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#### Abstract

The dichromatic number $d c(D)$ of a digraph $D$ is defined to be the minimum number of colors such that the vertices of $D$ can be colored in such a way that every chromatic class induces an acyclic subdigraph in $D$. The cyclic circulant tournament is denoted by $T=\vec{C}_{2 n+1}(1,2, \ldots, n)$, where $V(T)=\mathbb{Z}_{2 n+1}$ and for every jump $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ there exist the arcs $(a, a+j)$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 n+1}$. Consider the circulant tournament $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ obtained from the cyclic tournament by reversing one of its jumps, that is, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ has the same arc set as $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}(1,2, \ldots, n)$ except for $j=k$ in which case, the arcs are $(a, a-k)$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 n+1}$. In this paper, we prove that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right) \in\{2,3,4\}$ for every $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Moreover, we classify which circulant tournaments $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ are vertex-critical $r$-dichromatic for every $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $r \in\{2,3,4\}$. Some previous results by Neumann-Lara are generalized.


Keywords: tournament, dichromatic number, vertex-critical $r$-dichromatic tournament.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C20, 05C38.

## 1. Introduction

A tournament $T$ is an orientation of the complete graph. If $T$ contains no directed cycles, $T$ is called transitive and denoted by $T T_{k}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is its order.

The definition of the dichromatic number of a digraph and the first important results were introduced by Neumann-Lara in 1982 [9]. Independently, Jacob and Meyniel defined the same notion in 1983, see [6]. In 1977, Erdős visited Mexico
and began to work on the dichromatic number of a graph (see the definition below) with Neumann-Lara. The results of this collaboration were summarized in a survey by Erdős in 1979 (see [3] for details).

Other results concerning this topic can be found in a paper by Erdős, Gimbel and Kratsch [4]. According to this paper, the dichromatic number of a digraph $D$, denoted by $d c(D)$, is " the minimum number of parts the vertex set of $D$ must be partitioned into, so that each part induces an acyclic digraph." Equivalently, the dichromatic number of $D$ is the minimum number of colors such that the vertices of $D$ can be colored in such a way that every chromatic class induces an acyclic subdigraph in $D$. The main result of paper [4] for tournaments is the following: every tournament with $n$ vertices can be colored with $O(n / \log n)$ and there exists tournaments (for example, random tournaments) having dichromatic number $\Omega(n / \log n)$ (see Theorem 5 of the aforementioned paper). There are more interesting asymptotic results in [5] by Harutyunyan. In particular, Theorem 2.3.8 states that if $T$ is a tournament of order $n$, then $d c(T) \leq \frac{n}{\log n}(1+o(1))$.

The dichromatic number of a graph $G$ was defined by Erdős and NeumannLara in [3] as

$$
d c(G)=\max \{d c(\vec{G}): \vec{G} \text { is an orientation of } G\}
$$

Determining the dichromatic number of a general (di)graph is a very hard problem. Exact values of this parameter are only known for some special classes of digraphs, particularly in a few cases of circulant tournaments (see $[1,7,9,12,10$, 11] and [13]). In this paper, we prove that
(i) $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle\right)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } n=3, \\ 3 & \text { if } 4 \leq n \leq 7, \\ 4 & \text { if } n \geq 8,\end{cases}$
(Section 3, Corollary to Theorem 11),
(ii) $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle\right)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } n=3, \\ 3 & \text { if } n=4,6,7, \\ 4 & \text { if } n=5 \text { and } n \geq 8,\end{cases}$
(Section 3, Corollary to Theorem 17),
(iii) if $3 \leq k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=4$ for $n \geq 7$ (Section 4, Theorem 22),
(iv) if $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor$, then $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=4$ (Section 5 , Theorem 26), and
(v) $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=3$ for $k=\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor+1, \ldots, n$, where $n \geq 3$ (Section 5 , Theorem 29).

Our results generalize some theorems obtained by Neumann-Lara. At the end of Section 5, we characterize the vertex-critical $r$-dichromatic circulant tournaments $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ for every $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $r \in\{2,3,4\}$, see Theorem 32, the main theorem of this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ be the cyclic group of integers modulo $m$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $J$ is a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{m} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $w \in J$ if and only if $-w \notin J$ for every $w \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$. The circulant digraph $\vec{C}_{m}(J)$ is defined by $V\left(\vec{C}_{m}(J)\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ and

$$
A\left(\vec{C}_{m}(J)\right)=\left\{(i, j): i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{m} \text { and } j-i \in J\right\}
$$

Notice that $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}(J)$ is a circulant (or rotational) tournament if and only if $|J|=n$. We recall that circulant tournaments are regular and their automorphism group is vertex-transitive. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{C}_{2 n+1}(1,2, \ldots, n) & :=\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle\emptyset\rangle \quad \text { and } \\
\vec{C}_{2 n+1}(1, \ldots, k-1,-k, k+1, \ldots, n) & =\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that the circulant $\vec{C}_{m}(1)=\vec{C}_{m}$ is the directed cycle of length $m$. If $V\left(\vec{C}_{m}\right)=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$, we denote $\vec{C}_{m}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}, a_{1}\right)$. The tournament $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle\emptyset\rangle$ is called the cyclic tournament. It is straightforward to check that there is only one cyclic tournament on $n$ vertices up to isomorphism for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The isomorphism between digraphs $G$ and $H$ is denoted by $G \cong H$. A digraph $D$ is called $r$-dichromatic if $d c(D)=r$. It is vertex-critical $r$-dichromatic if $d c(D)=r$ and $d c(D-v)<r$ for every $v \in V(D)$. For general terminology, see [2]. In what follows, we will need the following results of [11] and [13].

