Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 34 (2014) 151–166 doi:10.7151/dmgt.1722

# MAXIMUM HYPERGRAPHS WITHOUT REGULAR SUBGRAPHS

# Jaehoon Kim<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois Urbana, IL, 61801, USA

e-mail: kim805@illinois.edu

AND

ALEXANDR V. KOSTOCHKA<sup>2</sup>

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA Sobolev Institute of Mathematics Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

e-mail: kostochk@math.uiuc.edu

#### Abstract

We show that an n-vertex hypergraph with no r-regular subgraphs has at most  $2^{n-1}+r-2$  edges. We conjecture that if n>r, then every n-vertex hypergraph with no r-regular subgraphs having the maximum number of edges contains a full star, that is,  $2^{n-1}$  distinct edges containing a given vertex. We prove this conjecture for  $n\geq 425$ . The condition that n>r cannot be weakened.

Keywords: hypergraphs, set system, subgraph, regular graph.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C65.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Research of this author is partially supported by the Arnold O. Beckman Research Award of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Research of this author is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0965587 and by the Ministry of education and science of the Russian Federation (Contract no. 14.740.11.0868).

## 1. Introduction

A natural question in graph theory is: What are the graphs not containing r-regular subgraphs? For  $r \in \{1,2\}$ , the answer is easy, but for  $r \geq 3$  it is not. It was a breakthrough when Tashkinov [7] proved the conjecture by Berge that every 4-regular graph contains a 3-regular subgraph. The questions on existence of r-regular subgraphs in regular or near-regular graphs were also considered in [1, 8]. Let F(r,n) denote the maximum number of edges an n-vertex graph with no r-regular subgraphs have. For  $r \geq 3$ , it is not fully resolved how big F(r,n) is. Pyber [4] showed that for every fixed r,  $F(r,n) = O(n \ln n)$ . On the other hand, Pyber, Rödl and Szemerédi [5] proved that  $F(3,n) \geq cn \ln \ln n$ .

Similar questions are also natural for hypergraphs. We view a hypergraph as a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of its edges, so  $|\mathcal{F}|$  is the number of edges of  $\mathcal{F}$ . An edge e of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a k-edge if |e| = k. Note that we do not consider empty set as an edge. If, for some k, every edge of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a k-edge, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is k-uniform. A hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$  is r-free if it has no r-regular sub(hyper)graphs. Mubayi and Verstraëte [3] proved that for every even integer  $k \geq 4$ , there exists  $n_k$  such that for each  $n \geq n_k$ , each n-vertex k-uniform 2-free hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$  has at most  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  edges, and equality holds if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a full k-star, that is,  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of all  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  edges of size k containing a given vertex. They also proved the following simpler result for non-uniform hypergraphs.

**Theorem 1.1** [3]. For  $n \geq 3$ , every n-vertex 2-free hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{n-1}$ , and equality holds if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a full star, that is,  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of  $2^{n-1}$  distinct edges containing a given vertex.

Our first result is the following (simple) generalization of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2.** If  $2 \le r \le 2^{n-1}$ , then the maximum number of edges in an n-vertex r-free hypergraph is  $2^{n-1} + r - 2$ .

Many examples of *n*-vertex *r*-free hypergraphs with  $2^{n-1}+r-2$  edges are formed by a full star with r-2 other edges. If  $r \ge n$ , then some extremal examples do not contain full stars. For r=2, Theorem 1.1 says that if  $n \ge 3$ , then the only *n*-vertex 2-free hypergraph with  $2^{n-1}$  edges is a full star. We conjecture the following.

**Conjecture 1.3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an n-vertex r-free hypergraph with  $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n-1} + r - 2$ . If n > r and  $r \ge 2$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a full star.

The main results of this paper are the following.

**Theorem 1.4.** Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is an n-vertex r-free hypergraph with  $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n-1} + r - 2$ . If  $n \geq r + 2\lceil \log r \rceil + 1$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a full star.

**Theorem 1.5.** Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is an n-vertex r-free hypergraph with  $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n-1} + r - 2$ . If n > r and  $n \ge 425$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a full star.

In the next section we prove Theorem 1.2 and derive simple properties of dense r-free hypergraphs. In Section 3 we show that dense r-free hypergraphs have no small transversals. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.4. In the last two sections we prove Theorem 1.5.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an n-vertex r-free hypergraph with ground set N. Consider all  $2^{n-1}$  pairs  $\{A, N-A\}$  of subsets of N. In at most r-1 pairs of sets both sets are edges in  $\mathcal{F}$ , otherwise we get an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$  with vertex set N. If there are exactly r-1 such pairs, N cannot be an edge in  $\mathcal{F}$ , since N together with those r-1 pairs would form an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{n-1} + r - 2$ . If  $2 \leq r \leq 2^{n-1}$ , then equality can be achieved. Let N = [n] and

 $\mathcal{F} = \{e : 1 \in e\} \cup \{r - 2 \text{ smallest nonempty distinct subsets of } [n] - \{1\} \}.$ 

Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  has an r-regular subgraph  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_r$  be the edges of  $\mathcal{G}$  that contain 1, and  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_s$  be the remaining edges of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$  and for  $i \in [r]$  let  $C_i' = C - C_i$ . Since all edges are distinct,  $\sum_{i=1}^r |C_i'| = r|C| - \sum_{i=1}^r |C_i| = \sum_{j=1}^s |D_j|$  should hold. The left-hand side is the sum of cardinalities of at least r-1 nonempty distinct sets (possibly one  $C_i'$  is empty) not containing 1, and the right-hand side is the sum of cardinalities of at most r-2 smallest distinct sets not containing 1, and so, the right-hand side is less than the left-hand side. This contradiction shows that H has no r-regular subgraphs.

Let N be a finite set, and n = |N|. Let  $3 \le r < n$ . A hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$  is (N, r)strange if  $\mathcal{F}$  is an r-free hypergraph with  $V(\mathcal{F}) = N$  and  $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n-1} + r - 2$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain a full star, i.e.,  $2^{n-1}$  sets containing a given element.

For a set  $A \subseteq N$ ,  $\overline{A}$  is the *complement* of A to N, i.e.,  $\overline{A} = N - A$ . A full pair in  $\mathcal{F}$  is a pair  $\{A, \overline{A}\}$  such that both A and  $\overline{A}$  are in  $\mathcal{F}$ . We let the set N by itself form a full pair.

In order to prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5, we derive some properties of (N, r)-strange hypergraphs. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is (N, r)-strange, then it contains at most r-1 full pairs, and so, since  $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n-1} + r - 2$ ,

(1) it contains exactly r-1 full pairs.

