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#### Abstract

Let $n \geq 3$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ be integers. Let $\lambda K_{n}$ denote the complete multigraph with edge-multiplicity $\lambda$. In this paper, we show that there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{2 m}$ for all even $\lambda \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$. Also we show that there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{2 m}-F$ for all odd $\lambda \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$. In fact, our results together with the earlier results (by Walecki and Brualdi and Schroeder) completely settle the existence of symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{n}$ (respectively, $\lambda K_{n}-F$, where $F$ is a 1 -factor of $\lambda K_{n}$ ) which exist if and only if $\lambda(n-1)$ is even (respectively, $\lambda(n-1)$ is odd), except the non-existence cases $n \equiv 0$ or $6(\bmod 8)$ when $\lambda=1$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $n \geq 3$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ be integers. Let $\lambda K_{n}$ denote the complete multigraph obtained from the complete graph $K_{n}$ by replacing each edge with $\lambda$ edges. A partition of $\lambda G$ into edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles is called Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda G$. A Hamilton cycle decomposition $\mathcal{H}$ of $G$ is cyclic if $V(G)=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, and $\left(v_{0}+1, v_{1}+1, \ldots, v_{n-1}+1\right) \in \mathcal{H}$ whenever $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right) \in \mathcal{H}$. It is $1-$ rotational if $V(G)=\mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \cup\{\infty\}$, and $\left(v_{0}+1, v_{1}+1, \ldots, v_{n-1}+1\right) \in \mathcal{H}$ whenever $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right) \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\infty+1=\infty$ is meaningful. Let the vertex set of $\lambda K_{n}$ be labeled as follows:

$$
V\left(\lambda K_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\{0,1,2,3, \ldots, m, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \ldots, \bar{m}\}, & \text { if } n \text { is odd, say } n=2 m+1 \\ \{1,2,3, \ldots, m, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \ldots, \bar{m}\}, & \text { if } n \text { is even, say } n=2 m\end{cases}
$$

A Hamilton cycle (or a 2 -factor) of $\lambda K_{n}$ or $\lambda K_{n}-F$ is said to be symmetric if it is invariant under the involution $i \rightarrow \bar{i}$, where $\overline{\bar{i}}=i$ and the vertex 0 is a fixed point of this involution. A Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{2 n+1}$ (respectively, $\lambda K_{2 n}$ ) is symmetric if it admits an involutory automorphism fixing all its cycles and fixing exactly one vertex (respectively, fixing no vertices). Also a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{2 n+1}-F$ is symmetric if it admits an involutary automorphism switching all pairs of vertices that are adjacent in $F$. A symmetric Hamilton cycle (or a 2 -factor) in $K_{n, n}$ with bipartition $\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$ and $\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \ldots, \bar{n}\}$ containing the edge $i \bar{j}$ should also contain $\bar{i} j$. The cartesian product, $G_{1} \square G_{2}$, of the graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ has the vertex set $V\left(G_{1}\right) \times V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and edge set $E\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)=\left\{\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right) \mid u_{1}=u_{2}\right.$ and $v_{1} v_{2} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)$ or $v_{1}=v_{2}$ and $\left.u_{1} u_{2} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)\right\}$.

Buratti and Del Fra [6] proved that a cyclic Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{n}$ exists if and only if $n \neq 15$ and $n \notin\left\{p^{\alpha} \mid p\right.$ is an odd prime and $\left.\alpha \geq 2\right\}$. Jordon and Morris [9] proved that for an even $n \geq 4$, there exists a cyclic Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{n}-F$ if and only if $n \equiv 2,4(\bmod 8)$ and $n \neq 2 p^{\alpha}$ where $p$ is an odd prime and $\alpha \geq 1$. Buratti et al. [5] completely solved the existence of cyclic Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{n}$ and of $\lambda\left(K_{2 n}-F\right)$ for every $\lambda$. In general, finding necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of cyclic $m$-cycle decomposition of $K_{n}$ is an interesting problem and has received much attention in recent days.

Walecki [10] proved the existence of a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{n}$ (when $n$ is odd) and $K_{n}-F$ (when $n$ is even), where $F$ is a 1-factor of $K_{n}$. Further, it is easy to observe that the addition by $\frac{n-1}{2}$ gives an involutory map fixing every cycle of the decomposition to be symmetric. Akiyama [1] et al. also constructed a new symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{n}$ for odd $n>7$, but is not isomorphic to Walecki decomposition.

Brualdi and Schroeder [4] proved that $K_{n}-F$ has a decomposition into Hamilton cycles which are symmetric with respect to the 1-factor $F$ if and only if $n \equiv 2$ or $4(\bmod 8)$, and also show that the complete bipartite graph $K_{n, n}$ (respectively $K_{n, n}-F$ ) has a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition if and only if $n$ is even (respectively $n$ is odd). As Hamilton/ symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{n}$ for even $n$ does not exists, considering the existence of such decomposition in $\lambda K_{n}$ gets merit (for suitable $\lambda$ and $n$ ), since it covers a wider class of graphs.