Theorem 1 ([13], Theorem 1). If $T_{2 n+1}$ is a regular tournament on $2 n+1$ vertices, then $d c\left(T_{2 n+1}\right)=2$ if and only if $T_{2 n+1} \cong \vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle\emptyset\rangle$.
Theorem 2 ([13], Theorem 2). $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle n\rangle$ is a vertex-critical 3-dichromatic circulant tournament for $n \geq 3$.
Theorem 3 ([11]). $\vec{C}_{6 m+1}\langle 2 m\rangle$ is a vertex-critical 4-dichromatic circulant tournament for $m \geq 2$.

Let $T$ be a tournament and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. We recall that a transitive subtournament $T T_{k}$ of $T$ is maximal if there does not exist a transitive subtournament $T T_{l}$ of $T(k<l)$ such that $T T_{k}$ is a subtournament of $T T_{l}$.

Remark 4 ([8]). Up to isomorphism
(i) there exists a unique circulant tournament of order 5 , that is, $\vec{C}_{5}(1,2)=$ $\vec{C}_{5}\langle\phi\rangle$,
(ii) there exist two circulant tournaments of order 7 which are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{C}_{7}(1,2,3)=\vec{C}_{7}\langle\emptyset\rangle \cong \vec{C}_{7}\langle 1\rangle \cong \vec{C}_{7}\langle 2\rangle \text { and } \\
& \vec{C}_{7}(1,2,4)=\vec{C}_{7}\langle 3\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) there exist three circulant tournaments of order 9 which are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{C}_{9}(1,2,3,4)=\vec{C}_{9}\langle\emptyset\rangle, \\
& \vec{C}_{9}(1,2,3,5)=\vec{C}_{9}\langle 4\rangle \cong \vec{C}_{9}\langle 1\rangle \cong \vec{C}_{9}\langle 3\rangle \quad \text { and } \\
& \left.\vec{C}_{9}(1,3,4,7)=\vec{C}_{9}\langle \rangle\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $\vec{C}_{9}\langle 2\rangle \cong \vec{C}_{3}\left[\vec{C}_{3}\right]$ is the composition of $\vec{C}_{3}$ and $\vec{C}_{3}$ ).
In the following three sections we determine the exact value of $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)$ for every $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. We subdivide the calculations into five cases (the cases are illustrated in Figure 1):
(i) $k=1$,
(ii) $k=2$,
(iii) $3 \leq k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$,
(iv) $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor$ and
(v) $\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor+1 \leq k \leq n$.


Figure 1
3. The Dichromatic Number of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ and $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle$

To begin with, let us observe the following facts.

## Remark 5.

(i) For every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 n+1}$, the set of vertices $\{j-2, j-1, j\}$ induces a $\vec{C}_{3}$ in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ and $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle$, respectively,
(ii) $\vec{C}_{11}\langle 1\rangle \cong \vec{C}_{11}\langle 4\rangle$,
(iii) $\vec{C}_{13}\langle 1\rangle \cong \vec{C}_{13}\langle 3\rangle$.


Figure 2. $\vec{C}_{3}$ in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ and $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle$, respectively.
Proposition 6. $d c\left(\vec{C}_{11}\langle 1\rangle\right)=d c\left(\vec{C}_{13}\langle 1\rangle\right)=d c\left(\vec{C}_{15}\langle 1\rangle\right)=3$.
Proof. Consider $\vec{C}_{11}\langle 1\rangle$. Since $\vec{C}_{11}\langle 1\rangle \not \equiv \vec{C}_{11}\langle\emptyset\rangle$, by Theorem 1, dc $\left(\vec{C}_{11}\langle 1\rangle\right) \geq$ 3. The partition of the vertices of $\vec{C}_{11}\langle 1\rangle$ given by $P_{1}=\{0,3,2,5\}, P_{2}=\{7,10$, $9,1\}$ and $P_{3}=\{4,6,8\}$ implies that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{11}\langle 1\rangle\right)=3$. Observe that $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ induce a $T T_{4}$, and $P_{3}$ induces a $T T_{3}$ in $\vec{C}_{11}\langle 1\rangle$, respectively. By Remark $5(\mathrm{ii})$, we have that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{11}\langle 4\rangle\right)=3$. Notice that the transitive subtournaments induced by $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ are maximal. If $\left\langle P_{1}\right\rangle$ was not a maximal transitive subtournament, then the only vertex that we can add is the vertex 10 , but $(2,5,10,2) \cong \vec{C}_{3}$. Then $P_{1}$ induces a maximal transitive subtournament. The arguments are similar for $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$. Analogously we can prove the others cases $n=6$ and $n=7$.

The following lemmas will be useful tools in order to prove Theorem 11. Let $a$ and $b$ nonnegative integers such that $0 \leq a<b \leq n$. We define the interval $[a, b]=\{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}, X_{0}=[0, n], X_{3}=[0,2 n]$ and

$$
Y_{0}=\left\{j \in X_{0}: j \equiv 1 \bmod 3\right\} .
$$

Lemma 7. The tournament $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ contains a maximal transitive subtournament of order $n+1-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor$ if $n \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ and of order $n+2-\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$ vertices if $n \equiv 1 \bmod 3$.
Proof. Consider $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle=\vec{C}_{2 n+1}(2,3,4,5,6, \ldots, n, 2 n)$. Recall that circulant tournaments are vertex-transitive, so it is enough to consider a maximal transitive subtournament containing vertex 0 . Observe that

$$
N^{+}(0)=\{2,3,4,5,6, \ldots, n, 2 n\} .
$$

We have two cases.
Case 1. $n \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. Let $n=3 k$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that the subset of vertices $j \equiv 0,2 \bmod 3$ belonging to the set $X_{0}$ induces a transitive subtournament

$$
H_{0}=\left\langle X_{0} \backslash Y_{0}\right\rangle,
$$

by Remark 5(i). Observe that $\left|Y_{0}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor$ and $\left|H_{0}\right|=n+1-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor=2 k+1$. It remains to prove that $H_{0}$ is maximal. If $H_{0}$ was not maximal, then the only vertex we can add is $2 n$ by Remark 5(i). Observe that in this case the set $\{n, 2 n, n-4\}$ induces a $\vec{C}_{3}$ in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$, which implies that $H_{0} \cup\{2 n\}$ cannot induce a maximal transitive subtournament.

