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#### Abstract

A graph in a certain graph class is called minimizing if the least eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix attains the minimum among all graphs in that class. Bell et al. have identified a subclass within the connected graphs of order $n$ and size $m$ in which minimizing graphs belong (the complements of such graphs are either disconnected or contain a clique of size $\frac{n}{2}$ ). In this paper we discuss the minimizing graphs of a special class of graphs of order $n$ whose complements are connected and contains exactly one cycle (namely the class $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{c}$ of graphs whose complements are unicyclic), and characterize the unique minimizing graph in $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{c}$ when $n \geq 20$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph with vertex set $V=V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E=E(G)$. The adjacency matrix of $G$ is a matrix $A(G)=\left[a_{i j}\right]$
of order $n$, where $a_{i j}=1$ if $v_{i}$ is adjacent to $v_{j}$, and $a_{i j}=0$ otherwise. Since $A(G)$ is real and symmetric, its eigenvalues are real and can be arranged as: $\lambda_{1}(G) \leq \lambda_{2}(G) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{n}(G)$. The eigenvalues of $A(G)$ are referred to as the eigenvalues of $G$. The eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}(G)$ is the spectral radius of $A(G)$; and there are many results in literatures concerning this eigenvalue of $A(G)$ (see, e.g. [3] for some older results).

The least eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(G)$ is now denoted by $\lambda_{\text {min }}(G)$, and the corresponding eigenvectors are called the first eigenvectors of $G$. In contrast to the largest eigenvalue, the least eigenvalue has received much less attention in the literature. In the past the main work on the least eigenvalue of a graph is focused on its bounds; see e.g. $[4,7]$. Recently, the problem of minimizing the least eigenvalues of graphs subject to graph parameters has received much more attention, since two papers of Bell et al. [1, 2] and one paper of our group [5] appeared in the same issue of the journal Linear Algebra and Its Applications. Ye and Fan [14] discuss the connectivity and the least eigenvalue of a graph. Liu et al. [8] discuss the least eigenvalues of unicyclic graphs with given number of pendant vertices. Petrović et al. [9, 10] discuss the least eigenvalues of bicyclic graphs and get further results for the graphs of order $n$ and size $n+k$, where $0 \leq k \leq 4$ and $n \geq k+5$. Wang et al. [12, 13] discuss the least eigenvalue and the number of cut vertices of a graph. Tan and Fan [11] discuss the least eigenvalue and the vertex/edge independence number, the vertex/edge cover number of a graph.

For convenience, a graph is called minimizing in a certain graph class if its least eigenvalue attains the minimum among all graphs in the class. Let $\mathscr{G}(n, m)$ denote the class of connected graphs of order $n$ and size $m$. Bell et al. (see [1, Theorem 1]) have characterized the structure of the minimizing graphs in $\mathscr{G}(n, m)$ as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a minimizing graph in $\mathscr{G}(n, m)$. Then $G$ is either
(i) a bipartite graph, or
(ii) a join of two nested split graphs (not both totally disconnected).

We observe here that the complements of the minimizing graphs in $\mathscr{G}(n, m)$ are either disconnected or contain a clique of order at least $n / 2$. This motivates us to discuss the least eigenvalue of graphs whose complements are connected and contain clique of small size. In a recent work [6] we characterized the unique minimizing graph in the class of graphs of order $n$ whose complements are trees.

In this paper, we continue this work on the complements of unicyclic graphs, and determine the unique minimizing graph in $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{c}$ for $n \geq 20$, where $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{c}$ denotes the class of the complements of connected unicyclic graphs of order $n$. It is easily seen that $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{c} \subsetneq \mathscr{G}\left(n,\binom{n}{2}-n\right)$. However, for the minimizing graph in $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{c}$ the conditions of Theorem 1 do not hold.

