Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 33 (2013) 461–465 doi:10.7151/dmgt.1679

Note

# A TIGHT BOUND ON THE SET CHROMATIC NUMBER

JEAN-SÉBASTIEN SERENI<sup>1</sup>

CNRS LORIA, Université Diderot Nancy, France

e-mail: sereni@kam.mff.cuni.cz

AND

ZELEALEM B. YILMA<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics Addis Ababa University Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

e-mail: zelealemby@gmail.com

## Abstract

We provide a tight bound on the set chromatic number of a graph in terms of its chromatic number. Namely, for all graphs G, we show that  $\chi_s(G) \ge \lceil \log_2 \chi(G) \rceil + 1$ , where  $\chi_s(G)$  and  $\chi(G)$  are the set chromatic number and the chromatic number of G, respectively. This answers in the affirmative a conjecture of Gera, Okamoto, Rasmussen and Zhang.

**Keywords:** chromatic number, set coloring, set chromatic number, neighbor, distinguishing coloring.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Partially supported by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche under reference ANR 10 JCJC 0204 01.

 $<sup>^2 {\</sup>rm This}$  work was done when this author was a post-doctoral fellow at LIAFA, Université Diderot, Paris, France, supported by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche under reference ANR 10 JCJC 0204 01.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

There is a plethora of work devoted to neighbor-distinguishing colorings in graphs. Essentially, given a function f defined on the set of vertices of a graph, the goal is to obtain a vertex coloring (or an edge-coloring) such that  $f(u) \neq f(v)$  whenever u and v are two adjacent vertices. (Obviously, the values taken by f depend on the coloring used.) This approach to graph coloring permits to gather in a synthetic framework several variants of colorings such as set colorings, metric colorings and sigma colorings. An interesting line of research is to estimate how these notions relate to each others and, in particular, how they behave with respect to the (usual) chromatic number of a graph. We refer the reader to the survey by Chartrand, Okamoto and Zhang [2] for further information.

The notion of a set coloring was first introduced by Chartrand, Okamoto, Rasmussen and Zhang [1]. Given a graph G = (V, E) and a (not necessarily proper) k-coloring  $c: V \to \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$  of its vertices, let

$$NC(v) := \{c(u) \mid (u, v) \in E\}$$

be the neighborhood color set of a vertex  $v \in V$ . The coloring c is set neighbordistinguishing, or simply a set coloring, if  $NC(u) \neq NC(v)$  for every pair (u, v) of adjacent vertices in G.

The minimum number of colors, k, required for such a coloring is the set chromatic number  $\chi_s(G)$  of G. Note that  $\chi_s(G) \leq \chi(G)$  for every graph G. Moreover, the set chromatic number is bounded from below by the logarithm of the clique number as follows.

**Theorem 1** [1]. For every graph G,

(1) 
$$\chi_s(G) \ge 1 + \lceil \log_2 \omega(G) \rceil.$$

A natural strengthening of (1) would be to replace the clique number with the chromatic number. In particular, if  $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$  then Gera, Okamoto, Rasmussen and Zhang [3] showed that not only does (1) holds, but that it is tight, in a strong sense.

**Theorem 2** [3]. For each pair (a, b) of integers such that  $2 \leq a \leq b \leq 2^{a-1}$ , there exists a perfect graph G with  $\chi_s(G) = a$  and  $\chi(G) = b$ .

Furthermore, Gera, Okamoto, Rasmussen and Zhang [3] conjectured that for every graph G,

(2) 
$$\chi_s(G) \ge 1 + \lceil \log_2 \chi(G) \rceil.$$

Our purpose is to confirm this conjecture.

**Theorem 3.** For all graphs G,

$$\chi(G) \leqslant 2^{\chi_s(G)-1}.$$

# 2. Proof of Theorem 3

Before starting the proof, notice that for every complete graph  $K_n$  with  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$\chi_s(K_n) = \chi(K_n) = n.$$

This fact allows us to proceed by double induction: an ascending induction on the number of vertices of the graph and, for a fixed number of vertices, a descending induction on the number of edges.

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with  $n \ge 2$  vertices and m edges. The assertion of Theorem 3 holds if n = 2 or  $m = \binom{n}{2}$  (that is, G is complete). So we assume now that n > 2,  $m < \binom{n}{2}$  and  $\chi(H) \le 2^{\chi_s(H)-1}$  for all graphs H with either less than n vertices or exactly n vertices and more than m edges.

We shall study the structure of G under the additional assumption that  $\chi(G) > 2^{\chi_s(G)-1}$ . This will allow us to exhibit a proper coloring of G using at most  $2^{\chi_s(G)-1}$ , thereby obtaining a contradiction and concluding the proof.

First, notice that for any two non-adjacent vertices u and v of G,

(3) 
$$\chi_s(G) < \chi_s(G+uv).$$

Indeed, if there exists a pair (u, v) of vertices violating (3), then

$$\chi(G) \leqslant \chi(G+uv) \leqslant 2^{\chi_s(G+uv)-1} \leqslant 2^{\chi_s(G)-1},$$

which contradicts our assumption on G.

Now, set  $a := \chi_s(G)$  and let  $c : V \to \{1, \ldots, a\}$  be a set coloring. We consider the *a color classes*  $V_1, \ldots, V_a$  where  $V_i$  is the set of vertices assigned color *i*.