Case 2. $n \equiv 1 \bmod 3$. This case is analogous to Case 1. The maximal transitive subtournament is

$$
H_{1}=\left\langle\left(X_{0} \cup\{2 n\}\right) \backslash Y_{0}\right\rangle
$$

Lemma 8. Let $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ be such that $n \equiv 0,1 \bmod 3$. Then the subtournaments induced by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{3} \backslash\left(X_{0} \backslash Y_{0}\right) \text { if } n \equiv 0 \bmod 3 \text { and } \\
& X_{3} \backslash\left(\left(X_{0} \cup\{2 n\}\right) \backslash Y_{0}\right) \text { if } n \equiv 1 \bmod 3
\end{aligned}
$$

contain a maximal transitive subtournament of $n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor$ and $n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor-1$ vertices, respectively.

Proof. Suppose that $n \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. By Lemma $7, \vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ contains the transitive subtournament $H_{0}$. Consider $X_{1}=[n+1,2 n]$ and define

$$
Y_{1}=\left\{j \in X_{1}: j \equiv 2 \bmod 3\right\} \text { and } J_{0}=\left\langle X_{1} \backslash Y_{1}\right\rangle
$$

By Remark $5(\mathrm{i}), J_{0}$ is transitive. Notice that $J_{0}$ has order $n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor$. We prove that $J_{0}$ is maximal in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 7. For a contradiction, if $J_{0}$ was not maximal, then the only vertex we can add is vertex 1 by Remark $5(\mathrm{i})$. Observe that in this case, the set $\{1, n+1, n+3\}$ induces a $\vec{C}_{3}$ in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$, which implies that $J_{0} \cup\{1\}$ cannot induce a maximal transitive subtournament.

When $n \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, the arguments are similar. The maximal transitive subtournament $J_{1}$ is given by

$$
J_{1}=\left\langle X_{1} \backslash\left(Y_{2} \cup\{2 n\}\right)\right\rangle
$$

where $Y_{2}=\left\{j \in X_{1}: j \equiv 0 \bmod 3\right\}$.
Lemma 9. A maximal transitive subtournament contained in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ has $n+$ $1-\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$ vertices if $n \equiv 2 \bmod 3$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemma 7. The maximal transitive subtournament is

$$
H_{2}=\left\langle X_{0} \backslash Y_{0}\right\rangle
$$

Lemma 10. Let $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ be such that $n \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. Then the subtournament induced by

$$
X_{3} \backslash\left(X_{0} \backslash Y_{0}\right)
$$

contains a maximal transitive subtournament of order $n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor$.
Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemma 8. In this case, every vertex $j \equiv$ $0,1 \bmod 3$ in $X_{1}$ induces a transitive subtournament

$$
J_{2}=\left\langle X_{1} \backslash Y_{3}\right\rangle
$$

where $Y_{3}=\left\{j \in X_{1}: j \equiv 2 \bmod 3\right\}$.
Theorem 11. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle\right)=4$ for every $n \geq 8$.
Proof. By Theorem 1, we have that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle\right) \geq 3$. In the first place, we prove that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle\right) \geq 4$. For a contradiction, suppose that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle\right)=$ 3. Thus, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ has a partition of its vertices inducing three transitive subtournaments. Suppose that $n \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ (it is similar when $n \equiv 1,2 \bmod 3$ ). By Lemmas 7 and 8, two maximal disjoint transitive subtournaments in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ are $H_{0}$ and $J_{0}$. Hence, the remaining vertex set $X_{3} \backslash\left\{V\left(H_{0}\right) \cup V\left(J_{0}\right)\right\}$,

$$
\{1,4,7, \ldots, n+2, n+5, \ldots\}
$$

induces the third transitive subtournament. Observe that the vertex set $\{1,7, n+$ $2\}$ induces a $\vec{C}_{3}$, this is a contradiction. Therefore, $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle\right) \geq 4$. We show that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle\right)=4$. By Lemmas 7 and 8 , we have that the two maximal transitive subtournaments $H_{0}$ and $J_{0}$ have cardinality $n+1-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor$ and $n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor$, respectively. Define a third subtournament

$$
K_{0}=\left\langle\{1\} \cup Y_{1}\right\rangle
$$

Notice that $\left|K_{0}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor+1$ and $K_{0}$ is transitive by the definition of $Y_{1}$. We will prove that $K_{0}$ is a maximal transitive subtournament in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle \backslash\left\{H_{0} \cup J_{0}\right\}$. If $K_{0}$ was not a maximal transitive subtournament, then we can add at least one vertex of $Y_{0} \backslash\{1\}$. Notice that if $i \in Y_{0} \backslash\{1\}$, we have that $(i, i+n-2, i+n+$ $1, i) \cong \vec{C}_{3}$. Therefore, $K_{0}$ is a maximal transitive subtournament. Finally, the subtournament $L_{0}=\left\langle Y_{0} \backslash\{1\}\right\rangle$ is transitive by the definition of $Y_{0}$ and maximal. Thus, $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle\right)=4$. The proof is completely analogous for the cases when $n \equiv 1,2 \bmod 3$. The partition into transitive subtournaments is
$H_{1}=\left\langle\left(X_{0} \cup\{2 n\}\right) \backslash Y_{0}\right\rangle, J_{1}=\left\langle X_{1} \backslash Y_{2} \cup\{2 n\}\right\rangle, K_{1}=\left\langle Y_{2} \cup\{1\}\right\rangle, L_{1}=\left\langle Y_{0} \backslash\{1\}\right\rangle$, for $n \equiv 1 \bmod 3$. For $n \equiv 2 \bmod 3$, we have that

$$
H_{2}=\left\langle X_{0} \backslash Y_{0}\right\rangle, J_{2}=\left\langle X_{1} \backslash Y_{3}\right\rangle, K_{2}=\left\langle\left(Y_{3} \cup\{1\}\right)\right\rangle, L_{2}=\left\langle Y_{0} \backslash\{1\}\right\rangle
$$

