

## SIGNED ROMAN EDGE $k$ -DOMINATION IN GRAPHS

LEILA ASGHARSHARGHI, SEYED MAHMOUD SHEIKHOESLAMI

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University*  
*Tabriz, I.R. Iran*

**e-mail:** {l.sharghi;s.m.sheikholeslami}@azaruniv.edu

AND

LUTZ VOLKMANN

*Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik*  
*RWTH Aachen University*  
*52056 Aachen, Germany*

**e-mail:** volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de

### Abstract

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and  $G = (V, E)$  be a finite and simple graph. The closed neighborhood  $N_G[e]$  of an edge  $e$  in a graph  $G$  is the set consisting of  $e$  and all edges having a common end-vertex with  $e$ . A signed Roman edge  $k$ -dominating function (SRE $k$ DF) on a graph  $G$  is a function  $f : E \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  satisfying the conditions that (i) for every edge  $e$  of  $G$ ,  $\sum_{x \in N_G[e]} f(x) \geq k$  and (ii) every edge  $e$  for which  $f(e) = -1$  is adjacent to at least one edge  $e'$  for which  $f(e') = 2$ . The minimum of the values  $\sum_{e \in E} f(e)$ , taken over all signed Roman edge  $k$ -dominating functions  $f$  of  $G$  is called the signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination number of  $G$ , and is denoted by  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G)$ . In this paper we initiate the study of the signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination in graphs and present some (sharp) bounds for this parameter.

**Keywords:** signed Roman edge  $k$ -dominating function, signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination number.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C69.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper,  $G$  is a simple graph with vertex set  $V = V(G)$  and edge set  $E = E(G)$ . For every vertex  $v \in V$ , the *open neighborhood*  $N_G(v) = N(v)$  is the set  $\{u \in V \mid uv \in E\}$  and the *closed neighborhood* of  $v$  is the set  $N_G[v] = N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . The degree of a vertex  $v \in V$  is  $d_G(v) = d(v) = |N(v)|$ . The *minimum* and *maximum degree* of a graph  $G$  are denoted by  $\delta = \delta(G)$  and  $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ , respectively. The *open neighborhood*  $N(e) = N_G(e)$  of an edge  $e \in E$  is the set of all edges adjacent to  $e$ . Its *closed neighborhood* is  $N[e] = N_G[e] = N_G(e) \cup \{e\}$ . The degree of an edge  $e \in E$  is  $d_G(e) = d(e) = |N(e)|$ . The *minimum* and *maximum edge degree* of a graph  $G$  are denoted by  $\delta_e = \delta_e(G)$  and  $\Delta_e = \Delta_e(G)$ , respectively. If  $v$  is a vertex, then denote by  $E(v)$  the set of edges incident with the vertex  $v$ . We write  $K_n$  for a *complete graph*,  $C_n$  for a *cycle*,  $P_n$  for a *path* of order  $n$  and  $K_{1,n}$  for a *star* of order  $n + 1$ . A *subdivided star*, denoted  $K_{1,n}^*$ , is a star  $K_{1,n}$  whose edges are subdivided once, that is each edge is replaced by a path of length 2 by adding a vertex of degree 2. The *line graph* of a graph  $G$ , written  $L(G)$ , is the graph whose vertices are the edges of  $G$ , with  $ee' \in E(L(G))$  when  $e = uv$  and  $e' = vw$  in  $G$ . It is easy to see that  $L(K_{1,n}) = K_n$ ,  $L(C_n) = C_n$  and  $L(P_n) = P_{n-1}$ .

A function  $f : E \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is called a *signed edge  $k$ -dominating function* (SEkDF) of  $G$  if  $\sum_{x \in N[e]} f(x) \geq k$  for each edge  $e \in E$ . The *weight* of  $f$ , denoted  $\omega(f)$ , is defined to be  $\omega(f) = \sum_{e \in E} f(e)$ . The *signed edge  $k$ -domination number*  $\gamma'_{sk}(G)$  is defined as  $\gamma'_{sk}(G) = \min\{\omega(f) \mid f \text{ is an SEkDF of } G\}$ . The signed edge  $k$ -domination number was first defined in [3].

A *signed Roman  $k$ -dominating function* (SRkDF) on a graph  $G$  is a function  $f : V \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  satisfying the conditions that (i)  $\sum_{x \in N[v]} f(x) \geq k$  for each vertex  $v \in V$ , and (ii) every vertex  $u$  for which  $f(u) = -1$  is adjacent to at least one vertex  $v$  for which  $f(v) = 2$ . The *weight* of an SRkDF  $f$  is  $\omega(f) = \sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ . The *signed Roman  $k$ -domination number* of  $G$ , denoted  $\gamma_{sR}^k$ , is the minimum weight of an SRkDF in  $G$ . The signed Roman  $k$ -domination number was introduced by Henning and Volkmann in [5] and has been studied in [6]. The special case  $k = 1$  was introduced and investigated in [1].

A *signed Roman edge  $k$ -dominating function* (SREkDF) on a graph  $G$  is a function  $f : E \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  satisfying the conditions that (i) for every edge  $e$  of  $G$ ,  $\sum_{x \in N[e]} f(x) \geq k$  and (ii) every edge  $e$  for which  $f(e) = -1$  is adjacent to at least one edge  $e'$  for which  $f(e') = 2$ . The *weight* of an SREkDF is the sum of its function values over all edges. The *signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination number* of  $G$ , denoted  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G)$ , is the minimum weight of an SREkDF in  $G$ . For an edge  $e$ , we denote  $f[e] = f(N[e]) = \sum_{x \in N[e]} f(x)$  for notational convenience. The special case  $k = 1$  was introduced by Ahangar *et al.* [2]. If  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$  are the components of  $G$ , then

$$(1) \quad \gamma'_{sRk}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^s \gamma'_{sRk}(G_i).$$

Since assigning a weight 1 to every edge of  $G$  produces an SRE $k$ DF, we have

$$(2) \quad \gamma'_{sRk}(G) \leq |E(G)|.$$

The signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination number exists if  $|N_G(e)| \geq \frac{k}{2} - 1$  for every edge  $e \in E$ . However, for investigations of the signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination number it is reasonable to claim that for every edge  $e \in E$ ,  $|N_G(e)| \geq k - 1$ . Thus we assume throughout this paper that  $\delta_e(G) \geq k - 1$ .