Moreover,

(2) for each  $A \subset N$  with  $N \neq A \neq \emptyset$ , either  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  or  $\overline{A} \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Furthermore, the following statements hold for each (N, r)-strange hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  and both A and B are not in full pairs, then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Proof.** If  $A \cup B \notin \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\overline{A \cup B} \in \mathcal{F}$  by (2). Thus  $A, B, \overline{A \cup B}$  with r-1 full pairs form an r-regular subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and B and C are disjoint nonempty subsets of A such that  $A = B \cup C$ , then at least one of B and C is in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A = B \cup C$  is a partition of A into nonempty sets and  $B, C \notin \mathcal{F}$ . Then by (2),  $\overline{B}$  and  $\overline{C}$  are in  $\mathcal{F}$  but not in full pairs. Thus the sets A,  $\overline{B}$  and  $\overline{C}$  together with r-2 full pairs different from  $\{A, \overline{A}\}$  form an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction.

**Corollary 2.3.** Every edge A of  $\mathcal{F}$  contains an element  $x_A$  such that  $\{x_A\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . In particular, the union S of 1-edges of  $\mathcal{F}$  intersects each edge of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let A and B be edges of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . If at least one of A and B is not in a full pair, then either  $A \cap B$  or  $A \cup B$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A \cap B$ ,  $A \cup B \notin \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\overline{A \cap B}$  is in  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , and  $\overline{A \cup B}$  is either empty or in  $\mathcal{F}$ . In both cases, the sets  $A, B, \overline{A \cap B}$ , and  $\overline{A \cup B}$  cover every element of N exactly twice. Adding r-2 full pairs containing neither A nor B will give an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

#### 3. Sizes of Transversals of (N, r)-strange Hypergraphs

A set  $A \subset V(H)$  is a transversal of a hypergraph H if every edge of H intersects A

Let S be a minimum transversal of a hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then S contains all 1-edges of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is (N,r)-strange, then by Corollary 2.3, S contains no other vertices. Thus S is exactly the union of 1-edges of  $\mathcal{F}$ . It has several useful properties.

The goal of this section is to prove the following fact. Throughout the paper, k denote  $\lceil \log_2 r \rceil$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $3 \le r < n$  and N be a finite set with |N| = n. If S is the smallest transversal of an (N, r)-strange hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $|S| \ge n - 3k - 2$ .

Let S be the smallest transversal of an (N, r)-strange hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** If a nonempty  $S' \subset S$  is not in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then every  $S' \subseteq B \subseteq N - (S - S')$  is not in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and hence every  $S - S' \subseteq A \subseteq N - S'$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that such B is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . By Lemma 2.2, either S' or B - S' is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . But  $(B - S') \cap S = \emptyset$ , and we know that S' is not in  $\mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction.

From now on, in this section, we will assume that

(3) 
$$|S| \le n - 2k - 2$$
.

Note that to prove Theorem 3.1, we could make the stronger assumption that  $|S| \le n - 3k - 3$ , but we plan to use these lemmas also in the next section.

For  $S' \subseteq S$  and  $M \subseteq N-S$ , we say that M belongs to S' if  $S' \cup M \in \mathcal{F}$ . A nonempty proper subset S' of S is firm if some  $M \subset N-S$  with  $|M| \ge 1+k$  belongs to S'. In particular, S is firm by the following reason. For a set  $A \subset N-S$  with |A| = k+1, one of  $A \cup S$  and N-S-A is in  $\mathcal{F}$  by (2). Since S is a transversal, N-S-A is not in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus  $S \cup A \in \mathcal{F}$ , so A belongs to S and S is firm.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $S' \subseteq S$  and  $M \subseteq N - S$ . If M belongs to S', then every  $M' \subset M$  belongs to S'

**Proof.** Since  $M \cup S' \in \mathcal{F}$ , by Lemma 2.2, either  $S' \cup M' \in \mathcal{F}$  or  $M - M' \in \mathcal{F}$ . But the latter does not hold, since  $M \cap S = \emptyset$ . This proves the lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** For every partition  $S = S' \cup S''$  of S into nonempty subsets, exactly one of S' and S'' is firm.

**Proof.** Assume first that neither of S' and S'' is firm. Let M be a subset of N-S with |M|=1+k. Since S' is not firm,  $S'\cup M\notin \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $N-(S'\cup M)\in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $N-(S'\cup M)=S''\cup (N-S-M)$ . So by (3),  $|N-S-M|\geq 2k+2-(1+k)$ , and thus S'' is firm. Assume now that both S' and S'' are firm. If a set  $M\subset N-S$  with  $|M|\geq k+1$  belongs to both S' and S'', then we will find an r-regular subgraph  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Since  $2^{|M|} \geq r$ , there are at least r subsets of M. Call them  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{A_i \cup S' : 1 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{(M - A_i) \cup S'' : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ , it is a subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$  by Lemma 3.3. By construction,  $\mathcal{H}$  is r-regular, a contradiction.

If a set  $M \subset N-S$  with  $k \leq |M| \leq k+2$  belongs to neither S' nor S'', then N-S-M belongs to both, and again  $\mathcal{F}$  has an r-regular subgraph. Thus each  $M \subset N-S$  with |M|=k+1 belongs to exactly one of S' and S''. Let  $\mathcal{R}_{S'}$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{R}_{S''}$ ) denote the family of  $M \subset N-S$  with |M|=k+1 that belongs to S' (respectively, to S''). By our assumption, both  $\mathcal{R}_{S'}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{S''}$  are nonempty. Then there exist  $M' \in \mathcal{R}_{S'}$  and  $M'' \in \mathcal{R}_{S''}$  with  $|M' \cap M''| = k$ . Thus  $M' \cap M''$  belongs to both S' and S'', and so  $\mathcal{F}$  has an r-regular subgraph, a contradiction.

**Corollary 3.5.** If S' is a firm subset of S, then every  $M \subset N - S$  with  $1 \le |M| \le n - s - (k + 1)$  belongs to S'.

Corollary 3.6. Every two firm subsets of S intersect each other.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two disjoint firm subsets of S. Let  $M \subset N-S$  with |M| = k + 1. By Corollary 3.5, M belongs to both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Then as in the proof of Lemma 3.4,  $\mathcal{F}$  has an r-regular subgraph with vertex set  $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup M$ , a contradiction.