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin with some definitions. Given a graph $G$ of order $n$, we say that a vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is defined on $G$, if there is a 1-1 map $\varphi$ from $V(G)$ to the entries of $X$; simply written $X_{u}=\varphi(u)$ for each $u \in V(G)$. If $X$ is an eigenvector of $A(G)$, then it is naturally defined on $V(G)$, i.e. $X_{u}$ is the entry of $X$ corresponding to the vertex $u$. One can find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{T} A X=2 \sum_{u v \in E(G)} X_{u} X_{v} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $G$ corresponding to the eigenvector $X$ if and only if $X \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda X_{v}=\sum_{u \in N_{G}(v)} X_{u}, \text { for each vertex } v \in V(G), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$ where $N_{G}(v)$ denotes the neighborhood of $v$ in $G$. The equation (2.2) is called $(\lambda, X)$-eigenequation of $G$. In addition, for an arbitrary unit vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\min }(G) \leq X^{T} A(G) X, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $X$ is a first eigenvector of $G$.
In this paper all unicyclic graphs are assumed to be connected. Denote by $\mathscr{U}_{n}$ the set of unicyclic graphs of order $n$, and let $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{c}=\left\{G^{c}: G \in \mathscr{U}_{n}\right\}$, where $G^{c}$ denotes the complement of $G$. Note that $A\left(G^{c}\right)=\mathbf{J}-\mathbf{I}-A(G)$, where $\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{I}$ denote the all-ones matrix and the identity matrix both of suitable sizes, respectively. So for any vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{T} A\left(G^{c}\right) X=X^{T}(\mathbf{J}-\mathbf{I}) X-X^{T} A(G) X \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2.1. The graphs $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$ (left side) and $\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(p)$ (right side).
A star of order $n$, denoted by $K_{1, n-1}$, is a tree of order $n$ with $n-1$ pendant edges attached to a fixed vertex. The vertex of degree $n-1$ in $K_{1, n-1}$ is called the center of $K_{1, n}$. A cycle and a complete graph both of order $n$ are denoted by $C_{n}, K_{n}$ respectively. Denote by $S_{n}^{3}$ the graph obtained from $K_{1, n-1}$ by adding a new edge between two pendant vertices. Next, we introduce two special unicyclic graphs denoted by $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$ and $\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(p)$, respectively (see Figure 2.1). $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$ is obtained from two disjoint graphs $K_{1, p}(p \geq 1)$ and $S_{q+1}^{3}(q \geq 3)$ by adding a new edge between one pendant vertex of $K_{1, p}$ and one pendant vertex of $S_{q+1}^{3}$. $\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(p)$
is obtained from two disjoint graphs $K_{1, p}(p \geq 1)$ and $C_{3}$ by adding a new edge between one pendant vertex of $K_{1, p}$ and one vertex of $C_{3}$.

For a graph $G$ containing at least one edge, it holds $\lambda_{\min }(G) \leq-1$, with equality if and only if $G$ is a complete graph or a union of disjoint copies of complete graphs, at least one copy being nontrivial (i.e. contains more than one vertices). So, for a unicyclic graph $U$ other than $C_{4}, \lambda_{\min }\left(U^{c}\right)<-1$. In addition, if $U^{c}$ is disconnected, then $U$ contains a complete multipartite graph as a spanning subgraph, which implies $U$ is $C_{4}$ or $S_{n}^{3}$. When $n \geq 4,\left(S_{n}^{3}\right)^{c}$ consists of an isolated vertex and a connected non-complete subgraph of order $n-1$.