In this note we initiate the study of the signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination in graphs and present some (sharp) bounds for this parameter. In addition, we determine the signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination number of some classes of graphs.

The proof of the following results can be found in [5].

**Proposition 1.** *If  $k = 1$ , then  $\gamma_{sR}^1(K_3) = 2$  and  $\gamma_{sR}^1(K_n) = 1$  for  $n \neq 3$ . If  $n \geq k \geq 2$ , then  $\gamma_{sR}^k(K_n) = k$ .*

The case  $k = 1$  in Proposition 1 was proved in [1]. A set  $S \subseteq V$  is a *2-packing set* of  $G$  if  $N[u] \cap N[v] = \emptyset$  holds for any two distinct vertices  $u, v \in S$ . The *2-packing number* of  $G$ , denoted  $\rho(G)$ , is defined as follows:

$$\rho(G) = \max\{|S| \mid S \text{ is a 2-packing set of } G\}.$$

**Proposition 2.** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$  with  $\delta \geq k - 1$ , then*

$$\gamma_{sR}^k(G) \geq (\delta + k + 1)\rho(G) - n.$$

**Proposition 3.**  $\gamma_{sR}^2(P_n) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq 7, \\ \lceil \frac{2n+5}{3} \rceil & \text{if } n \geq 8. \end{cases}$

**Proposition 4.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , we have  $\gamma_{sR}^2(C_n) = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ .*

The proof of the following result is straightforward and therefore omitted.

**Observation 5.** *For any nonempty graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$  and any integer  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(G) = \gamma_{sR}^k(L(G)).$$

**Observation 6.** *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $f$  be a  $\gamma'_{sR2}(G)$ -function. If  $e = uv$  is a pendant edge in  $G$  with  $d(v) = 2$  and  $w \in N(v) \setminus \{u\}$ , then  $\min\{f(uv), f(vw)\} \geq 1$ .*

Observation 5 and Propositions 1, 2, 3 and 4 lead to

**Corollary 7.** *If  $k = 1$ , then  $\gamma'_{sR1}(K_{1,3}) = 2$  and  $\gamma'_{sR1}(K_{1,n}) = 1$  for  $n \neq 3$ . If  $n \geq k \geq 2$ , then  $\gamma'_{sRk}(K_{1,n}) = k$ .*

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of size  $m$ . Then*

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \geq (2\delta + k - 1)\rho(L(G)) - m.$$

**Corollary 9.**  $\gamma'_{sR2}(P_n) = \begin{cases} n - 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq n \leq 8, \\ \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil + 1 & \text{if } n \geq 9. \end{cases}$

**Corollary 10.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , we have  $\gamma'_{sR2}(C_n) = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ .*

Next we show that for every two positive integers  $k$  and  $t$ , there exists a connected graph  $G$  whose signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination number is at most  $-t$ .

**Proposition 11.** *For every positive integers  $k$  and  $t$ , there exists a connected graph  $G$  such that  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \leq -t$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $n \geq \max\{k + 5, t/3\}$ , and let  $G$  be the graph obtained from the complete graph  $K_n$  by adding  $n + 2$  pendant edges at each vertex of  $K_n$ . Define  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(e) = 2$  if  $e \in E(K_n)$  and  $f(e) = -1$  otherwise. Obviously,  $f$  is an SRE $k$ DF on  $G$  of weight  $-3n$ . This completes the proof. ■

We close this section by determining the signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination number of two classes of graphs.

**Example 12.** For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,n}) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 5 & \text{if } n = 3, 4, \\ 6 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

**Proof.** Let  $X = \{u_1, u_2\}$  and  $Y = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the partite sets of  $K_{2,n}$  and let  $f$  be a  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,n})$ -function such that  $r = \min\{\sum_{i=1}^n f(u_1v_i), \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_2v_i)\}$  is as small as possible. Assume that  $r = \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_1v_i)$ . The result is immediate for  $n = 2$  by Corollary 10. Assume that  $n \geq 3$ . Since  $f[u_1v_1] = f(u_2v_1) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_1v_i) \geq 2$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n f(u_1v_i) \geq 0$ . Consider three cases.

*Case 1.*  $n \geq 5$ . Define  $g : E(K_{2,n}) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $g(u_1v_1) = g(u_2v_2) = 2$ ,  $g(u_1v_2) = g(u_2v_1) = 1$  and  $g(u_1v_i) = (-1)^i$ ,  $g(u_2v_i) = (-1)^{i+1}$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n$ . Obviously,  $g$  is an SRE2DF of  $K_{2,n}$  of weight 6 and so  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,n}) \leq 6$ . Now, we show that  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,n}) = 6$ . If  $r \geq 3$ , then we obtain  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,n}) = r + \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_2v_i) \geq 6$  implying that  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,n}) = 6$ . Assume that  $r \leq 2$ . If  $r = 0$ , then we deduce from  $f[u_1v_i] = f(u_2v_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_1v_i) \geq 2$  that  $f(u_2v_i) \geq 2$  for each  $i$  and hence  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,n}) = r + \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_2v_i) = 2n > 6$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $r = 1$  or  $r = 2$ . Then it follows from  $f[u_1v_i] = f(u_2v_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_1v_i) \geq 2$  that  $f(u_2v_i) \geq 1$  for each  $i$ . Hence,  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,n}) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_1v_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_2v_i) \geq 1 + n \geq 6$  that implies  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,n}) = 6$ .

