Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 35 (2015) 755–764 doi:10.7151/dmgt.1832

# ON SUPER (a, d)-H-ANTIMAGIC TOTAL COVERING OF STAR RELATED GRAPHS

KM. KATHIRESAN

Centre for Research and Post Graduate Studies in Mathematics Ayya Nadar Janaki Ammal College (Autonomous) Sivakasi-626 124, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

e-mail: kathir2esan@yahoo.com

AND

S. DAVID LAURENCE<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics Rajapalayam Rajus' College Rajapalayam-626 117, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

e-mail: danipravin@yahoo.co.in

# Abstract

Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a simple graph and H be a subgraph of G. G admits an H-covering, if every edge in E(G) belongs to at least one subgraph of G that is isomorphic to H. An (a, d)-H-antimagic total labeling of G is a bijection  $\lambda : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, |V(G)| + |E(G)|\}$  such that for all subgraphs H' isomorphic to H, the H' weights

$$wt(H') = \sum_{v \in V(H')} \lambda(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H')} \lambda(e)$$

constitute an arithmetic progression a, a + d, a + 2d, ..., a + (n-1)d where a and d are positive integers and n is the number of subgraphs of G isomorphic to H. Additionally, the labeling  $\lambda$  is called a super (a, d)-H-antimagic total labeling if  $\lambda(V(G)) = \{1, 2, 3, ..., |V(G)|\}$ .

In this paper we study super (a, d)-*H*-antimagic total labelings of star related graphs  $G_u[S_n]$  and caterpillars.

**Keywords:** super (a, d)-H-antimagic total labeling, star.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C78.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This research was supported by University Grants Commission (UGC) with an aid to Minor Research Project (MRP-5271/14) (SERO/UGC).

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G = (V(G), E(G)) and H = (V(H), E(H)) be simple and finite graphs. Let |V(G)| = p, |E(G)| = q. An edge covering of G is a family of different subgraphs  $H_1, H_2, H_3, \ldots, H_k$  such that any edge of E(G) belongs to at least one of the subgraphs  $H_j$ 's,  $1 \le j \le k$ . If the  $H_j$  are isomorphic to a given graph H, then G admits an H-covering.

Suppose G admits an H-covering. Gutiérrez and Lladó [1] defined an Hmagic labeling which is a generalization of Kotzig and Rosa's edge magic total labeling [5]. A bijection  $f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, p+q\}$  is called an Hmagic labeling of G if there exists a positive integer k such that each subgraph H' isomorphic to H satisfies

$$f(H') = \sum_{v \in V(H')} f(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H')} f(e) = k.$$

In this case, we say that G is H magic. When  $f(V(G)) = \{1, 2, 3, ..., p\}$ , we say that G is H-super magic.

On the other hand, Inayah *et al.* [2] introduced an (a, d)-H-antimagic total labeling of G which is defined as a bijection  $f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, p+q\}$ such that for all subgraphs H' isomorphic to H, the set of H'-weights

$$wt(H') = \sum_{v \in V(H')} f(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H')} f(e)$$

constitutes an arithmetic progression  $a, a+d, a+2d, \ldots, a+(n-1)d$  where a and d are some positive integers and n is the number of subgraphs isomorphic to H. In this case we say that G is (a, d)-H-antimagic. When  $f(V(G)) = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, p\}$ , we say that f is a super (a, d)-H-antimagic total labeling and G is super (a, d)-H-antimagic.

In [1] Gutiérrez and Lladó discussed H-supermagic labelings of stars, complete bipartite graphs, paths and cycles. In [6], Lladó and Moragas studied  $C_h$ -supermagic labelings of some graphs, namely, wheels, windmills, prisms and books. In [7], Maryati *et al.* proved that some classes of trees such as subdivisions of stars, shrubs and banana tree graphs are  $P_h$ -supermagic for some h. In [2], Inayah *et al.* studied some properties of (a, d)-H-antimagic total labeling for any graph and also discussed the (a, d)- $C_h$ -antimagic total labelings of fans. Recently, Inayah, Simanjuntak and Salman [4] proved that there exists a super (a, d)-H-antimagic total labeling for shackles of a connected graph H.