At the end of this section, we will discuss the least eigenvalues of $\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}$ and $\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(p)^{c}$. Let $X$ be a first eigenvector of the graph $\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}$ with some vertices labeled as in Figure 2.1. By eigenequations (2.2), as $\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}\right)<-1$, all the pendant vertices attached at $v_{2}$ have the same value as $v_{1}$ given by $X$, say $X_{1}$. Similarly, all the pendant vertices attached at $v_{5}$ have the same value as $v_{7}$, say $X_{7}$; two vertices of degree 2 on the triangle have the same value as $v_{6}$, say $X_{6}$. Write $X_{v_{i}}=: X_{i}$ for the vertices $v_{i}$ 's in $\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}$ for $i=2,3,4,5$ and $\lambda_{\text {min }}\left(\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}\right)=: \lambda_{1}$ for simplicity. Then by the eigenequations (2.2) on $v_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 7$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{1} X_{1}=(p-2) X_{1}+X_{3}+X_{4}+X_{5}+2 X_{6}+(q-3) X_{7},  \tag{2.5}\\
\lambda_{1} X_{2}=X_{4}+X_{5}+2 X_{6}+(q-3) X_{7}, \\
\lambda_{1} X_{3}=(p-1) X_{1}+X_{5}+2 X_{6}+(q-3) X_{7}, \\
\lambda_{1} X_{4}=(p-1) X_{1}+X_{2}+2 X_{6}+(q-3) X_{7}, \\
\lambda_{1} X_{5}=(p-1) X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}, \\
\lambda_{1} X_{6}=(p-1) X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}+X_{4}+2 X_{6}+(q-4) X_{7}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Transform (2.5) into a matrix equality $\left(B-\lambda_{1} \mathbf{I}\right) X^{\prime}=0$, where $X^{\prime}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.X_{7}\right)^{T}$ and the matrix $B$ of order 7 is easily seen. We have
$f(\lambda ; p, q):=\operatorname{det}(B-\lambda \mathbf{I})=(-8+2 p+2 q)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +(13-11 p-7 q+4 p q) \lambda+(20-6 q-4 q p) \lambda^{2} \\
& +(-1+11 p+7 q-7 p q) \lambda^{3}+(-20+12 p+12 q-2 p q) \lambda^{4}  \tag{2.6}\\
& +(-16+6 p+6 q) \lambda^{5}+(-6+p+q) \lambda^{6}-\lambda^{7} .
\end{align*}
$$

So $\lambda_{1}$ is the least root of the polynomial $f(\lambda ; p, q)$.
Let $Y$ be a first eigenvector of the graph $\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(p)^{c}$ with some vertices labeled as in Figure 2.1. By a similar discussion, all the pendant vertices attached at $v_{2}$ have the same values given by $Y$, say $Y_{1}$. Two vertices of degree 2 on the triangle have the same values, say $Y_{5}$. Write $Y_{v_{i}}=: Y_{i}$ for the vertices $v_{i}$ 's in $\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(p)^{c}$ for $i=2,3,4$ and $\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(p)^{c}\right)=: \lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ for simplicity. Then by the eigenequations (2.2) on $v_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 5$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{1}^{\prime} Y_{1}=(p-2) Y_{1}+Y_{3}+Y_{4}+2 Y_{5},  \tag{2.7}\\
\lambda_{1}^{\prime} Y_{2}=Y_{4}+2 Y_{5}, \\
\lambda_{1}^{\prime} Y_{3}=(p-1) Y_{1}+Y_{4}+2 Y_{5}, \\
\lambda_{1}^{\prime} Y_{4}=(p-1) Y_{1}+Y_{2}, \\
\lambda_{1}^{\prime} Y_{5}=(p-1) Y_{1}+Y_{2}+Y_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easily found that $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ is the least root of the following polynomial:

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\lambda ; p) & :=(-4+2 p)+(3-5 p) \lambda+(6-p) \lambda^{2} \\
& +(1+4 p) \lambda^{3}+(-2+p) \lambda^{4}-\lambda^{5} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2. If $n \geq 13$, then $\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(n-5,3)^{c}\right)<\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(n-4)^{c}\right)$.
Proof. Write $\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(n-5,3)^{c}\right)=: \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(n-4)^{c}\right)=: \lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ for simplicity. By the above discussion, $\lambda_{1}$ (respectively, $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is the least root of $f(\lambda ; n-5,3)$ (respectively, $g(\lambda ; n-4)$ ). Denote

$$
\bar{g}(\lambda ; n-4):=(\lambda+1)^{2} g(\lambda ; n-4) .
$$

Since $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}<-1, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ is also the least root of $\bar{g}(\lambda ; n-4)$. From (2.8), $g(-3 ; n-4)=$ $171-19(-4+n)$, and consequently $\bar{g}(n-4,-3) \leq 0$ if $n \geq 13$. Furthermore, when $\lambda \rightarrow-\infty, \bar{g}(\lambda ; n-4) \rightarrow+\infty$, which implies $\lambda_{1}^{\prime} \leq-3$. Obverse that when $\lambda \leq-3$,

$$
\bar{g}(\lambda ; n-4)-f(\lambda ; n-5,3)=(-6+n) \lambda(1+\lambda)\left(-2+5 \lambda+2 \lambda^{2}\right)>0 .
$$

In particular, $f\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime} ; n-5,3\right)<0$, which implies $\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(n-5,3)^{c}\right)<\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$. The result follows.