Recently, Buratti and Merola [7] observed that every cyclic Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{2 n}$ or $\lambda K_{2 n}-F$ whose cycles having stabilizer of even order is, in particular symmetric: the required involutory automorphism would be in fact the addition by $n$, and also pointed that the existence of a symmetric Hamilton
cycle decomposition of $K_{n}-F$ for $n \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$ (part of the main result of the paper by Brualdi and Schroeder [4]) implicitly follows from the result of Jordon and Morris [9]. Also, the result of Buratti et al. [5] gives, implicitly, the existence of a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2 K_{4 m}, m \geq 1$.

In this paper, we show that there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{2 m}$ for all even $\lambda \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$. Also we show that there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{2 m}-F$ for all odd $\lambda \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$. In fact, our results together with the results of Walecki, Brualdi and Schroeder prove that the complete multigraph $\lambda K_{n}$ ( respectively, $\left.\lambda K_{n}-F\right)$ has a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition if and only if $\lambda(n-1)$ is even (respectively, $\lambda(n-1)$ is odd) except the non-existence cases $n \equiv 0$ or $6(\bmod 8)$ when $\lambda=1$, which were proved by Brualdi and Schroeder.

## 2. Notation and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation:

- $V\left(\lambda K_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\{0,1,2,3, \ldots, r, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \ldots, \bar{r}\}, & \text { if } n \text { is odd, say } n=2 r+1 ; \\ \{1,2,3, \ldots, r, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \ldots, \bar{r}\}, & \text { if } n \text { is even, say } n=2 r .\end{cases}$
- $\lambda K_{r}^{\star}$ is the complete multigraph with the vertex set $\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$.
- $\lambda \bar{K}_{r}^{\star}$ is the complete multigraph with the vertex set $\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \bar{r}\}$.
- $\lambda K_{2 s, 2 s}$ is the complete bipartite multigraph with bipartition $\{1,2, \ldots, 2 s\}$ and $\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 s}\}$.
- $(1,2, \ldots, m, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \bar{m})$ denotes a symmetric cycle of length $2 m$.
- For our convenience, we view $\lambda K_{2 r}, \lambda K_{2 r}-F$ as follows:
(i) $\lambda K_{2 r}=\lambda K_{r}^{\star} \oplus \lambda K_{r, r} \oplus \lambda \bar{K}_{r}^{\star}$
(ii) $\lambda K_{2 r}-F=\lambda K_{r}^{\star} \oplus \lambda K_{r, r}-F \oplus \lambda \bar{K}_{r}^{\star}$, where $F=\left\{i \bar{i} \in E\left(K_{r, r}\right) \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq r\}$.
- $F^{\prime}$ denotes the 1-factor $\left\{i(\overline{s+i}),(s+i) \bar{i} \in E\left(K_{2 s, 2 s}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 s\right\}$ of $K_{2 s, 2 s}$.
- I denotes the 1 -factor $\left\{i(s+i) \in E\left(K_{2 s}^{\star}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\right\}$ of $K_{2 s}^{\star}$.
- $\bar{I}$ denotes the 1 -factor $\left\{\bar{i}(\overline{s+i}) \in E\left(\bar{K}_{2 s}^{\star}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\right\}$ of $\bar{K}_{2 s}^{\star}$.

To prove our results we state the following.
Proposition 1 [1]. Let $p \geq 7$ be a prime. There exists a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\mathcal{G}_{p}$ of $K_{p}$ which is not isomorphic to the Walecki's decomposition $\mathcal{W}_{p}$ of $K_{p}$.

Theorem 2 [1]. Let $n>7$ be an odd integer. There exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{n}$ which is not isomorphic to the Walecki's Hamilton cycle decomposition $\mathcal{W}_{n}$. Further, it is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ when $n$ is a prime .

Theorem 3 [4]. For each integer $m \geq 1$, there exist a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{2 m, 2 m}$, and $K_{2 m+1,2 m+1}-F$, where $F$ is a 1 -factor.

Theorem 4 [4]. Let $n>2$ be an integer. Then $K_{n}-F$ has a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 2,4(\bmod 8)$.

Remark 5 [4]. Consider the complete bipartite graph $K_{2 m, 2 m}$ with $V\left(K_{2 m, 2 m}\right)=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, 2 m, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m}\}$. Let $E_{k}=\left\{a \bar{b} \in E\left(K_{2 m, 2 m}\right) \mid a+b \equiv k(\bmod 2 m)\right\}$. Clearly, each $S_{i}=E_{2 i} \cup E_{2 i+1}$ is a symmetric Hamilton cycle of $K_{2 m, 2 m}$ and $\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{m}\right\}$ gives a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{2 m, 2 m}$. Note that each $S_{i}$ contain the edges $\{i(\overline{i+1}), \bar{i}(i+1),(\overline{m+i})(m+i+1),(m+$ $i)(\overline{m+i+1}), i \bar{i},(m+i)(\overline{m+i})\}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ and the additions are taken with modulo $2 m$.