In this paper we study super (a, d)-H-antimagic total labelings of star related graphs  $G_u[S_n]$  and caterpillars.



Figure 1. Super (21, 1)- $P_3$ -antimagic total labeling and super (33, 1)- $C_3$ -antimagic total labeling.

# 2. Sum Set Partitions

As in [1, 3, 8], the proofs of our main results are based on the use of sum set partitions. We recall in this section some useful facts on this concept.

Let x < y be positive integers. Throughout the paper we denote by [x, y] to mean  $\{i \in N : x \leq i \leq y\}$ . Given a set X of integers and a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k\}$  of X into k parts. We denote by  $\sum(\mathcal{P}) = (\sum X_1, \sum X_2, \ldots, \sum X_k)$ , the sum set partition of  $\mathcal{P}$  where  $\sum X_i = \sum_{x \in X_i} x$ . We will always order the partition in such a way that the sequence of subset sums  $\sum X_1 \leq \sum X_2 \leq \cdots \leq \sum X_k$  is non decreasing.

When all sets in  $\mathcal{P}$  have the same cardinality then we say that  $\mathcal{P}$  is an equipartition of X or k-equipartition or a k-balanced multisets of X.

We have the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** [8]. Let x and y be nonnegative integers. Let X = [x + 1, x(y + 1)]with |X| = xy and Y = [x(y+2), 2x(y+1) - 1] with |Y| = xy. Then, there exists a partition K of  $X \cup Y$  such that  $\sum(K)$  is an arithmetic progression starting at x(y+3) + 1 with common difference 2 and hence K is xy-balanced with all its subsets being 2-sets.

**Proof.** For each  $i \in [1, xy]$ , define  $K_i = \{a_i, b_i\}$  such that  $a_i = x + i$ ,  $b_i = x(y+2) + i - 1$ . Thus  $\sum K_i = x(y+3) + 2i - 1$ , for all  $i \in [1, xy]$ .

Hence, the sum set partition of K,  $\sum(K) = (\sum K_1, \sum K_2, \dots, \sum K_{xy})$  forms an arithmetic progression with common difference 2. Therefore, K is xy-balanced with all its subsets being 2-sets.

**Lemma 2.** Let x, y and z be nonnegative integers. Let X = [x + 1, x + y] with |X| = y and Y = [x + y + z + 1, x + 2y + z] with |Y| = y. Then, there exists a partition K of  $X \cup Y$  such that

(i)  $\sum(K)$  is an arithmetic progression starting at 2x + 2y + z + 1 with common difference 0, and

(ii)  $\sum(K)$  is an arithmetic progression starting at 2x + y + z + 2 with common difference 2 and hence K is y-balanced with all its subsets being 2-sets.

**Proof.** (i) For each  $i \in [1, y]$  define the sets  $K_i = \{a_i, b_i\}$  such that  $a_i = x + i$ ,  $b_i = x + 2y + z - i + 1$ . Then  $\sum K_i = 2x + 2y + z + 1$ , for each  $i \in [1, y]$ .

Hence, the sum set partition of K,  $\sum(K) = (\sum K_1, \sum K_2, \dots, \sum K_y)$  forms an arithmetic progression with common difference 0. Therefore, K is y-balanced with all its subsets being 2-sets.

(ii) For each  $i \in [1, y]$ , we take the sets  $K_i = \{a_i, b_i\}$  such that:  $a_i = x + i$ ,  $b_i = x + y + z + i$ . Then  $\sum K_i = 2x + y + z + 2i$ , for each  $i \in [1, y]$ .