Lemma 3. Given a positive integer $n \geq 20$, for any positive integers $p, q$ such that $p \geq 1, q \geq 3$ and $p+q=n-2$,

$$
\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}\right) \geq \lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(\lceil(n-2) / 2\rceil,\lfloor(n-2) / 2\rfloor)^{c}\right),
$$

with equality if and only if $p=\lceil(n-2) / 2\rceil$ and $q=\lfloor(n-2) / 2\rfloor$.
Proof. Write $\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}\right)=: \lambda_{1}$ for simplicity. By (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\lambda ; p, q)-f(\lambda ; p+1, q-1)=-\lambda(2+\lambda)(-1+2 \lambda)[(p-q+1)(2+\lambda)+2], \\
& f(\lambda ; p, q)-f(\lambda ; p-1, q+1)=\lambda(2+\lambda)(-1+2 \lambda)[(p-q-1)(2+\lambda)+2] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, $f(-2 ; p, q)=-10<0$, which implies $\lambda_{1}<-2$.
If $q \geq p+1$, then for $\lambda<-2$ we have $f(\lambda ; p, q)-f(\lambda ; p+1, q-1)>0$. In particular, $f\left(\lambda_{1} ; p+1, q-1\right)<0$, which implies

$$
\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(p+1, q-1)^{c}\right)<\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}\right) .
$$

If $p \geq q+3(\geq 6)$, then, by $(2.6)$, we have $f(-3 ; p, q)=241-19 p+23 q-$ $21 p q=241-19(p-q)+(4-21 p) q<0$, which implies $\lambda_{1}<-3$. Observe that $f(\lambda ; p, q)-f(\lambda ; p-1, q+1)>0$ when $\lambda<-3$. In particular, $f\left(\lambda_{1} ; p-1, q+1\right)<0$, which implies

$$
\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(p-1, q+1)^{c}\right)<\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}\right)
$$

To complete the proof, we need to prove $\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(p-1, q+1)^{c}\right)<\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}\right)$ when $p=q+2$. In this case, $p=\frac{n}{2}, q=\frac{n}{2}-2$, and

$$
f(\lambda ; p, q)-f(\lambda ; p-1, q+1)=\lambda(2+\lambda)(-1+2 \lambda)(4+\lambda)
$$

So it is enough to prove $\lambda_{1}<-4$ or

$$
f\left(-4 ; \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}-2\right)=2376+582 n-36 n^{2}<0
$$

If $n \geq 20$, then the above inequality holds, and hence the result follows.

## 3. Main Results

By rearranging the edges of graphs, we first give a maximization of the quadratic form $X^{T} A(G) X$ among all trees or all unicyclic graphs $G$ of order $n$, where $X$ is a non-negative or non-positive real vector defined on $G$.

Lemma 4. Let $T$ be a tree of order n, and let $X$ be a non-negative or non-positive real vector defined on $T$ whose entries are ordered so that $\left|X_{1}\right| \geq\left|X_{2}\right| \geq \cdots \geq$ $\left|X_{n}\right|$, i.e. with respect to their moduli. Then

$$
\sum_{u v \in E(T)} X_{u} X_{v} \leq \sum_{i=2}^{n} X_{1} X_{i}=\sum_{u v \in E\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)} X_{u} X_{v}
$$

where $X$ is defined on $K_{1, n-1}$ such that the center has value $X_{1}$. If, in addition, $X$ is positive or negative, and $\left|X_{1}\right|>\left|X_{2}\right|$, then the above equality holds only if $T=K_{1, n-1}$.

