB HAGHI^b

HAMIDREZA MAIMANI^b AND ABBAS SEIFY^{1,b}

^a*Department of Mathematical Sciences
Sharif University of Technology
Tehran, Iran, P.O. Box 11365-11155*

^b*Mathematics Section, Department of Basic Sciences
Shahid Rajaei Teacher Training University
Tehran, Iran, P.O. Box 16783-163*

e-mail: s.akbari@sharif.edu
sh.haghi@ipm.ir
maimani@ipm.ir
abbas.seify@gmail.com

Abstract

A tree containing exactly two non-pendant vertices is called a double-star. A double-star with degree sequence $(k_1 + 1, k_2 + 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is denoted by S_{k_1, k_2} . We study the edge-decomposition of graphs into double-stars. It was proved that every double-star of size k decomposes every $2k$ -regular graph. In this paper, we extend this result by showing that every graph in which every vertex has degree $2k + 1$ or $2k + 2$ and containing a 2-factor is decomposed into S_{k_1, k_2} and S_{k_1-1, k_2} , for all positive integers k_1 and k_2 such that $k_1 + k_2 = k$.

Keywords: graph decomposition, double-stars, bipartite graph.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C51, 05C05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph and $v \in V(G)$. We denote the set of all neighbors of v by $N(v)$. The degree of a vertex v in G is denoted by $d_G(v)$ (by $d(v)$ when no confusion can arise). By *size* and *order* of G we mean $|E(G)|$ and

¹Corresponding author.

$|V(G)|$, respectively. A subset $M \subseteq E(G)$ is called a *matching* if no two edges of M are adjacent. A matching M is called a *perfect matching*, if every vertex of G is incident with an edge of M .

A *factor* of G is a spanning subgraph of G . A subgraph H is called an *r -factor* if H is a factor of G and $d_H(v) = r$, for every $v \in V(G)$.

If $d(v) = 1$, then v is called a *pendant vertex*. A tree containing exactly two non-pendant vertices is called a *double-star*. A double-star with degree sequence $(k_1 + 1, k_2 + 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is denoted by S_{k_1, k_2} . Suppose that $u_1, u_2 \in V(S_{k_1, k_2})$ and $d(u_i) = k_i + 1$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $e = u_1 u_2$ is called the *central edge* of the double-star.

For a graph H , the graph G has an *H -decomposition*, if all edges of G can be partitioned into subgraphs isomorphic to H . Also, we say that G has an $\{H_1, \dots, H_t\}$ -*decomposition* if all edges of G can be partitioned into subgraphs, each of them isomorphic to some H_i , for $1 \leq i \leq t$. If G has an H -decomposition, we say that G is *H -decomposable*. A graph G is *k -factorable* if it can be decomposed into k -factors.

Let G be a directed graph and $v \in V(G)$. We define $N^+(v) = \{u \in V(G) : (v, u) \in E(G)\}$, where (v, u) denotes the edge from v to u . By *out-degree* of v we mean $|N^+(v)|$ and denote it by $d_G^+(v)$. Similarly, we define $N^-(v) = \{u \in V(G) : (u, v) \in E(G)\}$ and denote $|N^-(v)|$ by $d_G^-(v)$. An orientation O is called *Eulerian* if $d_G^+(v) = d_G^-(v)$, for every $v \in V(G)$. A *k -orientation* is an orientation such that $d_G^+(v) = k$, for every $v \in V(G)$.

In 1979, Kőtzig conjectured that every $(2k+1)$ -regular graph can be decomposed into $S_{k,k}$ if and only if it has a perfect matching [6]. Jaeger, Payan and Kouider in 1983 proved this conjecture, see [5]. El-Zanati *et al.* proved that every $2k$ -regular graph containing a perfect matching is $S_{k,k-1}$ -decomposable, see [3]. The following interesting conjecture was proposed by Ringel, see [7].

Conjecture 1. *Every tree of size k decomposes the complete graph K_{2k+1} .*

A broadening of Ringel's conjecture is due to Graham and Häggkvist.

Conjecture 2. *Every tree of size k decomposes every $2k$ -regular graph.*

El-Zanati *et al.* proved the following theorem in [3].

Theorem 3. *Every double-star of size k decomposes every $2k$ -regular graph.*

Jacobson *et al.* in 1991 proposed the following conjecture about the tree decomposition of regular bipartite graphs, see [4].