*Case 2.*  $n = 3$ . Define  $g : E(K_{2,3}) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $g(u_1v_2) = 2, g(u_1v_3) = -1, g(u_1v_1) = 1$  and  $g(u_2v_i) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . Obviously,  $g$  is an SRE2DF of  $K_{2,3}$  of weight 5 and hence  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,3}) \leq 5$ . Now we show that  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,3}) = 5$ . Since  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,3}) = r + \sum_{i=1}^3 f(u_2v_i) \leq 5$ , we have  $r \leq 2$ . If  $r = 2$ , then it follows from  $f[u_1v_i] = f(u_2v_i) + r \geq 2$  that  $f(u_2v_i) \geq 1$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Hence,  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,3}) = r + \sum_{i=1}^3 f(u_2v_i) \geq 5$  that implies  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,3}) = 5$ . If  $r = 0$ , then as above we must have  $f(u_2v_i) = 2$  for each  $i$ . But then  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,3}) = r + \sum_{i=1}^3 f(u_2v_i) = 6$ , a contradiction. Let  $r = 1$ . We may assume without loss of generality, that  $f(u_1v_1) = -1$  and  $f(u_1v_2) = f(u_1v_3) = 1$ . It follows from  $f[u_1v_i] = f(u_2v_i) + \sum_{i=1}^3 f(u_1v_i) = f(u_2v_i) + 1 \geq 2$  that  $f(u_2v_i) \geq 1$  for each  $i$ . Since  $u_1v_1$  must be adjacent to an edge with label 2, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^3 f(u_2v_i) \geq 4$  implying that  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,3}) = 5$ .

*Case 3.*  $n = 4$ . Define  $g : E(K_{2,4}) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $g(u_1v_1) = 2, g(u_1v_2) = g(u_1v_3) = -1$  and  $g(u_1v_4) = g(u_2v_i) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . Obviously,  $g$  is an SRE2DF of  $K_{2,4}$  of weight 5 and hence  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,4}) \leq 5$ . Using an argument similar to that described in Case 2, we obtain  $\gamma'_{sR2}(K_{2,4}) = 5$  and the proof is complete. ■

A *leaf* of a tree  $T$  is a vertex of degree 1, a *support vertex* is a vertex adjacent to a leaf. For  $r, s \geq 1$ , a *double star*  $S(r, s)$  is a tree with exactly two vertices that are not leaves, with one adjacent to  $r$  leaves and the other to  $s$  leaves.

**Example 13.** For positive integers  $r \geq s \geq k - 1 \geq 1$ ,

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(S(r, s)) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } s = 1, \\ 2k - 2 & \text{if } s \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let  $u$  and  $v$  be the central vertices of  $S(r, s)$  and let  $N(u) \setminus \{v\} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\}$  and  $N(v) \setminus \{u\} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}$ . Suppose that  $f$  is a  $\gamma'_{sRk}(S(r, s))$ -function. Consider two cases.

*Case 1.*  $s = 1$ . By assumption, we have  $k = 2$ . We deduce from  $f[vv_1] = f(vv_1) + f(uv) \geq 2$  that  $f(vv_1) \geq 1$ . Hence,

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(S(r, s)) = f(vv_1) + f[uu_1] = 1 + f[uu_1] \geq 3.$$

If  $r = 1$ , then define  $f : E(S(r, s)) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(x) = 1$  for each  $x \in E(S(r, s))$ . If  $r$  is even, then define  $f : E(S(r, s)) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(vv_1) = 1, f(uv) = 2$  and  $f(uu_i) = (-1)^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and if  $r \geq 3$  is odd, then define  $f : E(S(r, s)) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(vv_1) = 1, f(uv) = f(uu_1) = 2, f(uu_2) = f(uu_3) =$

$-1$  and  $f(uu_i) = (-1)^i$  for  $i \geq 4$ . Clearly,  $f$  is an SRE $k$ DF of  $S(r, s)$  of weight 3 and so  $\gamma'_{sRk}(S(r, s)) = 3$ .

*Case 2.*  $s \geq 2$ . We have  $\gamma'_{sRk}(S(r, s)) = f[uu_1] + f[vv_1] - f(uv) \geq 2k - f(uv) \geq 2k - 2$ . To prove  $\gamma'_{sRk}(S(r, s)) \leq 2k - 2$ , we distinguish the following subcases.

*Subcase 2.1.*  $r - k + 2$  and  $s - k + 2$  are even. Define  $f : E(S(r, s)) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(uv) = 2$ ,  $f(uu_i) = f(vv_i) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$ ,  $f(uu_i) = (-1)^i$  for each  $k - 1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $f(vv_j) = (-1)^j$  for each  $k - 1 \leq j \leq s$ . Obviously,  $f$  is an SRE $k$ DF of  $S(r, s)$  of weight  $2k - 2$  and so  $\gamma'_{sRk}(S(r, s)) = 2k - 2$ .

*Subcase 2.2.*  $r - k + 2$  and  $s - k + 2$  are odd. Define  $f : E(S(r, s)) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(uv) = f(uu_1) = f(vv_1) = 2$ ,  $f(uu_2) = f(vv_2) = -1$ ,  $f(uu_i) = f(vv_i) = 1$  for  $3 \leq i \leq k - 1$ ,  $f(uu_i) = (-1)^i$  for each  $i \geq k$  and  $f(vv_j) = (-1)^j$  for each  $j \geq k$ . Clearly,  $f$  is an SRE $k$ DF of  $S(r, s)$  of weight  $2k - 2$  and so  $\gamma'_{sRk}(S(r, s)) = 2k - 2$ .

*Subcase 2.3.*  $r - k + 2$  and  $s - k + 2$  have opposite parity. Assume, without loss of generality, that  $r - k + 2$  is even and  $s - k + 2$  is odd. Define  $f : E(S(r, s)) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(uv) = f(vv_1) = 2$ ,  $f(vv_2) = -1$ ,  $f(vv_i) = 1$  for  $3 \leq i \leq k - 1$ ,  $f(vv_i) = (-1)^i$  for each  $k \leq i \leq s$  and  $f(uu_i) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$ ,  $f(uu_i) = (-1)^i$  for each  $i \geq k - 1$ . Clearly,  $f$  is an SRE $k$ DF of  $S(r, s)$  of weight  $2k - 2$  and so  $\gamma'_{sRk}(S(r, s)) = 2k - 2$ . This completes the proof. ■

## 2. TREES

In this section we first present a lower bound on the signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination number of trees and then we characterize all extremal trees.