Remark 6. Let $V\left(K_{2 m}^{\star}\right)=\{1,2, \ldots, 2 m\}$. Then $H=(1,2,2 m, 3,2 m-1,4,2 m-$ $2, \ldots, m+2, m+1,1)=\left\{a b \in E\left(K_{2 m}^{\star}\right) \mid a+b \equiv 2\right.$ or $\left.3(\bmod 2 m)\right\}$ is a Hamilton cycle of $K_{2 m}^{\star}$. Now we define an injective map $f_{i}:\{1,2,3, \ldots, 2 m\} \rightarrow$ $\{1,2,3, \ldots, 2 m\}, 1 \leq i \leq 2 m-1$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i}(1)=1, \\
& f_{i}(x)= \begin{cases}x+i-1, & \text { if } x \in\{2,3, \ldots, 2 m-i+1\} ; \\
x-2 m+i, & \text { if } x \in\{2 m-i+2,2 m-i+3, \ldots, 2 m\} .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $H_{i}=f_{i}(H)$. Then $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{2 m-1}\right\},\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{H_{m+1}\right.$, $\left.H_{m+2}, \ldots, H_{2 m-1}\right\}$ respectively give a Hamilton cycle decomposition of multigraphs $2 K_{2 m}^{\star}, K_{2 m}^{\star} \oplus I$ and $K_{2 m}^{\star}-I$, where $I=\left\{i(m+i) \in E\left(K_{2 m}^{\star}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$. Note that each $H_{i}$ contain the edges $\{i(i+1),(m+i)(m+i+1)\}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ (see Figure 1).

Also we observe that the Hamilton cycle decompositions given above will imply a 1-rotational Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2 K_{2 m}^{\star}, K_{2 m}^{\star} \oplus I$ and $K_{2 m}^{\star}-I$ by just replacing the symbols 1 by $\infty$ and $x, 2 \leq x \leq 2 m$, by $x-1$.

## 3. Complete Multigraphs

In this section, we investigate the existence of a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of complete multigraph $\lambda K_{n}$, when $\lambda(n-1)$ is even. Since the symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{n}$, when $n$ odd, exists from the well known Walecki's construction [10], our main focus is to find a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2 K_{2 m}$.


Figure 1. $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}, \ldots, H_{2 m-1}$ of $K_{2 m+1}$.

Lemma 7. For all integers $m \geq 1$, there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{2 m} \square K_{2}$.

Proof. Let $V\left(K_{2 m}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2 m}\right\}$ and $V\left(K_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. For our convenience, we denote $V\left(K_{2 m} \square K_{2}\right)=\bigcup_{s=1}^{2} V_{s}$, where $V_{1}=\left\{i \mid i=\left(u_{i}, v_{1}\right), 1 \leq i \leq\right.$ $2 m\}, V_{2}=\left\{\bar{i} \mid \bar{i}=\left(u_{i}, v_{2}\right), 1 \leq i \leq 2 m\right\}$ and $E\left(K_{2 m} \square K_{2}\right)=\{i j, \bar{i} \bar{j}, i \bar{i} \mid i \neq$ $j, i, j=1,2, \ldots, 2 m\}$. For $1 \leq k \leq 2 m, 1 \leq l \leq m$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{k} & =\left\{i j \in E\left(K_{2 m} \square K_{2}\right) \mid i \neq j, i+j \equiv k(\bmod 2 m)\right\}, \\
\bar{E}_{k} & =\left\{\bar{i} \bar{j} \in E\left(K_{2 m} \square K_{2}\right) \mid i \neq j, i+j \equiv k(\bmod 2 m)\right\}, \\
J_{l} & =\left\{\bar{i} \in E\left(K_{2 m} \square K_{2}\right) \mid 2 i \equiv 2 l(\bmod 2 m)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $E_{2 l} \cup E_{2 l+1}$ and $\bar{E}_{2 l} \cup \bar{E}_{2 l+1}$ are Hamilton paths with end vertices $l$, $m+l$ and $\bar{l}, \overline{m+l}$ of $K_{2 m}^{\star}$ and $\bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star}$ respectively. For each $l, 1 \leq l \leq m$, we define $H_{l}=E_{2 l} \cup E_{2 l+1} \cup J_{l} \cup \bar{E}_{2 l} \cup \bar{E}_{2 l+1}$. Clearly, each $H_{l}$ is a symmetric Hamilton cycle and $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}\right\}$ gives a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{2 m} \square K_{2}$.

Lemma 8. For all integers $m \geq 1$, there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2\left(K_{2 m+1} \square K_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $V\left(K_{2 m+1}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots, u_{2 m+1}\right\}$ and $V\left(K_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. We denote $V\left(K_{2 m+1} \square K_{2}\right)=\bigcup_{s=1}^{2} V_{s}$ where $V_{1}=\left\{i \mid i=\left(u_{i}, v_{1}\right), 1 \leq i \leq 2 m\right\}$, $V_{2}=\left\{\bar{i} \mid \bar{i}=\left(u_{i}, v_{2}\right), 1 \leq i \leq 2 m\right\}$ and $E\left(K_{2 m+1} \square K_{2}\right)=\{i j, \bar{i} \bar{j}, i \bar{i} \mid, i \neq j, i, j=$ $1,2, \ldots, 2 m+1\}$.