From Proposition 6, Theorems 1, 2 and 11 and Remark 4(iii), we obtain the following consequence.

## Corollary 12.

$$
d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle\right)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } n=3 \\ 3 & \text { if } 4 \leq n \leq 7 \\ 4 & \text { if } n \geq 8\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 13. Let $r \in\{2,3,4\}$. Then $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ is a vertex-critical $r$-dichromatic circulant tournament if and only if $n \in\{1,4\}$.

Proof. If $r=2$, clearly $\vec{C}_{3}\langle 1\rangle$ is a vertex-critical 2-dichromatic.
If $r=3$, we need to check for which values of $4 \leq n \leq 7$, the circulant tournament $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ is a vertex-critical 3-dichromatic. Notice that $\vec{C}_{9}\langle 1\rangle \cong$ $\vec{C}_{9}\langle 4\rangle$ by Remark 4(iii). It is a vertex-critical 3-dichromatic by Theorem 2. For $n=5$, the circulant tournament $\vec{C}_{11}\langle 1\rangle$ is not a vertex-critical 3-dichromatic by Proposition 6. Using analogous arguments, $\vec{C}_{13}\langle 1\rangle$ and $\vec{C}_{15}\langle 1\rangle$ are not vertexcritical.

If $r=4$, the circulant tournament $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ is 4-dichromatic for every $n \geq 8$ by Theorem 11. It was partitioned into four maximal transitive subtournaments, where $\left|L_{i}\right|=\min \left\{\left|H_{i}\right|,\left|J_{i}\right|,\left|K_{i}\right|,\left|L_{i}\right|\right\}$ for $i=0,1,2$. Notice that $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ is a vertex-critical 4-dichromatic if the cardinality of $L_{i}$ is equal to one for $i=0,1,2$. Since $\left|L_{i}\right|=\left|Y_{0}\right|-1=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor-1$, we have that $\left|L_{i}\right|=1$ if and only if $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor=2$. It occurs when $n=6,7$ or 8 . By Theorem 11, it is only possible for $n \geq 8$. Observe that $\left|L_{2}\right| \geq 2$ for $T=\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ if $n \geq 8$. Since this partition is maximal, $T$ is not vertex-critical.

Therefore, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 1\rangle$ is a vertex-critical $r$-dichromatic circulant tournament if and only if $n$ is 1 or 4 .

Let us recall that
Remark 14. $\vec{C}_{9}\langle 2\rangle=\vec{C}_{3}\left[\vec{C}_{3}\right]$ is 3-dichromatic, a particular case of Theorem 8 from [9]. Notice that it is not vertex-critical.

Remark 15 ([10], Theorem 2.6). $\vec{C}_{11}\langle 2\rangle$ is vertex-critical 4-dichromatic.
Proposition 16. If $n=6$ and 7 , then $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle\right)=3$.
Proof. Observe that $\vec{C}_{15}\langle 2\rangle \not \equiv \vec{C}_{15}\langle\emptyset\rangle$. Then by Theorem 1, dc $\left(\vec{C}_{15}\langle 2\rangle\right) \geq 3$. Consider the following partition of $V\left(\vec{C}_{15}\langle 2\rangle\right)$ :

$$
P_{1}=\{0,1,3,4,6,7\}, P_{2}=\{5,8,9,11,12\} \text { and } P_{3}=\{2,10,13,14\} .
$$

We have that $\left\langle P_{1}\right\rangle \cong T T_{6},\left\langle P_{2}\right\rangle \cong T T_{5}$ and $\left\langle P_{3}\right\rangle \cong T T_{4}$. Therefore, $d c\left(\vec{C}_{15}\langle 2\rangle\right)$ $=3$. Note that the transitive subtournaments induced by $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ are maximal. If $\left\langle P_{1}\right\rangle$ was not a maximal transitive subtournament, then the only vertex that we can add is vertex 13 . We cannot add the vertex 5 by Remark 5(i). But $(4,7,13,4) \cong \vec{C}_{3}$. Then $P_{1}$ induces a maximal transitive subtournament. The same conclusion is valid for $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$. Observe that $\vec{C}_{15}\langle 2\rangle$ is not vertexcritical. The proof is analogous for $n=6$.
Theorem 17. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle\right)=4$ for every $n \geq 8$.
Proof. It is analogous to the proof of Theorem 11. Therefore, Remark 5(i) is applied for $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle$. The corresponding partitions are following.
(i) $n \equiv 0 \bmod 3$, we define $Y_{4}=\left\{j \in X_{0}: j \equiv 2 \bmod 3\right\}$,

$$
H_{0}=\left\langle X_{0} \backslash Y_{4}\right\rangle, J_{0}=\left\langle X_{1} \backslash Y_{2}\right\rangle, K_{0}=\left\langle Y_{2} \cup\{2\}\right\rangle, L_{0}=\left\langle Y_{4} \backslash\{2\}\right\rangle
$$

