Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 32 (2012) 427–434 doi:10.7151/dmgt.1619

TOTAL VERTEX IRREGULARITY STRENGTH OF DISJOINT UNION OF HELM GRAPHS

Ali Ahmad

College of Computer Science and Information Systems Jazan University, Jazan Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

e-mail: ahmadsms@gmail.com

E.T. Baskoro

Combinatorial Mathematics Research Group Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia

e-mail: ebaskoro@gmail.com

AND

M. Imran

Center for Advanced Mathematics and Physics (CAMP) National University of Science and Technology (NUST) H-12 Sector, Islamabad, Pakistan

e-mail: imrandhb@gmail.com

Abstract

A total vertex irregular k-labeling ϕ of a graph G is a labeling of the vertices and edges of G with labels from the set $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$ in such a way that for any two different vertices x and y their weights wt(x) and wt(y) are distinct. Here, the weight of a vertex x in G is the sum of the label of x and the labels of all edges incident with the vertex x. The minimum k for which the graph G has a vertex irregular total k-labeling is called the total vertex irregularity strength of G. We have determined an exact value of the total vertex irregularity strength of disjoint union of Helm graphs.

Keywords: vertex irregular total k-labeling, Helm graphs, total vertex irregularity strength.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C78.

1. Introduction

Let us consider a simple (without loops and multiple edges) undirected graph G = (V, E). For a graph G we define a labeling $\phi : V \cup E \to \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ to be a total vertex irregular k-labeling of the graph G if for every two different vertices x and y of G one has $wt(x) \neq wt(y)$ where the weight of a vertex x in the labeling ϕ is $wt(x) = \phi(x) + \sum_{y \in N(x)} \phi(xy)$, where N(x) is the set of neighbors of x. In [4] Bača, Jendrol', Miller and Ryan defined a new graph invariant, called the total vertex irregularity strength of G, tvs(G), that is the minimum k for which the graph G has a vertex irregular total k-labeling.

The original motivation for the definition of the total vertex irregularity strength came from irregular assignments and the irregularity strength of graphs introduced in [6] by Chartrand, Jacobson, Lehel, Oellermann, Ruiz and Saba, and studied by numerous authors [5, 7, 8, 9, 10].

An *irregular assignment* is a k-labeling of the edges $f: E \to \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ such that the vertex weights $w(x) = \sum_{y \in N(x)} f(xy)$ are different for all vertices of G, and the smallest k for which there is an irregular assignment is the *irregularity strength*, s(G). The lower bound on the s(G) is given by the inequality

$$s(G) \ge \max_{1 \le i \le \Delta} \frac{n_i + i - 1}{i}$$
.

The first upper bounds including the vertex degrees in the denominator were given in [8]. The best upper bound known so far can be found in [11]. Namely, the authors have proved that $s(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{6n}{\lambda} \right\rceil$.

The irregularity strength s(G) can be interpreted as the smallest integer k for which G can be turned into a multigraph G' by replacing each edge by a set of at most k parallel edges, such that the degrees of the vertices in G' are all different.

It is easy to see that irregularity strength s(G) of a graph G is defined only for graphs containing at most one isolated vertex and no connected component of order 2. On the other hand, the total vertex irregularity strength tvs(G) is defined for every graph G.

If an edge labeling $f: E \to \{1, 2, \dots, s(G)\}$ provides the irregularity strength s(G), then we extend this labeling to total labeling ϕ in such a way

$$\begin{split} \phi(xy) &= f(xy), & \text{ for every } xy \in E(G), \\ \phi(x) &= 1, & \text{ for every } x \in V(G). \end{split}$$

Thus, the total labeling ϕ is a vertex irregular total labeling and for graphs with no component of order ≤ 2 , $tvs(G) \leq s(G)$.

Nierhoff [12] proved that for all (p,q)-graphs G with no component of order at most 2 and $G \neq K_3$, the irregularity strength $s(G) \leq p-1$. From this result it follows that $tvs(G) \leq p-1$.