For all $k, 1 \leq k \leq 2 m+1$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{k} & =\left\{i j \in E\left(K_{2 m+1} \square K_{2}\right) \mid i \neq j, i+j \equiv k(\bmod 2 m+1)\right\} \\
\bar{E}_{k} & =\left\{\bar{i} \bar{j} \in E\left(K_{2 m+1} \square K_{2}\right) \mid i \neq j, i+j \equiv k(\bmod 2 m+1)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $E_{2 l} \cup E_{2 l+1}, E_{2 l-1} \cup E_{2 l}$ and $E_{1} \cup E_{2 m+1}$ are Hamilton paths of $K_{2 m}^{\star}$ with end vertices $l, m+1+l ; l, m+l$; and $m+1,2 m+l$ respectively. Similarly, $\bar{E}_{2 l} \cup \bar{E}_{2 l+1}, \bar{E}_{2 l-1} \cup \bar{E}_{2 l}$ and $\bar{E}_{1} \cup \bar{E}_{2 m+1}$ are Hamilton paths of $\bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star}$ with end vertices $\bar{l}, \overline{m+1+l} ; \bar{l}, \overline{m+l}$; and $\overline{m+1}, \overline{2 m+l}$ respectively.

For each $l, 1 \leq l \leq m$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{l} & =E_{2 l} \cup E_{2 l+1} \cup\{l \bar{l},(m+1+l)(\overline{m+1+l})\} \cup \bar{E}_{2 l} \cup \bar{E}_{2 l+1} \\
H_{l}^{\prime} & =E_{2 l-1} \cup E_{2 l} \cup\{l \bar{l},(m+l)(\overline{m+l})\} \cup \bar{E}_{2 l-1} \cup \bar{E}_{2 l} \\
H_{2 m+1} & =E_{1} \cup E_{2 m+1} \cup\{(2 m+1)(\overline{2 m+1}),(m+1)(\overline{m+1})\} \cup \bar{E}_{1} \cup \bar{E}_{2 m+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, each $H_{l}, H_{l}^{\prime}$ are symmetric Hamilton cycles and $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}, H_{1}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.H_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, H_{m}^{\prime}, H_{2 m+1}\right\}$ gives a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2\left(K_{2 m+1}\right.$ $\left.\square K_{2}\right)$.

Remark 9. Note that the symmetric Hamilton cycles $H_{l}$ and $H_{l}^{\prime}, 1 \leq l \leq m$ obtained in Lemma 8 contain the edges $\{l(l+1), \bar{l}(\overline{l+1})\}$ and $\{(2 m+l+1)(2 m+$ $1+l+1),(\overline{2 m+l+1})(\overline{2 m+1+l+1})\}$ respectively.

Note 10. It is observed that for every Hamilton path decomposition of $K_{2 m}$ we can find a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{2 m, 2 m}$ and $K_{2 m} \square K_{2}$, also to every Hamilton path decomposition of $2 K_{2 m+1}$ we can find a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2\left(K_{2 m+1} \square K_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 11. For all integers $m \geq 1$, there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2 K_{4 m+2}$.

Proof. Let $V\left(2 K_{4 m+2}\right)=\{1,2, \ldots, 2 m+1, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m+1}\}$. Now the complete multigraph $2 K_{4 m+2}$ can be viewed as follows: $2 K_{4 m+2}=2\left(K_{2 m+1} \square K_{2}\right) \oplus$ $2\left(K_{2 m+1,2 m+1}-F\right)$, where $F=\left\{i \bar{i} \in E\left(K_{2 m+1,2 m+1}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 m+1\right\}$ is a 1-factor of $K_{2 m+1,2 m+1}$. We know that $2\left(K_{2 m+1} \square K_{2}\right)$ and $\left(K_{2 m+1,2 m+1}-F\right)$ have symmetric Hamilton cycle decompositions by Lemma 8 and Theorem 3, respectively.

We recall that Buratti and Merola [7] observed that every cyclic Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{2 n}$ or $\lambda K_{2 n}-F$ whose cycles have stabilizer of even order is, in particular symmetric: the required involutory automorphism would be in fact the addition by $n$. So the result of Buratti et al. [5] deduce the existence of a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2 K_{4 m}, m \geq 1$.

The next construction provides an alternative proof for the existence of a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2 K_{4 m}, m \geq 1$ which is implicitly contained in Buratti et al. ([[5], Lemma 3.5]).
Theorem 12. For all integers $m \geq 1$, there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2 K_{4 m}$.
Proof. Let $V\left(2 K_{4 m}\right)=\{1,2, \ldots, 2 m, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m}\}$. For $m=1$ the graph is $2 K_{4}$. Clearly, $\{(1, \overline{2}, 2, \overline{1}),(1,2, \overline{1}, \overline{2}),(1, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, 2)\}$ gives a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2 K_{4}$.

