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#### Abstract

An additive hereditary property of graphs is a class of simple graphs which is closed under unions, subgraphs and isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be an additive hereditary property of graphs. A $\mathcal{Q}$-edge-coloring of a simple graph is an edge coloring in which the edges colored with the same color induce a subgraph of property $\mathcal{Q}$. In this paper we present some results on fractional $\mathcal{Q}$-edge-colorings. We determine the fractional $\mathcal{Q}$-edge chromatic number for matroidal properties of graphs.
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## 1. InTRODUCTION

Our terminology and notation will be standard. The reader is referred to $[1,11]$ for undefined terms. All graphs considered in this paper are simple, i.e. they have no loops or multiple edges.

[^0]We denote the class of all finite simple graphs by $\mathcal{I}$. A graph property $\mathcal{Q}$ is a non-empty isomorphism-closed subclass of $\mathcal{I}$. We also say that a graph $G$ has property $\mathcal{Q}$ whenever $G \in \mathcal{Q}$. The fact that $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ is denoted by $H \subseteq G$ and the disjoint union of two graphs $G$ and $H$ is denoted by $G \cup H$. A property $\mathcal{Q}$ is called additive if $G \cup H \in \mathcal{Q}$ whenever $G \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $H \in \mathcal{Q}$. A property $\mathcal{Q}$ is called hereditary if $G \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $H \subseteq G$ implies $H \in \mathcal{Q}$. The set of all additive hereditary properties will be denoted by $\mathbb{L}$.

We list several well-known additive hereditary properties

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{k}=\{G \in \mathcal{I}: \text { each subgraph of } G \text { contains a vertex of degree at most } k\}, \\
& \mathcal{I}_{k}=\left\{G \in \mathcal{I}: G \text { does not contain } K_{k+2}\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{J}_{k}=\left\{G \in \mathcal{I}: \chi^{\prime}(G) \leq k\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{k}=\{G \in \mathcal{I}: \text { each component of } G \text { has at most } k+1 \text { vertices }\}, \\
& \mathcal{S}_{k}=\{G \in \mathcal{I}: \Delta(G) \leq k\}, \\
& \mathcal{B}=\{G \in \mathcal{I}: G \text { is a bipartite graph }\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{k+2}$ denotes the complete graph on $k+2$ vertices, $\chi^{\prime}(G)$ is the edge chromatic number (chromatic index) and $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree of the graph $G$.

Generalized colorings of edges or/and vertices of graphs under restrictions given by graph properties have recently attracted much attention, see e.g. $[2,3$, $4,6,7,8,10]$ and references therein.

By using the class of additive hereditary properties, there is the following generalization of edge coloring. Let $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{L}$ and let $t$ be a positive integer. A $t$-fold $\mathcal{Q}$-edge-coloring of a graph is an assignment of $t$ distinct colors to each edge such that each color class induces a subgraph of property $\mathcal{Q}$. The smallest number $k$ such that $G$ admits a $t$-fold $\mathcal{Q}$-edge-coloring with $k$ colors is the $(t, \mathcal{Q})$-chromatic index of $G$, denoted by $\chi_{t, \mathcal{Q}}^{\prime}(G)$. Clearly, a 1 -fold $\mathcal{O}_{1}$-edge-coloring is a usual proper edge coloring and hence $\chi_{1, \mathcal{O}_{1}}^{\prime}(G)=\chi^{\prime}(G)$.

Another generalization of edge coloring is fractional edge coloring. The fractional chromatic index of a graph $G$ is defined in the following way: $\chi_{f}^{\prime}(G)=$ $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi_{t, \mathcal{O}_{1}}^{\prime}(G)}{t}$. If we replace the property $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ by any other additive hereditary graph property $\mathcal{Q}$ in the definition of the fractional chromatic index, then we obtain the fractional $\mathcal{Q}$-chromatic index of a graph $G$ and we denote it $\chi_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^{\prime}(G)$.

A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is a pair $(S, X)$, where $S$ is a finite set and $X$ is a family of subsets of $S$. The elements of $X$ are called hyperedges. A $t$-fold covering of a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is a collection (multiset) of hyperedges which includes every element of $S$ at least $t$ times. The smallest cardinality of such a multiset is called the $t$-fold covering number of $\mathcal{H}$ and is denoted $k_{t}(\mathcal{H})$. The fractional covering number of $\mathcal{H}$ is defined as $k_{f}(\mathcal{H})=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{t}(\mathcal{H})}{t}$.