Proof. We may assume $X$ is non-negative; otherwise we consider $-X$. Let $w$ be a vertex with value $X_{1}$ given by $X$. If there exists a vertex $v$ not adjacent of $w$, letting $v^{\prime}$ be the neighbor of $v$ on a path of $T$ connecting $v$ and $w$, and deleting the edge $v v^{\prime}$ and adding a new edge $w v$, we will arrive at a new graph (tree) $T^{\prime}$, which holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u v \in E(T)} X_{u} X_{v} \leq \sum_{u v \in E\left(T^{\prime}\right)} X_{u} X_{v} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating the process on the tree $T^{\prime}$ for the non-neighbors of $w$, and so on, we at last arrive at a star $K_{1, n-1}$ with $w$ as its center, and
(3.2) $\quad \sum_{u v \in E(T)} X_{u} X_{v} \leq \sum_{u v \in E\left(K_{1, n-1)}\right.} X_{u} X_{v}=\sum_{i=2}^{n} X_{1} X_{i}$.

If $X$ is positive, $X_{1}>X_{2}$, and $w$ is not adjacent to all other vertices in $T$, then the inequality (3.1), and hence (3.2), cannot hold as an equality. The result follows.

Lemma 5. Let $U$ be a unicyclic graph of order $n$, and let $X$ be a non-negative or non-positive real vector defined on $U$ whose entries are ordered so that $\left|X_{1}\right| \geq$ $\left|X_{2}\right| \geq \cdots \geq\left|X_{n}\right|$, i.e. with respect to their moduli. Then

$$
\sum_{u v \in E(U)} X_{u} X_{v} \leq \sum_{i=2}^{n} X_{1} X_{i}+X_{2} X_{3}=\sum_{u v \in E\left(S_{n}^{3}\right)} X_{u} X_{v}
$$

where $X$ is defined on $S_{n}^{3}$ such that the vertex with degree $n-1$ has value $X_{1}$, and the other two vertices on the triangle have values $X_{2}, X_{3}$ respectively. If, in addition, $X$ is positive or negative, and $\left|X_{1}\right|>\left|X_{2}\right|$, then the above equality holds only if $T=S_{n}^{3}$.

Proof. We may assume $X$ is non-negative; otherwise we consider $-X$. Let $w$ be a vertex with value $X_{1}$ given by $X$. By a similar discuss to the proof of Lemma 4, we have a graph $U^{\prime}$ of order $n$, in which the vertex $w$ is adjacent to all other vertices, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u v \in E(U)} X_{u} X_{v} \leq \sum_{u v \in E\left(U^{\prime}\right)} X_{u} X_{v}=\sum_{i=2}^{n} X_{1} X_{i}+X_{u^{\prime}} X_{v^{\prime}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$ is an edge of $U^{\prime}$ not incident to $w$. Surely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{u^{\prime}} X_{v^{\prime}} \leq X_{2} X_{3} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u v \in E(U)} X_{u} X_{v} \leq \sum_{i=2}^{n} X_{1} X_{i}+X_{2} X_{3}=\sum_{u v \in E\left(S_{n}^{3}\right)} X_{u} X_{v} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X$ is positive, and $X_{1}>X_{2}$, then the equality (3.5) holds only if (3.3) holds, which implies $w$ is adjacent to all other vertices and consequently $U=S_{n}^{3}$. The result follows.

Lemma 6. Let $U$ be a unicyclic graph of order $n \geq 5$ such that $U^{c}$ is a minimizing graph in $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{c}$, and let $X$ be a first eigenvector of $U^{c}$. Then $X$ contains no zero entries and has at least two positive entries and two negative entries.

Proof. As $U^{c}$ is a minimizing graph in $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{c}, U \neq S_{n}^{3}$. We first prove that each entry of $X$ is nonzero. One the contrary, let $X_{v}=0$ for some $v$. As $U \neq S_{n}^{3}$, there exists two vertices $w \in N_{U}(v)$ and $w^{\prime} \notin N_{U}(v)$ such that $w, w^{\prime}$ belong to the same component of $U-v$, say $U_{1}$. Let $\hat{U}^{c}=U-v w+v w^{\prime}$, which is also unicyclic. Since $X_{v}=0$, we have $\lambda_{\min }\left(\hat{U}^{c}\right)=\lambda_{\text {min }}\left(U^{c}\right)$ by the choice of $U^{c}$ and the minimality principle based on Rayley quotient. Therefore, $X$ is as well the first eigenvector of $\hat{U}^{c}$. But then, by the eigenequation at $v$, it follows that $X_{w}=X_{w^{\prime}}$. So, for any vertex $u \notin N_{U}(v)$ in the component $U_{1}, X_{u}=X_{w}$. This holds for any other
neighbors of $v$ in $U_{1}$ if taking each of them in the role of $w$. Hence all vertices in $U_{1}$ have the same values.

