

NOTE

ON A SPANNING  $k$ -TREE IN WHICH SPECIFIED  
VERTICES HAVE DEGREE LESS THAN  $k$

HAJIME MATSUMURA

*College of Education*  
*Ibaraki University*  
*Ibaraki 310-8512, Japan*

**e-mail:** hajime-m@mx.ibaraki.ac.jp

**Abstract**

A  $k$ -tree is a tree with maximum degree at most  $k$ . In this paper, we give a degree sum condition for a graph to have a spanning  $k$ -tree in which specified vertices have degree less than  $k$ . We denote by  $\sigma_k(G)$  the minimum value of the degree sum of  $k$  independent vertices in a graph  $G$ . Let  $k \geq 3$  and  $s \geq 0$  be integers, and suppose  $G$  is a connected graph and  $\sigma_k(G) \geq |V(G)| + s - 1$ . Then for any  $s$  specified vertices,  $G$  contains a spanning  $k$ -tree in which every specified vertex has degree less than  $k$ . The degree condition is sharp.

**Keywords:** spanning tree, degree bounded tree, degree sum condition.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C05, 05C35.

1. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered in this paper are simple and finite. Let  $G$  be a graph with the vertex set  $V(G)$  and the edge set  $E(G)$ . For a vertex  $x$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $\deg_G(x)$  the degree of  $x$  in  $G$  and by  $N_G(x)$  the set of vertices adjacent to  $x$  in  $G$ . We denote  $N_G[x] = N_G(x) \cup \{x\}$ , and  $V_i(G)$  denotes the set of vertices of  $G$  which have degree  $i$  in  $G$ . For a subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$ ,  $N_G(S) = \bigcup_{x \in S} N_G(x)$ .  $\alpha(G)$  denotes the independence number of  $G$  and we define

$$\sigma_k(G) = \min \left\{ \sum_{v \in S} \deg_G(x_i) : S \text{ is an independent set of } G \text{ with } |S| = k \right\}$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq \alpha(G)$ , and  $\sigma_k(G) = \infty$  if  $\alpha(G) < k$ .

The following is a well-known theorem on Hamiltonian cycles and paths by Ore.

**Theorem 1** (Ore [6, 7]). *Let  $s$  be an integer with  $0 \leq s \leq 2$ . Suppose  $G$  is a graph with  $|V(G)| \geq 3$  and  $\sigma_2(G) \geq |V(G)| + s - 1$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1) *if  $s = 0$ , then  $G$  has a Hamiltonian path,*
- (2) *if  $s = 1$ , then  $G$  has a Hamiltonian cycle, and*
- (3) *if  $s = 2$ , then  $G$  has a Hamiltonian path connecting any two vertices of  $G$ .*

We can consider a Hamiltonian path as a spanning tree with maximum degree 2. For an integer  $k \geq 2$ , a tree  $T$  is called a  $k$ -tree if  $\deg_T(x) \leq k$  for any  $x \in V(T)$ . As we mention above, a spanning 2-tree is a Hamiltonian path.

In 1975, Win gave a degree sum condition which ensures the existence of a spanning  $k$ -tree.

**Theorem 2** (Win [8]). *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and  $G$  be a connected graph. If  $\sigma_k(G) \geq |V(G)| - 1$ , then  $G$  has a spanning  $k$ -tree.*

Note that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1 (1) for  $k = 2$ .

In this paper, we consider a spanning  $k$ -tree with extra degree constraints imposed on a set of vertices. Matsuda and Matsumura considered the case that every specified vertex has degree one. They proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 3** (Matsuda and Matsumura [3]). *Let  $k$  and  $s$  be integers with  $k \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq s \leq k$ . Suppose a graph  $G$  is  $(s + 1)$ -connected and satisfies  $\sigma_k(G) \geq |V(G)| + (k - 1)s - 1$ . Then for any  $s$  distinct vertices of  $G$ ,  $G$  has a spanning  $k$ -tree such that each of  $s$  specified vertices has degree one.*

This is not only a generalization of Theorem 2, but also implies Theorem 1 (3) for  $k = s = 2$ .