## **Lemma 3.7.** $s \le r - 1$

**Proof.** Suppose  $s \geq r$ .

Case 1.  $s \ge r + k$ . Since the number of 1-edges in full pairs is at most r-1, we can choose  $k+1 (\le s-(r-1))$  1-edges of  $\mathcal F$  that are not in full pairs. Let S' be the union of these edges. If some  $A \subseteq S'$  is not in  $\mathcal F$ , then  $\overline A$  and the 1-edges contained in A cover N once, and together with the r-1 full pairs (that exist by (1)) we obtain an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal F$  covering N, a contradiction. Thus all nonempty subsets of S' are in  $\mathcal F$ , and the number of nonempty proper subsets of S' is at least  $2^{k+1}-2 \ge 2r-2$ . We can pair them up so that they are partitions of S'. At least r-1 of such pairs exist, so together with S' they form an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal F$ , a contradiction.

Case 2.  $r \leq s \leq r+k-1$ . By (3),  $n-s \geq k+1$ . If there are  $v_1, v_2 \in S$  such that  $S-v_1, S-v_2 \notin \mathcal{F}$ , then by Lemma 3.2, every  $B \subseteq N-S$  satisfies  $B+v_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B+v_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since there are at least  $2^{n-s} \geq r$  possible sets for B, we can find r pairs of sets  $v_1+B, v_2+(N-S-B)$ , and they will form an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $(N-S)+v_1+v_2$ .

Thus for some r-1 vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1} \in S$ , the sets  $S-v_i$  are in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then the family  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}, S-v_1, \ldots, S-v_{r-1}, S\}$  covers every  $v \in S$  exactly r times, a contradiction.

**Lemma 3.8.** No 1-edge of  $\mathcal{F}$  is firm.

**Proof.** Let  $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}$ . Suppose that  $S_1 := \{v_1\}$  is firm. Then by Corollary 3.6, no subset of  $S - v_1$  is firm. Hence by Lemma 3.4, the firm subsets of S are exactly the sets containing  $v_1$ .

Since not every subset of N containing  $v_1$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $s \leq r-1$ , there are at least r-1-(s-1)=r-s edges  $W_1,\ldots,W_{r-s}$  that are in  $\mathcal{F}$ , not 1-edges and do not contain  $v_1$ . For  $j=1,\ldots,r-s$ , let  $M_j=W_j-S$ . Let  $M=\bigcup_{j=1}^{r-s}M_j$ . Choose  $W_1,\ldots,W_{r-s}$  so that to minimize |M|.

Case 1.  $|M| \le n-s-k-1$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}'$  the family  $\{S \cup M, \{v_2\}, \dots, \{v_s\}, S \cup M - v_2, \dots, S \cup M - v_s, W_1, \dots, W_{r-s}, S \cup M - W_1, \dots, S \cup M - W_{r-s}\}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{F}'$  forms an r-regular hypergraph,  $\mathcal{F}'$  is not a subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$ . But since  $\{v_1\}$  is firm, by the choice of  $W_j$  and Corollary 3.5, every member of  $\mathcal{F}'$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction. This proves Case 1.

Let  $t = \max\{|A - S| : A \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } v_1 \notin A\}$  and let  $A_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  be such that  $v_1 \notin A_0$  and  $|A_0 - S| = t$ .

Case 2.  $t \geq k$ . Let  $M_0$  be any k-element subset of  $A_0 - S$  and  $W_0 = M_0 \cup (A_0 \cap S)$ . Since  $A_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $S \cap (A_0 - W_0) = \emptyset$ ,  $W_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $2^k \geq r$ ,  $M_0$  contains some r distinct subsets  $M'_1, \ldots, M'_r$ . Let  $W'_i = M'_i \cup (A_0 \cap S)$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . Since  $(M_0 - M'_i) \cap S = \emptyset$ , each of  $W'_i$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, since  $|S| \leq n - 2k - 2$ ,  $|M_0| = k$ , and  $\{v_1\}$  is firm, for every  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , the set  $(S \cup M_0) - W'_i$  contains  $v_1$  and has at most n - s - (k + 1) vertices in N - S. This means that  $(S \cup M_0) - W'_i$  is also in  $\mathcal{F}$ . So, the family  $\{W'_1, \ldots, W'_r, (S \cup M_0) - W'_1, \ldots, (S \cup M_0) - W'_r\}$  forms an r-regular hypergraph, a contradiction.

Case 3.  $\log_2(r-s) \leq t \leq k-1$ . Let  $M_0 = A_0 - S$ . In our case,  $2^{|M_0|} = 2^t \geq r-s$ . Let  $M'_1, \ldots, M'_{r-s}$  be any distinct subsets of  $M_0$ , and for  $i=1,\ldots,r-s$ , let  $W'_i = M'_i \cup (A_0 \cap S)$ . Similarly to Case 2, since  $(M_0 - M'_i) \cap S = \emptyset$ , each of  $W'_i$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, since  $|S| \leq n-2k-2$ ,  $|M_0| \leq k-1$ , and  $\{v_1\}$  is firm, for every  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , the set  $(S \cup M_0) - W'_i$  is also in  $\mathcal{F}$ . By the same reason, for every  $2 \leq j \leq s$ , the set  $(S \cup M_0) - v_j$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . So, the family  $\{W'_1, \ldots, W'_{r-s}, (S \cup M_0) - W'_1, \ldots, (S \cup M_0) - W'_{r-s}, \{v_2\}, \ldots, \{v_s\}, S \cup M_0 - v_2, \ldots, S \cup M_0 - v_s, S \cup M_0\}$  forms an r-regular hypergraph, a contradiction.

Case 4.  $|M| \ge n - k - s$  and  $t < \min\{k - 1, \log_2(r - s)\}$ . Let  $M_0 = A_0 - S$ . We claim that  $|M| \le r - s - 2^t + t + 1$ . To prove the claim, we show a way to choose  $W_1, \ldots, W_{r-s}$  so that

(4) 
$$\left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{i} W_j - S \right| \le i - 2^t + t + 1,$$

for every  $2^t-1 \le i \le r-s$ . The sets  $W_1, \ldots, W_{2^t-1}$  are all the sets of the form  $A_0-X$  where  $X \subseteq M_0, X \ne M_0$ . So, for  $i=2^t-1$ , (4) holds. Suppose that for some  $2^t-1 \le i_0 \le r-s-1$ , we have found  $W_1, \ldots, W_{i_0}$  satisfying (4) for  $i=i_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the family of members of  $\mathcal{F}$  not containing  $v_1$  that are distinct from  $W_1, \ldots, W_{i_0}$ . Since  $i_j \le r-s-1$ , there is  $C \in \mathcal{C} \ne \emptyset$ . Let  $C' = C - S - \bigcup_{j=1}^{i_0} W_j$ . If  $C' = \emptyset$ , then we let  $C := W_{i_0+1}$  and (4) holds for  $i=i_0+1$ . Suppose  $x \in C'$ . Since  $(C'-x) \cap S = \emptyset$ , the set C - C' + x is in  $\mathcal{F}$ , does not contain  $v_1$ , and is distinct from  $W_1, \ldots, W_{i_0}$ . So, letting  $W_{i_0+1} = C - C' + x$  we again have that (4) holds for  $i=i_0+1$ . This proves the claim.

