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#### Abstract

A graph whose edges are labeled either as positive or negative is called a signed graph. In this article, we extend the notion of composition of (unsigned) graphs (also called lexicographic product) to signed graphs. We employ Kronecker product of matrices to express the adjacency matrix of this product of two signed graphs and hence find its eigenvalues when the second graph under composition is net-regular. A signed graph is said to be net-regular if every vertex has constant net-degree, namely, the difference of the number of positive and negative edges incident with a vertex. We also characterize balance in signed graph composition and have some results on the Laplacian matrices of this product.
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## 1. Introduction

All graphs in this article are finite and simple. The objective of this paper is to extend the notion and some results available in unsigned graph theory associated
with the lexicographic product of graphs to signed graphs. Moreover we deal with the balance of the lexicographic product of signed graph as the theory of balance is an important aspect in the case of signed graphs. For all definitions in (unsigned) graph theory used here, unless otherwise mentioned, reader may refer to [5, 6]. Much has been discussed in literature about the lexicographic product of graphs (for example, see $[5,6,11,12]$ ). We denote by $G=(V, E)$, a simple (unsigned) graph with the vertex set $V$ and the edge set $E$. If $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ are two graphs, their lexicographic product $G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]$ is defined as the graph with the vertex set $V_{1} \times V_{2}$ and the vertices $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ and $\mathbf{v}=\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)$ are adjacent whenever $u_{i}$ is adjacent to $u_{k}$ or when $u_{i}=u_{k}$ and $v_{j}$ is adjacent to $v_{l}$. We shall extend this definition to signed graphs.

Signed graphs (also called sigraphs), with positive and negative labels on the edges, are much studied in the literature because of their use in modeling a variety of physical and socio-psychological processes (for example, see $[2,3]$ ) and also because of their interesting connections with many classical mathematical systems (see [15]). Formally, a sigraph is an ordered pair $\Sigma=(G, \sigma)$ where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph called the underlying graph of $\Sigma$ and $\sigma: E \rightarrow\{+1,-1\}$ called a signing, is a function (also called a signature) from the edge set $E$ of $G$ into the set $\{+1,-1\}$. We define the lexicographic product $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$ (also called composition) of two signed graphs $\Sigma_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ as the signed graph ( $V_{1} \times V_{2}, E, \sigma$ ) where the edge set $E$ is that of the lexicographic product of underlying unsigned graphs and the signature function $\sigma$ for the labeling of the edges is defined by

$$
\sigma\left(\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sigma_{1}\left(u_{i} u_{k}\right) & \text { if } i \neq k,  \tag{1}\\
\sigma_{2}\left(v_{j} v_{l}\right) & \text { if } i=k
\end{array}\right.
$$

A signed graph is all-positive (respectively, all-negative) if all of its edges are positive (respectively, negative); further, it is said to be homogeneous if it is either all-positive or all-negative and heterogeneous otherwise. Note that a graph can be considered to be a homogeneous signed graph. A signed graph $\Sigma$ is said to be balanced or cycle balanced if all of its cycles are positive, where the sign of a cycle in a signed graph is the product of the signs of its edges.

To obtain certain results in lexicographic products of signed graphs, in the sequel, we deal mainly with the adjacency matrix and Laplacian matrix of a signed graph which are direct generalization of familiar matrices from ordinary, unsigned graph theory. If $\Sigma=(G, \sigma)$ is a signed graph where $G=(V, E)$ with $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and if we denote an edge belonging to the edge set $E$ of $\Sigma$ as $e_{i j}=v_{i} v_{j}$, then its adjacency matrix $A(\Sigma)=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is defined as,

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}\sigma\left(v_{i} v_{j}\right) & \text { if } v_{i} v_{j} \in E \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The Laplacian matrix of a signed graph $\Sigma=(G, \sigma)$ is given by $L(\Sigma)=D(\Sigma)-$ $A(\Sigma)$, where $D(\Sigma)$ is the diagonal matrix of degrees of vertices of $\Sigma$.

The roots of the characteristic polynomial $\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda I_{n}-A(\Sigma)\right)$ of the adjacency matrix $A(\Sigma)$ are called the eigenvalues of $\Sigma$. We denote the eigenvalues of a signed graph of order $n$ by $\lambda_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and Laplacian eigenvalues by $\lambda_{j}^{L}$. Eigenvalues of the adjacency and Laplacian matrices of a graph have been widely used to characterize properties of a graph and extract some useful information from its structure. When we have two signed graphs to deal with, say $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$, the former is considered to be of order $m$ and the latter to be of order $n$. Their eigenvalues are taken, respectively, as $\lambda_{i}$ and $\mu_{j}$. We denote by $J_{n}$ the square matrix of order $n$ with all ones and by $\mathbf{j}$ the column vector of all ones, and $+K_{n}$ denotes the all-positive complete graph of order $n$.