If there is a nontrivial component of $U-v$, say $U_{2}$, such that $v$ is adjacent to all vertices in $U_{2}$, then $U_{2}$ consists of exactly one edge, say $p q$, as $U$ is unicyclic. By the eigenequations on $p, q$, we also get $X_{p}=X_{q}$. So, the vertices of each component of $U-v$ have the same values.
(i) If $v$ is not a cut vertex of $U$ (e.g. a pendant vertex), then $U-v$ is connected, and hence $X \geq 0$ or $X \leq 0$, a contradiction.
(ii) Now suppose $v$ is a cut vertex of $U$. Let $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{k}(k \geq 2)$ be the components of $U-v$, which consist of vertices with same values given by $X$, respectively. Note that one component of $U-v$, say $U_{1}$, contains the vertices of the (unique) cycle $C$ of $U$, and all other components contain pendant vertices of $U$. Each vertex of $U_{2} \cup \cdots \cup U_{k}$ has nonzero value; otherwise a pendant vertex will have zero value which yields a contradiction as in (i). If all vertices of $U_{1}$ are zero valued, then we take a vertex from $U_{1}$ lying on $C$ in the role of $v$, and also obtain a contradiction as in (i). By the above discussion, all vertices but $v$ have nonzero values.

Next if $X_{r} X_{s}>0$, where $r \in U_{i}, s \in U_{j}$ for some distinct $i, j$, then let $\bar{U}=U-v w+r s$, where $w \in N_{U}(v)$ lies in $U_{i}$. But then $\lambda_{\min }\left(\bar{U}^{c}\right)<\lambda_{\min }\left(U^{c}\right)$, a contradiction. So $U-v$ has exactly two components $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$, one having positive valued vertices and the other having negative valued vertices.

Finally, recalling that all vertices in $U_{i}$ have the same values for $i=1,2$, so, by the eigenequations, all vertices in $U_{i}$ have the same number of neighbors (or non-neighbors) in $U_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. This implies $U=\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(1)$ if $v$ lies on the cycle and $U=\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(2)$ otherwise. It is easily check the first eigenvector of $\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(1)$ or $\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(2)$ has no zero entries. So we proved the first assertion.

Now we show the second assertion. On the contrary, assume that only one vertex, say $v$ with positive value given by $X$. Then any other vertex $u$ is adjacent to $v$ in $U^{c}$, since otherwise an eigenequation does not hold at $u$. So $v$ is adjacent to all other vertices in $U^{c}$, which implies $U$ is disconnected, a contradiction.

We now arrive at the main result of this paper.
Theorem 7. Let $U$ be a unicyclic graph of order $n \geq 20$. Then

$$
\lambda_{\min }\left(U^{c}\right) \geq \lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(\lceil(n-2) / 2\rceil,\lfloor(n-2) / 2\rfloor)^{c}\right)
$$

with equality if and only if $U=\mathbf{U}(\lceil(n-2) / 2\rceil,\lfloor(n-2) / 2\rfloor)$.
Proof. Suppose that $U^{c}$ is a minimizing graph in $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{c}$ for $n \geq 20$. The result will follow if we can show that $U$ is the unique graph $\mathbf{U}(\lceil(n-2) / 2\rceil,\lfloor(n-2) / 2\rfloor)$.