Hence, the sum set partition of K,  $\sum(K) = (\sum K_1, \sum K_2, \dots, \sum K_y)$  forms an arithmetic progression with common difference 2. Therefore, K is y-balanced with all its subsets being 2-sets.

**Lemma 3.** Let x, y and z be nonnegative integers. Let  $X = \{1, 3, 5, ..., 2y - 1\}$ with |X| = y and Y = [x + y + z + 1, x + 2y + z] with |Y| = y. Then, there exists a partition K of  $X \cup Y$  such that

- (i)  $\sum(K)$  is an arithmetic progression starting at x + 2y + z + 1 with common difference 1, and
- (ii)  $\sum(K)$  is an arithmetic progression starting at x + y + z + 2 with common difference 3 and hence K is y-balanced with all its subsets being 2-sets.

**Proof.** (i) For each  $i \in [1, y]$ , we define  $K_i = \{a_i, b_i\}$  where  $a_i = 2i - 1$ ,  $b_i = x + 2y + z - i + 1$ . Then  $\sum K_i = x + 2y + z + i$ , for each  $i \in [1, y]$ .

Hence, the sum set partition of K,  $\sum(K) = (\sum K_1, \sum K_2, \dots, \sum K_y)$  forms an arithmetic progression with common difference 1. Therefore, K is y-balanced and all its subsets are 2-sets.

(ii) For each  $i \in [1, y]$ , we define  $K_i = \{a_i, b_i\}$  where  $a_i = 2i - 1$ ,  $b_i = x + y + z + i$ . Then  $\sum K_i = x + y + z + 3i - 1$ , for each  $i \in [1, y]$ .

Hence, the sum set partition of K,  $\sum(K) = (\sum K_1, \sum K_2, \dots, \sum K_y)$  forms an arithmetic progression with common difference 3. Therefore, K is y-balanced and all its subsets are 2-sets.

## 3. Main Results

Let G be a (p,q) graph and  $S_n$  be a star with n edges. Fix a vertex u of G. Then  $G_u[S_n]$  is the graph obtained by identifying the vertex u with the centre of  $S_n$ . Let w be any vertex of  $S_n$ . Then G + e, e = uw, is a subgraph of  $G_u[S_n]$ . In this section, we consider graphs G for which  $G_u[S_n]$  contains exactly n subgraphs isomorphic to G + e.

758

Let  $G' \cong G_u[S_n]$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p$  and  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$  be the vertices of Gand  $S_n$  respectively. Let  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_q$  and  $e_{q+1}, e_{q+2}, \ldots, e_{q+n}$  be the edges of Gand  $S_n$  respectively. Then |V(G')| = p + n and |E(G')| = q + n.

**Lemma 4.** If the graph  $G_u[S_n]$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , admits a super (a, d)-(G + e)-antimagic total labeling, then  $d \le p + q + 2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G' \cong G_n[S_n]$ . Suppose there exists a bijection  $f: V(G') \cup E(G') \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, p+q+2n\}$  which is a super (a, d)-(G+e)-antimagic total labeling of G'. Let  $wt(H') = \sum_{v \in V(H')} f(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H')} f(e)$  be the weights of the subgraph H' isomorphic to G + e and let  $W = \{w(H') : H' \cong G + e\} = \{a, a + d, a + 2d, \ldots, a + (t-1)d\}$  be the set of H' weights and t be the number of subgraphs. Here t = n. Now, it is easy to see that the minimum possible weight of H' is at least (p+1)(p+2)/2 + (q+1)(p+n) + (q+1)(q+2)/2 i.e.,  $a \ge (p+1)(p+2)/2 + (q+1)(p+n) - p(p+1)/2 + (q+1)(p+q+2n) - q(q+1)/2$ , i.e.,  $a + (t-1)d \le (p+1)(p+n) - p(p+1)/2 + (q+1)(p+q+2n) - q(q+1)/2$ ,  $(n-1)d \le (n-1)(p+q+2)$ , thus  $d \le p+q+2$ .