For $m \geq 2$, we write $2 K_{4 m}=2 K_{2 m}^{\star} \oplus K_{2 m, 2 m} \oplus K_{2 m, 2 m}^{\prime} \oplus 2 \bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star}$. Now the idea of decomposing $2 K_{4 m}$ into symmetric Hamilton cycles is as follows: First we decompose $K_{2 m, 2 m}$ and $K_{2 m, 2 m}^{\prime}$ into symmetric Hamilton cycles $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{m}$ and $S_{1}^{\prime}, S_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, S_{m}^{\prime}$, and $2 K_{2 m}^{\star}, 2 \bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star}$ into Hamilton cycles $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{2 m-1}\right\}$, $\left\{H_{1}^{\prime}, H_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, H_{2 m-1}^{\prime}\right\}$ respectively. Then by decomposing each $H_{i} \oplus S_{i} \oplus H_{i}^{\prime}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $H_{m+j} \oplus S_{j}^{\prime} \oplus H_{m+j}^{\prime}, 1 \leq j \leq m-1$ into symmetric Hamilton cycles $C_{1}^{i}, C_{2}^{i}$ and $D_{1}^{i}, D_{2}^{i}$ respectively, we get the symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition $\left\{C_{1}^{1}, C_{1}^{2}, \ldots, C_{1}^{m}, C_{2}^{1}, C_{2}^{2}, \ldots, C_{2}^{m}, D_{1}^{1}, D_{1}^{2}, \ldots, D_{1}^{m-1}, D_{2}^{1}, D_{2}^{2}, \ldots, D_{2}^{m-1}, S_{m}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $2 K_{4 m}$.


Figure 2. Symmetric Hamilton cycles $C_{1}^{i}$ and $C_{2}^{i}$ from $H_{i} \oplus S_{i} \oplus \bar{H}_{i}$.
We know by Remark 5 that $2 K_{2 m, 2 m}$ has a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition $\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{m}, S_{1}^{\prime}, S_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, S_{m}^{\prime}\right\}$ such that both $S_{i}$ and $S_{i}^{\prime}$ contain the edges $\{i(\overline{i+1}), \bar{i}(i+1),(\overline{m+i})(m+i+1),(m+i)(\overline{m+i+1}), i \bar{i},(m+i)(\overline{m+i})\}$. Furthermore, by Remark $6,2 K_{2 m}^{\star}$ has a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.H_{2 m-1}\right\}$ such that each $H_{i}$ contain the edges $\{i(i+1),(m+i)(m+i+1)\}$. Similarly, let $\left\{\bar{H}_{1}, \bar{H}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{H}_{2 m-1}\right\}$ be a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2 \bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star}$ such that each $\bar{H}_{i}$ contain the edges $\{\bar{i}(\overline{i+1}),(\overline{m+i})(\overline{m+i+1})\}$.

Now we define $C_{1}^{i}, C_{2}^{i}$ from $H_{i} \oplus S_{i} \oplus \bar{H}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}^{i}=\left(H_{i} \backslash\{i(i+1)\}\right) \cup\left(\bar{H}_{i} \backslash\{\bar{i}(\overline{i+1})\}\right) \oplus\{i(\overline{i+1}), \bar{i}(i+1)\}, \\
& C_{2}^{i}=\left(S_{i} \backslash\{i(\overline{i+1}), \bar{i}(i+1)\}\right) \oplus\{i(i+1), \bar{i}(\overline{i+1})\}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3. Symmetric Hamilton cycles $D_{1}^{i}$ and $D_{2}^{i}$ from $H_{i} \oplus S_{j}^{\prime} \oplus \bar{H}_{i}$.
Now we define $D_{1}^{j}$, $D_{2}^{j}$ from $H_{m+j} \oplus S_{j}^{\prime} \oplus \bar{H}_{m+j}, 1 \leq j \leq m-1$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1}^{j}= & \left(H_{m+j} \backslash\{(m+j)(m+j+1)\}\right) \cup\left(\bar{H}_{m+j} \backslash\{\overline{(m+j)}(\overline{m+j+1})\}\right) \\
& \oplus\{(m+j)(\overline{m+j+1}),(\overline{m+j})(m+j+1)\} \\
D_{2}^{j}= & \left(S_{j}^{\prime} \backslash\{(m+j)(\overline{m+j+1}), \overline{(m+j)}(m+j+1)\}\right) \\
& \oplus\{(m+j)(m+j+1), \overline{m+j}(\overline{m+j+1})\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that $C_{1}^{i}, C_{2}^{i}, D_{1}^{j}$ and $D_{2}^{j}$ are edge-disjoint symmetric Hamilton cycles of $2 K_{4 m}$, (see Figures 2 and 3 ). Hence $\left\{C_{1}^{i}, C_{2}^{i}, D_{1}^{j}, D_{2}^{j}, S_{m}^{\prime} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq\right.$ $j \leq m-1\}$ gives a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2 K_{4 m}$.

Theorem 13. For all $\lambda \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $n \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \geq 4$, there exists $a$ symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{n}$.

Proof. Follows from Theorems 11 and 12.

## 4. Complete Multigraph Minus a 1-factor

In this section, we investigate the existence of symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{n}-F$, when $\lambda K_{n}$ has odd regularity.

Theorem 14. For all $\lambda \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $n \equiv 2$ or $4(\bmod 8)$, there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{n}-F$.