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and  $T$  be a tree of order  $n \geq k$ . Then  $\gamma'_{sRk}(T) \geq k$ . Moreover, this bound is sharp for stars.*

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on  $n$ . The base step handles trees with few vertices or diameter 2 and 3. If  $\text{diam}(T) \leq 3$ , then by Corollary 7 and Example 13, we have  $\gamma'_{sRk}(T) \geq k$ . Assume that  $T$  is an arbitrary tree of order  $n$  and that the statements holds for all trees of order less than  $n$ . We may assume, that  $\text{diam}(T) \geq 4$ . Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma'_{sRk}(T)$ -function.

If  $T$  has a non-pendant edge  $e = u_1u_2$  with  $f(u_1u_2) = -1$ , then let  $T - u_1u_2 = T_1 \cup T_2$  where  $T_i$  is the component of  $T - u_1u_2$  containing  $u_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . It is easy to verify that the function  $f$ , restricted to  $T_i$  is an SRE $k$ DF of  $T_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . It follows from the induction hypothesis that

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(T) = f(E(T_1)) + f(E(T_2)) - 1 \geq \gamma'_{sRk}(T_1) + \gamma'_{sRk}(T_2) - 1 \geq 2k - 1 > k.$$

Henceforth, we may assume that every edge with label  $-1$  is a pendant edge.

Let  $P = u_1u_2 \cdots u_k$  be a diametral path in  $T$  such that  $d_T(u_2)$  is as large as possible. Root  $T$  at  $u_k$ . Since  $f[u_1u_2] \geq k$ , we have  $d_T(u_2) \geq \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ . By assumption  $f(u_2u_3) \geq 1$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be the components of  $T - u_2u_3$  containing  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ , respectively. Assume that  $T'_1$  is the tree obtained from  $T_1$  by adding a new pendant edge  $u_2w$  and define  $f_1 : E(T'_1) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f_1(u_2w) = f(u_2u_3)$  and  $f_1(x) = f(x)$  otherwise. Clearly,  $f_1$  is an SRE $k$ DF of  $T'_1$  and by the induction hypothesis we have  $\omega(f_1) \geq k$ . Consider two cases.

*Case 1.*  $k = 2$ . Let  $T'_2$  be the tree obtained from  $T_2$  by adding a new pendant edge  $u_3w_1$  and define  $f_2 : E(T'_2) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f_2(u_3w_1) = f(u_2u_3)$  and  $f_2(x) = f(x)$  otherwise. Clearly,  $f_2$  is an SRE2DF of  $T'_1$  and by the induction hypothesis we have  $\omega(f_2) \geq 2$ . Since  $\omega(f) = \omega(f_1) + \omega(f_2) - f(u_2u_3)$ , we have

$$\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = \omega(f_1) + \omega(f_2) - f(u_2u_3) \geq 4 - f(u_2u_3) \geq 2.$$

*Case 2.*  $k \geq 3$ . Let  $T'_2$  be the tree obtained from  $T_2$  by adding  $\lceil \frac{k-2}{2} \rceil$  new pendant edges  $u_3w_1, \dots, u_3w_{\lceil \frac{k-2}{2} \rceil}$ . Clearly,  $|V(T'_2)| < n$ . First let  $k$  be odd. Define  $f_2 : E(T'_2) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f_2(u_3w_i) = 2$  for each  $i$  and  $f_2(x) = f(x)$  otherwise. It is easy to verify that  $f_2$  is an SRE $k$ DF of  $T'_2$  and by the induction hypothesis we have  $\omega(f_2) \geq k$ . Now we have

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(T) = \omega(f) = \omega(f_1) + \omega(f_2) - (k-2) \geq k + (\omega(f_2) - k) + 2 > k.$$

Now let  $k$  be even. Define  $f_2 : E(T'_2) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f_2(u_3u_4) = f_2(u_3w_i) = 2$  for each  $i$  and  $f_2(x) = f(x)$  otherwise. It is not hard to see that  $f_2$  is an SRE $k$ DF of  $T'_2$  and by the induction hypothesis we have  $\omega(f_2) \geq k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'_{sR2}(T) &= \omega(f) = \omega(f_1) + \omega(f_2) - (k-2) - (2 - f(u_3u_4)) \\ &\geq k + (\omega(f_2) - k) + f(u_3u_4) > k. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Using Corollary 7, Example 13 and a closer look at the proof of Theorem 14, we obtain the next result.

**Corollary 15.** *If  $k \geq 3$  and  $T$  is a tree of order  $n \geq k$ , then  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = k$  if and only if  $T$  is a star.*

In what follows, we provide a constructive characterization of all trees  $T$  for which  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = 2$ . To do this, we describe a procedure to build a family  $\mathcal{F}$  that attains the bound in Theorem 14 when  $k = 2$ . First we define the following operations. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of trees that:

1. contains  $P_2$ , and
2. is closed under the operations  $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{T}_3$ , which extend the tree  $T$  by attaching a tree to the vertex  $y \in V(T)$ , called the *attacher*.

**Operation  $\mathfrak{T}_1$ .** If  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $uv$  is a pendant edge with  $d(u) = 1$ , and there is a  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T)$ -function with  $f(uv) = 2$  and either no  $-1$ -edge at  $v$  or a  $2$ -edge at  $v$  other than  $uv$ , then  $\mathfrak{T}_1$  adds a pendant edge  $vv'$ .

**Operation  $\mathfrak{T}_2$ .** If  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $uv$  is a pendant edge with  $d(u) = 1$ , and there is a  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T)$ -function with  $f(uv) = 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{T}_2$  adds a pendant edge  $vw_1$ .

**Operation  $\mathfrak{T}_3$ .** If  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $uv \in E(T)$ , and there is a  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T)$ -function with  $f(uv) = 2$ , then  $\mathfrak{T}_3$  adds two pendant edges  $vw_1, vw_2$ .