Kronecker product of an $m \times n$ matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and a $p \times q$ matrix $B$ is defined to be the $m p \times n q$ matrix $A \otimes B=\left(a_{i j} B\right)$.

Lemma 1 [16]. If $A$ and $B$ are square matrices of order $m$ and $n$ respectively, then $A \otimes B$ is a square matrix of order mn. Also $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D)=A C \otimes B D$, if the products $A C$ and $B D$ exist.

Lemma 2 [16]. If $A$ and $B$ are square matrices of order $m$ and $n$ respectively with eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}(1 \leq i \leq m)$ and $\mu_{j}(1 \leq i \leq n)$, then the eigenvalues of $A \otimes B$ are $\lambda_{i} \mu_{j}$ and that of $A \otimes I_{n}+I_{m} \otimes B$ are $\lambda_{i}+\mu_{j}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the definitions and the eigenvalues of the following graph products available for (unsigned) graph, one may refer to [5] and the same for signed graphs can be found in [8].

Given two signed graphs $\Sigma_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$, their Cartesian product $\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}$ is defined as the signed graph $\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}, E, \sigma\right)$ where the edge set $E$ is that of the Cartesian product of underlying unsigned graphs and the signature function $\sigma$ for the labeling of the edges is defined by

$$
\sigma\left(\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\sigma_{1}\left(u_{i} u_{k}\right) & \text { if } j=l, \\ \sigma_{2}\left(v_{j} v_{l}\right) & \text { if } i=k .\end{cases}
$$

The strong product $\Sigma_{1} \boxtimes \Sigma_{2}$ of two signed graphs $\Sigma_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is defined as the signed graph $\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}, E, \sigma\right)$ where the edge set $E$ is that of the strong product of the underlying unsigned graphs and the signature function $\sigma$ for the labeling of the edges is defined by

$$
\sigma\left(\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)\right)=\sigma_{1}\left(u_{i} u_{k}\right) \sigma_{2}\left(v_{j} v_{l}\right) .
$$

In the examples given in the main section, we use the following lemmas found in $[7]$ and [8]. We follow the notation, $[r]$, for an integer $r$, such that $[r]=0$ if $r$ is even and $[r]=1$ if it is odd. We denote by $P_{n}^{(r)}$, where $0 \leq r \leq n-1$, signed paths of order $n$ and size $n-1$ with $r$ negative edges where the underlying graph is the path $P_{n}$. Also $C_{n}^{(r)}$, for $0 \leq r \leq n$, denotes signed cycles with $r$ negative edges.

Lemma 3 [7]. The signed paths $P_{n}^{(r)}$, where $0 \leq r \leq n-1$, have the eigenvalues (independent of $r$ ) given by $\lambda_{j}=2 \cos \frac{\pi j}{n+1} \quad$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Lemma 4 [8]. The eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$ of $C_{n}^{(r)}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $0 \leq r \leq n$ are given by $\lambda_{j}=2 \cos \frac{(2 j-[r]) \pi}{n}$.
The following three results on Cartesian product and strong product of signed graphs are found in [8].

Lemma 5 [8]. Given two signed graphs $\Sigma_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ where $\left|V_{1}\right|=m$ and $\left|V_{2}\right|=n$, then the adjacency matrix $A\left(\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)$ of the Cartesian product $\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}$ is $A\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \otimes I_{n}+I_{m} \otimes A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$. Hence eigenvalues of $\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}$ will be the sum of the eigenvalues of $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$.

Lemma 6 [8]. The Cartesian product $\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}$, of the signed graphs $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$, is balanced if and only if $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ are both balanced.

Lemma 7 [8]. The adjacency matrix of the strong product $\Sigma_{1} \boxtimes \Sigma_{2}$ will be the Kronecker product of the adjacency matrices of $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ and its eigenvalues will be the product of the eigenvalues of $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$.

## 3. Adjacency Eigenvalues of Lexicographic Product

In this section, we generalize to signed graphs the expression for the adjacency matrix of the composition of two unsigned graphs given in [5]. This expression provides a way to calculate their eigenvalues in the sequel.

