# ON SPECTRA OF VARIANTS OF THE CORONA OF TWO GRAPHS AND SOME NEW EQUIENERGETIC GRAPHS 

Chandrashekar Adiga and B.R. Rakshith<br>Department of Studies in Mathematics<br>University of Mysore, Manasagangothri<br>Mysore - 570 006, India<br>e-mail: c_adiga@hotmail.com<br>ranmsc08@yahoo.co.in


#### Abstract

Let $G$ and $H$ be two graphs. The join $G \vee H$ is the graph obtained by joining every vertex of $G$ with every vertex of $H$. The corona $G \circ H$ is the graph obtained by taking one copy of $G$ and $|V(G)|$ copies of $H$ and joining the $i$-th vertex of $G$ to every vertex in the $i$-th copy of $H$. The neighborhood corona $G \star H$ is the graph obtained by taking one copy of $G$ and $|V(G)|$ copies of $H$ and joining the neighbors of the $i$-th vertex of $G$ to every vertex in the $i$-th copy of $H$. The edge corona $G \diamond H$ is the graph obtained by taking one copy of $G$ and $|E(G)|$ copies of $H$ and joining each terminal vertex of $i$-th edge of $G$ to every vertex in the $i$-th copy of $H$. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$ be regular graphs with disjoint vertex sets. In this paper we compute the spectrum of $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{3}\right),\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \star G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{4}\right)$, $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \circ G_{3}\right),\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \circ G_{4}\right),\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{3}\right)$, $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \diamond G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right),\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{3}\right)$, $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \star G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)$. As an application, we show that there exist some new pairs of equienergetic graphs on $n$ vertices for all $n \geq 11$.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we consider only undirected simple graphs (i.e., graphs with no loops and multiple edges). Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices. The eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of $G$, denoted by $\lambda_{i}(G), i=1,2, \ldots, n$, are
the eigenvalues of the graph $G$ and $\sigma(G)=\left(\lambda_{1}(G), \lambda_{2}(G), \ldots, \lambda_{n}(G)\right)$, where $\lambda_{1}(G) \geq \lambda_{2}(G) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}(G)$ is the adjacency spectrum of $G$ [8]. The energy $E(G)$ is the sum of all absolute values of eigenvalues of $G$. The concept of energy of a graph was introduced by Gutman [12] with an application to chemistry (Huckel molecular orbital approximation for the total $\pi$-electron energy [14]). The energy and various forms of energy of a graph $G$ has been extensively studied by many mathematicians and some of their works can be found in $[1,2,3,5,13,15,19,21,28,27]$ and references therein. Two graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ of the same order are said to be equienergetic if $E\left(G_{1}\right)=E\left(G_{2}\right)$. Graphs of the same order are cospectral if they have the same spectrum. Thus, two cospectral graphs are obviously equienergetic. For connected graphs, there are no equienergetic graphs of order $n \leq 5$. In [18] Indulal and Vijayakumar have constructed a pair of equienergetic graphs on $n$ vertices for $n=6,14,18$ and for all $n \geq 20$. Later Liu et al. [22] and Ramane, Walikar [26] have independently proved that there exists a pair of equienergetic graphs on $n$ vertices for all $n \geq 9$. Studies on equienergetic graphs can be found in $[6,11,18,20,22,25,26,29]$ and references therein.

The corona of two graphs was first introduced by Frucht and Harary in [10]. Barik et al. [4] provided a complete description of the spectrum of corona $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$ using the spectrum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. More about the spectrum of corona can be found in $[4,7,10,24]$. The neighborhood corona and edge corona was introduced in [17] and in [16], respectively. Complete description of the spectrum of neighborhood corona and edge corona of two graphs are given in [17, 23] and [16], respectively.

Motivated by the above works, in this paper we compute the spectrum of $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{3}\right),\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \star G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{4}\right),\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \circ G_{3}\right)$, $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \circ G_{4}\right),\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{3}\right),\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \diamond G_{3}\right) \cup$ $\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right),\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{3}\right),\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \star G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)$, when $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$ are regular graphs. Here the graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$ have disjoint vertex sets. As an application of our results we construct some new pairs of equienergetic graphs on $n$ vertices for all $n \geq 11$. Our method of construction and proofs are entirely different from the methods given in [18, 22, 26].

