## Note

# SPANNING TREES WHOSE STEMS HAVE A BOUNDED NUMBER OF BRANCH VERTICES 

Zheng Yan<br>Institute of Applied Mathematics<br>Yangtze University, Jingzhou, Hubei, China<br>e-mail: yanzhenghubei@163.com


#### Abstract

Let $T$ be a tree, a vertex of degree one and a vertex of degree at least three is called a leaf and a branch vertex, respectively. The set of leaves of $T$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Leaf}(T)$. The subtree $T-\operatorname{Leaf}(T)$ of $T$ is called the stem of $T$ and denoted by Stem $(T)$. In this paper, we give two sufficient conditions for a connected graph to have a spanning tree whose stem has a bounded number of branch vertices, and these conditions are best possible.
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## 1. InTRODUCTION

We consider simple graphs, which have neither loops nor multiple edges. For a graph $G$, let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the set of vertices and the set of edges of $G$, respectively. We write $|G|$ for the order of $G$ (i.e., $|G|=|V(G)|$ ). For a vertex $v$ of $G$, we denote by $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$ the degree of $v$ in $G$. For two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$, the distance between $u$ and $v$ in $G$ is denoted by $d_{G}(u, v)$. For an integer $l \geq 2$, let $\alpha^{l}(G)$ denote the number defined by

$$
\alpha^{l}(G)=\max \left\{|S|: S \subset V(G), d_{G}(x, y) \geq l \text { for all distinct } x, y \in S\right\}
$$

For an integer $k \geq 2$, we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{k}^{l}(G)=\min \left\{\sum_{x \in S} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(x): S \subset V(G),|S|=k, d_{G}(x, y) \geq l\right. \\
\text { for all distinct } x, y \in S\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For convenience, we define $\sigma_{k}^{l}(G)=\infty$ if $\alpha^{l}(G)<k$. Note that $\alpha^{2}(G)$ is often written $\alpha(G)$, which is the independence number of $G$, and $\sigma_{k}^{2}(G)$ is often written $\sigma_{k}(G)$, which is the minimum degree sum of $k$ independent vertices.

For a tree $T$, a vertex of degree at least three is called a branch vertex, and a tree having at most one branch vertex is called a spider. Many researchers have investigated the independence number conditions and the degree sum conditions for the existence of a spanning tree with bounded number of branch vertices $[1,2,3,4,7,8]$. A vertex of $T$, which has degree one, is often called a leaf of $T$, and the set of leaves of $T$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Leaf}(T)$. The subtree $T-\operatorname{Leaf}(T)$ of $T$ is called the stem of $T$ and is denote by $\operatorname{Stem}(T)$. A spanning tree with specified stem was first considered in [5], and the following theorem was obtained.
Theorem 1 (Kano, Tsugaki and Yan [5]). Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, and $G$ be a connected graph. If $\sigma_{k+1}(G) \geq|G|-k-1$, then $G$ has a spanning tree whose stem has maximum degree at most $k$.

The following theorems give two sufficient conditions for a connected graph to have a spanning tree whose stem has a few number of leaves.

Theorem 2 (Tsugaki and Zhang [9]). Let $G$ be a connected graph and $k \geq 2$ be an integer. If $\sigma_{3}(G) \geq|G|-2 k+1$, then $G$ has a spanning tree whose stem has at most $k$ leaves.

Theorem 3 (Kano and Yan [6]). Let $G$ be a connected graph and $k \geq 2$ be an integer. If $\sigma_{k+1}(G) \geq|G|-k-1$, then $G$ has a spanning tree whose stem has at most $k$ leaves.

In this paper, we give two sufficient conditions for a connected graph to have a spanning tree whose stem has a bounded number of branch vertices, and these conditions are best possible.
Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a connected graph and $k$ be a non-negative integer. If one of the following conditions holds, then $G$ has a spanning tree whose stem has at most $k$ branch vertices.
(i) $\alpha^{4}(G) \leq k+2$.
(ii) $\sigma_{k+3}^{4} \geq|G|-2 k-3$.

Before proving Theorem 4, we first show that the conditions of Theorem 4 are best possible. Let $m, k \geq 1$ be integers, and let $D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k+2}$ be disjoint copies of $K_{m}$. Let $P=z_{1} z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k+1}$ be a path. Let $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+2}$ be vertices not contained in $D_{0} \cup D_{1} \cdots \cup D_{k+2}$. Join $z_{i}, v_{i}$ to all the vertices of $D_{i}(1 \leq i \leq$ $k+1)$ by edges, and join $z_{1}, v_{0}\left(z_{k+1}, v_{k+2}\right)$ to all vertices of $D_{0}\left(D_{k+1}\right)$ by edges, respectively. Let $G$ denote the resulting graph. Then $G$ satisfies $\alpha^{4}(G)=k+3$ and $\sigma_{k+3}^{4}(G)=|G|-2 k-4$. Since for any spanning tree $T$ of $G, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k+1}$ have to be the branch vertices of $\operatorname{Stem}(T), G$ has no spanning tree whose stem has at most $k$ branch vertices.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 4