**Theorem 5.** The graph G' admits a super  $(\frac{1}{2}(p+q)(p+q+3) + n(q+2) + p + 1, 0) - (G+e)$ -antimagic total labeling.

**Proof.** Let Z = [1, p + q + 2n] and partition Z into four sets such that  $Z = A \cup B \cup C \cup D$  where A = [1, p], B = [p + 1, p + n], C = [p + n + 1, p + q + n] and D = [p + q + n + 1, p + q + 2n]. Let  $K = B \cup D$  and let x = p, y = n and z = q. Then by Lemma 2(i), K is n-balanced multisets with all its subsets being 2-sets and  $\sum K_i = 2p + q + 2n + 1$ , for each  $i \in [1, n]$ .

Now we define a total labeling f on G' as follows:

Label the vertices  $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq p$  by the elements of A and label the edges  $e_i, 1 \leq i \leq q$  by the elements of C in any manner. Next use the elements of K to label all the vertices and edges of the star, use the smaller labels for the vertices and bigger labels for the edges in reverse order. Then for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$wt(G + e_{q+i}) = (1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + p) + (p + n + 1 + p + n + 2, \dots, p + q + n) + \sum K_i = \frac{p(p+1)}{2} + q(p+n) + \frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 2p + q + 2n + 1 = \frac{p(p+1)}{2} + \frac{q(q+1)}{2} + (p+n)(q+2) + q + 1 = \frac{1}{2}(p+q)(p+q+3) + n(q+2) + p + 1.$$

Hence G' has a super  $(\frac{1}{2}(p+q)(p+q+3) + n(q+2) + p + 1, 0) \cdot (G+e)$ -antimagic total labeling.

**Theorem 6.** The graph G' has a super  $(\frac{1}{2}[(p+q)^2 + (p+q)(2n+3) + 5n - n^2] + 1, 1) \cdot (G+e)$ -antimagic total labeling.

**Proof.** Let Z = [1, p+q+2n] and partition Z into four sets such that  $Z = A \cup B \cup C \cup D$  where  $A = \{2, 4, \ldots, 2n, 2n+1, 2n+2, \ldots, p+n\}$ ,  $B = \{1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2n-1\}$ , C = [p+n+1, p+q+n] and D = [p+q+n+1, p+q+2n]. Let  $K = B \cup D$  and let x = p, y = n and z = q. Then by Lemma 3(i), K is n-balanced multisets with all its subsets being 2-sets and  $\sum K_i = p+q+2n+i$ , for each  $i \in [1, n]$ .

Now we define a total labeling f on G' as follows:

Label the vertices  $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq p$  with the elements of A and label the edges  $e_i, 1 \leq i \leq q$  with the elements of C in any order. Next use the elements of K to label all the vertices and edges of the star, use the smaller labels for the vertices and bigger labels for the edges in reverse order. Then for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$wt(G + e_{q+i}) = 2 + 4 + 6 + \dots + 2n + 2n + 1 + 2n + 2 + \dots + 2n + p - n$$
  
+ p + n + 1 + p + n + 2 + \dots + p + n + q + \sum K\_i  
= n(n + 1) + (p - n)2n + \frac{(p - n)(p - n + 1)}{2}  
+ q(p + n) + \frac{q(q + 1)}{2} + p + q + 2n + i  
= \frac{1}{2} ((p + q)^2 + (p + q)(2n + 3) + 5n - n^2) + i.

Hence G' has a super  $(\frac{1}{2}[(p+q)^2+(p+q)(2n+3)+5n-n^2]+1, 1)-(G+e)$ -antimagic total labeling.

**Theorem 7.** The graph G' has a super  $(\frac{1}{2}(p+q)(p+q+3) + (q+1)n + p + 2, 2) - (G+e)$ -antimagic total labeling.