**Lemma 16.** *If  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = 2$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  be obtained from a path  $P_2$  by successive operations  $\mathcal{T}^1, \mathcal{T}^2, \dots, \mathcal{T}^m$ , where  $\mathcal{T}^i \in \{\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3\}$  if  $m \geq 1$  and  $T = P_2$  if  $m = 0$ . The proof is by induction on  $m$ . If  $m = 0$ , then clearly the statement is true. Let  $m \geq 1$  and assume that the statement holds for all trees which are obtained from  $P_2$  by applying at most  $m - 1$  operations. Let  $T_{m-1}$  be the tree obtained from  $P_2$  by the first  $m - 1$  operations  $\mathcal{T}^1, \mathcal{T}^2, \dots, \mathcal{T}^{m-1}$ . We consider the following cases.

*Case 1.*  $\mathcal{T}^m = \mathfrak{T}_1$ . Assume that  $uv \in T_{m-1}$  is a pendant edge with  $d(u) = 1$ ,  $f$  a  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T)$ -function with  $f(uv) = 2$  such that either no  $-1$ -edge at  $v$  or a  $2$ -edge at  $v$  other than  $uv$ , and  $\mathcal{T}^m$  adds a pendant edge  $vv'$ . Define  $g : E(T) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $g(uv) = g(vv') = 1$  and  $g(x) = f(x)$  otherwise. Obviously,  $g$  is an SRE2DF of  $T = T_m$  of weight 2 and so  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = 2$  by Theorem 14.

*Case 2.*  $\mathcal{T}^m = \mathfrak{T}_2$ . Let  $uv \in T_{m-1}$  be a pendant edge with  $d(u) = 1$ ,  $f$  a  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T)$ -function with  $f(uv) = 1$ , and  $\mathcal{T}^m$  adds a pendant edge  $vw_1$ . Then the function  $g : E(T) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  defined by  $g(uv) = 2, g(vw_1) = -1$  and  $g(x) = f(x)$  otherwise, is an SRE2DF of  $T = T_m$  of weight 2 that implies  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = 2$  by Theorem 14.

*Case 3.*  $\mathcal{T}^m = \mathfrak{T}_3$ . Let  $uv \in T_{m-1}$ ,  $f$  be a  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T)$ -function with  $f(uv) = 2$ , and  $\mathcal{T}^m$  adds two pendant edges  $vw_1, vw_2$ . Define  $g : E(T) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $g(vw_1) = 1, g(vw_2) = -1$  and  $g(x) = f(x)$  otherwise. Obviously,  $g$  is an SRE2DF of  $T = T_m$  of weight 2 implying that  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = 2$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = 2$  if and only if  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 16, we only need to prove that every tree  $T$  with  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = 2$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . We prove this by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 2$ , then the only tree  $T$  of order 2 and  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = 2$  is  $P_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\text{diam}(T) = 2$ , then  $T$  is a star and obviously  $T$  can be obtained from  $P_2$  by applying Operations  $\mathfrak{T}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{T}_2$ . Let  $n \geq 4$  and assume that the statement holds for every tree of order less than  $n$  with  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = 2$ . Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n$  and  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = 2$ . We may assume that  $\text{diam}(T) \geq 3$ .



Suppose  $f$  is a  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T)$ -function. Then  $f(v) = \sum_{e \in E(v)} f(e) \geq 2$  for every support vertex  $v$ .

**Claim 1.**  $T$  has no non-pendant edge  $e = u_1u_2$  with  $f(u_1u_2) = -1$ .

**Proof.** Assume, to the contrary, that  $T$  has a non-pendant edge  $e = u_1u_2$  such that  $f(u_1u_2) = -1$ . Assume  $T - e = T_{u_1} \cup T_{u_2}$ , where  $T_{u_i}$  is the component of  $T - e$  containing  $u_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Obviously,  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = f(E(T_{u_1})) - 1 + f(E(T_{u_2}))$  and the function  $f$ , restricted to  $T_{u_i}$  is an SRE2DF and hence  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T_{u_i}) \leq f(E(T_{u_i}))$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . By Theorem 14, we get

$$\gamma'_{sR2}(T) \geq \gamma'_{sR2}(T_{u_1}) + \gamma'_{sR2}(T_{u_2}) - 1 \geq 3,$$

a contradiction.  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $T$  has no non-pendant edge with label 1.

**Proof.** Assume, to the contrary, that  $T$  has a non-pendant edge  $e = u_1u_2$  such that  $f(u_1u_2) = 1$ . Let  $T_{u_1}$  and  $T_{u_2}$  be the components of  $T - e$  containing  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , respectively, and let  $T'_{u_i}$  be the tree obtained from  $T_{u_i}$  by adding a new pendant edge  $u_iu'_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Define  $f_i : E(T'_i) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f_i(u_iu'_i) = 1$  and  $f_i(e) = f(e)$  if  $e \in E(T_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Clearly,  $f_i$  is an SRE2DF of  $T'_i$  for each  $i$ , and  $\omega(f) = \omega(f_1) + \omega(f_2) - 1$ . Similar to Case 2, we can get the contradiction  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = \omega(f_1) + \omega(f_2) - 1 \geq 3$ .  $\square$

Thus, all  $-1$ -edges and  $1$ -edges are pendant edges and hence all non-pendant edges are  $2$ -edges.

Let  $v_1v_2 \cdots v_D$  be a diametral path in  $T$  and root  $T$  at  $v_D$ . Obviously,  $d(v_1) = d(v_D) = 1$ .