In [4] several bounds and exact values of tvs(G) were determined for different

types of graphs (in particular for stars, cliques and prisms). Among others, the authors proved the following theorem

Theorem 1. Let G be a (p,q)-graph with minimum degree $\delta = \delta(G)$ and maximum degree $\Delta = \Delta(G)$. Then $\left\lceil \frac{p+\delta}{\Delta+1} \right\rceil \leq tvs(G) \leq p + \Delta - 2\delta + 1$.

In the case of r-regular graphs we therefore obtain $\left\lceil \frac{p+r}{r+1} \right\rceil \leq tvs(G) \leq p-r+1.$

For graphs with no component of order ≤ 2 , Bača et al. in [4] strengthened also these upper bounds, proving that $tvs(G) \leq p-1-\left\lceil \frac{p-2}{\Delta+1} \right\rceil$.

These results were then improved by Przybyło in [14] for sparse graphs and for graphs with large minimum degree. In the latter case the bounds $tvs(G) < 32 \frac{p}{\delta} + 8$ in general and $tvs(G) < 8 \frac{p}{r} + 3$ for r-regular (p,q)-graphs were proved to hold.

In [3] Anholcer, Kalkowski and Przybyło established a new upper bound of the form $tvs(G) \leq 3\frac{p}{\delta} + 1$.

Wijaya and Slamin [15] found the exact values of the total vertex irregularity strength of wheels, fans, suns and friendship graphs. Wijaya, Slamin, Surahmat and Jendrol' [16] determined an exact value for complete bipartite graphs. Ahmad and Bača [1] found the exact value of the total vertex irregularity strength for Jahangir graphs $J_{n,2}$ for $n \geq 4$ and for 4-regular circulant graphs $C_n(1,2)$ for $n \geq 5$ namely, $tvs(J_{n,2}) = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ and $tvs(C_n(1,2)) = \lceil \frac{n+4}{5} \rceil$.

The main aim of this paper is determined an exact value of the total vertex irregularity strength of disjoint union of Helm graphs.

2. Main Results

Helm graphs are obtained from wheels by attaching a pendant edge to each vertex of the n-cycle. It follows that the Helm graph denoted H_n has 2n + 1 vertices (n vertices of degree 4, n vertices of degree one and one vertex of degree n) and 3n edges. In [13], Nurdin et al. determined the lower bound of total vertex irregularity strength of connected graphs. In the next theorem, we showed the lower bound of total vertex irregularity strength of any graph.

Theorem 2. Let G be a graph with minimum degree $\delta(G)$ and maximum degree $\Delta(G)$, then $tvs(G) \ge \max_{i=0}^{\Delta(G)} \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{p=1}^{i} n_p) + \delta(G)}{i+1} \right\rceil \right\}$, where n_i represents number of vertices of degree i in G.

Proof. Let G be any graph with minimum degree $\delta(G)$, maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ and n_i , $i = \delta(G)$, $\delta(G) + 1, \ldots, \Delta(G)$ represents number of vertices of degree i

in
$$G$$
. Let $s = \max_{i=0}^{\Delta(G)} \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{p=1}^i n_p) + \delta(G)}{i+1} \right\rceil \right\}$. Assume that $s = \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{i=0}^j n_i) + \delta(G)}{j+1} \right\rceil$, for some j . In any vertex irregular total k -labeling on G the smallest weight among all vertices of degree $\delta(G), \delta(G) + 1, \ldots$, and j is at least $\delta(G) + 1$ and the largest of them is at least $(\sum_{i=0}^j n_i) + \delta(G)$. Thus the value of k will be minimum if the largest weight is at the vertex of degree j . Since the weight of any vertex of degree j is the sum of $j+1$ positive labels, so at least one label is at least $\left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{j=0}^i n_i) + \delta(G)}{j+1} \right\rceil$. Therefore the minimum value of the k is at least s . This gives $\max_{i=0}^{\Delta(G)} \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{p=1}^i n_p) + \delta(G)}{i+1} \right\rceil \right\} \leq tvs(G)$ and we are done.