(ii) $n \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, we define $Y_{5}=\left\{j \in X_{1}: j \equiv 1 \bmod 3\right\}$,

$$
H_{1}=\left\langle X_{0} \backslash Y_{4}\right\rangle, J_{1}=\left\langle X_{1} \backslash Y_{5}\right\rangle, K_{1}=\left\langle Y_{5} \cup\{2\}\right\rangle, L_{1}=\left\langle Y_{4} \backslash\{2\}\right\rangle .
$$

(iii) $n \equiv 2 \bmod 3$, we define $Y_{6}=\left\{j \in X_{1}: j \equiv 1 \bmod 3\right\}$,

$$
H_{2}=\left\langle X_{0} \backslash Y_{4}\right\rangle, J_{2}=\left\langle\left(X_{1} \cup\{n\}\right) \backslash Y_{6}\right\rangle, K_{2}=\left\langle Y_{6}\right\rangle, L_{2}=\left\langle Y_{4} \backslash\{n\}\right\rangle .
$$

The next corollary is an immediate consequence of Remarks 4(ii)-(iii), 14, 15, Proposition 16 and Theorems 1, 2 and 17.

## Corollary 18.

$$
d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle\right)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } n=3 \\ 3 & \text { if } n=4,6,7 \\ 4 & \text { if } n=5 \text { and } n \geq 8 .\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 19. Let $r \in\{2,3,4\}$. Then $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle$ is a vertex-critical $r$-dichromatic circulant tournament if and only if $n=5$.
Proof. If $r=2$, by Theorem $1, \vec{C}_{7}\langle 2\rangle \cong \vec{C}_{7}\langle\emptyset\rangle$ is 2-dichromatic, but it is not vertex-critical.

Let $r=3$. For $n=4$, we have that $\vec{C}_{9}\langle 2\rangle$ is not vertex-critical by Remark 14. For $n=6$ and 7 by Proposition 16, $\vec{C}_{13}\langle 2\rangle$ and $\vec{C}_{15}\langle 2\rangle$ are not vertex-critical. If $r=4$, then by Remark $15, \vec{C}_{11}\langle 2\rangle$ is vertex-critical. By Theorem 17, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle$ is 4 -dichromatic for every $n \geq 8$. It was partitioned into four maximal
transitive subtournaments, where $\left|L_{i}\right|=\min \left\{\left|H_{i}\right|,\left|J_{i}\right|,\left|K_{i}\right|,\left|L_{i}\right|\right\}$ for $i=0,1,2$. Notice that $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle$ is vertex-critical 4-dichromatic if the cardinality of $L_{i}$ is equal to one for $i=0,1,2$. Since $\left|L_{i}\right|=\left|Y_{4}\right|-1=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor-1$, we have that $\left|L_{i}\right|=1$ if and only if $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor=2$. It occurs when $n=6,7$ or 8 . By Theorem 17 it is only possible for $n \geq 8$. Observe that $\left|L_{2}\right| \geq 2$ for $T=\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle$ if $n \geq 8$. Since this partition is maximal, $T$ is not vertex-critical. Therefore, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle 2\rangle$ is vertex-critical if and only if $n=5$.

## 4. The Dichromatic Number of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ For $3 \leq k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$

We prove that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=4$, for $3 \leq k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$ and $n \geq 7$.
Lemma 20. If $3 \leq k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ contains a maximal transitive subtournament $H$.

Proof. Let $n$ and $k$ be nonnegative integers and consider the interval $[0, n]$. Applying the Euclidean division algorithm to $n+1$ and $2 k-1$, there exist unique $\alpha, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
n+1=\alpha(2 k-1)+r \text { where } 0 \leq r<2 k-1
$$

Consider the partition of the interval $[0,2 k-2]=[0, k-1] \cup[k, 2 k-2]$ and define

$$
n+1= \begin{cases}\alpha(2 k-1)+s_{1} & \text { if } s_{1} \in[0, k-1] \\ \alpha(2 k-1)+s_{2} & \text { if } s_{2} \in[k, 2 k-2]\end{cases}
$$

Observe that since $3 \leq k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, we have that $s_{1} \in[1, k-1]$.
Let

$$
W=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\alpha-1}[i(2 k-1), i(2 k-1)+(k-1)]
$$

We define the subtournament $H$ of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ in the following way.
(i) If $s_{1} \in[1, k-1]$, then $H=\langle W \cup[\alpha(2 k-1), n]\rangle$. Moreover, if $k=\frac{n+1}{2}$ and $n$ is odd, then $H=\langle W \cup\{n, 2 n+1-k\}\rangle$.
(ii) $H=\langle W \cup[\alpha(2 k-1), \alpha(2 k-1)+k-1]\rangle$ for every $s_{2} \in[k, 2 k-2]$.

Note that $H$ is a transitive subtournament by construction, since its vertex set does not contain induced $\vec{C}_{3}$ 's. We prove that $H$ is maximal by contradiction. Since $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is vertex-transitive, without loss of generality, choose the vertex 0 . Observe that $N^{+}(0)=\{1,2, \ldots, k-1, k+1, \ldots, n, 2 n+1-k\}$ and

$$
N^{+}(0) \backslash V(H)=\left(X_{0} \cup\{2 n+1-k\}\right) \backslash(V(H) \cup\{k\})
$$

For every vertex $x \in N^{+}(0) \backslash V(H)$ there exist $h_{1}, h_{2} \in V(H)$ such that the vertex set $\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}, x\right\}$ induces a $\vec{C}_{3}$ (for instance, $x=k+1, h_{1}=1$ and $h_{2}=k-1$ ), a contradiction. Therefore, $H$ is maximal.

Lemma 21. If $3 \leq k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then $X_{3} \backslash V(H)$ contains a maximal transitive subtournament $J$, where $H$ is the subtournament defined in Lemma 20.