**Proof.** Consider the partition of [1, p+q+2n] introduced in the proof of Theorem 5. By Lemma 2(ii),  $\sum K_i = 2p+q+n+2i$ , for each  $i \in [1, n]$ .

$$wt(G + e_{q+i}) = \frac{p(p+1)}{2} + q(p+n) + \frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 2p + q + n + 2i$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(p+q)(p+q+3) + (q+1)n + p + 2i.$$

Hence G' has a super  $(\frac{1}{2}(p+q)(p+q+3) + (q+1)n + p + 2, 2) \cdot (G+e)$ -antimagic total labeling.

**Theorem 8.** The graph G' has a super  $(\frac{1}{2}[(p+q)^2 + (p+q)(2n+3) - (n-1)(n-2)] + 3, 3) - (G+e)$ -antimagic total labeling.

**Proof.** Consider the partition of [1, p+q+2n] introduced in the proof of Theorem 6. By Lemma 3(ii),  $\sum K_i = p+q+n-1+3i$ , for each  $i \in [1, n]$ .

$$wt(G + e_{q+i}) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (p-n)(2n) + \frac{(p-n)(p-n+1)}{2}q(p+n) + \frac{q(q+1)}{2} + p + q + n - 1 + 3i = \frac{1}{2}[(p+q)^2 + (p+q)(2n+3) - (n-1)(n-2)] + 3i.$$

Hence G' has a super  $(\frac{1}{2}[(p+q)^2 + (p+q)(2n+3) - (n-1)(n-2)] + 3, 3) - (G+e)$ -antimagic total labeling.

**Theorem 9.** The graph  $G_u[S_2]$  admits a super (a, d)-(G + e)-antimagic total labeling if and only if  $d \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., p + q + 2\}$ .

**Proof.** By Theorems 5–8, we have  $d \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . The weight of G is the same for all the weights of the subgraphs  $(G + e_i), i = 1, 2$ . So it is enough to find the labels of vertices and edges of the star  $S_2$ . Now, for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq p-2$  we define the labeling  $f_i$  as follows.

$$f_i(w_1) = p - i, \qquad 1 \le i \le p - 2,$$
  

$$f_i(e_{q+1}) = p + q + 3,$$
  

$$f_i(w_2) = p + 2, \text{ and}$$
  

$$f_i(e_{q+2}) = p + q + 4.$$

Thus, the induced sums of the labels of vertices and edges of  $S_2$  are 2p + q + 3 - iand 2p + q + 6. Hence,  $d = 3 + i, 1 \le i \le p - 2$ . Therefore,  $d = 4, 5, \ldots, p + 1$ .

Also for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq q+1$ , we define the labeling  $f_i$  as follows

$$f_i(w_1) = 1,$$
  

$$f_i(e_{q+1}) = p + q + 4 - i, 1 \le i \le q + 1,$$
  

$$f_i(w_2) = p + 2, \text{ and}$$
  

$$f_i(e_{q+2}) = p + q + 4.$$

Thus, the induced sums of the labels of vertices and edges of  $S_2$  are p+q+5-i, 2p+q+6. Hence d = p+1+i,  $1 \le i \le q+1$ . Therefore, d = p+2, p+4, ..., p+q+2. Hence the results follows.

**Open Problem 10.** For each  $d, 4 \leq d \leq p + q + 2$ , either find the super (a, d)-(G + e)-antimagic total labeling of the graph  $G_u[S_n], n \geq 3$ , or prove that this labeling does not exist.

### 4. CATERPILLAR

**Definition 11.** The backbone of a caterpillar is the graph obtained from it by removing its pendant edges.



**Theorem 12.** A caterpillar  $S_{n_1,n_2,...,n_k}$  has a super  $(2(k+2)n^2 + 7kn + 2k + 1 + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, 4n^2) \cdot S_{n,n}$ -antimagic total labeling for  $n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_k = n$ .