Proof. We can write $\lambda K_{n}-F=(\lambda-1) K_{n} \oplus K_{n}-F$. Since both $n$ and $\lambda-1$ are even, $(\lambda-1) K_{n}$ and $\left(K_{n}-F\right)$ have a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition by Theorems 13 and 4 respectively.

Theorem 15. For all $n \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$, there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $3 K_{n}-F$.

Proof. Let $n=8 m+6$ and $V\left(3 K_{8 m+6}\right)=\{1,2, \ldots, 4 m+3, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{4 m+3}\}$. For $m=0$, the graph is $3 K_{6}-F$. Clearly $\{(1, \overline{2}, 3, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{3}),(1, \overline{2}, 3, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{3})$, $(1,2,3, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}),(1, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{1}, 2,3),(1,3,2, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{1}),(1,2, \overline{2}, \overline{1}, \overline{3}, 3),(1,2, \overline{3}, 3, \overline{2}, \overline{1})\}$ gives a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $3 K_{6}-F$, where $F=\{1 \overline{1}, 2 \overline{2}, 3 \overline{3}\}$ is a 1 -factor.

Now we construct a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $3 K_{n}-F$ for $n \geq 14$ as follows: For $1 \leq k \leq 4 m+3,1 \leq i \leq 2 m+1$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{i}= & F_{2 i} \cup F_{2 i+1} \cup\{(4 m+3) i,(\overline{4 m+3}) \bar{i},(\overline{4 m+3})(2 m+1+i) \\
& (4 m+3)(\overline{2 m+1+i})\} \cup F_{2 i}^{\prime} \cup F_{2 i+1}^{\prime} \\
S_{i}= & E_{2 i} \cup E_{2 i+1} \cup\{(4 m+3) \bar{i},(\overline{4 m+3}) i,(4 m+3)(2 m+1+i), \\
& (\overline{4 m+3})(\overline{2 m+1+i})\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{k} & =\left\{a \bar{b} \in E\left(K_{4 m+2,4 m+2}\right) \mid a \neq b, a+b \equiv k(\bmod 4 m+2)\right\} \\
F_{k} & =\left\{a b \in E\left(K_{4 m+2}^{\star}\right) \mid a+b \equiv k(\bmod 4 m+2)\right\} \\
F_{k}^{\prime} & =\left\{\bar{a} \bar{b} \in E\left(\bar{K}_{4 m+2}^{\star}\right) \mid a+b \equiv k(\bmod 4 m+2)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that each $H_{i}$ is a symmetric Hamilton cycle of $K_{8 m+6}-$ $F$ and each $S_{i}$ is a symmetric 2-factor of $K_{8 m+6}-F$ containing the edges $\{i(\overline{i+1}), \bar{i}(i+1)\}$, where $F=\left\{i \bar{i} \in E\left(K_{4 m+3,4 m+3}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4 m+3\right\}$ is a 1-factor. So we write $K_{8 m+6}-F=\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{2 m+1} H_{i}\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{2 m+1} S_{i}\right)$. Furthermore, by Lemma $8,2\left(K_{4 m+3} \square K_{2}\right)$ has a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition $\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{2 m+1}, C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{2 m+1}^{\prime}, C_{4 m+3}\right\}$. Now we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 K_{8 m+6}-F= & 2 K_{8 m+6} \oplus\left(K_{8 m+6}-F\right) \\
= & 2\left(K_{4 m+3} \square K_{2}\right) \oplus 2\left(K_{4 m+3,4 m+3}-F\right) \oplus\left(K_{8 m+6}-F\right) \\
= & \left(\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{2 m+1} C_{i}\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{2 m+1} C_{i}^{\prime}\right) \oplus C_{4 m+3}\right) \oplus 2\left(K_{4 m+3,4 m+3}-F\right) \\
& \oplus\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{2 m+1} H_{i}\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{2 m+1} S_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now construct the remaining symmetric Hamilton cycles $D_{1}^{i}, D_{2}^{i}$ from $C_{i} \oplus S_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2 m+1$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1}^{i} & =\left(S_{i} \backslash\{i(\overline{i+1}), \bar{i}(i+1)\}\right) \oplus\{i(i+1), \bar{i}(\overline{i+1})\} \\
D_{2}^{i} & =\left(C_{i} \backslash\{i(i+1), \bar{i}(\overline{i+1})\} \oplus\{i(\overline{i+1}), \bar{i}(i+1)\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

One can check that $D_{1}^{i}, D_{2}^{i}$ are symmetric Hamilton cycles of $2\left(K_{4 m+3} \square K_{2}\right) \oplus$ $K_{8 m+6}-F$. Hence $\left\{D_{1}^{i}, D_{2}^{i}, C_{i}^{\prime}, C_{4 m+3}, H_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 m+1\right\}$ together with the symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $2\left(K_{4 m+3,4 m+3}-F\right)$ which exists by Theorem 3, gives a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $3 K_{8 m+6}-F$.