Hereafter, we consider a spanning  $k$ -tree in which every specified vertex has degree less than  $k$ . Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $k \geq 3$  and  $s \geq 0$  be integers, and  $G$  be a connected graph. If  $\sigma_k(G) \geq |V(G)| + s - 1$ , then for any  $s$  distinct vertices of  $G$ ,  $G$  has a spanning  $k$ -tree such that each specified vertex has degree less than  $k$ .*

We note that this is also a generalization of Theorem 2 for  $k \geq 3$ . For  $k = 2$ , we have to restrict ourselves to  $0 \leq s \leq k = 2$  because a spanning 2-tree has just two vertices of degree one. Then we can easily derive the same conclusion by Theorem 1.

Consider a complete bipartite graph  $G$  with parts  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $|X| = s$  and  $|Y| = (k - 2)s + 2$  and let  $S = X$ . Then  $\sigma_k(G) = |V(G)| + s - 2$ . Suppose  $G$  has a spanning  $k$ -tree  $T$  with  $\deg_T(v) < k$  for every  $v \in S$ . Then  $|V(G)| - 1 = |E(T)| \leq (k - 1)s < |V(G)| - 1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $G$  has no such a tree and the degree sum condition in Theorem 4 is sharp.

An *outdirected tree*  $\vec{T}$  is a rooted tree in which all the edges are directed away from the root. Let  $V(\vec{T})$  and  $A(\vec{T})$  be the vertex set and the arc set of  $\vec{T}$ , respectively. For a subset  $S$  of  $V(\vec{T})$ , we denote by  $N_T^+(S)$  the set of vertices  $w$  of  $V(\vec{T})$  for which there is an arc  $uw \in A(\vec{T})$  for some  $u \in S$ . For a tree  $T$  and  $u, v \in V(T)$ , let  $P_T(u, v)$  be the unique path in  $T$  connecting  $u$  and  $v$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

If  $s = 0$ , we have nothing to prove since  $G$  has a spanning  $k$ -tree by Theorem 2. So we may assume that  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $S$  be the set of  $s$  specified vertices.

By Theorem 2,  $G$  has a spanning  $k$ -tree. Choose a spanning  $k$ -tree  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $|V_k(T) \cap S|$  is as small as possible. If  $V_k(T) \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $T$  is a desired tree. Hence we may assume that  $V_k(T) \cap S$  is not empty and let  $v$  be a vertex of  $S$  which have degree  $k$  in  $T$ .

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be the connected components of  $T - \{v\}$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $t_i$  be the vertex of  $T_i$  which is adjacent to  $v$  in  $T$  and let  $u_i$  be a vertex of  $T_i$  with  $\deg_T(u_i) = 1$ .

If  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  are adjacent in  $G$  for some  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ , then  $T' = T + u_i u_j - vt_i$  is a spanning  $k$ -tree of  $G$  with  $|V_k(T') \cap S| < |V_k(T) \cap S|$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  is an independent set of  $G$ .

Let  $W_1 = \bigcup_{i=2}^k N_G(u_i) \cap V(T_1)$ .

**Claim 1.**  $t_1$  is not contained in  $W_1$ .

**Proof.** If  $t_1$  is contained in  $W_1$ , then  $t_1$  is adjacent to  $u_i$  for some  $2 \leq i \leq k$ . If we take  $T' = T - vt_1 + t_1 u_i$ , then  $|V_k(T') \cap S| < |V_k(T) \cap S|$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Claim 2.** For each  $w \in W_1$ , the following statements hold.

- (1) Either  $\deg_T(w) = k$ , or  $w \in S$  and  $\deg_T(w) = k - 1$ .
- (2)  $N_G[u_1] \cap (N_T(w) \setminus V(P_T(w, u_1))) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** (1) Suppose  $\deg_T(w) < k$  for some  $w \in W_1$ . Since  $w$  is adjacent to  $u_i$  for some  $2 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $T' = T - t_1 v + u_i w$  is also a spanning  $k$ -tree with  $\deg_{T'}(v) = k - 1$ . If  $w \notin S$ , then  $|V(T') \cap S| < |V(T) \cap S|$ , a contradiction. If  $w \in S$  and  $\deg_T(w) \leq k - 2$ , then also  $|V(T') \cap S| < |V(T) \cap S|$ . This contradicts the choice of  $T$ .