Proof. The construction of $J$ is similarly obtained as in the proof of Lemma 20 for $H$, but we have two ways of defining $J$.

Case 1. $\alpha=1$.
(i) If $s_{1} \in[1, k-1]$, then $J=[k, 2 k-2] \cup\left[3 k-2,3 k+s_{1}-2\right]$. Notice that if $k=\frac{n+1}{2}$ with $n$ is odd if and only if $s_{1}=1$. Then $J=[k, 2 k-2] \cup\{3 k-2\}$ by the construction of $H$.
(ii) If $s_{2} \in[k, 2 k-2]$, then $J=[k, 2 k-2] \cup[3 k-1,4 k-2]$.

Case 2. $\alpha>1$. Let

$$
U=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\alpha-1}[(n+1)+i(2 k-1),(n+1)+i(2 k-1)+(k-1)]
$$

(i) If $s_{1} \in[1, k-1]$, then $J=\langle U \cup[(n+1)+\alpha(2 k-1), 2 n]\rangle$.
(ii) $J=\langle U \cup[(n+1)+\alpha(2 k-1),(n+1)+\alpha(2 k-1)+k-1]\rangle$ for every $s_{2} \in[k, 2 k-2]$.

Notice that $H$ is a maximal transitive subtournament in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ by Lemma
20. We claim that $J$ is maximal in $V\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle \backslash V(H)\right.$. If $J$ was not maximal, we could add at least one vertex of $V\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right) \backslash(V(H) \cup V(J))$.

For Case 1, consider

$$
\left.\{n+k+1,3 k-3\} \subseteq V\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right) \backslash(V(H) \cup V(J))\right)
$$

We have that $(k, n+k, n+k+1, k) \cong \vec{C}_{3}$ or $(2 k-2,3 k-3,3 k-2,2 k-2) \cong \vec{C}_{3}$. Therefore, $J$ is maximal.

For Case 2, consider

$$
k \in V\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle \backslash(V(H) \cup V(J))\right.
$$

We have that $(k, n+k, n+2 k+1, k) \cong \vec{C}_{3}$. Hence, $J$ is maximal.
Theorem 22. If $3 \leq k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=4$ for $n \geq 7$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right) \geq 3$. We prove that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right) \geq 4$. For a contradiction, suppose that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=3$. Thus, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ has a partition of its vertices consisting of three transitive subtournaments. By Lemmas 20 and 21, two maximal transitive disjoint subtournaments in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ are $H$ and $J$. Hence, the remaining vertex set $X_{3} \backslash(V(H) \cup V(J))$ induces the third transitive subtournament.

We consider three cases.
Case 1. $J=\left\langle[k, 2 k-2] \cup\left[3 k-2,3 k+s_{1}-2\right]\right\rangle$ obtained by Case 1(i) of Lemma 21. Therefore, $K=\left\langle\left[3 k+s_{1}-1,2 n\right]\right\rangle$. Moreover, $|J|=k+s_{1}$ and $|H|=n-k+2$. Since $k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, we have that $|K|=2 n+1-(|H|+|J|)=2 k-3>k$. In this case, $K$ is induced by at least $k+1$ consecutive vertices. Therefore, $K$ cannot be a transitive subtournament by the definition of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$. Hence, $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right) \geq 4$. The following cases are necessary because the structure of $K$ and $L$ changes with different values of $s_{1}$.
(i) If $s_{1}=1,2$ or 3 , then

$$
K=\langle[n+1,3 k-3] \cup[4 k-3,2 n]\rangle \text { and } L=\left\langle\left[3 k+s_{1}-1,4 k-4\right]\right\rangle
$$

(ii) If $s_{1} \in[4, k-5]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =\langle[n+1,3 k-3] \cup[4 k-3,5 k-4]\rangle \text { and } \\
L & =\left\langle\left[3 k+s_{1}-1,4 k-4\right] \cup[5 k-3,2 n]\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) If $s_{1}=k-4$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =\langle[n+1=3 k-4,3 k-3] \cup[4 k-3,5 k-4]\rangle \text { and } \\
L & =\langle[4 k-5,4 k-4] \cup[5 k-3,2 n]\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) If $s_{1}=k-3$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =\langle[n+1=3 k-5,3 k-3] \cup[4 k-3,5 k-4]\rangle \text { and } \\
L & =\langle\{4 k-4\} \cup[5 k-3,2 n]\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

(v) If $s_{1}=k-1$ or $k-2$, then

$$
K=\langle[n+k+1, n+2 k]\rangle \text { and } L=\langle[n+2 k+1,2 n]\rangle
$$

By construction and the definition of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$, the subtournaments $K$ and $L$ are transitive. Observe that if $s_{1} \in[1, k-3], 4 k-4 \notin V(K)$ and $(4 k-4,4 k-3,3 k-$ $3,4 k-4) \cong \vec{C}_{3}$. Therefore, $K$ is maximal in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle \backslash(H \cup J)$. If $s_{1}=k-1$ or $k-2$, then $n+2 k+1 \notin V(K)$ and $(n+k+1, n+2 k, n+2 k+1, n+k+1) \cong \vec{C}_{3}$. Thus, $K$ is maximal in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle \backslash(H \cup J)$. Hence, $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle=4\right.$.