In order to prove Theorem 4, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 5. Let $T$ be a tree, and let $X$ be the set of vertices of degree at least 3 . Then the number of leaves in $T$ is counted as follows:

$$
|\operatorname{Leaf}(T)|=\sum_{x \in X}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{T}(x)-2\right)+2
$$

Proof of Theorem 4. Assume that $G$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 4 and does not have a spanning tree whose stem has at most $k$ branch vertices. We choose a tree $T$ whose stem has $k$ branch vertices in $G$ so that
(T1) $|T|$ is as large as possible.
(T2) $|\operatorname{Leaf}(\operatorname{Stem}(T))|$ is as small as possible subject to (T1).
(T3) $|\operatorname{Stem}(T)|$ is as small as possible subject to (T1) and (T2).
For the remaining of the proof $v$ is a vertex of $G$ not in $T$. By the choice (T1), we have the following claim.
Claim 1. For every $v \in V(G)-V(T), N_{G}(v) \subseteq \operatorname{Leaf}(T) \cup(V(G)-V(T))$.
$\operatorname{Stem}(T)$ has $k$ branch vertices. Denote the number of leaves of $\operatorname{Stem}(T)$ by $l$. By Lemma $5,|\operatorname{Leaf}(\operatorname{Stem}(T))|=l \geq k+2$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{l}$ be the leaves of $\operatorname{Stem}(T)$. Since $T$ is not a spanning tree of $G$, there exist two vertices $v \in V(G)-V(T)$ and $u \in \operatorname{Leaf}(T)$ which are adjacent in $G$.

By the choice (T2), we have the following claim.
Claim 2. $\operatorname{Leaf}(\operatorname{Stem}(T))$ is an independent set of $G$.
Proof. Assume that there exists two vertices $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ of $\operatorname{Leaf}(\operatorname{Stem}(T))$ adjacent in $G$. Then add $x_{i} x_{j}$ to $T$. The resulting subgraph of $G$ includes the unique cycle, which contains an edge $e_{1}$ of $\operatorname{Stem}(T)$ incident with a branch vertex. By removing the edge $e_{1}$, we obtain a tree $T^{*}$ whose stem has at most $k$ branch vertices, $\left|T^{*}\right|=|T|$ and $\left|\operatorname{Leaf}\left(\operatorname{Stem}\left(T^{*}\right)\right)\right| \leq|\operatorname{Leaf}(\operatorname{Stem}(T))|-1$. If $\operatorname{Stem}\left(T^{*}\right)$ has $k-1$ branch vertices, then add $u v$ to $T^{*}$; we obtain a tree whose stem has at most $k$ branch vertex and the order of the tree is greater than $|T|$, which contradicts the condition (T1). Otherwise, $T^{*}$ contradicts the condition (T2). Hence $\operatorname{Leaf}(\operatorname{Stem}(T))$ is an independent set of $G$.
Claim 3. For every $x_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l)$, there exists a vertex $y_{i} \in \operatorname{Leaf}(T)$ adjacent to $x_{i}$ and $N_{G}\left(y_{i}\right) \subset \operatorname{Leaf}(T) \cup\left\{x_{i}\right\}$.
Proof. It is easy to see that for every leaf $y$ of $T$ adjacent to a leaf of $\operatorname{Stem}(T)$ in $T, y$ is not adjacent to any vertex of $V(G)-V(T)$ since otherwise we can add an edge joining $y$ to a vertex of $V(G)-V(T)$ to $T$.

Suppose that for some $1 \leq i \leq l$, each leave $y_{i_{j}}$ of $T$ adjacent to $x_{i}$ is also adjacent to a vertex $z_{i_{j}} \in\left(\operatorname{Stem}(T)-\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)$. Then for every leaf $y_{i_{j}}$ adjacent to $x_{i}$ in $T$, remove the edge $y_{i_{j}} x_{i}$ from $T$ and add the edge $y_{i_{j}} z_{i_{j}}$. Denote the resulting tree of $G$ by $T_{1}$. Then $T_{1}$ is a tree whose stem has at most $k$ branch vertices. If $x_{i}$ is adjacent with a branch of $\operatorname{Stem}(T)$, then $\operatorname{Leaf}\left(\operatorname{Stem}\left(T_{1}\right)\right)=$ $\operatorname{Leaf}(\operatorname{Stem}(T))-\left\{x_{i}\right\}$, which contradicts the condition (T2). If $x_{i}$ is not adjacent with a branch of $\operatorname{Stem}(T)$, then $\operatorname{Stem}\left(T_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Stem}(T)-\left\{x_{i}\right\}$, which contradicts the condition (T3). Therefore, the claim holds.
Claim 4. For any two distinct vertices $y, z \in\left\{v, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{l}\right\}, d_{G}(y, z) \geq 4$.
Proof. First, we show that $d_{G}\left(v, y_{i}\right) \geq 4$ for every $1 \leq i \leq l$. Let $P_{i}$ be a shortest path connecting $v$ and $y_{i}$ in $G$. Then there exists a vertex $s \in V\left(P_{i}\right)$ with $s \in V(\operatorname{Stem}(T))-\left\{x_{i}\right\}$. Otherwise, all vertices of $P_{i}$ between $v$ and $y_{i}$ are contained in $\operatorname{Leaf}(T) \cup(V(G)-V(T)) \cup\left\{x_{i}\right\}$. Then add $P_{i}$ to $T$ (if $P_{i}$ passes through $x_{i}$, we just add the segment of $P_{i}$ between $v$ and $x_{i}$ ) and remove the edges of $T$ joining $V\left(P_{i} \cap \operatorname{Leaf}(T)\right)$ to $V(\operatorname{Stem}(T))$ except the edge $y_{i} x_{i}$. Then resulting tree of $G$ is a tree whose stem has at most $k$ branch vertices and the order of the resulting tree is greater than $|T|$, which contradicts the condition (T1).