For given simple graph $G=(V, E)$ and additive hereditary property $\mathcal{Q}$, let $\mathcal{H}_{G}=$ $\left(E_{G}, \mathcal{Q}_{G}\right)$ denote the hypergraph whose vertex set is the edge set of $G$ and the hyperedges are those subsets of $E(G)=E_{G}$ which induce a graph of property $\mathcal{Q}$. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is hereditary, we can formulate the $(t, \mathcal{Q})$-chromatic index of the graph $G$ as the $t$-fold covering number of the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_{G}$. There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the color classes of $G$ and the hyperedges of $\mathcal{H}_{G}$. Therefore the following assertion holds.

Claim 1. The fractional $\mathcal{Q}$-chromatic index of the graph $G$ is equal to the fractional covering number of the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_{G}=\left(E_{G}, \mathcal{Q}_{G}\right)$.

A matroid $\mathcal{M}=(S, I)$ is a hypergraph which satisfies the following three conditions:

1. $\emptyset \in I$,
2. if $X \in I$ and $Y \subseteq X$, then $Y \in I$,
3. if $X, Y \in I$ and $|X|>|Y|$, then there is an $x \in X \backslash Y$ such that $Y \cup\{x\} \in I$.

In [12] the fractional covering number of matroids is determined. Let $X$ be a subset of the ground set $S$ of a matroid $\mathcal{M}$. The rank of $X$, denoted $\rho(X)$, is defined as the maximum cardinality of an independent subset of $X$ (a subset of $X$ which belongs to $I)$.

Theorem 2 [12]. If $\mathcal{M}=(S, I)$ is a matroid, then

$$
k_{f}(\mathcal{M})=\max _{X \subseteq S ; X \neq \emptyset} \frac{|X|}{\rho(X)} .
$$

In this paper, by combining Claim 1 and Theorem 2, we give a general formula for the fractional $\mathcal{Q}$-chromatic index. Afterwards, by this formula and with other results from the literature, we determine the exact values of $\chi_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^{\prime}(G)$ for so-called $\mathcal{Q}$-matroidal graphs.

## 2. Results

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be an additive hereditary property. If the hypergraph $\left(E_{G}, \mathcal{Q}_{G}\right)$ is a matroid, then $G$ is called $\mathcal{Q}$-matroidal. Let $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the set of all $\mathcal{Q}$-matroidal graphs. A property $\mathcal{Q}$ is called matroidal if every graph $G$ is $\mathcal{Q}$-matroidal. Schmidt [13] proved the existence of uncountably many matroidal properties.

A subset of the edge set of a graph is called $\mathcal{Q}$-independent if it induces a graph of property $\mathcal{Q}$. For a graph $H$ let $\mathcal{Q}(H)$ denote the maximum cardinality of a $\mathcal{Q}$-independent subset of $E(H)$.

Lemma 3. Let $a_{i}, b_{i}>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then $\frac{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}}{b_{1}+\cdots+b_{n}} \leq \max _{i}\left\{\frac{a_{i}}{b_{i}}\right\}$.
Proof. By induction on $n$.
Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{L}$ and let $G \in \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{M}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^{\prime}(G)=\max \frac{|E(H)|}{\mathcal{Q}(H)}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the maximum is taken over all connected nontrivial subgraphs $H$ of $G$.
Proof. Since $G$ is $\mathcal{Q}$-matroidal, the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_{G}=\left(E_{G}, \mathcal{Q}_{G}\right)$ is a matroid. Claim 1 with Theorem 2 imply that

$$
\chi_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^{\prime}(G)=\max _{X \subseteq E_{G} ; X \neq \emptyset} \frac{|X|}{\rho(X)}=\max \frac{|E(H)|}{\mathcal{Q}(H)},
$$