**Claim 3.**  $d(v_2) \geq 3$ .

**Proof.** Assume, to the contrary, that  $d(v_2) = 2$ . By Observation 6, we have  $f(v_1v_2) \geq 1$ . If there is a pendant  $-1$ -edge at  $v_3$ , then let  $T' = T - v_1$ . It is easy to see that the function  $h = f|_{E(T')}$  is an SRE2DF on  $T' = T - v_1$  of weight less than  $\omega(f)$ , and it follows from Theorem 14 that  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) = \omega(f) > \omega(f|_{E(T')}) \geq \gamma'_{sR2}(T') \geq 2$ . Assume that there is no pendant  $-1$ -edge at  $v_3$ . Let  $T' = T - v_1$ . Since  $f(v_1v_2) \geq 1$ , we have  $\omega(f) \geq \omega(f|_{E(T')}) + 1$  and the function  $f$  restricted to  $T'$  is an SRE2DF of  $T'$ . This implies  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T) > 2$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Now we consider three cases.

*Case 1.*  $T$  has two pendant edges  $v_2u_1$  and  $v_2u_2$  with  $f(v_2u_1) = 1$  and  $f(v_2u_2) = -1$ . Assume  $T' = T - \{u_1, u_2\}$ . Clearly, the function  $f$  restricted to  $T'$  is an SRE2DF on  $T'$ . So  $\gamma'_{sR2}(T') = 2$  and by the induction hypothesis  $T' \in \mathcal{F}$ . Obviously  $T$  can be obtained from  $T'$  by operation  $\mathfrak{T}_3$ . Thus  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ .

*Case 2.*  $T$  has two pendant edges  $v_2u_1$  and  $v_2u_2$  with  $f(v_2u_1) = 2$  and  $f(v_2u_2) = -1$ . Since  $T$  is not a star, we deduce that there is an edge  $v_2v_3$  such that  $f(v_2v_3) = 2$  and  $v_3 \neq u_1$ . Assume that  $T' = T - \{u_1\}$  and define  $g : E(T') \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(v_2u_2) = 1$  and  $g(e) = f(e)$  for  $e \in E(T') \setminus \{v_2u_2\}$ . Obviously,  $g$  is an SRE2DF on  $T'$  of weight 2 and by the induction hypothesis we have  $T' \in \mathcal{F}$ . Clearly,  $T$  can be obtained from  $T'$  by operation  $\mathfrak{T}_2$ . This implies  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ .

*Case 3.*  $T$  has two pendant edges  $v_2u_1$  and  $v_2u_2$  with  $f(v_2u_1) = f(v_2u_2) = 1$ . Assume  $T' = T - \{u_1\}$  and define  $g : E(T') \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $g(v_2u_2) = 2$  and  $g(e) = f(e)$  for  $e \in E(T') \setminus \{v_2u_2\}$ . Obviously,  $g$  is an SRE2DF on  $T'$  of weight 2 and by the induction hypothesis we have  $T' \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $T$  can be obtained from  $T'$  by operation  $\mathfrak{T}_1$ . Thus  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  and the proof is complete. ■

### 3. BOUNDS ON THE SIGNED ROMAN EDGE $k$ -DOMINATION

In this section we establish some sharp bounds on the signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination number and we characterize all connected graphs whose signed Roman edge  $k$ -domination number is equal to their size.

**Proposition 18.** *If  $G$  is a graph of size  $m$ , then*

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \geq k + \Delta + \delta - m - 1.$$

*This bound is sharp for stars  $K_{1,r}$  with  $r \neq 3$  when  $k = 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G)$ -function,  $v$  a vertex of maximum degree  $\Delta$  and  $u \in N(v)$ . By definition  $f[uv] \geq k$  and the least possible weight for  $f$  will now be achieved if  $f(e') = -1$  for each  $e' \in E(G) \setminus N[uv]$ . Thus  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \geq k - [m - (d(u) + d(v) - 1)] \geq k - m + \Delta + \delta - 1$ . ■

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of size  $m$ . Then*

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \geq \frac{m(2(\delta - \Delta) + k)}{2\Delta - 1}.$$

**Proof.** Assume that  $g$  is a  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G)$ -function. Define  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{0, 2, 3\}$  by  $f(e) = g(e) + 1$  for each  $e \in E$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(N[e]) &= \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} (g(N[e]) + d(u) + d(v) - 1) \\ (3) \qquad &\geq \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} (g(N[e]) - 1) + 2m\delta = m(2\delta + k - 1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(N[e]) &= \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} (d(u) + d(v) - 1)f(e) \\ &\leq \sum_{e \in E(G)} (2\Delta - 1)f(e) = (2\Delta - 1)f(E(G)). \end{aligned}$$

By (3) and (4), we have  $f(E(G)) \geq \frac{m(2\delta+k-1)}{2\Delta-1}$ . Since  $g(E(G)) = f(E(G)) - m$ , we have

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(G) = g(E(G)) \geq \frac{m(2\delta+k-1)}{2\Delta-1} - m,$$

as desired. ■

**Corollary 20.** *For any  $r$ -regular graph  $G$ , ( $r \geq 1$ ),  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \geq \frac{km}{2r-1}$ .*

The special case  $k = 1$  of Theorem 19 and Corollary 20 can be found in [2]. Corollary 10 shows that Corollary 20 is sharp for  $k = 2$  and  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

**Theorem 21.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of size  $m \geq 2$ . Then*

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \leq \frac{\gamma'_{sk}(G) + m}{2}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma'_{sk}(G)$ -function, and let  $P = \{e \mid f(e) = 1\}$  and  $M = \{e \mid f(e) = -1\} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{|M|}\}$ . Suppose  $e'_i \in P$  is an edge adjacent to  $e_i$  for each  $i$ . Define  $g : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $g(e'_i) = 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq |M|$  and  $g(e) = f(e)$  otherwise. It is easy to see that  $g$  is an SRE $k$ DF on  $G$  of weight at most  $\gamma'_{sk}(G) + |M|$ . It follows from  $\gamma'_{sk}(G) = |P| - |M|$  and  $m = |P| + |M|$  that  $|P| = \frac{\gamma'_{sk}(G) + m}{2}$  and hence