In [2], Ahmad *et al.* determined the total vertex irregularity strength of Helm graph. In the next Theorem, we determined the total vertex irregularity strength of the union of isomorphic Helm graph H_3 and H_4 .

Theorem 3. The total vertex irregularity strength of the union of isomorphic Helm graph H_n is $tvs(mH_n) = \lceil \frac{nm+1}{2} \rceil$, for $m \ge 2, n = 3, 4$.

Proof. The vertex set and edge set of G are $V(mH_n) = \{c^j : 1 \leq j \leq m\} \cup \{u_i^j, v_i^j : 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $E(mH_n) = \{c^j v_i^j : 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{v_{i+1}^j v_i^j : 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{u_i^j v_i^j : 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, respectively. The disjoint union of Helm graph H_n contains nm vertices of degree one, nm vertices of degree four and m vertices of degree n, where m is the number of components of Helm graph H_n . The lower bound of mH_n follows from Theorem 2. Put $k = \lceil \frac{nm+1}{2} \rceil$. To show that k is an upper bound for total vertex irregularity strength of mH_n , we describe a total vertex k-labeling $\phi: V(mH_n) \cup E(mH_n) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ for $m \geq 2$, n = 3, 4 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{For } n = 3 \\ &\phi(u_i^j) = \big\lceil \frac{3(j-1)}{2} \big\rceil + \big\lceil \frac{i}{2} \big\rceil, &\text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 3, \ 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd,} \\ &\phi(u_i^j) = \big\lfloor \frac{3(j-1)}{2} \big\rfloor + \big\lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \big\rceil, &\text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 3, \ 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ even,} \\ &\phi(c^j) = k - 1, &\text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ &\phi(v_i^j) = m - 1, &\text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 2, \ 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ &\phi(v_3^j) = k, &\text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ &\phi(c^j v_3^j) = \phi(v_i^j v_{i+1}^j) = k, &\text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 3, \ 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ &\phi(c^j v_i^j) = \big\lceil \frac{i+j-1}{2} \big\rceil, &\text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 2, \ 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ &\phi(v_i^j w_i^j) = \big\lceil \frac{3(j-1)}{2} \big\rceil + \big\lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \big\rceil, &\text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 3, \ 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd,} \\ &\phi(v_i^j w_i^j) = \big\lceil \frac{3(j-1)}{2} \big\rceil + \big\lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \big\rceil, &\text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 3, \ 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ even.} \end{aligned}$$

This labeling gives the weight of the vertices as follows.

$$\begin{split} wt(u_i^j) &= 3(j-1) + i + 1, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 3, \ 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ wt(v_i^j) &= 2k + m + i + 2(j-1), & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 2, \ 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ wt(v_3^j) &= 4k + \lceil \frac{3(j-1)}{2} \rceil + 2, & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ wt(c^j) &= 2k + j, & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m. \end{split}$$

For n=4

$$\begin{split} \phi(v_i^j) &= \phi(u_i^j) = 2(j-1) + \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, \ 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \phi(c^j) &= k+1-j, & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \phi(c^jv_i^j) &= k, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \phi(v_i^jv_{i+1}^j) &= \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, \ 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \phi(v_i^ju_i^j) &= 2(j-1) + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, \ 1 \leq j \leq m. \end{split}$$

This labeling gives the weight of the vertices as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ll} wt(u_i^j) = 4(j-1) + i + 1, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, \ 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ wt(v_i^j) = k + 2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 4(j-1) + i + 1, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, \ 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ wt(c^j) = 5k + 1 - j, & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m. \end{array}$$

It is easy to check that the weight of the vertices are distinct. The above constructions show that $tvs(mH_n) \leq \lceil \frac{nm+1}{2} \rceil$.