**Proof.** As in [8], let  $G \cong S_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_k}$  with  $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_k = n$ . Then |V(G)| = k(n+1) and |E(G)| = k(n+1) - 1.

Let  $V(G) = \{c_i : 1 \le i \le k\} \cup \{v_{ij} : 1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le n\}$  and

 $E(G) = \{c_i c_{i+1} : 1 \le i \le k-1\} \cup \{c_i v_{ij} : 1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le n\}.$ 

Let Z = [1, 2k(n+1)-1] and partition Z into four sets such that  $Z = A \cup B \cup C \cup D$ , where A = [1, k], B = [k+1, k(n+1)], C = [k(n+1)+1, k(n+1)+k-1]and D = [k(n+2), 2k(n+1)-1]. Let us take  $A = \{x_i : 1 \le i \le k\}$  such that

$$x_i = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{i}{2} + 1 \right\rfloor & \text{ for odd } i, \\ \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + \frac{i}{2} & \text{ for even } i. \end{cases}$$

Let  $K = B \cup D$  and let x = k, y = n. Then by Lemma 1, K is kn-balanced with all its subsets being 2-sets and  $\sum K_i = k(n+3) + 2i - 1$ , for each  $i \in [1, k_n]$ .

Now we define a total labeling f on G as follows:

Label the vertices of the backbone by the elements of A with the ordering from left to right and label the backbone edges by the elements of C from right to left. Next we use the elements of K to label all the remaining edges and vertices, use the smaller labels for the vertices.

Now for each  $1 \le h \le k - 1$ , we have

$$wt(S_{n,n}^{h}) = \sum_{j=nh-n+1}^{(h+1)n} [k(n+3) + 2j - 1] + \frac{h+1}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + \frac{h+1}{2} +$$

In particular, we obtain that  $a = wt(S_{n,n}^1) = 2(k+2)n^2 + 7kn + 2k + 1 + \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$  and  $d = wt(S_{n,n}^{h+1}) - wt(S_{n,n}^h) = 4n^2$ , then G has a super  $(2(k+2)n^2 + 7kn + 2k + 1 + \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil, 4n^2)$ - $S_{n,n}$ -antimagic total labeling.



Figure 3. Super (245, 36)- $S_{3,3}$ -antimagic total graph.



Figure 4. Super (440, 64)- $S_{4,4}$ -antimagic total graph.

## Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referees for a very meticulous reading of the manuscript. The suggestions of the referees have helped us to improve the readability of the articles.

#### References

- A. Gutierrez and A. Lladó, *Magic coverings*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 55 (2005) 43–56.
- [2] N. Inayah, A.N.M. Solmankl and R. Simanjuntak, On (a, d)-H-antimagic coverings of graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 71 (2009) 273–281.
- N. Inayah, A. Llado and J. Moragas, Magic and antimagic H-decompositions, Discrete Math. **312** (2012) 1367–1371. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2011.11.041
- [4] N. Inayah, R. Simanjuntak and A.N.M. Salman, Super (a, d)-H-antimagic total labelings for shackles of a connected graph H, Australas. J. Combin. 57 (2013) 127– 138.

- [5] A. Kotzig and A. Rosa, *Magic valuations of finite graph*, Canad. Math. Bull. 13 (1970) 451–461. doi:10.4153/CMB-1970-084-1
- [6] A. Llado and J. Moragas, Cycle-magic graphs, Discrete Math. 307 (2007) 2925–2933. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2007.03.007
- [7] T.K. Maryati, E.T. Baskoro and A.N.M. Salman, P<sub>h</sub>-supermagic labelings some trees, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 65 (2008) 197–204.
- [8] M. Roswitha and E.T. Baskoro, *H*-magic covering on some classes of graphs, AIP Conf. Proc. 1450 (2012) 135–138. doi:10.1063/1.4724129

Received 10 March 2014 Revised 27 February 2015 Accepted 27 February 2015