Theorem 8. If $\Sigma_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ are two signed graphs where $\left|V_{1}\right|=m$ and $\left|V_{2}\right|=n$, then the adjacency matrix $A\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)$ of the lexicographic product $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$ is $A\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \otimes J_{n}+I_{m} \otimes A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$.
Proof. A direct computation shows that $A\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \otimes J_{n}+I_{m} \otimes A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 J_{n} & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right) J_{n} & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{3}\right) J_{n} & \ldots & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{m}\right) J_{n} \\
\sigma_{1}\left(u_{2} u_{1}\right) J_{n} & 0 J_{n} & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{2} u_{3}\right) J_{n} & \ldots & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{2} u_{m}\right) J_{n} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\sigma_{1}\left(u_{m} u_{1}\right) J_{n} & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{m} u_{2}\right) J_{n} & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{m} u_{3}\right) J_{n} & \ldots & 0 J_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right) & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right) & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right) J_{n} & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{3}\right) J_{n} & \ldots & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{m}\right) J_{n} \\
\sigma_{1}\left(u_{2} u_{1}\right) J_{n} & A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right) & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{2} u_{3}\right) J_{n} & \ldots & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{2} u_{m}\right) J_{n} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\sigma_{1}\left(u_{m} u_{1}\right) J_{n} & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{m} u_{2}\right) J_{n} & \sigma_{1}\left(u_{m} u_{3}\right) J_{n} & \ldots & A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us examine the $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)$ elements of this matrix. We find them as

$$
\begin{cases}\sigma_{1}\left(u_{i} u_{k}\right) & \text { if } u_{i} \neq u_{k} \text { and } u_{i} u_{k} \in E_{1}, \\ \sigma_{2}\left(v_{j} v_{l}\right) & \text { if } v_{j} v_{l} \in E_{2} \text { and } u_{i}=u_{k}, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

They are exactly what appear in the $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)$ positions of $A\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)$.
Corollary 9. The adjacency matrix of $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$ can also be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)=A\left(\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)+A\left(\Sigma_{1} \boxtimes\left(+K_{n}\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have $J_{n}=I_{n}+A\left(+K_{n}\right)$. Applying this in the expression for the adjacency matrix of $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$ given in Theorem 8 , we have the result.

Before we proceed further, we need some more definitions and notations. The netdegree $d_{\Sigma}^{ \pm}(v)$ of a vertex $v$ of a signed graph $\Sigma$ is defined as $d_{\Sigma}^{ \pm}(v)=d_{\Sigma}^{+}(v)-d_{\Sigma}^{-}(v)$, where $d_{\Sigma}^{+}(v)$ and $d_{\Sigma}^{-}(v)$ denote, respectively, the number of positive edges and the number of negative edges incident with $v$. If no confusion arises, we may omit the suffix and write them as $d^{+}(v)$ and $d^{-}(v)$. Also as usual, $d(v)$ denotes the total number of edges incident at $v$ and of course, $d(v)=d^{+}(v)+d^{-}(v)$. Properties of the degree sequence of a signed graph can be seen in [4, 9, 10]. A signed graph $\Sigma$ is called net-regular if every vertex has the same net-degree and in that case, we write the common value of net-degree as $d^{ \pm}(\Sigma)$. We define, a signed graph $\Sigma=(G, \sigma)$ to be co-regular, if the underlying graph $G$ is $r$-regular for some positive integer $r$ and $\Sigma$ is net-regular with net-degree $k$ for some integer $k$. In this case we also define the co-regularity pair to be the ordered pair $(r, k)$. For example, the alternately signed cycle $C_{2 n}^{(n)}$ is a co-regular signed graph with co-regularity pair $(2,0)$.

In general, though we cannot come up with a precise formula for the calculation of the eigenvalues of the lexicographic product of two signed graphs, which is the case even with (unsigned) graphs (see [5]), we have Theorem 12, using Lemma 10 and Lemma 11, which generalizes a similar result given in [5] for (unsigned) graph composition.

Lemma 10 [14]. If $\Sigma$ is a net-regular signed graph, then $d^{ \pm}(\Sigma)$ is an eigenvalue of $\Sigma$ with $\mathbf{j}$ as an eigenvector.

Also, since the adjacency matrix of a signed graph is real and symmetric, from the general spectral theory, we know that other eigenvectors will be orthogonal to $\mathbf{j}$. For emphasis, we state the result in the following lemma to fit it suitable for the proof of Theorem 12.