Case 2. $J=[k, 2 k-2] \cup[3 k-1,4 k-2]$ obtained by Case 1(ii) of Lemma 21. We have that $X_{3} \backslash(V(H) \cup V(J))=[4 k-1,2 n]$, but $2 n-4 k+2>k$ implies that the subtournament induced by $X_{3} \backslash(V(H) \cup V(J))$ has at least $k+1$ consecutive vertices and a $\vec{C}_{3}$ is induced by $X_{3} \backslash(V(H) \cup V(J))$. Therefore, $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle \geq 4\right.$. The following cases show the partition of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ into transitive subtournaments.
(i) If $s_{2}=k$, then

$$
K=\langle[4 k-1,5 k-2]\rangle \text { and } L=\langle[5 k-1,2 n]\rangle .
$$

(ii) If $s_{2}=k+1$, then

$$
K=\langle[4 k-1,5 k-2] \cup\{6 k-2=2 n\}\rangle \text { and } L=\langle[5 k-1,6 k-3]\rangle .
$$

(iii) If $s_{2}=k+2$, then

$$
K=\langle[4 k-1,5 k-2] \cup[6 k-2,6 k]\rangle \text { and } L=\langle[5 k-1,6 k-3]\rangle .
$$

(iv) If $s_{2} \in[k+3,2 k-2]$, then
(a) if $2 n \leq 7 k-3$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =\langle[4 k-1,5 k-2] \cup[6 k-2,2 n]\rangle \text { and } \\
L & =\langle[5 k-1,6 k-3]\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) if $2 n>7 k-3$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =\langle[4 k-1,5 k-2] \cup[6 k-2,7 k-3]\rangle \text { and } \\
L & =\langle[5 k-1,6 k-3] \cup[7 k-2,2 n]\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

By construction and the definition of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$, the subtournaments $K$ and $L$ are transitive. Observe that $5 k-1 \notin V(K)$ and $(4 k-1,5 k-2,5 k-1,4 k-1) \cong \vec{C}_{3}$. Therefore, $K$ is maximal in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle \backslash(H \cup J)$. Hence, $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=4$.

Case 3. $J$ is obtained by Case 2(i) and (ii) of Lemma 21. If $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=3$, then $X_{3} \backslash(V(H) \cup V(J))$ induces a transitive subtournament, but the vertex set $\{k, 3 k-1, n+k+1\} \subseteq X_{3} \backslash(V(H) \cup V(J))$ induces a $\vec{C}_{3}$. Hence, $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right) \geq 4$. The partition of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ into transitive subtournaments is $H, J, K=\left\langle X_{1} \cup\right.$ $\{k\} \backslash V(J)\rangle$ and

$$
L=\left\langle X_{3} \backslash(V(H) \cup V(J) \cup V(K))\right\rangle .
$$

By construction and the definition of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$, the subtournaments $K$ and $L$ are maximal transitive. Observe that $k+1 \notin V(K)$. Then the vertex set $\{k, k+1$, $n+k+1\}$ induces a $\vec{C}_{3}$. Therefore, $K$ is maximal in $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle \backslash(H \cup J)$.

This proves that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=4$.

The following example illustrates Theorem 22, Case 2(ii). The tournament $\vec{C}_{29}\langle 5\rangle$ has the following partition into four transitive subtournaments
$H=\langle[0,4] \cup[9,13]\rangle, K=\langle[5,8] \cup[14,18]\rangle, J=\langle[19,23] \cup\{28\}\rangle$ and $L=\langle[24,27]\rangle$.
Observe that $H \cong T T_{10}, J \cong T T_{9}, K \cong T T_{6}$ and $L \cong T T_{4}$.
Theorem 23. If $3 \leq k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is a vertex-critical 4-dichromatic circulant tournament if and only if
(i) $n=7$ and $k \in\{3,4\}$,
(ii) $n=9$ and $k=4$,
(iii) $n=10$ and $k=5$,
(iv) $n=13$ and $k=6$.

Proof. By Theorem 22, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is 4-dichromatic, where $H, J, K$ and $L$ are maximal transitive subtournaments. Note that by the partition of the vertices of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$, the cases that need to be considered are when $\alpha=1$, because it is when the order of $L$ can be one. In this case, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is a vertex-critical 4 -dichromatic. We have two cases when $\alpha=1$.

Case 1. $s_{1} \in[1, k-1]$.
(i) If $s_{1} \in\{1,2,3\}$, then by Theorem 22 Case 1(i), we have that $|L|=k-3, k-$ $4, k-5$, respectively. The tournament is vertex-critical if and only if $|L|=1$ if and only if $k=4$ and $n=7, k=5$ and $n=10, k=6$ and $n=13$, respectively
(ii) If $s_{1}=k-3$, then by the proof of Theorem 22 Case 1(iv), it is vertex-critical if and only if $|L|=1$ if and only if $2 n=5 k-4$ and $n=3 k-5$ if and only if $k=6$ and $n=13$.
(iii) If $s_{1}=k-2$, then by the proof of Theorem 22 Case $1(\mathrm{v})$, we have that $|L|=k-2$. It is vertex-critical if and only if $|L|=1$ if and only if $k=3$ and $n=7$.
(iv) If $s_{1}=k-1$, then by the proof of Theorem 22 Case $1(\mathrm{v})$, we have that $|L|=n-2 k$. It is vertex-critical if and only if $|L|=1$ if and only if $n=2 k+1$ and $n=3 k-3$ if and only if $k=4$ and $n=9$.

Case 2. $s_{2} \in[k, 2 k-2]$.
(i) If $s_{2}=k$, then by the proof of Theorem 22 Case 2(i), we have that $|L|=k-2$. It is vertex-critical if and only if $|L|=1$ if and only if $k=3$ and $n=7$.
(ii) If $s_{2} \in[k+1,2 k-2]$, then by the proof of Theorem 22 Case 2(ii)-(iv)(a), we have that $|L|=k-2$, but it is not necessarily vertex-critical if $|L|=1$, because the last vertices remain in $K$. When $L$ is obtained by the proof of Theorem 22 Case 2(iv)(b), $|L|$ never is one. In any case, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is not a vertex-critical 4 -dichromatic circulant tournament.
5. The Dichromatic Number of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ for $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq k \leq n$.