Let  $\mathcal{F}'$  be the family defined in Case 1. Since it is r-regular, some  $W' \in \mathcal{F}'$  is not in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\overline{S \cup M - W'} \in \mathcal{F}$  by (2). By the definition of t,  $|N - (S \cup M)| \le |\overline{S \cup M - W'} - S| \le t$ . Thus by (4),  $n = |N| = |M| + |S| + |N - (M \cup S)| \le (r - s - 2^t + t + 1) + s + t = r - 2^t + 2t + 1$ .

If  $t \geq 3$ , we get  $n \leq r - 1$ , a contradiction.

If t=2, we get  $n \leq r+1$ , |M|=r-s-1 and  $|A_0-S|=2$ . Then  $(A_0-S) \subset M$  with |M|=r-s-1 and  $|\overline{M \cup S - W'} - S| \geq 2$ . Thus there are distinct  $A_0, A_1$  with  $|A_i-S|=2$ ,  $(A_0-S) \cap (A_1-S)=\emptyset$  and  $v_1 \notin A_i$ . Let  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{r-s-6}\} \subset M - A_0 - A_1$ . For  $x=1,2,\ldots,r-s-6$ , let  $v_x \in M_{j_x}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $W_{j_x} - M_{j_x} + v_x \in \mathcal{F}$  for every  $x_1,\ldots,r-s-6$ . These edges with  $A \cup (A_i \cap S)$  for nonempty  $A \subseteq A_i - S$  yield that  $|M| \leq r-s-2$ , a contradiction.

Thus t=1. Then n=r+1, |M|=r-s and every edge not containing  $v_1$  is either a 2-edge or a 1-edge. And  $\overline{S \cup M - W'}$  is also a 2-edge, so W' is a 1-edge. Let  $W' = \{v_2\}$ .

Since |M| = r - s and  $\overline{S \cup M - v_2} = \{v_2\} \cup (N - M - S)$ , for each  $w \in N - S$  there is exactly one 2-edge not containing  $v_1$  containing w. Since |N - S| = r - s + 1 and the number of 1-edges in  $S - v_1$  is s - 1,  $\mathcal{F}$  has exactly r - s + 1 + s - 1 = r edges not containing  $v_1$ .

So we have exactly two sets containing  $v_1$  that are not in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Call them  $D_1, D_2$ . We have  $D_1 = S \cup M - v_2$ . Since  $|N - S| = n - s \ge 2k + 2 \ge 4$  and every vertex in N - S is contained in exactly one 2-edge not containing  $v_1$ , there are at least 4 different ways to choose  $W_i$ s to get minimum |M|. Each way gives different M, let two of them be M and M'. Then, by the above logic,  $D_2 = S \cup M' - v_3$  for some  $v_3$ , and  $|M \cap M'| \ge 2$ . Thus  $D_1 \cap D_2 - S$  is not empty. Let  $w \in D_1 \cap D_2 - S$ . Then there are  $2^{n-1} - 2$  edges in  $\mathcal{F}$  containing both w and  $v_1$ , and exactly one edge containing w but not  $v_1$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F} - w = \{E \in \mathcal{F} : w \notin E\}$  is an (n-1)-vertex hypergraph with  $2^{n-1} + r - 1$  edges. By Theorem 1.2,  $\mathcal{F} - w$  contains an r-regular subgraph, a contradiction.

**Lemma 3.9.**  $s \le r - 2$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $s \ge r - 1$ . Then by Lemma 3.7, s = r - 1. By Lemma 3.8,  $S - v_i$  is firm (and so is in  $\mathcal{F}$ ) for every  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ . Then the 2r - 1 sets

$$\{v_1\},\ldots,\{v_{r-1}\},S-v_1,\ldots,S-v_{r-1},S$$

form an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 3.10.** Let  $k \geq 2$  and let B be a set with  $|B| \geq 2k + 2$ . Then there are at least  $2^{k-2}+1$  partitions  $(B_{i,1}, B_{i,2}, B_{i,3})$  of B such that  $|B_{i,1}| = \lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil, |B_{i,2}| = \lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil, |B_{i,3}| \geq k+1$  and all  $3\lceil 2^{k-2} \rceil + 3$  parts of these partitions are distinct.

**Proof.** We will choose  $B_1$  of size  $\lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil$  and  $B_2$  of size  $\lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil$ , so that  $|B_3| = |B| - 2\lceil k/2 \rceil \ge k+1$ .

For  $k \geq 4$ , we have

$$(5) \qquad \binom{2k+2-\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil}{\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil} = \frac{(2k+2-\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil)(2k+1-\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil)(2k-\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil)}{\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil(2k+2-2\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil)(2k+1-2\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil)} \\ \binom{2k-2-\lceil\frac{k-2+1}{2}\rceil}{\lceil\frac{k-2+1}{2}\rceil} \ge 4 \binom{2(k-2)+2-\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\rceil}{\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\rceil}.$$

So, for even k,

For odd k,

First for each  $i = 1, ..., 2^{k-2} + 1$ , choose a set  $B_{i,1}$  of size  $\lceil k/2 \rceil$  so that all chosen sets are distinct. Then one by one for each  $i = 1, ..., 2^{k-2} + 1$ , choose a set  $B_{i,2}$  of size  $\lceil k/2 \rceil$  so that

- (a)  $B_{i,2}$  is distinct from all  $2^{k-2} + 1$  sets  $B_{i',1}$  and previously chosen  $B_{i',2}$ , and
- (b)  $B_{i,1} \cup B_{i,2}$  is distinct from all already chosen  $B_{i',1} \cup B_{i',2}$ .

Even at the last step (step  $2^{k-2} + 1$ ), the number of forbidden sets is at most  $3 \cdot 2^{k-2} + 1 < 6 \cdot 2^{k-2}$ . So, by (5), we finish the construction.

**Corollary 3.11.** Let B be a set with  $|B| \geq 3k + 3$ . Then there are at least  $2^{k-2} + 1$  partitions  $(B_{i,1}, B_{i,2}, B_{i,3})$  of B such that all  $3\lceil 2^{k-2} \rceil + 3$  parts of these partitions are distinct, and each  $B_{i,j}$  has size at least k + 1.