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some definitions and lemmas which are useful to prove our main results.

Definition [10]. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two graphs on $n$ and $m$ vertices, respectively. The corona $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$ of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is defined as the graph obtained by taking one
copy of $G_{1}$ and $n$ copies of $G_{2}$, and then joining the $i$-th vertex of $G_{1}$ to every vertex in the $i$-th copy of $G_{2}$.

Definition [16]. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two graphs on $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ vertices, $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ edges, respectively. The edge corona $G_{1} \diamond G_{2}$ of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is defined as the graph obtained by taking one copy of $G_{1}$ and $m_{1}$ copies of $G_{2}$, and then joining two end vertices of the $i$-th edge of $G_{1}$ to every vertex in the $i$-th copy of $G_{2}$.

Definition [17]. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two graphs on $n$ and $m$ vertices, respectively. The neighborhood corona $G_{1} \star G_{2}$ of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is defined as the graph obtained by taking one copy of $G_{1}$ and $n$ copies of $G_{2}$, and then joining each neighbor of $i$-th vertex of $G_{1}$ to every vertex in the $i$-th copy of $G_{2}$.

Definition [8]. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be an $n \times m$ matrix, $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ be a $p \times q$ matrix. Then the Kronecker product $A \otimes B$ of $A$ and $B$ is the $n p$ by $m q$ matrix obtained by replacing each entry $a_{i j}$ of $A$ by $a_{i j} B$.

Lemma 1 [8]. If $M, N, P, Q$ are matrices with $M$ being a non-singular matrix, then

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
M & N  \tag{1}\\
P & Q
\end{array}\right|=|M|\left|Q-P M^{-1} N\right| .
$$

Lemma 2 [26]. Let $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be two graphs as shown in Figure 1. Then the line graph $L\left(N_{1}\right)$ of $N_{1}$ and the line graph $L\left(N_{2}\right)$ of $N_{2}$ are non cospectral and equienergetic.


Figure 1

Lemma 3 [8]. The following cubic regular graphs with ten vertices are equienergetic.


Figure 2
3. Spectra of $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{3}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \star G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{4}\right)$

In this section, we compute the spectrum of $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{3}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup$ $\left(G_{2} \star G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{4}\right)$, where $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$ are regular graphs on $n, m, l$ and $p$ vertices, respectively.

Theorem 4. Let $G_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-regular graphs $(i=1,2,3)$. Suppose $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}=\right.$ $\left.r_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), \sigma\left(G_{2}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}=r_{2}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(G_{3}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1}=r_{3}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ are the adjacency spectrum of $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$, respectively. Then the adjacency spectrum of $G=\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{3}\right)$ is

$$
\sigma(G)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma_{i} & \mu_{j} \\
n & 1
\end{array}\left(\lambda_{k}+r_{3} \pm \sqrt{4 l \lambda_{k}^{2}+\left(\lambda_{k}-r_{3}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 \quad x_{t}\right)
$$

where $i=2$ to $l, j=2$ to $m, k=2$ to $n, t=1,2,3$. Also, the entries in the first row are the eigenvalues with multiplicity written below, and $x_{t}$ 's are the roots of the polynomial $\left(x-r_{2}\right)\left(\left(x-r_{1}\right)\left(x-r_{3}\right)-l r_{1}^{2}\right)-n m\left(x-r_{3}\right)$.

Proof. With suitable labelling of the vertices of $G$, the adjacency matrix $A(G)$ can be formulated as follows:

$$
A(G)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{n} \otimes A\left(G_{3}\right) & 0 & A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes e \\
0 & A\left(G_{2}\right) & J \\
A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes e^{T} & J^{T} & A\left(G_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $e^{T}=\overbrace{(1,1, \ldots, 1)}^{l \text { times }}, I_{n}$ is the identity matrix of order $n$ and $J$ is the $m \times n$ matrix with all its entries are 1.