Let $X$ be the first eigenvector of $U^{c}$ with unit length. By Lemma 6, $X$ contains no zero entries. Denote $V_{+}=\left\{v \in V\left(U^{c}\right): X_{v}>0,\right\}, V_{-}=\{v \in$
$\left.V\left(U^{c}\right): X_{v}<0\right\}$, both containing at least 2 elements by Lemma 6 . Denote by $U_{+}$(respectively, $U_{-}$) the subgraph of $U$ induced by $V_{+}$(respectively, $V_{-}$), by $E^{\prime}$ the set of edges between $V_{+}$and $V_{-}$in $U$. Since $U$ is connected, $E^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Obviously,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E(U)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}} & =\sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E\left(U_{+}\right)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}}  \tag{3.6}\\
& +\sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E\left(U_{-}\right)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}}+\sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}} .
\end{align*}
$$

First assume $\left|V_{-}\right| \geq 3$. The cycle of $U$ may contain the edges of $E^{\prime}$, or is contained in one of $U_{+}, U_{-}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that the cycle of $U$ is not contained in $U_{+}$; otherwise we consider the vector $-X$ instead. Let $U^{*}$ be a graph obtained from $U$ by possibly adding some edges within $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$, such that the subgraph of $U^{*}$ induced by $V^{+}$, denoted by $U_{+}^{*}$, is a tree, and the subgraph of $U^{*}$ induced by $V^{-}$, denoted by $U_{-}^{*}$, is a unicyclic graph.

In the tree $U_{+}^{*}$, choose a vertex, say $\mathbf{u}$, with maximum modulus among all vertices of $U_{+}^{*}$. By Lemma 4 , we will have a star, say $K_{1, p}$ centered at $\mathbf{u}$, where $p+1=\left|V^{+}\right| \geq 2$, which holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E\left(U_{+}\right)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}} \leq \sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E\left(U_{+}^{*}\right)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}} \leq \sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E\left(K_{1, p}\right)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the unicyclic graph $U_{-}^{*}$, choosing a vertex, say $\mathbf{w}$, with maximum modulus. By Lemma 5, we have a unicyclic graph $S_{q+1}^{3}$, where $q+1=\left|V_{-}\right| \geq 3$ and the vertex w joins all other vertices of $S_{q+1}^{3}$, which holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E\left(U_{-}\right)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}} \leq \sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E\left(U_{-}^{*}\right)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}} \leq \sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E\left(S_{q+1}^{3}\right)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{u}^{\prime}, \mathbf{w}^{\prime}$ be the vertices of $U_{+}, U_{-}$with minimum modulus among all vertices of $U_{+}, U_{-}$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}} \leq X_{\mathbf{u}^{\prime}} X_{\mathbf{w}^{\prime}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by (3.6-3.9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E(U)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}} & \leq \sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E\left(K_{1, p}\right)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}}  \tag{3.10}\\
& +\sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E\left(S_{q+1}^{3}\right)}^{3} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}}+X_{\mathbf{u}^{\prime}} X_{\mathbf{w}^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $p \geq 1$, the vertex $\mathbf{u}^{\prime}$ can be chosen within the pendent vertices of $K_{1, p}$ by Lemma 4 . If $q \geq 3, \mathrm{w}^{\prime}$ can be chosen within the pendent vertices of $S_{q+1}^{3}$ by Lemma 5 , then from (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} X^{T} A(U) X & =\sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E(U)} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}} \leq \sum_{v v^{\prime} \in E(\mathbf{U}(p, q))} X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}}  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} X^{T} A(\mathbf{U}(p, q)) X
\end{align*}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{\min }\left(U^{c}\right) & =X^{T} A\left(U^{c}\right) X=X^{T}(\mathbf{J}-\mathbf{I}) X-X^{T} A(U) X \\
& \geq X^{T}(\mathbf{J}-\mathbf{I}) X-X^{T} A(\mathbf{U}(p, q)) X \\
& =X^{T} A\left(\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}\right) X  \tag{3.12}\\
& \geq \lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

If $q=2$, that is, $S_{q+1}^{3}=C_{3}$, by a similar discussion, we have $\lambda_{\min }\left(U^{c}\right) \geq$ $\lambda_{\text {min }}\left(\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(n-4)^{c}\right)$. By Lemma 2, $\lambda_{\text {min }}\left(\mathbf{U}^{\prime}(n-4)^{c}\right)>\lambda_{\text {min }}\left(\mathbf{U}(n-5,3)^{c}\right)$.