**Proof.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  and |A| = k+1. Let B' = B-A. Partition A into  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$  with  $|A_1| = |A_2| = k+1 - \lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil$ . By Lemma 3.10, there are at least  $2^{k-2} + 1$  partitions  $(B'_{i,1}, B'_{i,2}, B'_{i,3})$  of B' such that all parts of these paritions are distinct, and  $|B'_{i,1}| = |B'_{i,2}| = \lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil, |B'_{i,3}| \ge k+1$ . Take  $B_{i,j} = B'_{i,j} \cup A_i$ . Then partitions  $(B_{i,1}, B_{i,2}, B_{i,3})$  satisfy all the conditions.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Suppose  $s \le n - 3k - 3$ . Then all lemmas in this section hold, since  $s \le n - 3k - 3 \le n - 2k - 2$ .

Let S' be a smallest firm subset of S. Note that S' is not a 1-edge. Partition S' into nonempty subsets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . By the minimality of S', sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are not firm, and so  $S - S_1$  and  $S - S_2$  are firm.

Let B := N - S. Then  $|B| = n - s \ge 3k + 3$ . So, by Corollary 3.11, there are  $K := \lceil 2^k/6 \rceil + 1$  partitions

$$(B_{1,1}, B_{1,2}, B_{1,3}), (B_{2,1}, B_{2,2}, B_{2,3}), \dots, (B_{K,1}, B_{K,2}, B_{K,3})$$

of B such that all  $B_{i,j}$  are distinct and  $|B_{i,j}| \ge k+1$ . For every  $i \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$  and every  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the three sets  $S' \cup (B - B_{i,j})$ ,  $S_1 \cup (B - B_{i,j+1})$ , and  $S_2 \cup (B - B_{i,j+2})$  (where j counts modulo 3) are in  $\mathcal{F}$  (by Corollary 3.5 and the fact that  $|B - B_{i,j}| \le n - s - (k+1)$ ) and cover every vertex in N exactly twice. Using such triples for  $i = 1, \ldots, K$  and j = 1, 2, 3, we cover every vertex exactly  $6K \ge 2^k \ge r$  times and every set appears at most once. If r < 6K and is even, then we use not all triples.

If r is odd, then we pick a full pair (A, N-A). There are at most two triples  $(S' \cup (B-B_{i,j}), S_1 \cup (B-B_{i,j+1}), S_2 \cup (B-B_{i,j+2}))$  containing A or N-A. Then we cover the set N once by the set A and N-A and r-1 times with  $\frac{r-1}{2} \leq 3K-2$  triples  $(S' \cup (B-B_{i,j}), S_1 \cup (B-B_{i,j+1}), S_2 \cup (B-B_{i,j+2}))$  containing neither A nor N-A. This contradicts the choice of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Therefore  $|S| \geq n-3k-2$ .

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

If the theorem does not hold, then for some  $3 \leq r < n$ ,  $k = \lceil \log_2 r \rceil$  with  $n \geq r + 2k + 1$ , and for some *n*-vertex set N, there exists an (N, r)-strange hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let S be the union of 1-edges in  $\mathcal{F}$ . By Lemma 3.9,  $|S| \leq r - 2 \leq n - 2k - 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_{nf}$  denote the family of non-firm subsets of S. For every  $S' \in \mathcal{S}_{nf}$ , let

$$\mathcal{F}_{S'} := \{ W \in \mathcal{F} : W \cap S = S' \}.$$

Furthermore, let

$$\mathcal{F}_{nf} := \bigcup_{S' \in \mathcal{S}_{nf}} \mathcal{F}_{S'}.$$

Lemma 4.1. Let  $M := \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{F}_{nf}} W - S$ . Then

- (a)  $|M| \le r s 2$ ;
- (b)  $|\mathcal{F}_{nf}| \leq r 2$ .

**Proof.** Assume that (a) does not hold and that  $w_1, \ldots, w_{r-s-1}$  are in M. Let  $M' := \{w_1, \ldots, w_{r-s-1}\}$ . For  $j = 1, \ldots, r-s-1$ , let  $W_j$  be a member of  $\mathcal{F}_{nf}$  such that  $w_j \in W_j$ , and let  $S_j = W_j \cap S$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $W'_j := S_j + w_j$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$  for every  $j = 1, \ldots, r-s-1$ . Since each  $S_j$  and 1-edges are non-firm,  $S - S_j$  and  $S - v_j$  are firm. Also  $|N - S - M'| = n - s - (r - s - 1) = n - r + 1 \ge 2k + 2$ , thus by Corollary 3.5, every set of the form  $S \cup M' - S_j - w_j$  or of the form  $S \cup M' - v_i$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . So, every member of the family  $\{S \cup M', \{v_1\}, \ldots, \{v_s\}, S \cup M' - \{v_1\}, \ldots, S \cup M - \{v_s\}, W'_1, \ldots, W'_{r-s-1}, S \cup M' - W'_1, \ldots, S \cup M' - W'_{r-s-1}\}$ 

is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, together they cover every vertex in  $S \cup M'$  exactly r times. This proves (a).

Suppose now that  $W_1, \ldots, W_{r-1}$  are in  $\mathcal{F}_{nf}$ . Since  $|M| \leq r - s - 2$ , every member of the family  $\{S \cup M, W_1, \ldots, W_{r-1}, S \cup M - W_1, \ldots, S \cup M - W_{r-1}\}$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, together they cover every vertex in  $S \cup M$  exactly r times. This proves (b).

**Remark 4.2.** Since no member of  $\mathcal{F}_{nf}$  contains any element in N-S-M, for every  $w \in N-M-S$ , every subset of N-S-w belongs to every firm  $S' \subset S$ .

Let S' be a smallest firm subset of S. By Lemma 3.8 S' is not an 1-edge. Choose a partition  $S' = S_1 \cup S_2$  of S' into nonempty subsets. By the minimality of S', sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are not firm, and so  $S - S_1$  and  $S - S_2$  are firm.