Since $A\left(G_{3}\right)$ is a real symmetric matrix, $A\left(G_{3}\right)$ is orthogonally diagonalizable. Let $A\left(G_{3}\right)=P D P^{T}$, where $P P^{T}=I_{l}$ and $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(G) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{n} \otimes P D P^{T} & 0 & A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes e \\
0 & A\left(G_{2}\right) & J \\
A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes e^{T} & J^{T} & A\left(G_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{n} \otimes P & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{n} \otimes D & 0 & A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes P^{T} e \\
0 & A\left(G_{2}\right) & J \\
A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes e^{T} P & J^{T} & A\left(G_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{n} \otimes P^{T} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{n} \otimes P & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{n} \otimes D & 0 & A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes \sqrt{l} e_{1} \\
0 & A\left(G_{2}\right) & J \\
A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes \sqrt{l} e_{1}^{T} & J^{T} & A\left(G_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{n} \otimes P^{T} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{1}^{T}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$.

$$
\text { Let } B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{n} \otimes D & 0 & A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes \sqrt{l} e_{1} \\
0 & A\left(G_{2}\right) & J \\
A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes \sqrt{l} e_{1}^{T} & J^{T} & A\left(G_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then by the above equation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x I-A(G)|=|x I-B| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding $|x I-B|$ by Laplace's method [9] along $(l i+2),(l i+3), \ldots,(l i+l)^{t h}$ columns, for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, we see that the only non zero $(l-1) n \times(l-1) n$ minor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left|I_{n} \otimes \operatorname{diag}\left(x-\gamma_{2}, \ldots, x-\gamma_{l}\right)\right| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complementary minor of M is

$$
M_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(x-r_{3}\right) I_{n} & 0 & -\sqrt{l} A\left(G_{1}\right) \\
0 & x I_{m}-A\left(G_{2}\right) & -J \\
-\sqrt{l} A\left(G_{1}\right) & -J^{T} & x I_{n}-A\left(G_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Again as $A\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $A\left(G_{2}\right)$ are orthogonally diagonalizable, one can easily see that the $M_{1}$ is the same as
(4) $\quad M_{1}^{\prime}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}\left(x-r_{3}\right) I_{n} & 0 & -\sqrt{l} \operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \\ 0 & \operatorname{diag}\left(x-\mu_{1}, \ldots, x-\mu_{m}\right) & -\sqrt{m n} J^{\prime} \\ -\sqrt{l} \operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) & -\sqrt{m n} J^{\prime} T & \operatorname{diag}\left(x-\lambda_{1}, \ldots, x-\lambda_{n}\right)\end{array}\right|$,
where $J^{\prime}$ is the matrix obtained by replacing every entries of $J$ except the first diagonal entry by 0 . Now by (1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}^{\prime}=\left(x-r_{3}\right)^{n} \\
& (5) \times\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{diag}\left(x-r_{2}, x-\mu_{2}, \ldots, x-\mu_{m}\right) & -\sqrt{m n} J^{\prime} \\
-\sqrt{m n} J^{\prime T} & \operatorname{diag}\left(x-\lambda_{1}-l \lambda_{1}^{2} /\left(x-r_{3}\right), \ldots, x-\lambda_{n}-l \lambda_{n}^{2} /\left(x-r_{3}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Laplace method along $2, \ldots, m, m+2, \ldots, m+n$ columns in the above determinant we see that the only non zero $m+n-2 \times m+n-2$ minor is $\operatorname{diag}\left(x-\mu_{2}, \ldots, x-\mu_{m}, x-\lambda_{2}-l \lambda_{2}^{2} /\left(x-r_{3}\right), \ldots, x-\lambda_{n}-l \lambda_{n}^{2} /\left(x-r_{3}\right)\right)$ and the complementary minor is

$$
M_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x-\mu_{2} & -\sqrt{m n} \\
-\sqrt{m n} & x-\lambda_{2}-l \lambda_{2}^{2} /\left(x-r_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right|
$$

And so by (2), (3), (4), (5) and from the above equation the result follows.
Corollary 5. Let $G_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-regular graphs $(i=1,2)$. Then

$$
E\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2} \cup G_{1} \star l K_{1}\right)=\sqrt{4 l+1} E\left(G_{1}\right)+E\left(G_{2}\right)-r_{1}(\sqrt{4 l+1}-1)-2 x_{0}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is the negative root of the polynomial $\left(x-r_{2}\right)\left(\left(x-r_{1}\right) x-l r_{1}^{2}\right)-n m x$.
Remark 6. Corollary 5 is a generalization of Theorem 1 in [18]. In fact putting $r_{1}=r, n=p, r_{2}=0, m=k, r_{3}=0, l=1$ in Corollary 5, we obtain Theorem 1 due to Indulal and Vijayakumar [18].