Next we consider the case when $\left|V_{-}\right|=2$. In this case the cycle of $U$ cannot lies in $U_{-}$. We form a graph $U^{\#}$ from $U$ possibly by adding some edges within $V^{+}$ and $V^{-}$, such that the subgraph of $U^{\#}$ induced by $V^{+}$is a unicyclic graph, and the subgraph of $U^{\#}$ induced by $V^{-}$is exactly $K_{2}$. Also similar to the discussion for (3.7-3.12), we have $\lambda_{\min }\left(U^{c}\right) \geq \lambda_{\min }(\mathbf{U}(1, n-3))$. By Lemma 3 and the above discussion,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{\min }\left(U^{c}\right) & \geq \lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}\right) \\
& \geq \lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{U}(\lceil(n-2) / 2\rceil,\lfloor(n-2) / 2\rfloor)^{c}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By the choice of $U$, all equalities in (3.13) hold. So $p=\lceil(n-2) / 2\rceil, q=$ $\lfloor(n-2) / 2\rfloor$ by Lemma 3 , and consequently only the case of $\left|V_{-}\right| \geq 3$ occurs. Also, all equalities in (3.11) and (3.12) hold, which implies that $X$ is a first eigenvector of $\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}$. Let $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$ have some vertices labeled as in Figure 2.1, where $v_{2}=\mathbf{u}, v_{3}=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}, v_{5}=\mathbf{w}, v_{4}=\mathbf{w}^{\prime}$.

Assertion 1: The vertices $v_{2}=\mathbf{u}$ and $v_{3}=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}$ are respectively the unique ones in $U_{+}$with maximum and minimum modulus, $v_{5}=\mathbf{w}$ and $v_{4}=\mathbf{w}^{\prime}$ are respectively the unique ones in $U_{-}$with maximum and minimum modulus. By Lemma 6, as $X$ is a first eigenvector of the minimizer $\mathbf{U}(p, q)^{c}, X_{v_{i}}=: X_{i}>0$ for $i=1,2,3$ and $X_{v_{i}}=: X_{i}<0$ for $i=4,5,6,7$. By $(2.5), \lambda_{1}\left(X_{4}-X_{7}\right)=$ $-X_{3}-X_{4}<0, \lambda_{1}\left(X_{6}-X_{7}\right)=-2 X_{6}, \quad \lambda_{1}\left(X_{5}-X_{6}\right)=-X_{4}-(q-3) X_{7}$, which implies that $X_{5}<X_{6}<X_{7}<X_{4}<0$. Also by (2.5), $\lambda_{1}\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right)>$ $0, \lambda_{1}\left(X_{3}-X_{1}\right)=X_{1}-X_{3}-X_{4}>X_{1}-X_{3}$, which implies $X_{3}<X_{1}<X_{2}$.

Assertion 2: $U_{+}=U_{+}^{*}=K_{1, p}, U_{-}=U_{-}^{*}=S_{q+1}^{3}, E_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right\}$, i.e. $U=\mathbf{U}(\lceil(n-2) / 2\rceil,\lfloor(n-2) / 2\rfloor)$. By the Assertion 1 and the equality in (3.11), retracing the discussion for (3.8-3.9) and applying Lemmas 4 and 5, we get $U_{+}=$ $U_{+}^{*}=K_{1, p}, U_{-}=U_{-}^{*}=S_{q+1}^{3}$. From the discussion for (3.9-3.11), also by Assertion 1, $E_{1}$ consists of exactly one edge, i.e. $\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{w}^{\prime}$.

It was proved in [5] that $S_{n}^{3}$ is the unique minimizing graph in $\mathscr{U}_{n}$ when $n \geq 6$. However, when $n \geq 20$, by Theorem 7, the graph $\mathbf{U}(\lceil(n-2) / 2\rceil,\lfloor(n-2) / 2\rfloor)^{c}$ is the unique minimizing graph in $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{c}$. So there exists some difference on the least eigenvalue of unicyclic graphs and its complements.
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