where the maximum is taken over all nontrivial subgraphs $H$ of $G$.
Now we show that we may restrict our attention to connected $H$. Suppose that the maximum on the right-hand side of $(1)$ is achieved for a graph $H$ with more than one component. Let $H=H_{1} \cup \cdots \cup H_{n}$, where $H_{i}$ are the components of $H$. If one of these components, say $H_{j}$, is an empty graph (set of isolated vertices), then $\frac{|E(H)|}{\mathcal{Q}(H)}=\frac{\left|E\left(H-H_{j}\right)\right|}{\mathcal{Q}\left(H-H_{j}\right)}$. Thus we can assume that each component has at least one edge. Then $\frac{|E(H)|}{\mathcal{Q}(H)}=\frac{\left|E\left(H_{1}\right)\right|+\cdots+\left|E\left(H_{n}\right)\right|}{\mathcal{Q}\left(H_{1}\right)+\cdots+\mathcal{Q}\left(H_{n}\right)} \leq \max _{i}\left\{\frac{\left|E\left(H_{i}\right)\right|}{\mathcal{Q}\left(H_{i}\right)}\right\}$.
We can now determine the fractional $\mathcal{Q}$-chromatic index for $\mathcal{Q}$-matroidal graphs. The following question arises: Which graphs are $\mathcal{Q}$-matroidal for given properties $\mathcal{Q}$ ?

Each hereditary property $\mathcal{Q}$ can be determined by the set of minimal forbidden subgraphs $F(\mathcal{Q})=\{G \in \mathcal{I} ; G \notin \mathcal{Q}$ but $G \backslash\{e\} \in \mathcal{Q}$ for each $e \in E(G)\}$. For example: $F\left(\mathcal{O}_{k}\right)=\{H ; H$ is a tree on $k+2$ vertices $\} ; F\left(\mathcal{I}_{k}\right)=\left\{K_{k+2}\right\}$. SimõesPereira [14] proved that if $F(\mathcal{Q})$ is finite, then $\mathcal{Q}$ is not matroidal.

In [9] there is the following characterization of $\mathcal{Q}$-matroidal graphs.
Theorem 5 [9]. A graph $G=(V, E)$ is $\mathcal{Q}$-matroidal if and only if for each $\mathcal{Q}$ independent set $I \subseteq E$ and for each edge $e \in E \backslash I$ the graph $G[I \cup\{e\}]$ induced by $I \cup\{e\}$ contains at most one minimal forbidden subgraph of $\mathcal{Q}$.

By Theorem 5 each graph $G$ which contains either at most one minimal forbidden subgraph of $\mathcal{Q}$ or only edge-disjoint minimal forbidden subgraphs of $\mathcal{Q}$ is $\mathcal{Q}$ matroidal.

Lemma 6 [9]. The property $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{M}}$ belongs to $\mathbb{L}$ for every $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{L}$.

By Lemma 6 we can characterize the structure of $\mathcal{Q}$-matroidal graphs by describing the set of minimal forbidden subgraphs $F\left(\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{M}}\right)$.

For any two given graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ with a common induced subgraph $H$ we construct the graph $G=\left(G_{1} ; H ; G_{2}\right)$ by amalgamation of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ with respect to $H$ so that $V(G)=V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup V\left(G_{2}\right), E(G)=E\left(G_{1}\right) \cup E\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $H=\left(V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right), E\left(G_{1}\right) \cap E\left(G_{2}\right)\right)$.

In the following $P_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ will denote the path and the cycle on $n$ vertices, respectively. $D_{n}$ will denote the complement of $K_{n}$.

Theorem 7 [9]. Let $G$ be a graph and let $k \geq 1$. Then

- $G \in F\left(\mathcal{O}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ if and only if $G \in T \backslash\left\{K_{1, k+2} ; C_{k+2}\right\}$, where $T$ is the set of all trees on $k+3$ vertices and all unicyclic graphs on $k+2$ vertices,
- $G \in F\left(\mathcal{S}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ if and only if $G=\left(K_{1, k+1} ; K_{2} \cup D_{p} ; K_{1, k+1}\right)$ for some $0 \leq p \leq k$ and $k \geq 2$, where $K_{2}$ joins the central vertices of the stars,
- $G \in F\left(\mathcal{I}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ if and only if $G=\left(K_{k+2} ; K_{r} ; K_{k+2}\right)$ for some $2 \leq r \leq k+1$,
- $G \in F\left(\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ if and only if $G=\left(C_{2 p+1} ; P_{q} ; C_{r}\right)$ for some $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ and $r \geq 3$.

The seminal result on fractional edge colorings is due to Edmonds [5]. For a graph $G$ we define $\Gamma(G)=\max \frac{2|E(H)|}{|V(H)|-1}$, where the maximization is over every induced subgraph $H$ of $G$ with $|V(H)| \geq 3$ and $|V(H)|$ odd.