Lemma 11. If $\Sigma$ is a net-regular graph, then the eigenvector $Y_{j}=\left[y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]^{T}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mu_{j} \neq d^{ \pm}(\Sigma)$ satisfies $\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k}=0$.

Theorem 12. Let $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ be two signed graphs such that the latter is netregular. If the eigenvalues of $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ are, respectively, $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{m}$ and $\mu_{1}=d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right), \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{n}$, then $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} n+d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right), \lambda_{2} n+$ $d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right), \ldots, \lambda_{m} n+d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$ (each of multiplicity one) and $\mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \ldots, \mu_{n}$ (each of multiplicity $m$ ).

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ be the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$ of $A\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{Y}_{j}$ be the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mu_{j}$ of $A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$ for $1 \leq$ $i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. By Lemma 10, we have $\mathbf{Y}_{1}=\mathbf{j}$ which is the eigenvector corresponding to $\mu_{1}=d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$. Since $J_{n}$ has rank 1, there is only one non-zero eigenvalue which will be its trace $=n$. That is, $J_{n}$ has one non-zero eigenvalue $n$ (with multiplicity 1 ) with the eigenvector $\mathbf{j}$ and 0 (with multiplicity $n-1$ ) as the other eigenvalues. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)\left(\mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{j}\right)=\left(A\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \otimes J_{n}+I_{m} \otimes A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{j}\right) \\
& =A\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes J_{n} \mathbf{j}+I_{m} \mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right) \mathbf{j}=\lambda_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes n \mathbf{j}+\mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right) \mathbf{j} \\
& =\left(\lambda_{i} n+d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

showing that $\lambda_{i} n+d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of $A\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Again when $j \neq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)\left(\mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{Y}_{j}\right)=\left(A\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \otimes J_{n}+I_{m} \otimes A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{Y}_{j}\right) \\
& =A\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes J_{n} \mathbf{Y}_{j}+I_{m} \mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes A\left(\Sigma_{2}\right) \mathbf{Y}_{j}=\lambda_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k}\right) \mathbf{j}+\mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes \mu_{j} \mathbf{Y}_{j} \\
& =\lambda_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes 0 \mathbf{j}+\mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes \mu_{j} \mathbf{Y}_{j}=\mu_{j}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{Y}_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the result that $\mu_{j}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$ are the eigenvalues of $A\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)$.

## 4. Balance of the Lexicographic Product of Two Signed Graphs

Before we prove the criterion for the balance of a lexicographic product of two signed graphs, we need an important notion called switching of signed graphs (for more details refer to [14]). If $\theta: V \rightarrow\{+1,-1\}$ is a function called switching function, then switching of the signed graph $\Sigma=(G, \sigma)$ by $\theta$ means changing $\sigma$ to $\sigma^{\theta}$ defined by:

$$
\sigma^{\theta}(u v)=\theta(u) \sigma(u v) \theta(v) .
$$

The switched graph denoted by $\Sigma^{\theta}$, is the signed graph $\Sigma^{\theta}=\left(G, \sigma^{\theta}\right)$. We call two signed graphs $\Sigma_{1}=\left(G, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{2}=\left(G, \sigma_{2}\right)$ to be switching equivalent, if there exists a switching function $\theta: V \rightarrow\{+1,-1\}$ such that $\Sigma_{1}=\Sigma_{2}^{\theta}$. It can be seen that switching preserves many features of the two signed graphs including the eigenvalues [14]. Indeed, the following is a very important result.

Lemma 13 [14]. A signed graph is balanced if and only if it can be switched to an all-positive signed graph.

Theorem 14. If $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ are two signed graphs with at least one edge for each, then their lexicographic product or composition $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$, is balanced if and only if $\Sigma_{1}$ is balanced and $\Sigma_{2}$ is all-positive.