Lemma 16. The graph $\left(K_{2 m}^{\star} \oplus I\right) \oplus K_{2 m, 2 m}^{\star} \oplus\left(\bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star} \oplus \bar{I}\right)$, where $I=\{i(m+i) \in$ $\left.E\left(K_{2 m}^{\star}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}, \bar{I}=\left\{\bar{i}(\overline{m+i}) \in E\left(\overline{K_{2 m}^{\star}}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ admits a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition for all $m \geq 1$.

Proof. We know by Remark 5 that $K_{2 m, 2 m}$ has a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition $\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{m}\right\}$ such that each $S_{i}$ contain the edges $\{i(\overline{i+1}), \bar{i}(i+$ 1), $(\overline{m+i})(m+i+1),(m+i)(\overline{m+i+1}), i \bar{i},(m+i)(\overline{m+i})\}$. Further by Remark $6, K_{2 m}^{\star} \oplus I$ has a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}\right\}$ such that each $H_{i}$ contain the edges $\{i(i+1),(m+i)(m+i+1)\}$. Similarly, let $\left\{\bar{H}_{1}, \bar{H}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{H}_{m}\right\}$ be a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star} \oplus \bar{I}$ such that each $\bar{H}_{i}$ contain the edges $\{\bar{i}(\overline{i+1}),(\overline{m+i})(\overline{m+i+1})\}$.

For each integer $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, we construct $C_{1}^{i}, C_{2}^{i}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1}^{i} & =\left(H_{i} \backslash\{i(i+1)\}\right) \cup\left(\bar{H}_{i} \backslash\{\bar{i}(\overline{i+1})\}\right) \oplus\{i(\overline{i+1}), \bar{i}(i+1)\} \\
C_{2}^{i} & =\left(S_{i} \backslash\{i(\overline{i+1}), \bar{i}(i+1)\}\right) \oplus\{i(i+1), \bar{i}(\overline{i+1})\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\left\{C_{1}^{i}, C_{2}^{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ gives a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\left(K_{2 m}^{\star} \oplus I\right) \oplus K_{2 m, 2 m} \oplus\left(\overline{K_{2 m}^{\star}} \oplus \bar{I}\right)$.

Lemma 17. The graph $K_{2 m}^{\star} \oplus F^{\prime} \oplus \bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star}$, where $F^{\prime}=\{i(\overline{m+i}), \bar{i}(m+i) \in$ $\left.E\left(K_{2 m, 2 m}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ admits a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition for all $m \geq 1$.

Proof. For $1 \leq l \leq m$, we define $H_{l}=E_{2 l} \cup E_{2 l+1} \cup\{l(\overline{m+l}), \bar{l}(m+l)\} \cup \bar{E}_{2 l} \cup$ $\bar{E}_{2 l+1}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{k} & =\left\{i j \in E\left(K_{2 m}^{\star}\right) \mid i \neq j, i+j \equiv k(\bmod 2 m)\right\} \\
\bar{E}_{k} & =\left\{\bar{i} \bar{j} \in E\left(\bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star}\right) \mid i \neq j, i+j \equiv k(\bmod 2 m)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, each $H_{l}$ is a symmetric Hamilton cycle and $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}\right\}$ gives a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{2 m}^{\star} \oplus F^{\prime} \oplus \bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star}$.

Lemma 18. The graph $K_{2 m, 2 m}-\left\{F, F^{\prime}\right\}$, where $F=\left\{i \bar{i} \in E\left(K_{2 m, 2 m}\right) \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq 2 m\}, F^{\prime}=\left\{i(\overline{m+i}), \bar{i}(m+i) \in E\left(K_{2 m, 2 m}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ admits a $C_{2 m^{-}}$ factorization for all $m \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $V\left(K_{2 m, 2 m}\right)=\{1,2, \ldots, 2 m, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{2 m}\}$. By Remark 6, let $\mathcal{H}=$ $\left\{H_{m+1}, H_{m+2}, \ldots, H_{2 m-1}\right\}$ be a Hamilton cycle decomposition of $K_{2 m}^{\star}-I$, where $I=\left\{i(m+i) \in E\left(K_{2 m}^{\star}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$. Let $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and if $H=(1,2, \ldots, 2 m)$ in $K_{2 m}^{\star}-F$, then we define a 2 -factor $C$ as $C=(1, \overline{2}, 3, \overline{4}, \ldots, \overline{2 m})(\overline{1}, 2, \overline{3}, 4, \ldots, 2 m)$ in $K_{2 m, 2 m}-\left\{F, F^{\prime}\right\}$. So corresponding to each $H_{m+i} \in \mathcal{H}$ we can define a $C^{i}$ as above. Hence $\left\{C^{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m-1\right\}$ gives a $C_{2 m}$-factorization of $K_{2 m, 2 m}-\left\{F, F^{\prime}\right\}$. Since by Remark 6 , each $H_{m+i} \in \mathcal{H}$ contain the edges $\{i(i+1),(m+i)(m+i+1)\}$, $C^{i}$ also contain the edges $\{i(\overline{i+1}), \bar{i}(i+1),(m+i)(\overline{m+i+1}),(\overline{m+i})(m+i+1)\}$.