Theorem 8 [5]. Let $G$ be a graph. Then

$$
\chi_{f, \mathcal{J}_{1}}^{\prime}(G)=\chi_{f, \mathcal{S}_{1}}^{\prime}(G)=\chi_{f, \mathcal{O}_{1}}^{\prime}(G)=\chi_{f}^{\prime}(G)=\max \{\Delta(G), \Gamma(G)\} .
$$

Lemma 9. Every graph is $\mathcal{D}_{1}$-matroidal.
Proof. Clearly, $F\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right)$ is a set of cycles. Moreover, if we add an edge to a tree (forest) we obtain exactly (at most) one cycle. So the claim follows from Theorem 5.

Although all graphs are $\mathcal{D}_{1}$-matroidal, for $k \geq 2$ the characterization of $\mathcal{D}_{k^{-}}$ matroidal graphs seems to be difficult.

Theorem 10. Let $G$ be a graph. Then

$$
\chi_{f, \mathcal{D}_{1}}^{\prime}(G)=\max \frac{|E(H)|}{|V(H)|-1},
$$

where the maximum is taken over all connected nontrivial subgraphs $H$ of $G$.

Proof. From Lemma 9 it follows that $G$ is $\mathcal{D}_{1}$-matroidal. Any spanning tree of a connected graph $H$ on $n$ vertices has $n-1$ edges, therefore $\mathcal{D}_{1}(H)=|V(H)|-1$. Theorem 4 implies $\chi_{f, \mathcal{D}_{1}}^{\prime}(G)=\max _{H \subseteq G} \frac{|E(H)|}{\mathcal{D}_{1}(H)}=\max _{H \subseteq G} \frac{|E(H)|}{|V(H)|-1}$.

Corollary 11. Let $G$ be a graph and let $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{L}$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. Then

$$
\chi_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^{\prime}(G) \leq \max \frac{|E(H)|}{|V(H)|-1}
$$

where the maximization is over all connected nontrivial subgraphs $H$ of $G$.
Lemma 12. Let $k \geq 1$. The graph $G$ is $\mathcal{I}_{k}$-matroidal if and only if any two complete graphs on $k+2$ vertices are edge-disjoint in $G$.

Proof. Assume that $G$ contains two complete graphs on $k+2$ vertices which have $r \geq 2$ vertices in common. These $r$ vertices induce $K_{r}$, hence $G$ contains $\left(K_{k+2} ; K_{r} ; K_{k+2}\right)$ as a subgraph. So $G \notin \mathcal{I}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}}$ since $\left(K_{k+2} ; K_{r} ; K_{k+2}\right) \in F\left(\mathcal{I}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ (see Theorem 7).

If $G \notin \mathcal{I}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}}$, then $G$ contains a forbidden subgraph $\left(K_{k+2} ; K_{r} ; K_{k+2}\right)$ for some $2 \leq r \leq k+1$, thus it contains two complete graphs on $k+2$ vertices which share an edge.

Let $H_{k+2}$ denote the number of complete graphs on $k+2$ vertices in the graph $H$.

Theorem 13. Let $G$ be an $\mathcal{I}_{k}$-matroidal graph, $k \geq 1$. Then

$$
\chi_{f, \mathcal{I}_{k}}^{\prime}(G)=\max \frac{|E(H)|}{|E(H)|-H_{k+2}}
$$

where the maximum is taken over all connected nontrivial subgraphs $H$ of $G$.
Proof. From Theorem 4 it follows that $\chi_{f, \mathcal{I}_{k}}^{\prime}(G)=\max _{H \subseteq G} \frac{|E(H)|}{\mathcal{I}_{k}(H)}$. So it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{I}_{k}(H)=|E(H)|-H_{k+2}$.

Lemma 12 implies that any two complete graphs on $k+2$ vertices are edgedisjoint in every subgraph $H$ of $G$. Hence, if we remove less than $H_{k+2}$ edges from $H$, then the obtained graph still contains at least one $K_{k+2}$. Therefore $\mathcal{I}_{k}(H) \leq|E(H)|-H_{k+2}$.

On the other hand, if we remove one edge from each $K_{k+2}$, then the remaining edges form an $\mathcal{I}_{k}$-independent set, hence $\mathcal{I}_{k}(H) \geq|E(H)|-H_{k+2}$.

Lemma 14. Let $k \geq 2$. The graph $G$ is $\mathcal{S}_{k}$-matroidal if and only if no two vertices of degree at least $k+1$ are incident in $G$.