(2) Suppose there exists  $z \in N_T(w) \setminus V(P_T(w, u_1))$  which is adjacent to  $u_1$  in  $G$  for some  $w \in W_1$ . Since  $w$  is adjacent to  $u_i$  in  $G$  for some  $2 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $T' = T - wz - vt_1 + u_1 z + w u_i$  is a spanning  $k$ -tree with  $|V_k(T') \cap S| < |V_k(T) \cap S|$ . This contradicts the choice of  $T$ .  $\square$

Let  $W_{1,a} = \{w \in W_1 : w \notin S\}$  and  $W_{1,b} = \{w \in W_1 : w \in S\}$ .

**Claim 3.**  $|N_T(W_1) \setminus N_G[u_1]| \geq (k-1)|W_{1,a}| + (k-2)|W_{1,b}|$ .

**Proof.** We may assume that  $W_1$  is not empty since otherwise the above inequality obviously holds. Furthermore, since  $t_1$  does not belong to  $W_1$  by Claim 1,  $v$  is not contained in  $N_T(W_1)$ .

We consider  $T_1$  as an outdirected tree with the root  $u_1$ . For any  $w_0 \in W_1$  and  $z \in N_{T_1}^+(w_0)$ ,  $z \notin N_G[u_1]$  holds by Claim 2 (2). This implies that  $N_{T_1}^+(w_0) \subseteq N_T(W_1) \setminus N_G[u_1]$  for every  $w_0 \in W_1$ . Moreover, for any two distinct vertices  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  of  $W_1$ ,  $N_{T_1}^+(w_1)$  and  $N_{T_1}^+(w_2)$  are disjoint. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |N_T(W_1) \setminus N_G[u_1]| &\geq |N_{T_1}^+(W_1)| = \sum_{w \in W_1} |N_{T_1}^+(w)| \\ &= (k-1)|W_{1,a}| + (k-2)|W_{1,b}|. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Claim 4.**  $\sum_{i=1}^k |V(T_i) \cap N_G(u_i)| \leq |V(T_1)| - 1 + |W_{1,b}|$ .

**Proof.** By Claim 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |V(T_1) \cap N_G(u_1)| &\leq |V(T_1)| - 1 - |N_T(W_1) \setminus N_G[u_1]| \\ &\leq |V(T_1)| - 1 - (k-1)|W_{1,a}| - (k-2)|W_{1,b}|. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $W_1$ , we have  $\sum_{i=2}^k |V(T_i) \cap N_G(u_i)| \leq (k-1)|W_1|$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |V(T_i) \cap N_G(u_i)| \leq |V(T_1)| - 1 + |W_{1,b}|.$$

□

Similarly, for each  $T_j$  we can define  $W_j, W_{j,a}, W_{j,b}$  for  $2 \leq j \leq k$ . As Claim 4 we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |V(T_j) \cap N_G(u_i)| \leq |V(T_j)| - 1 + |W_{j,b}|.$$

Since  $\deg_G(u_i) \leq |\{v\}| + \sum_{j=1}^k |V(T_j) \cap N_G(u_i)|$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^k |W_{j,b}| \leq s-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k d_G(u_i) &\leq k + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k |V(T_j) \cap N_G(u_i)| \\ &\leq k + \sum_{j=1}^k (|V(T_j)| - 1 + |W_{j,b}|) \\ &\leq k + |V(G)| - 1 - k + s - 1 \\ &= |V(G)| + s - 2, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

## 3. REMARKS

For a graph  $G$ , let  $f$  be a mapping from  $V(G)$  to positive integers and let  $f^{-1}(a) = \{x \in V(G) : f(x) = a\}$  for a positive integer  $a$ . We call a tree  $T$  to be a  $f$ -tree if  $\deg_T(v) \leq f(v)$  for every vertex  $v$  of  $T$ . The following sufficient conditions are already known for a graph to have a spanning  $f$ -tree.