Conjecture 4. *Let T be a tree of size r . Then every r -regular bipartite graph is T -decomposable.*

They proved that the conjecture holds for double-stars. In this paper, we study double-star decomposition of graphs. First, we prove some results about the double-star decomposition of regular bipartite graphs. We present a short proof for Conjecture 4, when T is a double-star. Then we present a theorem which indicates that every graph in which every vertex has degree $2k+1$ or $2k+2$ which contains a 2-factor is $\{S_{k_1,k_2}, S_{k_1-1,k_2}\}$ -decomposable, for any double-star S_{k_1,k_2} of size $k+1$. This theorem generalizes Theorem 3.

2. RESULTS

In this section, we prove some results about the double-star decomposition of graphs. The following theorem was proved in [4]. We present a short proof for this result.

Theorem 5. *For $r \geq 3$, let G be an r -regular bipartite graph. Then every double-star of size r decomposes G .*

Proof. Let A and B be two parts of G . Then König's Theorem [1, Theorem 2.2] implies that G has a 1-factorization with 1-factors $M_1, \dots, M_r$. Suppose that S_{k_1,k_2} is a double-star of size r . Now, let G_1 and G_2 be two induced subgraphs of G with the edges $M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots \cup M_{k_1}$ and $M_{k_1+1} \cup \dots \cup M_{r-1}$, respectively. Suppose that $e = u_1u_2 \in M_r$, where $u_1 \in A$ and $u_2 \in B$. Now, define S_e to be the double-star containing the central edge e , $E_1(u_1)$ and $E_2(u_2)$, where $E_i(u_i)$ is the set of all edges incident with u_i in G_i . Clearly, S_e is isomorphic to S_{k_1,k_2} . On the other hand, S_e and $S_{e'}$ are edge disjoint, for every two distinct edges $e, e' \in M_r$. Hence, $E(G) = \bigcup_{e \in M_1} S_e$, and this completes the proof. ■

Now, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 6. *Let $r, s \geq 3$ be positive integers and $s|r$. Then every r -regular bipartite graph can be decomposed into any double-star of size s .*

Proof. Let $r = sk$ and S_{k_1,k_2} be a double-star of size s . Since G is 1-factorable, G can be decomposed into spanning subgraphs $G_1, \dots, G_k$, each of them is s -regular. Now, Theorem 5 implies that each G_i can be decomposed into S_{k_1,k_2} , and this completes the proof. ■

Corollary 7. *Let r, s, k and t be positive integers such that $r = sk + t$ and $r, s, t \geq 3$. Moreover, suppose that S_1 and S_2 are two double-stars of size s and t , respectively. Then every r -regular bipartite graph G is $\{S_1, S_2\}$ -decomposable.*

Proof. Similarly to the proof of the previous corollary, G can be decomposed into $G_1, \dots, G_{k+1}$, where $G_1, \dots, G_k$ are s -regular and G_{k+1} is t -regular. Now,

Theorem 5 implies that $G_1, \dots, G_k$ and G_{k+1} can be decomposed into S_1 and S_2 , respectively. This completes the proof. ■

Another generalization of Theorem 5 is as follows.

Theorem 8. *Let $r \geq 3$ be an integer and $G = (A, B)$ be a bipartite graph such that for every $v \in V(G)$, $r \mid d(v)$. Then every double-star of size r decomposes G .*

Proof. Let $v \in A$ and $d(v) = rk$, for some positive integer k , and S be a double-star of size r . Suppose that $N(v) = \{u_1, \dots, u_{rk}\}$. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by removing v and adding $v_1, \dots, v_k$ to A . For $i = 1, \dots, k$, join v_i to every vertex of the set $\{u_{(i-1)r+1}, \dots, u_{ir}\}$. It is not hard to see that if G' is S -decomposable, then G is S -decomposable, too.

By repeating this procedure for all vertices of G , one can obtain an r -regular bipartite graph, say H . Now, Theorem 5 implies that H is S -decomposable and hence G is S -decomposable. ■

Now, we generalize Theorem 3. We prove the following.