Proof. Let $u v$ be an edge of $G$ such that its endvertices have degree at least $k+1$. Let $G_{1}$ be a subgraph of $G$ which contains only the edges incident with $u$ or $v$. Clearly, $G_{1}$ contains a subgraph $G_{2}$ in which the vertices $u$ and $v$ are joined by an edge and they have degree $k+1$. Let $p$ denote the number of common neighbors of $u$ and $v$ in $G_{2}$. Observe that $G_{2}=\left(K_{1, k+1} ; K_{2} \cup D_{p} ; K_{1, k+1}\right)$, consequently $G_{2} \in F\left(\mathcal{S}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}}\right)$. So $G$ cannot be $\mathcal{S}_{k}$-matroidal.

If $G \notin \mathcal{S}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}}$, then it contains a minimal forbidden subgraph $\left(K_{1, k+1} ; K_{2} \cup\right.$ $D_{p} ; K_{1, k+1}$ ) for some $0 \leq p \leq k$. The central vertices of these stars are joined by an edge and they have degree $k+1$.

Theorem 15. Let $G$ be an $\mathcal{S}_{k}$-matroidal graph, $k \geq 2$. Then

$$
\chi_{f, \mathcal{S}_{k}}^{\prime}(G)=\max \frac{|E(H)|}{|E(H)|-\sum_{\substack{v \in V(H) \\ \operatorname{deg}_{H}(v) \geq k+1}}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)-k\right)},
$$

where the maximum is taken over all connected nontrivial subgraphs $H$ of $G$.
Proof. Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$. If for every vertex $v$ of $H$ of degree at least $k+1$ we remove $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)-k$ edges incident with it, then we obtain a graph whose maximum degree is at most $k$. Therefore

$$
\mathcal{S}_{k}(H) \geq|E(H)|-\sum_{\substack{v \in V(H) \\ \operatorname{deg}_{H}(v) \geq k+1}}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)-k\right) .
$$

The opposite inequality follows from the fact that no two vertices of degree at least $k+1$ are incident in $G$, thus neither in $H \subseteq G$ (see Lemma 14). Therefore the claim follows from Theorem 4.

Lemma 16. The graph $G$ is $\mathcal{B}$-matroidal if and only if no odd cycle of $G$ shares an edge with any other cycle of $G$.

Proof. $G \notin \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{M}}$ if and only if $G$ contains a minimal forbidden subgraph $\left(C_{2 p+1}\right.$; $P_{q} ; C_{r}$ ) for some $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ and $r \geq 3$. Equivalently, $G$ contains an odd cycle which shares an edge with an other cycle.

Corollary 17. If $G \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{M}}$, then the odd cycles of $G$ are edge-disjoint.
Let $o c(G)$ denote the number of odd cycles in the graph $G$.
Theorem 18. Let $G$ be a $\mathcal{B}$-matroidal graph. Then

$$
\chi_{f, \mathcal{B}}^{\prime}(G)=\max \frac{|E(H)|}{|E(H)|-o c(H)},
$$

where the maximum is taken over all connected nontrivial subgraphs $H$ of $G$.

Proof. Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$. If we remove one edge from every odd cycle of $H$, then the remaining edges induce a bipartite graph, hence $\mathcal{B}(H) \geq|E(H)|-$ $o c(H)$.

The odd cycles in $H$ are edge-disjoint (see Corollary 17), thus we must remove at least $o c(H)$ edges from $E(H)$ to obtain a $\mathcal{B}$-independent set. Therefore $\mathcal{B}(H) \leq$ $|E(H)|-o c(H)$.

Consequently, $\mathcal{B}(H)=|E(H)|-o c(H)$ and hence the assertion follows from Theorem 4.

Lemma 19. Let $k \geq 1$. The graph $G$ is $\mathcal{O}_{k}$-matroidal if and only if $G$ either belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{k}$ or it is isomorphic to $K_{1, p}, p \geq k+1$, to $C_{k+2}$ or to a tree on $k+2$ vertices.

Proof. $G$ is $\mathcal{O}_{k}$-matroidal if and only if it does not contain any subgraph from $F\left(\mathcal{O}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}}\right)$. So the claim follows from Theorem 7.

Clearly, if $G \in \mathcal{O}_{k}$, then its fractional $\mathcal{O}_{k}$-edge chromatic number equals one. If $G \in \mathcal{O}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{k}$, then it has $k+2$ vertices or it is a star on at least $k+3$ vertices.