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \leq \omega(g) \leq \gamma'_{sk}(G) + |M| = |P| = \frac{\gamma'_{sk}(G) + m}{2},$$

as desired. ■

**Theorem 22.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n \geq 3$  and size  $m$ . Then*

$$\gamma'_{sR2}(G) \geq 2(n - m).$$

*Furthermore, this bound is sharp.*

**Proof.** Let  $p$  be the number of cycles of  $G$ . The proof is by induction on  $p$ . The statement is true for  $p = 0$  by Theorem 14. Assume the statement is true for all simple connected graphs  $G$  for which the number of cycles is less than  $p$ , where  $p \geq 1$ . Let  $G$  be a simple connected graph with  $p$  cycles. Assume that  $f$  is a

$\gamma'_{sR2}(G)$ -function and let  $e = uv$  be a non-cut edge. If  $f(e) = -1$ , then obviously  $f|_{G-e}$  is an SRE2DF for  $G - e$  and by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$2(n - m) < 2(n - (m - 1)) - 1 \leq f(E(G - e)) - 1 = f(E(G)) = \gamma'_{sR2}(G).$$

Thus, we may assume that all non-cut edges are assigned 1 or 2 by  $f$ . We consider two cases.

*Case 1.*  $f(uv) = 1$ . Consider two subcases.

*Subcase 1.1.*  $f(E(u)) \leq 1$  (the case  $f(E(v)) \leq 1$  is similar). Then  $u$  has at least one neighbor  $u'$  such that  $f(uu') = -1$ . Assume that  $G'$  is the graph obtained from  $G - \{uv, uu'\}$  by adding a new pendant edge  $vv'$ . Define  $g : E(G') \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $g(vv') = 1, g(a) = f(a)$  for  $a \in E(G) \setminus \{uv, uu'\}$ . Clearly,  $g$  is an SRE2DF for  $G'$  and it follows from the induction hypothesis and (1) that

$$\omega(f) = -1 + \omega(g) \geq -1 + 2(n(G') - m(G')) = -1 + 2(n - (m - 1)) > 2(n - m).$$

*Subcase 1.2.*  $f(E(u)) \geq 2$  and  $f(E(v)) \geq 2$ . Let  $G'$  be the graph obtained from  $G - \{e\}$  by adding two new pendant edges  $vv'$  and  $uu'$  and define  $g : E(G') \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $g(vv') = g(uu') = 1$  and  $g(a) = f(a)$  otherwise. Clearly,  $g$  is an SRE2DF for  $G'$ . It follows from the induction hypothesis that

$$\omega(f) = -1 + \omega(g) \geq -1 + 2(n(G') - m(G')) = -1 + 2(n + 2 - (m + 1)) > 2(n - m).$$

By Case 1, we may assume that all non-cut edges are assigned 2 by  $f$ .

*Case 2.*  $f(uv) = 2$ . Consider two subcases.

*Subcase 2.1.*  $f(E(u)) \leq 2$  (the case  $f(E(v)) \leq 2$  is similar). Then clearly  $f(E(v)) \geq 2$ . Since all non-cut edges are assigned 2 by  $f$  (by assumption) and since  $uv$  belongs to a cycle in  $G$ , it follows from  $f(E(u)) \leq 2$  that there are two  $-1$ -edges at  $u$ , say  $e', e''$ . Assume that  $G'$  is the graph obtained from  $G - \{e, e', e''\}$  by adding a new pendant edge  $vv'$  at  $v$ . Define  $g : E(G') \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $g(vv') = 2$  and  $g(a) = f(a)$  otherwise. It is easy to see that  $g$  is an SRE2DF of  $G'$  and we deduce from the induction hypothesis and (1) that

$$\omega(f) = -2 + \omega(g) \geq -2 + 2(n(G') - m(G')) = -2 + 2(n - 1 - (m - 2)) = 2(n - m).$$

*Subcase 2.2.*  $f(E(u)) \geq 3$  and  $f(E(v)) \geq 3$ . Let  $G'$  be the graph obtained from  $G - \{e\}$  by adding two new pendant edges  $vv'$  and  $uu'$ . Define  $g : E(G') \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $g(vv') = g(uu') = 2$  and  $g(a) = f(a)$  otherwise. Clearly,  $g$  is an SRE2DF for  $G'$  and by the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\omega(f) = -2 + \omega(g) \geq -2 + 2(n(G') - m(G')) = -2 + 2(n + 2 - (m + 1)) = 2(n - m). \quad \blacksquare$$

**Theorem 23.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $G$  be a graph of size  $m$  and minimum degree  $\delta$ . If  $2\delta - k \geq 3$ , then  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \leq m - 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $v \in V(G)$  be an arbitrary vertex, and let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p$  be the neighbors of  $v$ . Define  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(vu_1) = -1$ ,  $f(vu_2) = 2$  and  $f(x) = 1$  otherwise. If  $e = wz$  is an arbitrary edge, then  $f[wz] \geq d(w) + d(z) - 3 \geq 2\delta - 3 \geq k$ . Therefore  $f$  is an SRE $k$ DF on  $G$  of weight  $m - 1$  and so  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \leq m - 1$ . ■

**Theorem 24.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $G$  be a graph of size  $m$  and minimum degree  $\delta$ . If  $2\delta - k \geq 5$ , then*

$$\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \leq m - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{2\delta - k}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

**Proof.** Let  $t = \left\lfloor \frac{2\delta - k}{2} \right\rfloor$ , and let  $v \in V(G)$  be an arbitrary vertex. Now let  $A = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t\}$  be a set of  $t$  neighbors of  $v$ . Define  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(vu_i) = -1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $f(vu_{t+1}) = 2$  and  $f(x) = 1$  otherwise. Then  $f[vu_i] = -t + 1 + (d(v) - t) + d(u_i) - 1 \geq 2\delta - 2t \geq k$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d(v)$ . If  $e = wz$  is an edge different from  $vu_i$ , then  $f[wz] \geq d(w) + d(z) - 5 \geq 2\delta - 5 \geq k$ . Therefore  $f$  is an SRE $k$ DF on  $G$  of weight  $m - 2t + 1$  and so  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \leq m - 2t + 1$ . ■