Theorem 9. *Let k be an integer and G be a graph in which every vertex has degree $2k + 1$ or $2k + 2$. Also, suppose that k_1 and k_2 are two positive integers such that $k_1 + k_2 = k$. If G contains a 2-factor, then G is $\{S_{k_1, k_2}, S_{k_1-1, k_2}\}$ -decomposable.*

Proof. We will use the following structure given in [3]. Let G be a $2k$ -regular graph. Then Petersen Theorem [1, Theorem 3.1] implies that G is 2-factorable. Let F be a 2-factor of G with cycles $C_1, \dots, C_l$. Note that $G \setminus F$ has an Eulerian orientation. Also, orient C_i clockwise, for $i = 1, \dots, l$, to obtain an Eulerian orientation of G . We define G_{C_i} as the subgraph of G with the edge set $E = \{(u, v) : u \in V(C_i)\}$. Clearly, $\{G_{C_1}, \dots, G_{C_l}\}$ partitions $E(G)$. So, if we show that each G_{C_i} is H -decomposable, for some H , then G is H -decomposable too.

As mentioned, it suffices to decompose G_{C_i} , for $i = 1, \dots, l$, into S_{k_1, k_2} and S_{k_1-1, k_2} . Add a new vertex z adjacent to all vertices of degree $2k + 1$ and call the resulting graph H . Now, we use the method given in the proof of Theorem 3. Note that all vertices in H have even degree. So, H has an Eulerian orientation in which cycles of F are directed. Let $C : v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, v_{t-1}, e_t, v_t = v_0$ be a cycle in F . Then $d^+(v_i) = k_1 + k_2 + 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, t$. We use $e_1, \dots, e_t$ as the central edges of double-stars.

Start with an edge e_i in which (u_i, z) is a directed edge (if such an edge exists). With no loss of generality assume that $i = 1$. Choose k_1 edges directed out from v_1 such that (v_1, z) is chosen. Note that since e_2 is going to be a central edge, it cannot be one of these k_1 edges. Call the set of end vertices of these edges Y_1 . Now, we start constructing double-stars. In the first step, use e_2 as the

central edge. Choose the remaining k_2 edges directed out from v_1 and call them X_2 . We choose k_1 edges directed out from v_2 such that:

- (1) no edge (v_2, u) is chosen in which $u \in X_2$;
- (2) include all the edges (v_2, u) in which $u \in Y_1$.

Note that since e_3 is going to be a central edge, it cannot be one of these k_1 edges. Call the set of these vertices Y_2 . Our first double-star consists of central edge e_2 together with k_2 edges from v_1 to X_2 , and k_1 or $k_1 - 1$ edges from v_2 to Y_2 (excluding v_2z if this is an edge). We repeat this procedure for $i = 3, \dots, t, 1$. Note that this can be done because in the i -th step, all edges directed out from v_{i+1} to Y_1 are chosen in Y_i and hence $X_{i+1} \cap Y_1 = \emptyset$. Also, it is clear that if a double-star contains z , then z is adjacent to a vertex of degree k_1 . Hence, G is $\{S_{k_1-1, k_2}, S_{k_1, k_2}\}$ -decomposable. ■

We have an immediate corollary for $(2k + 1)$ -regular graphs.

Corollary 10. *Let k be a positive integer and G be a $(2k + 1)$ -regular graph containing a 2-factor. Then G is $\{S_{k_1, k_2}, S_{k_1-1, k_2}\}$ -decomposable, for any double-star S_{k_1, k_2} of size k .*

Acknowledgement

We thank the reviewer for his/her thorough review and highly appreciate comments and suggestions, which significantly contributed to improving the quality of the publication.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Akiyama and M. Kano, *Factors and Factorizations of Graphs* (London, Springer, 2011).
doi:10.1007/978-3-642-21919-1
- [2] A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory* (Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 2008).
- [3] S.I. El-Zanati, M. Ermete, J. Hasty, M.J. Plantholt and S. Tipnis, *On decomposing regular graphs into isomorphic double-stars*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **35** (2015) 73–79.
doi:10.7151/dmgt.1779
- [4] M. Jacobson, M. Truszczyński and Zs. Tuza, *Decompositions of regular bipartite graphs*, Discrete Math. **89** (1991) 17–27.
doi:10.1016/0012-365X(91)90396-J
- [5] F. Jaeger, C. Payan and M. Kouider, *Partition of odd regular graphs into bistars*, Discrete Math. **46** (1983) 93–94.
doi:10.1016/0012-365X(83)90275-3

- [6] A. Kötzig, *Problem 1*, in: Problem session, Proceedings of the Tenth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Congr. Numer. **XXIV** (1979) 913–915.
- [7] G. Ringel, *Problem 25*, in: Theory of Graphs and its Applications, Proc. Symposium Smolenice 1963 (Prague, 1964), 162.

Received 4 August 2015

Revised 9 May 2016

Accepted 9 May 2016