Corollary 7. Let $G_{i}(i=1,2)$ be equienergetic regular graphs of the same degree and $H_{i}(i=1,2)$ be equienergetic regular graphs of the same degree. Then

$$
E\left(G_{1} \vee H_{1} \cup G_{1} \star l K_{1}\right)=E\left(G_{2} \vee H_{2} \cup G_{2} \star l K_{1}\right)
$$

Now we construct some new pairs of equienergetic graphs using Corollary 7.
Theorem 8. There exists a pair of equienergetic graphs on $n$ vertices for all $n \geq 18$.

Proof. From Lemma 2 we have the line graphs $L\left(N_{1}\right)$ and $L\left(N_{2}\right)$ are equienergetic. Now by Corollary 7 it is clear that the graphs $\left(L\left(N_{1}\right) \vee K_{m}\right) \cup\left(L\left(N_{1}\right) \star K_{1}\right)$ and $\left(L\left(N_{2}\right) \vee K_{m}\right) \cup\left(L\left(N_{2}\right) \star K_{1}\right)$, both of order $18+m(m=0,1, \ldots)$, are equienergetic. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 9. There exists a pair of equienergetic graphs on $n$ vertices for all $n \geq 20$.

Proof. From Lemma 3 and Corollary 7 it is clear that the graphs $\left(T_{1} \vee K_{m}\right) \cup$ $\left(T_{1} \star K_{1}\right)$ and $\left(T_{2} \vee K_{m}\right) \cup\left(T_{2} \star K_{1}\right)$, both of order $20+m(m=0,1, \ldots)$, are equienergetic.

Theorem 10. There exists a pair of equienergetic graphs on $n$ vertices for all $n \geq 13$.

Proof. Case 1. $n=9+2 m(m=2,3, \ldots)$. For $n=9+2 m(m=2,3, \ldots)$, the graphs $\left(K_{m} \vee L\left(N_{1}\right)\right) \cup\left(K_{m} \star K_{1}\right)$ and $\left(K_{m} \vee L\left(N_{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(K_{m} \star K_{1}\right)$ both are of order $9+2 m(m=2,3, \ldots)$. Now, Corollary 7 implies that these two graphs are equienergetic.

Case 2. $n=10+2 m(m=2,3, \ldots)$. For $n=10+2 m(m=2,3, \ldots)$, the graphs $\left(K_{m} \vee T_{1}\right) \cup\left(K_{m} \star K_{1}\right)$ and $\left(K_{m} \vee T_{2}\right) \cup\left(K_{m} \star K_{1}\right)$ both are of order $10+2 m(m=2,3, \ldots)$. Now, Corollary 7 implies that these two graphs are equienergetic.

As the proof of the following theorem is similar to that of Theorem 4, we omit the details.

Theorem 11. Let $G_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-regular graphs $(i=1,2,3,4)$. Suppose $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}=\right.$ $\left.r_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), \sigma\left(G_{2}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}=r_{2}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right), \sigma\left(G_{3}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1}=r_{3}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(G_{4}\right)=\left(\eta_{1}=r_{4}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{p}\right)$ are the adjacency spectrum of $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$, respectively. Then the adjacency spectrum of $G=\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \star G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{4}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(G)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{i} & \eta_{j} & \left(\lambda_{k}+r_{4} \pm \sqrt{4 p \lambda_{k}^{2}+\left(\lambda_{k}-r_{4}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 \\
m & n & 1
\end{array}\right. \\
\left(\mu_{s}+r_{3} \pm \sqrt{4 l \mu_{s}^{2}+\left(\mu_{s}-r_{3}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 \\
x_{t} \\
1
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i=2$ to $l, j=2$ to $p, k=2$ to $n, s=2$ to $m, t=1,2,3,4$. Also, the entries in the first row are the eigenvalues with multiplicity written below, and $x_{t}$ 's are the roots of the polynomial

$$
\left(\left(x-r_{1}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right)-p r_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\left(x-r_{2}\right)\left(x-r_{3}\right)-l r_{2}^{2}\right)-n m\left(x-r_{3}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right) .
$$