Fix any element  $z \in N-S-M$  and let B:=N-S-z. Since  $s \le r-2$ ,  $|B| \ge n-(r-2)-1 \ge 2k+2$ . So, by Lemma 3.10, there are  $K:=\lceil 2^k/6 \rceil+1$  partitions  $(B_{1,1},B_{1,2},B_{1,3}),(B_{2,1},B_{2,2},B_{2,3}),\ldots,(B_{K,1},B_{K,2},B_{K,3})$  of B such that all  $B_{i,j}$  are distinct. For every  $i \in \{1,\ldots,K\}$  and every  $j \in \{1,2,3\}$ , the three sets  $S' \cup (B-B_{i,j}), S_1 \cup (B-B_{i,j+1}),$  and  $S_2 \cup (B-B_{i,j+2})$  (where j counts modulo 3) are in  $\mathcal{F}$  (by Remark 4.2) and cover every vertex in N-z exactly twice. Using such triples for  $i=1,\ldots,K$  and j=1,2,3, we cover every vertex exactly  $6K \ge 2^k \ge r$  times and every set appears at most once. If r < 6K and is even, then we use not all triples. If r is odd, then we pick a full pair (A,N-A). Then we cover the set N once by the set A and N-A and r-1 times with the triples  $(S' \cup (B-B_{i,j}), S_1 \cup (B-B_{i,j+1}), S_2 \cup (B-B_{i,j+2}))$  for  $\frac{r-1}{2} (\le 3K-2)$  triples containing neither A nor N-A.

#### 5. Size of Almost $\mathcal{F}$ -free Subsets

A set A is almost  $\mathcal{F}$ -free if every  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $B \subseteq A$  has size 1. The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** If  $n \ge 425$ , then  $|T| \le n - 15k - 6$  for each almost  $\mathcal{F}$ -free  $T \subseteq N$ . Observe that for  $n \ge 425$ ,

(6) 
$$n-15k-6 \ge \frac{n}{2} > 0$$
 and  $n > (4k+4)(\lceil \log(k) \rceil + 6) + 2k + 6$ .

We need some notation and lemmas. Let T be a maximum almost  $\mathcal{F}$ -free set, and Q = N - T. Assume that |Q| < 15k + 6, i.e., |T| > n - 15k - 6. For  $Q' \subseteq Q$  and  $T' \subseteq T$ , we say that T' belongs to Q' if  $Q' \cup T' \in \mathcal{F}$ . A nonempty subset Q' of Q is solid if some  $T' \subset T'$  with  $|T'| \ge 3 + k$  belongs to Q'.

To show that Q is solid, let  $B \subset T$  with |B| = 2. Since T is almosts  $\mathcal{F}$ -free,  $B \notin \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $N - B = (T - B) \cup Q \in \mathcal{F}$ . By (6),  $|T - B| \ge n/2 - |B| = n/2 - 2 \ge k + 3$ , and so Q is solid.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let  $Q' \subseteq Q$  and  $T' \subseteq T$ . If T' belongs to Q', then every  $T'' \subset T'$  with  $|T''| \leq |T'| - 2$  belongs to Q'.

**Proof.** Since  $T' \cup Q' \in \mathcal{F}$ , by Lemma 2.2, either  $Q' \cup T'' \in \mathcal{F}$  or  $T - T'' \in \mathcal{F}$ . But the latter does not hold, since T is almost  $\mathcal{F}$ -free. This proves the lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** For every partition  $Q = Q' \cup Q''$  of Q into nonempty subsets, exactly one of Q' and Q'' is solid.

**Proof.** Assume first that Q' is not solid. By (6), there exists a set  $M \subset T$  with |M| = 3 + k. Since Q' is not solid,  $Q' \cup M \notin \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $N - (Q' \cup M) \in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $N - (Q' \cup M) = Q'' \cup (T - M)$ . So, since  $|T - M| \ge n - 15k - 6 - (3 + k) \ge k + 3$ , Q'' is solid.

Assume now that both Q' and Q'' are solid. We will show that if a set  $M \subset T$  with  $|M| \ge k + 3$  belongs to both Q' and Q'', then  $\mathcal{F}$  has an r-regular subgraph with vertex set  $Q \cup M$ .

If  $a \in M$ , then the number of distinct subsets  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$  of M containing a with  $2 \le |A_i| \le |M| - 2$  is at least

$$2^{|M|-1} - (|M|+1) = 2^{k+2} - k - 4 = 4r - k - 4 \ge r.$$

Note that  $r \geq 2$ , and  $M - A_i \neq A_j$ , since  $a \in A_j$  and  $a \notin M - A_j$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{A_i \cup Q' : 1 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{(M - A_i) \cup Q'' : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ . By construction,  $\mathcal{H}$  is r-regular, a contradiction.

If a set  $M \subset T$  with |M| = k+4 belongs to neither of Q' and Q'', then T-M belongs to both, and again  $\mathcal{F}$  has an r-regular subgraph. Thus each  $M \subset T$  with |M| = k+4 belongs to exactly one of Q' and Q''. Let  $\mathcal{R}_{Q'}$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{R}_{Q''}$ ) denote the family of  $M \subset T$  with |M| = k+4 that belong to Q' (respectively, to Q''). By our assumption, both  $\mathcal{R}_{Q'}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{Q''}$  are nonempty. Then there exist  $M' \in \mathcal{R}_{Q'}$  and  $M'' \in \mathcal{R}_{Q''}$  with  $|M' \cap M''| = k+3$ . By Lemma 5.2,  $M' \cap M''$  belongs to both Q' and Q'', and so  $\mathcal{F}$  has an r-regular subgraph, a contradiction.

**Corollary 5.4.** If Q' is a solid subset of Q, then every  $M \subset T$  with  $k+3 \le |M| \le |T| - (k+3)$  belongs to S'.

**Lemma 5.5.** The number of 1-edges not in full pairs of  $\mathcal{F}$  is at most k.

**Proof.** Assume that there are k+1 distinct 1-edges  $\{a_1\}, \{a_2\}, \ldots, \{a_{k+1}\}$  not in full pairs. If some nonempty  $B \subset A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k+1}\}$  is not in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\overline{B} \in \mathcal{F}$  by (2). Then  $\overline{B}$  together with 1-edges contained in B cover N once and none of these is in a full pair. These sets together with r-1 full pairs cover N exactly r times, a contradiction. Thus every nonempty subset of A is in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

.

There are  $2^k$  distinct nonempty subsets of A containing  $a_1$ , call them  $B_1, B_2, \ldots$ ,  $B_{2^k}$ . Then all nonempty sets among  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_r, A - B_1, A - B_2, \ldots, A - B_r$  are in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and they form an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction. Therefore the number of 1-edges not in full pairs of  $\mathcal{F}$  is at most k.

**Lemma 5.6.** The number of 1-edges in full pairs in  $\mathcal{F}$  is at least n-4k-2. Thus at most 8k-2 elements in full pairs are neither 1-edges nor (n-1)-edges.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.1,  $|S| \ge n - 3k - 2$ , so the number of 1-edges is at least n - 3k - 2. If fewer than n - 4k - 2 of them are in full pairs, then we get k + 1 distinct 1-edges  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k+1}$  not in full pairs, a contradiction to Lemma 5.5.