Combining with the lower bounds, we conclude that $tvs(mH_n) = \lceil \frac{nm+1}{2} \rceil$.

In the next theorem, we determined the total vertex irregularity strength of a disjoint union of not necessarily isomorphic Helm graphs.

Theorem 4. For
$$n_j > 4$$
, $m \ge 2$, let $G \cong \bigcup_{j=1}^m H_{n_j}$ then $tvs(G) = \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^m n_j) + 1}{2} \right\rceil$.

Proof. The disjoint union of a Helm graphs has $\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_j$ vertices of degree 1 and 4, and m vertices of degree between $[4, \Delta]$. From Theorem 2, $tvs(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} H_{n_j}) \geq \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_j)+1}{2} \right\rceil.$

$$tvs(\bigcup_{j=1}^m H_{n_j}) \ge \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^m n_j)+1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

For our convenience, let t_1 be the number of H_{n_j} 's with even n_j . We arrange H_{n_j} 's such that all even n_i appear in the first t_1 places. The vertex set and edge set of disjoint union of Helm graphs are $V(G) = \{c^j : 1 \leq j \leq m\} \cup \{u^j_i, v^j_i : 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n_j\}, E(G) = \{c^j v^j_i : 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n_j\} \cup \{v^j_{i+1} v^j_{i} : 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq m, 1$ $i \leq n_j\} \cup \{u_i^j v_i^j : 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n_j\}$, respectively. Put $k = \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^m n_j) + 1}{2} \right\rceil$. To

show that k is an upper bound for total vertex irregularity strength of $\bigcup_{j=1}^m H_{n_j}$, we describe a total vertex k-labeling $\phi: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} \phi(v_i^j) &= \phi(u_i^j) = \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq t_1, \\ \phi(v_i^j u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq t_1, \\ \phi(c^j v_i^j) &= \phi(v_i^j v_{i+1}^j) = k, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \phi(c^j) &= j, & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m. \\ t_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \phi(v_i^j) &= \phi(u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ even,} \\ \phi(v_i^j) &= \phi(u_i^j) &= \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} \right\rfloor + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd,} \\ \phi(v_i^j u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \psi(v_i^j u_i^j) &= \left\lceil \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} \right\rceil + \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \psi(v_i^j) &= \phi(u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \phi(v_i^j) &= \phi(u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \phi(v_i^j) &= \phi(u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \phi(v_i^j u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \phi(v_i^j u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \phi(v_i^j u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \phi(v_i^j u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \phi(v_i^j u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \phi(v_i^j u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \phi(v_i^j u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \phi(v_i^j u_i^j) &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}}{2} + \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_j, \ t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ odd.} \\ \phi(v_i^j u_i^$$

This labeling gives the weight of the vertices as follows:

$$wt(u_i^j) = (\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}) + i + 1, \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n_j, \ 1 \le j \le m,$$

$$wt(v_i^j) = (\sum_{p=1}^j n_{p-1}) + 3k + i + 1, \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n_j, \ 1 \le j \le m,$$

$$wt(c^j) = kn_j + j, \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le m.$$

It is easy to check that the weight of the vertices are distinct. This labeling construction shows that $tvs(\bigcup_{j=1}^m H_{n_j}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^m n_j)+1}{2} \right\rceil$. Combining with the

lower bounds, we conclude that
$$tvs(\bigcup_{j=1}^m H_{n_j}) = \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^m n_j)+1}{2} \right\rceil$$
.

Since by deleting the central vertex of a Helm graph, we obtain a sun graph then we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 5. For
$$n_j \ge 3$$
, $1 \le j \le m$, $tvs(\bigcup_{j=1}^m S_{n_j}) = \left[\frac{(\sum_{j=1}^m n_j) + 1}{2}\right]$.