Theorem 20. Let $G \in \mathcal{O}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{k}$ and let $|V(G)|=k+2, k \geq 2$. Then

$$
\chi_{f, \mathcal{O}_{k}}^{\prime}(G)=\frac{|E(G)|}{|E(G)|-\lambda(G)},
$$

where $\lambda(G)$ is the edge-connectivity of $G$.
Proof. Let $H$ be a connected subgraph of $G$. If $E(H)$ is not $\mathcal{O}_{k}$-independent, then either $|E(H)|=k+2$ or $|E(H)|=k+1$. In the first case $H=C_{k+2}$, hence $\mathcal{O}_{k}(H)=|E(H)|-2$. In the second case $H$ is a tree, therefore $\mathcal{O}_{k}(H)=|E(H)|-1$. Thus the claim follows from Theorem 4.

Theorem 21. Let $G \in \mathcal{O}_{k}^{\mathcal{M}} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{k}$ and let $|V(G)|=k+i, k \geq 2, i \geq 3$. Then

$$
\chi_{f, \mathcal{O}_{k}}^{\prime}(G)=\frac{|E(G)|}{|E(G)|-i+1}=\frac{k+i-1}{k} .
$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4 and from the fact that $G$ is a star.

## 3. Examples

Example 22. Let $K_{2,3}$ denote the complete bipartite graph on $2+3$ vertices. We will show that $\chi_{f, \mathcal{S}_{2}}^{\prime}\left(K_{2,3}\right)=\frac{3}{2}$.

## Solution 1.

From Lemma 14 it follows that $K_{2,3} \in \mathcal{S}_{2}^{\mathcal{M}}$. From Theorem 15 we have $\chi_{f, \mathcal{S}_{2}}^{\prime}\left(K_{2,3}\right)$ $=\max \frac{|E(H)|}{|E(H)|-\sum_{\substack{v \in V(H) \\ \operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)=3}} 1}$, where the maximum is taken over all connected nontrivial subgraphs $H$ of $G$.

If $H$ is a connected subgraph of $G$, then either $H \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$ or it is a graph from Figure 1. So $\chi_{f, \mathcal{S}_{2}}^{\prime}\left(K_{2,3}\right)=\max \left\{1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{4}\right\}=\frac{3}{2}$.


Figure 1. Connected subgraphs of $K_{2,3}$ which are not in $\mathcal{S}_{2}$.

## Solution 2.

Fractional $\mathcal{Q}$-edge-colorings may be viewed in several ways. We present an equivalent definition. Let $r, s$ be positive integers with $r \geq s$. An $(r, s)$-fractional $\mathcal{Q}$-edge-coloring of $G$ is an assignment of $s$-element subsets of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ to the edges of $G$ such that each color class induces a graph of property $\mathcal{Q}$. Then the fractional $\mathcal{Q}$-edge chromatic number of $G$ is defined as

$$
\chi_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^{\prime}(G)=\inf \left\{\frac{r}{s}: G \text { has an }(r, s) \text {-fractional } \mathcal{Q} \text {-edge-coloring }\right\} .
$$

Note that in this definition we can replace the infimum by the minimum.
For each $(r, s)$-fractional $\mathcal{S}_{2}$-edge-coloring of $K_{2,3}$ and for each color $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ the following holds: at most four edges are colored with sets containing the color $i$. On the other hand, every edge is assigned with an $s$-element color set. This implies that $4 r \geq 6 s$, hence $\chi_{f, \mathcal{S}_{2}}^{\prime}\left(K_{2,3}\right) \geq \frac{3}{2}$.

To prove the inequality $\chi_{f, \mathcal{S}_{2}}^{\prime}\left(K_{2,3}\right) \leq \frac{3}{2}$ we construct a $(3,2)$-fractional $\mathcal{S}_{2^{-}}$ edge-coloring of $K_{2,3}$, see Figure 2.


Figure 2. A $(3,2)$-fractional $\mathcal{S}_{2}$-edge-coloring of the graph $K_{2,3}$.
The following results immediately follows from Theorems 13, 15 and 18.

Example 23. If $k \geq 1$, then $\chi_{f, \mathcal{I}_{k}}^{\prime}\left(K_{k+2}\right)=\frac{\binom{k+2}{2}}{\binom{k+2}{2}-1}$, $\chi_{f, \mathcal{S}_{k}}^{\prime}\left(K_{1, k+1}\right)=\frac{k+1}{k}$ and $\chi_{f, \mathcal{B}}^{\prime}\left(C_{2 k+1}\right)=\frac{2 k+1}{2 k}$.
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