Proof. If $\Sigma_{1}$ is balanced and $\Sigma_{2}$ is all-positive, then by Lemma 13, it is possible to switch $\Sigma_{1}$ to an all-positive signed graph $\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}$, say and let $\theta: V\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \rightarrow\{+1,-1\}$ be the corresponding switching function. Define $\theta_{1}: V\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right) \rightarrow\{+1,-1\}$ by $\theta_{1}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\theta\left(u_{i}\right)$. Then we claim that $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$ is switching equivalent to the allpositive signed graph $\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$. To see this, let the signatures of $\Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}$ and $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$, respectively, be $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{c}$. As $\Sigma_{1}$ is switching equivalent to $\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\sigma_{1}{ }^{\theta}\left(u_{i} u_{k}\right)=\theta\left(u_{i}\right) \sigma_{1}\left(u_{i} u_{k}\right) \theta\left(u_{k}\right)
$$

which implies that $\sigma_{1}\left(u_{i} u_{k}\right)=\theta\left(u_{i}\right) \theta\left(u_{k}\right)$, since $\sigma_{1}{ }^{\theta}$ is the all-positive signature. Also, for $\mathbf{u v}=\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right) \in E\left(\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)$

$$
\sigma_{c}^{\theta_{1}}(\mathbf{u v})=\theta_{1}(\mathbf{u}) \sigma_{c}(\mathbf{u v}) \theta_{1}(\mathbf{v})=\theta\left(u_{i}\right) \sigma_{c}(\mathbf{u v}) \theta\left(u_{k}\right)
$$

Using the definition of the composition of two signed graphs, see Equation (1), this gives

$$
\sigma_{c}^{\theta_{1}}(\mathbf{u v})= \begin{cases}\theta\left(u_{i}\right) \sigma_{1}\left(u_{i} u_{k}\right) \theta\left(u_{k}\right)=\left(\theta\left(u_{i}\right) \theta\left(u_{k}\right)\right)^{2}=1 & \text { if } i \neq k \\ \theta\left(u_{i}\right) \sigma_{2}\left(v_{j} v_{l}\right) \theta\left(u_{i}\right)=\left(\theta\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{2}=1 & \text { if } i=k\end{cases}
$$

since $\sigma_{2}$ is the all-positive signature which thus leads to $\sigma_{c}^{\theta_{1}}(\mathbf{u v})=1$ for all $\mathbf{u v} \in E\left(\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)=E\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)$, as required. Conversely, assuming that $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$ is balanced, we have Cartesian product $\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}$ as a subgraph of $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$. So Lemma 6 is applicable and hence $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ must be at least balanced. Now, we claim that $\Sigma_{2}$ cannot have any negative edge. On the contrary, if we assume that $\Sigma_{2}$ contains a negative edge, say $v_{j} v_{l}$, then we claim that it would result in an unbalanced triangle in $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$, as per the definition of signed graph composition, leading to a contradiction. To prove this claim consider the following cases.

Case 1. If there is a negative edge $u_{i} u_{k}$ in $\Sigma_{1}$. In this case the required negative triangle, for example, is $\left(u_{i}, v_{1}\right)\left(u_{k}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{k}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right),\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)\left(u_{i}, v_{1}\right)$, with three edges being negative.

Case 2. If the edge $u_{i} u_{k}$ is positive, then the same triangle in Case 1 will be negative with one negative edge, giving an unbalanced triangle, as required.

Example 15. Consider the lexicographic product $P_{m}^{\left(r_{1}\right)}\left[C_{2 n}^{(n)}\right]$ of the signed path $P_{m}^{\left(r_{1}\right)}$ and the co-regular signed cycle $C_{2 n}^{(n)}$ such that $d^{ \pm}\left(C_{2 n}^{(n)}\right)=0$. Then the eigenvalues of this signed graph product are: $4 n \cos \left(\frac{\pi i}{m+1}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ with multiplicity one and $2 \cos \left(\frac{(2 j-[n]) \pi}{2 n}\right)$ each of multiplicity $m$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2 n$ such that $j \neq \frac{n+[n]}{2}$. Also, by Theorem $14, P_{m}^{\left(r_{1}\right)}\left[C_{2 n}^{(n)}\right]$ is unbalanced, since $C_{2 n}^{(n)}$ contains negative edge, though $P_{m}^{\left(r_{1}\right)}$ is balanced.

On the other hand, if the cycle $-C_{n}=C_{n}^{(n)}$ is the all-negative cycle, where $n \geq 3$ so that the eigenvalues of $-C_{n}$ are $-2=d^{ \pm}\left(-C_{n}\right)$ and $-2 \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi j}{n}\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, then the eigenvalues of $P_{m}^{\left(r_{1}\right)}\left[-C_{n}\right]$, by applying the result in Theorem 12, are $n\left(2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi i}{m+1}\right)\right)+(-2)=2\left(n \cos \left(\frac{\pi i}{m+1}\right)-1\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ with multiplicity one and $-2 \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi j}{n}\right)$ each of multiplicity $m$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Here also, as all the edges of $-C_{n}$ are negative, by Theorem $14, P_{m}^{\left(r_{1}\right)}\left[-C_{n}\right]$ is unbalanced.