**Lemma 5.7.** For each  $a \in Q$ , there is  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $2 \leq |A| \leq 3$  such that  $\{a\} = A \cap Q$ .

**Proof.** Since T is a maximum almost  $\mathcal{F}$ -free set,  $T \cup \{a\}$  is not almost  $\mathcal{F}$ -free. So, there is  $B \subset T \cup \{a\}$  such that  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $|B| \geq 2$ . Take a smallest such B. If  $|B| = b \geq 4$ , then there is  $B' \subset B$  with |B'| = b - 2 > 1 and  $B' \subset T$ . Then  $B' \notin \mathcal{F}$ , and by Lemma 2.2,  $B - B' \in \mathcal{F}$ , so A = B - B' is what we need.

**Lemma 5.8.** The set Q contains at least one solid 1-edge.

**Proof.** Let B be a smallest solid set in Q. Suppose  $|B| \geq 2$ . Then there are disjoint nonempty  $B_1', B_2' \subset B$  with  $B_1' \cup B_2' = B$ . By Lemma 5.3,  $B_1 = Q - B_1'$  and  $B_2 = Q - B_2'$  are solid.

By (6),  $T \ge n - 15k - 6 \ge 3k + 9$ . Let  $K := \lceil 2^k/6 \rceil + 1$ . Similarly to the proofs of Lemma 3.10 and Corollary 3.11, for each i = 1, 2, ..., K there are partitions  $(T_{i,1}, T_{i,2}, T_{i,3})$  of T such that all  $T_{i,j}$  are distinct and  $|T_{i,j}| \ge k + 3$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., K and j = 1, 2, 3.

For every  $i \in \{1, ..., K\}$  and every  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the three sets  $B \cup (T - T_{i,j})$ ,  $B_1 \cup (T - T_{i,j+1})$ , and  $B_2 \cup (T - T_{i,j+2})$  (where j counts modulo 3) are in  $\mathcal{F}$  (by Corollary 5.4, and the fact that  $|T - T_{i,j}| \leq |T| - (k+3)$ ) and cover every vertex in N exactly twice. Using such triples for i = 1, ..., K and j = 1, 2, 3, we cover every vertex exactly  $6K \geq 2^k \geq r$  times and every set appears at most once. If r < 6K and is even, then we use not all triples.

If r is odd, then we pick a full pair (A, N-A). There are at most two triples  $(B \cup (T-T_{i,j}), B_1 \cup (T-T_{i,j+1}), B_2 \cup (T-T_{i,j+2}))$  containing A or N-A. Then we cover the set N once by the sets A and N-A and r-1 times by  $\frac{r-1}{2} \leq 3K-2$  triples  $(B \cup (T-T_{i,j}), B_1 \cup (T-T_{i,j+1}), B_2 \cup (T-T_{i,j+2}))$  containing neither A nor N-A. This contradicts the choice of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 5.9.** |Q| < 4k + 4.

**Proof.** Suppose  $|Q| \ge 4k + 4$ . By Lemma 5.8, Q contains a solid 1-edge  $\{a\}$ . Let  $Q - a = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{4k+3}, \dots, b_{|Q|-1}\}$ . By Lemma 5.7, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 4k+3$ , we can find  $B_i$  with  $2 \le |B_i| \le 3$  such that  $B_i \cap Q = \{b_i\}$ . Let  $L := N - a - \bigcup_{i=1}^{4k+3} B_i$ . By definition,  $|\bigcup_{i=1}^{4k+3} B_i| \le 12k + 9$ . Since  $n \ge 13k + 13$ ,  $|L| \ge 13k + 3 - 1 - (12k + 9) = k + 3$ . Let  $L' \subseteq L$  with |L'| = k + 3. Let M = N - L'. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  contains at least n - 4k - 5 edges  $\{a_1\}, \{a_2\}, \dots, \{a_{n-4k-5}\}$  such that all  $M - a_i$  are also in  $\mathcal{F}$ , since  $a \in M - a_i$  and  $k + 3 \le |M - a_i| \le |T| - k - 3$ . Recall that for each  $i = 1, \dots, 4k + 3$ ,  $B_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $M - B_i \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $r \le n - 1$ , the edges  $\{a_1\}, \dots, \{a_{r+1-4k-5}\}, M - a_1, \dots, M - a_{r+1-4k-5}, B_1, \dots, B_{4k+3}, M - B_1, \dots, M - B_{4k+3}, M$  form an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction. ■

**Lemma 5.10.** If  $\{a\}$  is a solid 1-edge and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $a \notin B$ , then  $|B \cap T| < \lceil \log k \rceil + 5$ .

**Proof.** If there is a set B with  $a \notin B$  and  $|B \cap T| \ge \lceil \log k \rceil + 5$ , then by Lemma 5.2, we can find  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{8k} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $B_i \subset B$ ,  $B \cap Q = B_i \cap Q$ , since  $2^{\lceil \log k \rceil + 4} - (\lceil \log k \rceil + 5) \ge 8k$ . Let  $X \subset N - (B \cup Q)$  with |X| = k + 3 and let M = N - X. Since at least n - 3k - 2 - (k + 3) = n - 4k - 5 of 1-edges  $\{a_i\}$  are in M, the sets  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{4k+4}, M - B_1, \ldots, B - B_{4k+4}, \{a_1\}, \{a_2\}, \ldots, \{a_{r-4k-5}\}, M - a_1, M - a_2, \ldots, M - a_{r-4k-5}, M$  form an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 5.11.** There are at most 4k + 3 sets  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  such that no  $A_i$  is a 1-edge and no solid 1-edge a is contained in  $A_i$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that there are 4k + 4 such sets  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{4k+4}$ . Then by Lemma 5.10,

$$\left|T\cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{4k+4}A_i\right|\leq (4k+4)(\lceil\log k\rceil+5)\leq |T|-k-3.$$

Thus, as in the proof of Lemma 5.10, we can find an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$  by using  $A_i$  instead of  $B_i$ .

**Lemma 5.12.** If  $\{a\}$  is a solid 1-edge, then there is at most one  $D \notin \mathcal{F}$  with  $a \in D$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $D_1, D_2 \notin \mathcal{F}$  with  $a \in D_1 \cap D_2$ . By Lemma 5.10,  $|D_i \cap T| \ge |T| - k + 3$  for i = 1, 2. So,  $|D_1 \cap D_2 \cap T| \ge |T| - 2k - 6$ .

By Lemmas 5.10 and 5.11, at least  $|T| - (4k+4)(\log k + 6)$  elements in T are covered only by 1-edges and sets containing a.