Hence, by Claim $3, d_{G}(v, s) \geq 2$ and $d_{G}\left(s, y_{i}\right) \geq 2$. Therefore $d_{G}\left(v, y_{i}\right)=$ $d_{G}(v, s)+d_{G}\left(s, y_{i}\right) \geq 4$.

Next, we show that $d_{G}\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right) \geq 4$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq l$. Let $P_{i j}$ be the shortest path connecting $y_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ in $G$. Then there exists a vertex $t \in V\left(P_{i j}\right)$ with $t \in V(\operatorname{Stem}(T))-\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$. Otherwise, all vertices of $P_{i j}$ between $y_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ are contained in $\operatorname{Leaf}(T) \cup(V(G)-V(T)) \cup\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$. If $P_{i j}$ passes through $x_{i}$ (or $x_{j}$ ), then $y_{i} x_{i} \in E\left(P_{i j}\right)$ (or $y_{j} x_{j} \in E\left(P_{i j}\right)$ ), respectively.

Then add $P_{i j}$ to $T$ and remove the edges of $T$ joining $V\left(P_{i j} \cap \operatorname{Leaf}(T)\right)$ to $V(\operatorname{Stem}(T))$ except the edges $y_{i} x_{i}$ and $y_{j} x_{j}$. Then the resulting subgraph of $G$ includes the unique cycle, which contains an edge $e_{2}$ of $\operatorname{Stem}(T)$ incident with a branch vertex. By removing the edge $e_{2}$, we obtain a tree $T_{2}$ whose stem has at most $k$ branch vertices. If $P_{i j}$ contains a vertex of $V(G)-V(T)$, then the order of $T_{2}$ is greater than $|T|$, which contradicts the condition (T1). Otherwise, $\left|T_{2}\right|=|T|$ and $\left|\operatorname{Leaf}\left(\operatorname{Stem}\left(T_{2}\right)\right)\right|=|\operatorname{Leaf}(\operatorname{Stem}(T))|-1$. This contradicts the condition (T2). Hence $P_{i j}$ passes through a vertex $s$ in $\operatorname{Stem}(T)-\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$.

Hence, by Claims 1 and $3, d_{G}\left(y_{i}, s\right) \geq 2$ and $d_{G}\left(s, y_{j}\right) \geq 2$. Therefore $d_{G}\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right)=d_{G}\left(y_{i}, s\right)+d_{G}\left(s, y_{j}\right) \geq 4$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq k$.

By Claim 4, we have $\alpha^{4}(G) \geq l+1 \geq k+3$, which contradicts the condition (i). Next, by Claim 4, we can obtain Claim 5.
Claim 5. (i) $N_{G}(v) \cap N_{G}\left(y_{i}\right)=\emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$; and (ii) $N_{G}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap N_{G}\left(y_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq l$.
Claim 6. There exists one vertex $w \in \operatorname{Stem}(T)$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {Stem }(T)}(w)=2$.

Proof. Otherwise, all vertices of $\operatorname{Stem}(T)$ are leaves or branch vertices of $\operatorname{Stem}(T)$. If $u$ is adjacent to a leaf or branch vertex of $\operatorname{Stem}(T)$, then we add $v$ to $T$ by adding edge $u v$; we can get a tree $T+u v$ whose stem has $k$ branch vertices and $|T+u v|=|T|+1$, which contradicts (T1).

By Claim 6, we have $|\operatorname{Stem}(T)| \geq l+k+1$.
Denote $Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{l}\right\}$. By Claims 1-5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{G}(v) & \subseteq(V(G)-V(T)-\{v\}) \cup\left(N_{G}(v) \cap(\operatorname{Leaf}(T)-Y)\right), \\
\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+2} N_{G}\left(y_{i}\right) & \subseteq\left(\operatorname{Leaf}(T)-Y-N_{G}(v)\right) \cup\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k+2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by letting $m=\left|N_{G}(v) \cap(\operatorname{Leaf}(T)-Y)\right|$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)+\sum_{i=1}^{k+2} \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(y_{i}\right) & \leq|G|-|T|-1+m+|\operatorname{Leaf}(T)|-m-l+k+2 \\
& =|G|-|\operatorname{Stem}(T)|-l+k+1 \\
& \leq|G|-2 l \leq|G|-2 k-4
\end{aligned}
$$

Which contradicts the condition (ii) of theorem.
The theorem follows since we either reach a contradiction to condition (i) or a contradiction to condition (ii).
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