In this part we prove that the tournaments $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ are 4 -dichromatic if $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+$ $1 \leq k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor$ for $n \geq 8$.

Lemma 24. If $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor$, then $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ contains a maximal transitive subtournament of order $k$.

Proof. Since $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is vertex-transitive, it is enough to consider a maximal transitive subtournament containing vertex 0 . Observe that $N^{+}(0)=\{1,2, \ldots$, $k-1, k+1, \ldots, n, 2 n+1-k\}$. We define $H=\langle[0, k-1]\rangle$. It is transitive by the definition of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$. If $H$ was not maximal, then we could add one vertex of $N^{+}(0) \backslash[0, k-1]$. Let $j \in[k+1, n]$. Without loss of generality, choose $j=k+1$. Thus, the set of vertices $\{1, t, k+1\}$ with $t \in[2, k-1]$ induces a $\vec{C}_{3}$. The same occurs for the vertex $2 n+1-k$. Observe that $(3, k-1,2 n+1-k, 3) \cong \vec{C}_{3}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $H$ is maximal.

Lemma 25. If $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor$, then $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ contains three maximal transitive subtournaments of $k$ vertices.

Proof. By Lemma 24, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ contains a maximal transitive subtournament $H$. Notice that $\left|N^{+}(0)\right|-|H|<k$. Consider the following subtournaments

$$
J=\langle[k, 2 k-1]\rangle \quad \text { and } K=\langle[2 k, 3 k-1]\rangle .
$$

Observe that $J$ and $K$ are isomorphic to $H$. Let $\varphi_{1}: H \rightarrow J$ such that $\varphi_{1}(j)=j+k$ with $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, ( $\varphi_{1}$ is bijective and it is clear that $H$ is isomorphic to $J$ ). Analogously, $\varphi_{2}: H \rightarrow K$ is an isomorphism between $H$ and $K$. As in Lemma 24, we can prove that $J$ and $K$ are maximal transitive subtournaments. Then $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ contains three maximal transitive subtournaments on $k$ vertices.

Theorem 26. If $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor$, then $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=4$.
Proof. First we prove that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right) \geq 4$. By Lemma 25 , we have that $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ contains three maximal transitive subtournaments of $k$ vertices. Then $\left|\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right|-3 k>0$. Thus, $V\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)$ cannot be partitioned into three transitive subtournaments. Then $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right) \geq 4$. We verify that $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=4$. By Lemma 25, we have that $H, J$ and $K$ are maximal transitive subtournaments of order $k$. The fourth transitive subtournament is $L=\langle[3 k, 2 n]\rangle$. Therefore, $\left.\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)$ is 4-dichromatic.

Theorem 27. If $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor$, then $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is a vertex-critical 4dichromatic circulant tournament if and only if $n \equiv 0 \bmod 3$.
Proof. By Theorem 26, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is 4 -dichromatic. Observe that the order of $H, J$ and $K$ is $k$ and $|L|=2 n-3 k+1$. Notice that $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is vertex critical 4 -dichromatic if the cardinality of $L$ is equal to one, and it occurs if and only if $k=\frac{2}{3} n$ when $n \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. By Theorem 3, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\left\langle\frac{2}{3} n\right\rangle$ with $n \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ is a vertex-critical circulant tournament 4-dichromatic.
Corollary 28 ([11]). $\vec{C}_{6 m+1}\langle 2 m\rangle$ is a vertex-critical 4-dichromatic circulant tournament for $m \geq 2$.
Theorem 29. Let $n \geq 3$. Then $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=3$ for $k=\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor+1, \ldots, n$.
Proof. Let $n \geq 3$. By Theorem 1, $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right) \geq 3$. Take the following partition of the vertices of $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ :

$$
H=[0, k-1], J=[k, 2 k-1] \text { and } K=[2 k, 2 n] .
$$

Observe that $H$ induces a $T T_{k}$ because $N^{+}(i)=\{i+1, i+2, \ldots, k+1\}$ for $k \leq i \leq 2 k-1$, also $J$ and $K$ induce a $T T_{k}$ and a $T T_{2 n-2 k+1}$, respectively. Then $d c\left(\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle\right)=3$.

Theorem 30. If $k=\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor+1, \ldots, n, n \geq 3$. Then $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is a vertex-critical 3 -dichromatic circulant tournament if and only if $n=k$.
Proof. By Theorem 29, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is 3-dichromatic and its partition into three maximal transitive subtournaments was

$$
|H|=|J|=k \text { and }|K|=2 n-2 k+1 .
$$

Since $k=\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3} n\right\rfloor+1, \ldots, n$, we have that $k \geq 2 n-2 k+1$. Hence, $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is vertex-critical if and only if $2 n-2 k+1=1$, if and only if $n=k$.

Corollary 31 ([13], Theorem 2). $\vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle n\rangle$ is a vertex-critical 3-dichromatic circulant tournament for $n \geq 3$.

By Theorems 13, 19, 23, 27 and 30, we have the following.
Theorem 32. Let $r \in\{2,3,4\}, \vec{C}_{2 n+1}\langle k\rangle$ is vertex-critical $r$-dichromatic if and only if
(i) $r=2, n=1$ and $k=1$;
(ii) $r=3$,
(a) $n=4$ and $k=1$,
(b) $n \geq 3$ and $k=n$;
(iii) $r=4$,
(a) $n=5$ and $k=2$,
(b) $n=7$ and $k \in\{3,4\}$,
(c) $n=9$ and $k=4$,
(d) $n=10$ and $k=5$,
(e) $n=13$ and $k=6$,
(f) $n=3 m$ and $k=2 m(m \geq 2)$.
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