Corollary 12. Let $G_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-regular graphs $(i=1,2)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2} \cup G_{2} \star l K_{1} \cup G_{1} \star p K_{1}\right) & =\sqrt{4 p+1} E\left(G_{1}\right)+\sqrt{4 l+1} E\left(G_{2}\right)-r_{2}(\sqrt{4 l+1}-1) \\
& -r_{1}(\sqrt{4 p+1}-1)-2 x_{0}-2 x_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ are the negative roots of the polynomial
$x^{4}-\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) x^{3}+\left(-r 1^{2} p-l r_{2}^{2}+r_{1} r_{2}-m n\right) x^{2}+\left(r_{1}^{2} r_{2} p+r_{1} r_{2}^{2} l\right) x+r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2} l p$.
Corollary 13. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}$ be equienergetic regular graphs of the same degree and $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be equienergetic regular graphs of the same degree. Then

$$
E\left(G_{1} \vee H_{1} \cup H_{1} \star l K_{1} \cup G_{1} \star p K_{1}\right)=E\left(G_{2} \vee H_{2} \cup H_{2} \star l K_{1} \cup G_{2} \star p K_{1}\right) .
$$

4. $\operatorname{Spectra}$ of $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \circ G_{3}\right)$ AND $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \circ G_{4}\right)$

In this section, we simply state some theorems (as the proofs are quite analogous to the proof of Theorem 4) which gives the spectrum of $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \circ G_{3}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \circ G_{4}\right)$, where $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$ are regular graphs on $n, m, l$ and $p$ vertices, respectively.

Theorem 14. Let $G_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-regular graphs $(i=1,2,3)$. Suppose $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}=\right.$ $\left.r_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), \sigma\left(G_{2}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}=r_{2}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(G_{3}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1}=r_{3}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ are the adjacency spectrum of $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$, respectively. Then the adjacency spectrum of $G=\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \circ G_{3}\right)$ is

$$
\sigma(G)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\gamma_{i} & \mu_{j} & \left(\lambda_{k}+r_{3} \pm \sqrt{4 l+\left(\lambda_{k}-r_{3}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 & x_{t} \\
n & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $i=2$ to $l, j=2$ to $m, k=2$ to $n, t=1,2,3$. Also, the entries in the first row are the eigenvalues with multiplicity written below, and $x_{t}$ 's are the roots of the polynomial $\left(x-r_{2}\right)\left(\left(x-r_{1}\right)\left(x-r_{3}\right)-l\right)-n m\left(x-r_{3}\right)$.

Theorem 15. Let $G$ be an $r$-regular graph of order $m$. Then

$$
E\left(K_{n} \vee G \cup K_{n} \circ l K_{1}\right)=E(G)+(n-1) \sqrt{4 l+1}-2 x_{0}+n-1,
$$

where $x_{0}$ is the negative root of the polynomial $(x-r)(x(x-(n-1))-l)-n m x$.
Corollary 16. Let $G$ and $H$ be equienergetic regular graphs of the same degree. Then

$$
E\left(K_{n} \vee G \cup K_{n} \circ l K_{1}\right)=E\left(K_{n} \vee H \cup K_{n} \circ l K_{1}\right) .
$$

Theorem 17. Let $G$ be an r-regular graph of order $m$. Then

$$
E\left(n K_{1} \vee G \cup n K_{1} \circ l K_{1}\right)=E(G)+(n-1) \sqrt{4 l}-2 x_{0}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is the negative root of the polynomial $(x-r)\left(x^{2}-l\right)-n m x$.
Corollary 18. Let $G$ and $H$ be equienergetic regular graphs of the same degree. Then

$$
E\left(n K_{1} \vee G \cup n K_{1} \circ l K_{1}\right)=E\left(n K_{1} \vee H \cup n K_{1} \circ l K_{1}\right)
$$

Now we construct some new pairs of equienergetic graphs using Corollary 16.