Proof. The disjoint union of a sun graphs has $\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_j$ vertices of degree 1 and 3. From Theorem 2, we have $tvs(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} S_{n_j}) \geq \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_j)+1}{2} \right\rceil$. We label the graph $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} H_{n_j}$ like in the proof of Theorem 4. Then we remove the central vertices together with all incident edges. As this operation does not change the weights of the vertices u_i^j and the weight of each v_i^j decreases by $k = \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_j)+1}{2} \right\rceil$, it implies the existence of vertex-irregular total $\left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_j)+1}{2} \right\rceil$ -labeling of graph $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} S_{n_j}$.

We believe that the lower bound of Theorem 2 is tight, so we propose the following Conjecture.

Conjecture 6. Let G be a graph with minimum degree $\delta(G)$ and maximum degree $\Delta(G)$, then $tvs(G) = \max_{i=0}^{\Delta(G)} \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_{p=1}^{i} n_p) + \delta(G)}{i+1} \right\rceil \right\}$, where n_i represents number of vertices of degree i in G.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to anonymous referees for many useful remarks which improved the first version of this paper.

References

- [1] A. Ahmad and M. Bača, On vertex irregular total labelings, Ars Combin. (to appear).
- [2] A. Ahmad, K.M. Awan, I. Javaid, and Slamin, Total vertex irregularity strength of wheel related graphs, Australas. J. Combin. 51 (2011) 147–156.
- [3] M. Anholcer, M. Kalkowski and J. Przybyło, A new upper bound for the total vertex irregularity strength of graphs, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 6316–6317. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2009.05.023
- [4] M. Bača, S. Jendrol', M. Miller and J. Ryan, On irregular total labellings, Discrete Math. 307 (2007) 1378–1388. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2005.11.075
- [5] T. Bohman and D. Kravitz, On the irregularity strength of trees, J. Graph Theory
 45 (2004) 241–254.
 doi:10.1002/jgt.10158
- [6] G. Chartrand, M.S. Jacobson, J. Lehel, O.R. Oellermann, S. Ruiz and F. Saba, Irregular networks, Congr. Numer. 64 (1988) 187–192.

- [7] R.J. Faudree, M.S. Jacobson, J. Lehel and R.H. Schlep, Irregular networks, regular graphs and integer matrices with distinct row and column sums, Discrete Math. 76 (1988) 223–240.
 doi:10.1016/0012-365X(89)90321-X
- [8] A. Frieze, R.J. Gould, M. Karoński, and F. Pfender, On graph irregularity strength,
 J. Graph Theory 41 (2002) 120–137.
 doi:10.1002/jgt.10056
- [9] A. Gyárfás, The irregularity strength of $K_{m,m}$ is 4 for odd m, Discrete Math. **71** (1988) 273–274. doi:10.1016/0012-365X(88)90106-9
- [10] S. Jendrol', M. Tkáč and Zs. Tuza, The irregularity strength and cost of the union of cliques, Discrete Math. 150 (1996) 179–186. doi:10.1016/0012-365X(95)00186-Z
- [11] M. Kalkowski, M. Karoński and F. Pfender, A new upper bound for the irregularity strength of graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 25 (2011) 139–1321. doi:10.1137/090774112
- [12] T. Nierhoff, A tight bound on the irregularity strength of graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 13 (2000) 313–323. doi:10.1137/S0895480196314291
- [13] Nurdin, E.T. Baskoro, A.N.M. Salamn and N.N. Goas, On the total vertex irregularity strength of trees, Discrete Math. 310 (2010) 3043–3048. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2010.06.041
- [14] J. Przybyło, Linear bound on the irregularity strength and the total vertex irregularity strength of graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 23 (2009) 511–516. doi:10.1137/070707385
- [15] K. Wijaya and Slamin, Total vertex irregular labeling of wheels, fans, suns and friendship graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 65 (2008) 103–112.
- [16] K. Wijaya, Slamin, Surahmat and S. Jendrol, Total vertex irregular labeling of complete bipartite graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 55 (2005) 129–136.

Received 12 April 2011 Revised 20 July 2011 Accepted 25 July 2011