Lemma 19. The graph $\left(K_{2 m}^{\star}-I\right) \oplus K_{2 m, 2 m}-\left\{F, F^{\prime}\right\} \oplus\left({\overline{K_{2 m}}}_{\star}^{\star}-\bar{I}\right)$, where $I=$ $\left\{i(m+i) \in E\left(K_{2 m}^{\star}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}, \bar{I}=\left\{\bar{i}(\overline{m+i}) \in E\left(\bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$, $F=\left\{i \bar{i} \in E\left(K_{2 m, 2 m}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 m\right\}, F^{\prime}=\left\{i(\overline{m+i}), \bar{i}(m+i) \in E\left(K_{2 m, 2 m}\right) \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq m\}$ admits a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition for all $m \geq 1$.

Proof. We know by Remark 6, $K_{2 m}^{\star}-I$ has a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\left\{H_{m+1}, H_{m+2}, \ldots, H_{2 m-1}\right\}$ such that each $H_{m+i}$ contain the edges $\{i(i+1),(m+$ $i)(m+i+1)\}$. Similarly, $\bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star}-\bar{I}$ has a Hamilton cycle decomposition $\left\{\bar{H}_{m+1}\right.$, $\left.\bar{H}_{m+2}, \ldots, \bar{H}_{2 m-1}\right\}$ such that each $\bar{H}_{m+j}$ contain the edges $\{\bar{j}(\overline{j+1}),(\overline{m+j})$ $(\overline{m+j+1})\}$. Let $\left\{C^{1}, C^{2}, \ldots, C^{m-1}\right\}$ be a $C_{2 m}$-factorization of $K_{2 m, 2 m}-\left\{F, F^{\prime}\right\}$ as obtained in Lemma 18. Note that each factor $C^{j}$ contain the edges $\{j(\overline{j+1})$, $\bar{j}(j+1),(m+j)(\overline{m+j+1}),(\overline{m+j})(m+j+1)\}$.

For each integer $j, 1 \leq j \leq m-1$, we construct symmetric Hamilton cycles $D_{1}^{j}, D_{2}^{j}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1}^{j} & =\left(H_{j} \backslash\{j(j+1)\}\right) \cup\left(\bar{H}_{j} \backslash\{\bar{j}(\overline{j+1})\}\right) \oplus\{j(\overline{j+1}), \bar{j}(j+1)\} \\
D_{2}^{j} & =\left(C^{j} \backslash\{j(\overline{j+1}), \bar{j}(j+1)\}\right) \oplus\{j(j+1), \bar{j}(\overline{j+1})\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left\{D_{1}^{j}, D_{2}^{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq m-1\right\}$ gives a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\left(K_{2 m}^{\star}-I\right) \oplus K_{2 m, 2 m}-\left\{F, F^{\prime}\right\} \oplus\left(\bar{K}_{2 m}^{\star}-\bar{I}\right)$.

Theorem 20. For all $n \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$, there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $3 K_{n}-F$.

Proof. Let $n=8 m$ and $V\left(3 K_{8 m}\right)=\{1,2, \ldots, 4 m, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{4 m}\}$. Now we write $3 K_{8 m}-F$, where $F=\left\{i \bar{i} \in E\left(K_{4 m, 4 m}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4 m\right\}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 K_{8 m}-F= & \left(\left(K_{4 m}^{\star} \oplus I\right) \oplus K_{4 m, 4 m} \oplus\left(\bar{K}_{4 m}^{\star} \oplus \bar{I}\right)\right) \oplus\left(K_{4 m}^{\star} \oplus F^{\prime} \oplus \bar{K}_{4 m}^{\star}\right) \\
& \oplus\left(\left(K_{4 m}^{\star}-I\right) \oplus K_{4 m, 4 m}-\left\{F, F^{\prime}\right\} \oplus\left(\bar{K}_{4 m}^{\star}-\bar{I}\right)\right) \oplus K_{4 m, 4 m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $I=\left\{i(2 m+i) \in E\left(K_{4 m}^{\star}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 m\right\}, \bar{I}=\left\{\bar{i}(\overline{2 m+i}) \in E\left(\bar{K}_{4 m}^{\star}\right) \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq 2 m\}, F^{\prime}=\left\{i(\overline{2 m+i}), \bar{i}(2 m+i) \in E\left(K_{4 m, 4 m}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 m\right\}$. The remaining proof follows from Lemmas 16, 17, 19 and Remark 5.

Theorem 21. For all $\lambda \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \geq 3$ and $n \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \geq 4$, there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{n}-F$.

Proof. Follows from Theorems 14, 15 and 20.

## 5. Conclusion

From the results of Sections 3 and 4 together with the known results of Section 2 , we have the following:

Theorem 22. For $n \geq 3$, there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{n}$ if and only if
(i) $\lambda$ is even and $n$ is odd, (or)
(ii) $\lambda$ is odd and $n$ is odd, (or)
(iii) $\lambda$ is even and $n$ is even.

Theorem 23. For $n \geq 3$, there exists a symmetric Hamilton cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{n}-F$ with respect to the 1 -factor $F$ if and only if $\lambda$ is odd and $n$ is even except the non-existence cases $n \equiv 0$ or $6(\bmod 8)$ when $\lambda=1$.
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