Theorem 19. There exists a pair of equienergetic graphs on $n$ vertices for all $n \geq 11$.

Proof. Case 1. $n=9+2 m(m=1,2, \ldots)$. For $n=9+2 m(m=1,2, \ldots)$, the graphs $\left(K_{m} \vee L\left(N_{1}\right)\right) \cup\left(K_{m} \circ K_{1}\right)$ and $\left(K_{m} \vee L\left(N_{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(K_{m} \circ K_{1}\right)$ both are of order $9+2 m(m=1,2, \ldots)$. Now, Corollary 16 implies that these two graphs are equienergetic.

Case 2. $n=10+2 m(m=1,2, \ldots)$. For $n=10+2 m(m=1,2, \ldots)$, the graphs $\left(K_{m} \vee T_{1}\right) \cup\left(K_{m} \circ K_{1}\right)$ and $\left(K_{m} \vee T_{2}\right) \cup\left(K_{m} \circ K_{1}\right)$ both are of order $10+2 m(m=1,2, \ldots)$. Now, Corollary 16 implies that these two graphs are equienergetic.

Theorem 20. Let $G_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-regular graphs $(i=1,2,3,4)$. Suppose $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}=\right.$ $\left.r_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), \sigma\left(G_{2}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}=r_{2}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right), \sigma\left(G_{3}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1}=r_{3}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(G_{4}\right)=\left(\eta_{1}=r_{4}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{p}\right)$ are the adjacency spectrum of $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$, respectively. Then the adjacency spectrum of $G=\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \circ G_{4}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(G)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{i} & \eta_{j} & \left(\lambda_{k}+r_{4} \pm \sqrt{4 p+\left(\lambda_{k}-r_{4}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 \\
m & n & 1
\end{array}\right. \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left.\mu_{s}+r_{3} \pm \sqrt{4 l+\left(\mu_{s}-r_{3}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 & x_{t} \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i=2$ to $l, j=2$ to $p, k=2$ to $n, s=2$ to $m, t=1,2,3,4$. Also, the entries in the first row are the eigenvalues with multiplicity written below, and $x_{t}$ 's are the roots of the polynomial

$$
\left(\left(x-r_{1}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right)-p\right)\left(\left(x-r_{2}\right)\left(x-r_{3}\right)-l\right)-n m\left(x-r_{3}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right) .
$$

5. SPECTRA OF $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{3}\right)$ AND $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \diamond G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)$

In Theorems 21 and 25 of this section, we compute the spectrum of $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup$ $\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{3}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \diamond G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)$, where $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$ are regular graphs on $n, m, l$ and $p$ vertices, respectively. Proofs of these theorems are not given as they are similar to the proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 21. Let $G_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-regular graphs $(i=1,2,3)$ and $r_{1} \geq 2$. Suppose $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}=r_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), \sigma\left(G_{2}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}=r_{2}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(G_{3}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1}=\right.$ $\left.r_{3}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ are the adjacency spectrum of $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$, respectively. Then the adjacency spectrum of $G=\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{3}\right)$ is
$\sigma(G)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}\gamma_{i} & r_{3} & \mu_{j} & \left(\lambda_{k}+r_{3} \pm \sqrt{4 l\left(\lambda_{k}+r_{1}\right)+\left(\lambda_{k}-r_{3}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2\end{array} x_{t}\right)$,
where $i=2$ to $l, j=2$ to $m, k=2$ to $n, t=1,2,3$. Also, the entries in the first row are the eigenvalues with multiplicity written below, and $x_{t}$ 's are the roots of the polynomial $\left(x-r_{2}\right)\left(\left(x-r_{1}\right)\left(x-r_{3}\right)-2 l r_{1}\right)-n m\left(x-r_{3}\right)$.