## 5. Laplacian Eigenvalues of Lexicographic Product

Lemma 16. For a vertex $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ in $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right], d^{ \pm}(\mathbf{u})=n d^{ \pm}\left(u_{i}\right)+d^{ \pm}\left(v_{j}\right)$ and $d(\mathbf{u})=n d\left(u_{i}\right)+d\left(v_{j}\right)$.

Proof. From the definition of $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$, the number of edges (positive or negative) adjacent with $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ can be counted by first taking into account the number of edges $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)$, incident with $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ when $i=k$, which is either $d^{ \pm}\left(v_{j}\right)$ or $d\left(v_{j}\right)$, as the case may be, and then as the edges of $\Sigma_{1}$ has a major role in the composition, we count the edges originating from $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ and incident with $\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)$ when $i \neq k$, which is $n d^{ \pm}\left(u_{i}\right)$ or $n d\left(u_{i}\right)$, as the case may be. By using the phrase 'as the case may be', we mean that the counting strategy may be to count the positive and negative edges adjacent to the vertices separately or the edge as such without considering the label on it. Thus we have the results in the lemma.

Corollary 17. If $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ are net-regular signed graphs with net-degrees, respectively, $d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ and $d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$, then $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$ is a net-regular signed graph with net-degree $d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)=n d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)+d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$.

Proof. From Lemma 16, we have for a vertex $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ in $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right], d^{ \pm}(\mathbf{u})=$ $n d^{ \pm}\left(u_{i}\right)+d^{ \pm}\left(v_{j}\right)$. By assumption, $d^{ \pm}\left(u_{i}\right)=d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ for any vertex $u_{i}$ in $\Sigma_{1}$ and $d^{ \pm}\left(v_{j}\right)=d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$ for any vertex $v_{j}$ in $\Sigma_{2}$. Therefore, $d^{ \pm}(\mathbf{u})=n d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)+d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$ which is a constant for any vertex $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ in $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$. So, this constant value is the net-degree of $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$, making it a net-regular signed graph.

## Theorem 18.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)=n D\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \otimes I_{n}+I_{m} \otimes D\left(\Sigma_{2}\right) \text { and } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)=n D\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \otimes I_{n}-A\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \otimes J_{n}+I_{m} \otimes L\left(\Sigma_{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have from Lemma 16, $d(\mathbf{u})=n d\left(u_{i}\right)+d\left(v_{j}\right)$ for a vertex $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ in $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$. As such, noting the fact that $D\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)$ is a diagonal matrix of order $m n$, we first get that it can be written as a block partitioned diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $n d\left(u_{i}\right) I_{n}+D\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. This when simplified leads to Equation (3). To get Equation (4), apply Equation (3) and the expression for the adjacency matrix $A\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)$ given in Theorem 8 and note also the fact that $L\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)=D\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)-A\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)$.

Theorem 19. If the underlying graph of $\Sigma_{1}$ is regular of degree $r_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ is a co-regular signed graph with the co-regularity pair $\left(r_{2}, d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)\right)$, then $L\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)=$ $\left(n r_{1}+r_{2}\right) I_{m n}-A\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)$ and the Laplacian eigenvalues of $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$ are
$\lambda_{i 1}^{L}=n \lambda_{i}^{L}+\mu_{1}^{L}=n \lambda_{i}^{L}+r_{2}-d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$ with multiplicity one, for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and
$\lambda_{1 j}^{L}=n r_{1}+\mu_{j}^{L}$ with multiplicity $m$, for $2 \leq j \leq n$.
Proof. As $\Sigma_{1}$ is regular of degree $r_{1}$, its Laplacian eigenvalues and adjacency eigenvalues are related by the equation $\lambda_{i}^{L}=r_{1}-\lambda_{i}$. Similarly for $\Sigma_{2}$, we have $\mu_{j}^{L}=r_{2}-\mu_{j}$ and $\mu_{1}^{L}=r_{2}-d^{ \pm}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$. Moreover the underlying graph of $\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$ is regular of degree $n r_{1}+r_{2}$. Therefore, by the definition of the Laplacian matrix, $L\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)=\left(n r_{1}+r_{2}\right) I_{m n}-A\left(\Sigma_{1}\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]\right)$. As such, the remaining results follow from Theorem 12 and Lemma 16.
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