**Theorem 5** (Ellingham *et al.* [1]). *Let  $G$  be a connected graph and let  $f$  be a mapping from  $V(G)$  to positive integers. If  $w(G - S) \leq \sum_{x \in S} (f(x) - 2) + 2$ , for all  $S \subset V(G)$ , then  $G$  has a spanning  $f$ -tree, where  $w(G - S)$  denotes the number of components of  $G - S$ .*

**Theorem 6** (Enomoto and Ozeki [2]). *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -connected graph and  $f$  be a mapping from  $V(G)$  to positive integers. Suppose  $|f^{-1}(1)| + |f^{-1}(2)| \leq n + 1$  and*

$$\alpha(G) \leq \min_R \left\{ \sum_{x \in R} (f(x) - 1) : R \subset V(G), |R| = n \right\} + 1.$$

*Then  $G$  has a spanning  $f$ -tree.*

The above theorems are generalizations of the following classical results on spanning  $k$ -trees.

**Theorem 7** (Win [9]). *Let  $k \geq 3$  be an integer and  $G$  be a connected graph. If  $w(G - S) \leq (k - 2)|S| + 2$ , for all  $S \subset V(G)$ , then  $G$  has a spanning  $k$ -tree.*

**Theorem 8** (Neumann-Lara and Rivera-Campo [5]). *Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$  be integers and  $G$  be an  $n$ -connected graph. If  $\alpha(G) \leq (k - 1)n + 1$ , then  $G$  has a spanning  $k$ -tree.*

It is natural to consider a degree sum condition for a spanning  $f$ -tree. We pose the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 9.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -connected graph,  $f$  be a mapping from  $V(G)$  to positive integers and let  $k = \max\{f(x) : x \in V(G)\}$ . Suppose  $|f^{-1}(1)| \leq n$  and*

$$\sigma_k(G) \geq |V(G)| + \sum_{x \in V(G)} (k - f(x)) + 1.$$

*Then  $G$  has a spanning  $f$ -tree.*

We note that Theorems 3 and 4 partially confirm this conjecture.

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Professor Mikio Kano for his valuable comments. The author also would like to thank two anonymous referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M.N. Ellingham, Y. Nam and H.-J. Voss, *Connected  $(g, f)$ -factors*, J. Graph Theory **39** (2002) 62–75.  
doi:10.1002/jgt.10019
- [2] H. Enomoto and K. Ozeki, *The independence number condition for the existence of a spanning  $f$ -tree*, J. Graph Theory **65** (2010) 173–184.  
doi:10.1002/jgt.20471
- [3] H. Matsuda and H. Matsumura, *On a  $k$ -tree containing specified leaves in a graph*, Graphs Combin. **22** (2006) 371–381.  
doi:10.1007/s00373-006-0660-5
- [4] H. Matsuda and H. Matsumura, *Degree conditions and degree bounded trees*, Discrete Math. **309** (2009) 3653–3658.  
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2007.12.099
- [5] V. Neumann-Lara and E. Rivera-Campo, *Spanning trees with bounded degrees*, Combinatorica **11** (1991) 55–61.  
doi:10.1007/BF01375473
- [6] O. Ore, *Note on Hamilton circuits*, Amer. Math. Monthly **67** (1960) 55.
- [7] O. Ore, *Hamilton connected graphs*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **42** (1963) 21–27.  
doi:10.2307/2308928
- [8] S. Win, *Existenz von gerüsten mit vorgeschriebenem maximalgrad in graphen*, Abh. Math. Seminar Univ. Hamburg **43** (1975) 263–267.  
doi:10.1007/BF02995957
- [9] S. Win, *On a connection between the existence of  $k$ -trees and the toughness of a graph*, Graphs Combin. **5** (1989) 201–205.  
doi:10.1007/BF01788671

Received 16 October 2013

Revised 31 January 2014

Accepted 31 January 2014