Theorem 22. Let $G$ be an $r$-regular graph of order $m$. Then

$$
E\left(K_{n} \vee G \cup K_{n} \diamond l K_{1}\right)=E(G)+(n-1)(\sqrt{4 l+n-2}+1)-2 x_{0},
$$

where $x_{0}$ is the negative root of the polynomial

$$
x^{3}-(n-1+r) x^{2}+((n-1) r-2(n-1) l-m n) x+2(n-1) r l .
$$

Corollary 23. Let $G$ and $H$ be equienergetic regular graphs of the same degree. Then

$$
E\left(K_{n} \vee G \cup K_{n} \diamond l K_{1}\right)=E\left(K_{n} \vee H \cup K_{n} \diamond l K_{1}\right) .
$$

Now we construct some new pairs of equienergetic graphs using Corollary 23.
Theorem 24. There exists a pair of equienergetic graphs on $n$ vertices for all $n \geq 15$.

Proof. Case 1. Let $n=9+2 m(m=3,4, \ldots)$ and $C_{m}$ be the cycle of length $m$. Then, by Corollary 23 and Lemma 2 the graphs $\left(C_{m} \vee L\left(N_{1}\right)\right) \cup\left(C_{m} \diamond K_{1}\right)$ and $\left(C_{m} \vee L\left(N_{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(C_{m} \diamond K_{1}\right)$, both of order $9+2 m(m=3,4, \ldots)$, are equienergetic.

Case 2. $n=10+2 m(m=3,4, \ldots)$. For $n=10+2 m(m=3,4, \ldots)$, the graphs $\left(C_{m} \vee T_{1}\right) \cup\left(C_{m} \diamond K_{1}\right)$ and $\left(C_{m} \vee T_{2}\right) \cup\left(C_{m} \diamond K_{1}\right)$ both are of order $9+2 m(m=3,4, \ldots)$. Now, Corollary 23 and Lemma 3 implies that these two graphs are equienergetic.

Theorem 25. Let $G_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-regular graphs $(i=1,2,3,4), r_{1} \geq 2$ and $r_{2} \geq 2$. Suppose $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}=r_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), \sigma\left(G_{2}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}=r_{2}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right), \sigma\left(G_{3}\right)=$ $\left(\gamma_{1}=r_{3}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(G_{4}\right)=\left(\eta_{1}=r_{4}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{p}\right)$ are the adjacency spectrum of $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$, respectively. Then the adjacency spectrum of $G=\left(G_{1} \vee\right.$ $\left.G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \diamond G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(G)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\gamma_{i} & r_{3} & \eta_{j} & r_{4} \\
r_{2} n / 2 & \left(r_{2}-2\right) n / 2 & r_{1} n / 2 & \left(r_{1}-2\right) n / 2 \\
\left(\lambda_{k}+r_{4} \pm \sqrt{4 p\left(\lambda_{k}+r_{1}\right)+\left(\lambda_{k}-r_{4}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 & \left(\mu_{s}+r_{3} \pm \sqrt{4 l\left(\mu_{s}+r_{2}\right)+\left(\mu_{s}-r_{3}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 & x_{t} \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i=2$ to $l, j=2$ to $p, k=2$ to $n, s=2$ to $m, t=1,2,3,4$. Also, the entries in the first row are the eigenvalues with multiplicity written below, and $x_{t}$ 's are the roots of the polynomial

$$
\left(\left(x-r_{1}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right)-2 p r_{1}\right)\left(\left(x-r_{2}\right)\left(x-r_{3}\right)-2 r_{2} l\right)-n m\left(x-r_{3}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right) .
$$

6. Spectra of $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{3}\right)$,

$$
\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right) \text { AND }\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \star G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)
$$