**Theorem 25.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $G$  be a graph of size  $m$ , minimum degree  $\delta$  and maximum matching  $M$ . If  $2\delta - k \geq 5$ , then  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \leq m - |M|$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $M = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{|M|}\}$  be a maximum matching, and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t$  be a minimum edge set such that each  $e_i$  is adjacent to an edge  $x_j$  for  $1 \leq i \leq |M|$  and  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . Then  $t \leq |M|$ . Define  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(e_i) = -1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq |M|$ ,  $f(x_j) = 2$  for  $1 \leq j \leq t$  and  $f(x) = 1$  otherwise. If  $e = uv$  is an arbitrary edge of  $G$ , then  $f[e] \geq d(u) + d(v) - 5 \geq 2\delta - 5 \geq k$ . Therefore  $f$  is an SRE $k$ DF on  $G$  of weight  $m - 2|M| + t \leq m - |M|$  and so  $\gamma'_{sRk}(G) \leq m - |M|$ . ■

In what follows, we characterize all connected graphs attaining the bound in (2).

**Theorem 26.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of size  $m \geq 2$ . Then  $\gamma'_{sR2}(G) = m$  if and only if  $G = C_4$ ,  $G = C_5$ ,  $G = P_n$  ( $3 \leq n \leq 8$ ) or  $G$  is a subdivided star  $K_{1,r}^*$  ( $r \geq 1$ ).*

**Proof.** If  $G = C_4$ ,  $G = C_5$ ,  $G = P_n$  ( $3 \leq n \leq 7$ ) or  $G$  is a subdivided star  $K_{1,r}^*$  ( $r \geq 1$ ), then the result is immediate by Corollary 9 and Observation 6. Let  $\gamma'_{sR2}(G) = m$ . If  $\Delta \leq 2$ , then it follows from Corollaries 9 and 10 that  $G = P_n$  ( $3 \leq n \leq 8$ ) or  $G = C_4$  or  $G = C_5$ . Assume that  $\Delta \geq 3$ .

**Claim 1.**  *$G$  has no support vertex of degree at least 3.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  have a support vertex  $u$  with  $d(u) \geq 3$  and let  $v, w \in N(u)$  where  $d(v) = 1$ . Define  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(uv) = -1$ ,  $f(uw) = 2$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for  $x \in E(G) \setminus \{uv, uw\}$ . Obviously,  $f$  is an SRE2DF of weight less than  $m$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $G$  is acyclic.

**Proof.** Let  $C_g = (v_1v_2 \cdots v_g)$  be a cycle of  $G$  of length  $g = \text{girth}(G)$ . Since  $\Delta \geq 3$ , we observe that  $G \neq C_g$ . By Claim 1,  $v_i$  is not a support vertex for each  $1 \leq i \leq g$ . Since  $G \neq C_g$ , we may assume that  $d(v_1) \geq 3$  and  $u \in N(v_1) \setminus \{v_2, v_g\}$ . Then the function  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  defined by  $f(v_1v_2) = -1$ ,  $f(v_2v_3) = 2$  and  $f(x) = 1$  otherwise, is an SRE2DF of weight less than  $m$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Claim 3.** For each non pendant edge  $e = uv$ ,  $\min\{d(u), d(v)\} = 2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $e = uv$  be a non pendant edge of  $G$  such that  $\min\{d(u), d(v)\} \geq 3$ . By Claim 1, both  $u$  and  $v$  are not support vertices. Let  $v_1 \in N(v) \setminus \{u\}$  and define  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(vv_1) = 2$ ,  $f(uv) = -1$  and  $f(x) = 1$  otherwise. Clearly,  $f$  is an SRE2DF of weight  $m - 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $v$  be a vertex of maximum degree  $\Delta$  and let  $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\Delta\}$ . By Claims 1 and 3, we deduce that  $d(v_i) = 2$  for each  $i$ . If  $v_i$  is a support vertex for each  $i$ , then  $G = K_{1,\Delta}^*$  and we are done. Assume that  $v_1$  is not a support vertex. Let  $u \in N(v_1) \setminus \{v\}$ . Define  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1, 2\}$  by  $f(vv_1) = -1$ ,  $f(uv_1) = 2$  and  $f(x) = 1$  otherwise. Clearly,  $f$  is an SRE2DF of weight  $m - 1$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

We conclude this paper with an open problem.

**Problem 27.** Characterize all connected graphs  $G$  of order  $n$  and size  $m$  attaining the bound of Theorem 22.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abdollahzadeh Ahangar, M.A. Henning, Y. Zhao, C. Löwenstein and V. Samodivkin, *Signed Roman domination in graphs*, J. Comb. Optim. **27** (2014) 241–255. doi:10.1007/s10878-012-9500-0
- [2] H. Abdollahzadeh Ahangar, J. Amjadi, S.M. Sheikholeslami, L. Volkmann and Y. Zhao, *Signed Roman edge domination numbers in graphs*, J. Comb. Optim. **31** (2016) 333–346. doi:10.1007/s10878-014-9747-8
- [3] A.J. Carney and A. Khodkar, *Signed edge  $k$ -domination numbers in graphs*, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl. **62** (2011) 66–78.
- [4] E.W. Chambers, B. Kinnersley, N. Prince and D.B. West, *Extremal problems for Roman domination*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **23** (2009) 1575–1586. doi:10.1137/070699688

- [5] M.A. Henning and L. Volkmann, *Signed Roman  $k$ -domination in graphs*, Graphs Combin. **32** (2016) 175–190.  
doi:10.1007/s00373-015-1536-3
- [6] M.A. Henning and L. Volkmann, *Signed Roman  $k$ -domination in trees*, Discrete Appl. Math. **186** (2015) 98–105.  
doi:10.1016/j.dam.2015.01.019
- [7] H. Karami, S.M. Sheikholeslami and A. Khodkar, *Some notes on signed edge domination in graphs*, Graphs Combin. **24** (2008) 29–35.  
doi:10.1007/s00373-007-0762-8

Received 15 June 2015

Revised 8 February 2016

Accepted 8 February 2016