We observe that no two vertices u and v in a same color class can have the same neighborhood color set. This follows from the definition of a set coloring if u and v are adjacent, so suppose that u and v are not adjacent. Consider the graph G' that results from identifying the vertices u and v of G into a new vertex z. Note that the vertex coloring of G' naturally induced by c (that is, with z being assigned color i) is a valid set coloring of G', so  $\chi_s(G) \ge \chi_s(G')$ . However, as  $\chi(G) \le \chi(G')$ , the induction hypothesis applied to G' yields that  $\chi(G) \le 2^{\chi_s(G')-1} \le 2^{\chi_s(G)-1}$ ; a contradiction.

We are now in a position to describe the structure of the color classes. We assert that for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, a\}$ , the subgraph  $H_i$  of G induced by the vertices in  $V_i$  is either a clique or a clique and an isolated vertex.

We prove the assertion in two steps. Fix  $i \in \{1, \ldots, a\}$ . First, we show that for every two non-adjacent vertices u and v in  $V_i$ , it holds that  $i \notin NC(u) \cap NC(v)$ , that is one of u and v has no neighbors in  $V_i$ . Indeed, as reported earlier the graph G' := G + uv has set chromatic number at least a + 1, so that c is not a set coloring of G'. Consequently,  $NC_{G'}(u) \neq NC_G(u)$  or  $NC_{G'}(v) \neq NC_G(v)$  hence  $i \notin NC(u) \cap NC(v)$ , as wanted. Now, to prove the assertion, assume that  $V_i$  does not induce a clique in G. What precedes implies that there is a vertex  $v \in V_i$  that has no neighbors in  $V_i$ . If two vertices u and w in  $V_i \setminus \{v\}$  are not adjacent then, similarly, we may assume that u has no neighbors in  $V_i$ . We now prove that every neighbor of u in G is also a neighbor of v. By symmetry of the roles played by u and v, this would imply that u and v have the same neighborhood, hence the same neighborhood color set; a contradiction. So let x be a neighbor of u in G and assume that x is not adjacent to v. Note that  $x \in V_j$  for some  $j \neq i$  and  $i \in NC(x) \setminus NC(v)$ . Let G'be the graph obtained from G by adding the edge xv. We know that c cannot be a set coloring of G'. Moreover,  $NC_{G'}(x) = NC_G(x)$  and  $i \notin NC_{G'}(v) =$  $NC_G(v) \cup \{j\}$ . Consequently, we infer that v has a neighbor y in G such that  $NC_G(y) = NC_{G'}(v)$ . However,  $i \in NC_G(y)$  yet  $i \notin NC_{G'}(v)$ . This contradiction finishes the proof of the assertion.

We can now exhibit a proper coloring of G using at most  $2^{a-1}$  colors, which will complete the proof of Theorem 3.

We color the vertices of G using the alphabet  $\{0,1\}^{a-1}$ . Let **0** be the zero vector and let  $e_j := 1 \cdots 101 \cdots 1$  where the zero is in position j. Call a vertex of *Type* 1 if  $v \in V_i$  but  $i \notin NC(v)$ , and of *Type* 2, otherwise. Now, we define a coloring  $\chi$ , which is related to the characteristic vector of the neighborhood color set. Let v be a vertex of G, so  $v \in V_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, a\}$ . If v is of Type 1, let

$$\chi(v) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & \text{if } i = a, \\ \mathbf{e}_i & \text{if } i \neq a. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that v is of Type 2. If  $v \in V_a$  then for each  $j \in \{1, \ldots, a-1\}$  let

$$\chi(v)_j := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in NC(v), \\ 0 & \text{if } j \notin NC(v). \end{cases}$$

Otherwise, that is, if v is of Type 2 and  $v \in V_i$  with i < a, then for each  $j \in \{1, \ldots, a-1\}$  let

$$\chi(v)_j := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \neq i \text{ and } j \in NC(v), \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq i \text{ and } j \notin NC(v), \\ 1 & \text{if } j = i \text{ and } a \in NC(v), \\ 0 & \text{if } j = i \text{ and } a \notin NC(v). \end{cases}$$

We now show that  $\chi$  is a proper coloring of G.

First note that the coloring  $\chi$  is proper on each part  $V_i$ , since two (adjacent) vertices in  $V_i$  have different neighborhood color sets (both containing *i*). Furthermore, any two vertices of Type 1 are assigned distinct vectors by  $\chi$ . Now, let  $u \in V_i$  and  $v \in V_j$  be two adjacent vertices with  $i \neq j$ . Suppose first that u

is of Type 1 but v is of Type 2. Then  $\chi(v)_i = 1$  and  $\chi(u)_i = 0$  if  $i \neq a$ , whereas  $\chi(u)_j = 1$  and  $\chi(v)_j = 0$  if i = a. Finally, assume that both u and v are of Type 2. As c is a set coloring of G, there must exist  $\ell \in NC(u) \triangle NC(v)$ . If  $\ell \neq a$ , then  $\chi(u)_\ell \neq \chi(v)_\ell$  since  $\ell \notin \{i, j\}$ . If  $\ell = a$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $a \notin NC(u)$ . Then  $\chi(u)_i = 0$  while  $\chi(v)_i = 1$  since u and v are adjacent. Thus, the coloring  $\chi$  is proper, which concludes the proof of Theorem 3.

## References

- G. Chartrand, F. Okamoto, C.W. Rasmussen, and P. Zhang, *The set chromatic number of a graph*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **29** (2009) 545–561. doi:10.7151/dmgt.1463
- [2] G. Chartrand, F. Okamoto, and P. Zhang, Neighbor-distinguishing vertex colorings of graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 74 (2010) 223–251.
- [3] R. Gera, F. Okamoto, C. Rasmussen, and P. Zhang, Set colorings in perfect graphs, Math. Bohem. 136 (2011) 61–68.

Received 28 February 2012 Accepted 5 June 2012