In this section we just give the spectrum of $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{3}\right)$, $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \star G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)$, where $G_{1}$, $G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$ are regular graphs on $n, m, l$ and $p$ vertices, respectively. Proofs of Theorems 26-28 are similar to the proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 26. Let $G_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-regular graphs $(i=1,2,3,4)$. Suppose $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}=\right.$ $\left.r_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), \sigma\left(G_{2}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}=r_{2}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right), \sigma\left(G_{3}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1}=r_{3}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(G_{4}\right)=\left(\eta_{1}=r_{4}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{p}\right)$ are the adjacency spectrum of $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$, respectively. Then the adjacency spectrum of $G=\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \star G_{4}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(G)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{i} & \eta_{j} & \left(\lambda_{k}+r_{4} \pm \sqrt{4 p \lambda_{k}^{2}+\left(\lambda_{k}-r_{4}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 \\
n & m & 1 \\
\left(\mu_{s}+r_{3} \pm \sqrt{4 l+\left(\mu_{s}-r_{3}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 & x_{t} \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i=2$ to $l, j=2$ to $p, k=2$ to $n$, $s=2$ to $m, t=1,2,3,4$. Also, the entries in the first row are the eigenvalues with multiplicity written below, and $x_{t}$ 's are the roots of the polynomial

$$
\left(\left(x-r_{2}\right)\left(x-r_{3}\right)-l\right)\left(\left(x-r_{1}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right)-p r_{1}^{2}\right)-n m\left(x-r_{3}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right) .
$$

Theorem 27. Let $G_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-regular graphs $(i=1,2,3,4)$ and $r_{1} \geq 2$. Suppose $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}=r_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), \sigma\left(G_{2}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}=r_{2}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right), \sigma\left(G_{3}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1}=\right.$ $\left.r_{3}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(G_{4}\right)=\left(\eta_{1}=r_{4}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{p}\right)$ are the adjacency spectrum of $G_{1}$, $G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$, respectively. Then the adjacency spectrum of $G=\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup$ $\left(G_{2} \circ G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(G)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\gamma_{i} & \eta_{j} & r_{4} & \left(\lambda_{k}+r_{4} \pm \sqrt{4 p\left(\lambda_{k}+r_{1}\right)+\left(\lambda_{k}-r_{4}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 \\
n & m & \left(r_{1}-2\right) n / 2 & 1
\end{array}\right. \\
\left(\mu_{s}+r_{3} \pm \sqrt{4 l+\left(\mu_{s}-r_{3}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 \\
x_{t} \\
1
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i=2$ to $l, j=2$ to $p, k=2$ to $n, s=2$ to $m, t=1,2,3,4$. Also, the entries in the first row are the eigenvalues with multiplicity written below, and $x_{t}$ 's are the roots of the polynomial

$$
\left(\left(x-r_{1}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right)-2 p r_{1}\right)\left(\left(x-r_{2}\right)\left(x-r_{3}\right)-l\right)-n m\left(x-r_{3}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right) .
$$

Theorem 28. Let $G_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-regular graphs $(i=1,2,3,4)$ and $r_{1} \geq 2$. Suppose $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}=r_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), \sigma\left(G_{2}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}=r_{2}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right), \sigma\left(G_{3}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1}=\right.$ $\left.r_{3}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(G_{4}\right)=\left(\eta_{1}=r_{4}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{p}\right)$ are the adjacency spectrum of $G_{1}$, $G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$, respectively. Then the adjacency spectrum of $G=\left(G_{1} \vee G_{2}\right) \cup$ $\left(G_{2} \star G_{3}\right) \cup\left(G_{1} \diamond G_{4}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(G)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\gamma_{i} & \eta_{j} & r_{4} & \left(\lambda_{k}+r_{4} \pm \sqrt{4 p\left(\lambda_{k}+r_{1}\right)+\left(\lambda_{k}-r_{4}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 \\
n & m & \left(r_{1}-2\right) n / 2 & 1
\end{array}\right. \\
\\
\left(\mu_{s}+r_{3} \pm \sqrt{4 l \mu_{s}^{2}+\left(\mu_{s}-r_{3}\right)^{2}}\right) / 2 \\
1
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i=2$ to $l, j=2$ to $p, k=2$ to $n, s=2$ to $m, t=1,2,3,4$. Also, the entries in the first row are the eigenvalues with multiplicity written below, and $x_{t}$ 's are the roots of the polynomial

$$
\left(\left(x-r_{1}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right)-2 p r_{1}\right)\left(\left(x-r_{2}\right)\left(x-r_{3}\right)-l r_{1}^{2}\right)-n m\left(x-r_{3}\right)\left(x-r_{4}\right) .
$$
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