By (6),  $|T| - (4k + 4)(\log k + 6) - 2k - 6 > 0$ . So there is  $c \in D_1 \cap D_2$  such that c is not covered by any edge of size at least 2 not containing a. Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is (n, r)-strange, Then at most  $2^{n-1} + 1 - 2 = 2^{n-1} - 1$  edges of  $\mathcal{F}$  contain c. Thus

the family  $\mathcal{F}_c = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : c \in A\}$  has at least  $2^{n-2} + r - 1$  edges on n-1 vertices, and by Theorem 1.2 we get an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}'$  which is also a subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction.

**Proof of Theorem 5.1.** By Lemma 5.8,  $\mathcal{F}$  has a solid 1-edge  $\{a\}$ . By Lemma 5.12, there is at most one set  $D \notin \mathcal{F}$  with  $a \in D$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is (n, r)-strange, such D exists and exactly r-1 edges of  $\mathcal{F}$  do not contain a, call them  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{r-1}$ .

Case 1.  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r-1} B_i = N-a$ . Let l be the minimum integer such that we can renumber  $B_1, \ldots, B_{r-1}$  so that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^l B_i = N-a$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_{l+1}, B_{l+2}, \ldots, B_{r-1}\}$ . Let  $C_1 = B_1, C_2 = B_2 - B_1, C_3 = B_3 - B_2 - B_1, \ldots, C_l = B_l - B_1 - B_2 - \cdots - B_{l-1}$ . By the minimality of l,  $C_i \neq \emptyset$  for every  $i = 1, \ldots, l$ . By construction,  $\{C_1, \ldots, C_l\}$  is a partition of N-a.

For every  $i=1,\ldots,l$ , there are  $2^{|C_i|}-2$  ways to choose a nonempty proper subset A of  $C_i$ . By Lemma 2.2, for each proper subset A of  $C_i$ , one of A and  $B_i-A$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and hence it is in  $\mathcal{B}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{B}$  contains at least  $\frac{1}{2}(2^{|C_i|}-2)=2^{|C_i|-1}-1\geq |C_i|-1$  sets B such that (i)  $0<|B\cap C_i|<|C_i|$  and (ii)  $B\cap C_j=\emptyset$  for all  $i+1\leq j\leq l$ . Since all  $C_i$ s are disjoint, we conclude that  $|\mathcal{B}|\geq \sum_{i=1}^l(|C_i|-1)=n-1-l$ . Together with  $B_1,B_2,\ldots,B_l$ , we have at least n-1 members of  $\mathcal{F}$  not containing a. This contradicts the fact that  $\mathcal{F}$  has only  $r-1\leq n-2$  sets not containing a.

Case 2. There is  $y \in N - a - \bigcup_{i=1}^{r-1} B_i$ . Since  $N - D \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $a \notin N - D$ ,  $y \notin N - D$ . So,  $y \in D$ . Thus y belongs to at most  $2^{n-2} - 1$  members of  $\mathcal{F}$  containing a and to none not containing a. So, the family  $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} - y$  has at least  $2^{n-1} + r - 2 - (2^{n-2} - 1) = 2^{n-2} + r - 1$  members. By Theorem 1.2,  $\mathcal{F}'$  has an r-regular subgraph, which is also a subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction.

#### 6. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is (n,r)-strange hypergraph on N. By Theorem 5.1,

(7) every  $S \subseteq N$  with  $|S| \ge n - 15k - 5$  contains some  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $|A| \ge 2$ .

Let  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_l$  be the 1-edges not in full pairs. Let  $N_1 = N - B_1 - B_2 - \cdots - B_l$ . By Lemma 5.5,  $|N_1| \ge n - k$ . So, by (7),  $N_1$  contains some  $B_{l+1} \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $|B_{l+1}| \ge 2$ . Then by Lemma 2.2, we can choose such  $B_{l+1}$  with  $2 \le |B_{l+1}| \le 3$ . Let  $N_2 = N_1 - B_{l+1}$ . Since  $|N_2| \ge (n - k) - 3$ , again by (7) and Lemma 2.2,  $N_2$  contains some  $B_{l+2} \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $2 \le |B_{l+2}| \le 3$ . Similarly, we find  $B_{l+3}, \ldots, B_{5k+2}$ . Since at least n - 4k - 2 of 1-edges are in full pairs, by Lemma 5.6, at most 4k + 1 full pairs have no 1-edges. Among the at most 8k + 2 sets in these full pairs, at most 4k + 1 of the sets are in  $\{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{5k+2}\}$ , since  $|B_i| \le 3$  and  $n \ge 425$ . Thus some k + 1 sets among  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{5k+2}$  are not in full pairs. Call them

 $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{k+1}$ . Then for any  $I \subset [k+1]$ ,  $A_I = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ , otherwise  $\overline{A_I}$  and  $\{A_j : j \in I\}$  together with r-1 full pairs yield an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F}$  contains  $2^{k+1-1} \geq r$  different pairs of edges of the kind  $A_I, A_{[k+1]-I}$ . They form an r-regular subgraph of  $\mathcal{F}$  covering  $A_{[k+1]}$ , a contradiction.

#### References

- [1] N. Alon, S. Friedland, and G. Kalai, Regular subgraphs of almost regular graphs, J. Combin. Theory (B) 37 (1984) 79-91.
  doi:10.1016/0095-8956(84)90047-9
- [2] M. Kano, Regular subgraphs of a regular graph, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 576 (1989) 281–284.
  doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1989.tb16409.x
- [3] D. Mubayi and J. Verstraëte, Two-regular subgraphs of hypergraphs, J. Combin. Theory (B) 99 (2009) 643–655. doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2008.10.005
- [4] L. Pyber, Regular subgraphs of dense graphs, Combinatorica 5 (1985) 347–349. doi:10.1007/BF02579250
- [5] L. Pyber, V. Rödl, and E. Szemerédi, Dense graphs without 3-regular subgraphs, J. Combin. Theory (B) 63 (1995) 41–54.
  doi:10.1006/jctb.1995.1004
- V. Rödl and B. Wysocka, Note on regular subgraphs, J. Graph Theory 24 (1997) 139–154.
  doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0118(199702)24:2(139::AID-JGT2)3.0.CO;2-R
- [7] V.A. Tashkinov, 3-regular subgraphs of 4-regular graphs, Mat. Zametki **36** (1984) 239–259..
- [8] V.A. Tashkinov, Regular parts of regular pseudographs, Mat. Zametki 43 (1988)) 263–275.

Received 20 June 2012 Revised 30 January 2013 Accepted 31 January 2013