

SIGNED DOMINATION AND SIGNED DOMATIC NUMBERS OF DIGRAPHS

LUTZ VOLKMANN

Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik
RWTH-Aachen University
52056 Aachen, Germany

e-mail: volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de

Abstract

Let D be a finite and simple digraph with the vertex set $V(D)$, and let $f : V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ be a two-valued function. If $\sum_{x \in N^-[v]} f(x) \geq 1$ for each $v \in V(D)$, where $N^-[v]$ consists of v and all vertices of D from which arcs go into v , then f is a signed dominating function on D . The sum $f(V(D))$ is called the weight $w(f)$ of f . The minimum of weights $w(f)$, taken over all signed dominating functions f on D , is the signed domination number $\gamma_S(D)$ of D . A set $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\}$ of signed dominating functions on D with the property that $\sum_{i=1}^d f_i(x) \leq 1$ for each $x \in V(D)$, is called a signed dominating family (of functions) on D . The maximum number of functions in a signed dominating family on D is the signed domatic number of D , denoted by $d_S(D)$.

In this work we show that $4 - n \leq \gamma_S(D) \leq n$ for each digraph D of order $n \geq 2$, and we characterize the digraphs attaining the lower bound as well as the upper bound. Furthermore, we prove that $\gamma_S(D) + d_S(D) \leq n + 1$ for any digraph D of order n , and we characterize the digraphs D with $\gamma_S(D) + d_S(D) = n + 1$. Some of our theorems imply well-known results on the signed domination number of graphs.

Keywords: digraph, oriented graph, signed dominating function, signed domination number, signed domatic number.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69.

In this paper all digraphs are finite without loops or multiple arcs. A digraph without directed cycles of length 2 is an *oriented graph*. The vertex set and arc set of a digraph D are denoted by $V(D)$ and $A(D)$, respectively. The

order $n = n(D)$ of a digraph D is the number of its vertices. If uv is an arc of D , then we also write $u \rightarrow v$, and we say that v is an *out-neighbor* of u and u is an *in-neighbor* of v . If A and B are two disjoint vertex sets of a digraph D such that $a \rightarrow b$ for each $a \in A$ and each $b \in B$, then we use the symbol $A \rightarrow B$. For a vertex v of a digraph D , we denote the set of in-neighbors and out-neighbors of v by $N^-(v) = N_D^-(v)$ and $N^+(v) = N_D^+(v)$, respectively. Furthermore, $N^-[v] = N_D^-[v] = N^-(v) \cup \{v\}$. The numbers $d_D^-(v) = d^-(v) = |N^-(v)|$ and $d_D^+(v) = d^+(v) = |N^+(v)|$ are the *indegree* and *outdegree* of v , respectively. The *minimum indegree*, *maximum indegree*, *minimum outdegree* and *maximum outdegree* of D are denoted by $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$, $\Delta^- = \Delta^-(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$ and $\Delta^+ = \Delta^+(D)$, respectively. A digraph D is *strongly connected* if, for each pair of vertices u and v in D , there is a directed path from u to v in D . If $X \subseteq V(D)$ and $v \in V(D)$, then $E(X, v)$ is the set of arcs from X to v . The *complete digraph* of order n is denoted by K_n^* . If $X \subseteq V(D)$ and f is a mapping from $V(D)$ into some set of numbers, then $f(X) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x)$.

A *signed dominating function* of a digraph D is defined in [6] as a two-valued function $f : V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that $f(N^-[v]) = \sum_{x \in N^-[v]} f(x) \geq 1$ for each $v \in V(D)$. The sum $f(V(D))$ is called the weight $w(f)$ of f . The minimum of weights $w(f)$, taken over all signed dominating functions f on D , is called the *signed domination number* of D , denoted by $\gamma_S(D)$. Signed domination in digraphs has been studied in [3] and [6].

A set $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\}$ of signed dominating functions on D with the property that $\sum_{i=1}^d f_i(x) \leq 1$ for each vertex $x \in V(D)$, is called a *signed dominating family* (of functions) on D . The maximum number of functions in a signed dominating family on D is the *signed domatic number* of D , denoted by $d_S(D)$. The signed domatic number of digraphs was introduced by Sheikholeslami and Volkmann [4]. We start with a simple observation.

Observation 1. *Let D be a digraph of order n . If $1 \leq n \leq 2$, then $\gamma_S(D) = n$, and if $n \geq 3$, then*

$$4 - n \leq \gamma_S(D) \leq n.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $\gamma_S(D) = n$ when $1 \leq n \leq 2$. Assume now that $n \geq 3$. The upper bound $\gamma_S(D) \leq n$ is immediate. If f is a signed dominating function on D , then the condition $n \geq 3$ implies that there are at least two distinct vertices u and v such that $f(u) = f(v) = 1$, and thus $\gamma_S(D) \geq 2 - (n - 2) = 4 - n$. ■

Let \mathcal{F} be the family of digraphs of order $n \geq 3$ such that there exist two vertices u and v such $\{u, v\} \rightarrow x$ for each $x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$, the set $V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ is independent, and there are at most two arcs from $V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ to $\{u, v\}$. If there are two arcs from $V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ to $\{u, v\}$, then the end-vertices of these arcs are different. In addition,

if there is no arc from $V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ to $\{u, v\}$, then $\{u, v\}$ is an independent set or there are one or two arcs between u and v ,

if there is exactly one arc from $V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ to $\{u, v\}$, say $w \rightarrow u$, then $v \rightarrow u$,

if there are exactly two arcs from $V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ to $\{u, v\}$, say $w \rightarrow u$ and $z \rightarrow v$, where $w = z$ is admissible, then $v \rightarrow u$ as well as $u \rightarrow v$.

Theorem 2. *Let D be a digraph of order $n \geq 3$. Then $\gamma_S(D) = 4 - n$ if and only if D is a member of \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. If D is a member of \mathcal{F} , then it is a simple matter to verify that the function $f : V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that $f(u) = f(v) = 1$ and $f(x) = -1$ for $x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ is a signed dominating function on D of weight $4 - n$. Applying Observation 1, we obtain $\gamma_S(D) = 4 - n$.

Conversely, assume that $\gamma_S(D) = 4 - n$, and let f be a signed dominating function on D of weight $4 - n$. Then there exist exactly two vertices, say u and v , such that $f(u) = f(v) = 1$ and $f(x) = -1$ for $x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$. Because of $\sum_{y \in N^-[x]} f(y) \geq 1$ for each $x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$, we deduce that $\{u, v\} \rightarrow x$ for every $x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ and that $V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ is an independent set. If there are at least three arcs from $V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ to $\{u, v\}$, then u or v , say u , has at least two in-neighbors in $V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$, and we obtain the contradiction $\sum_{x \in N^-[u]} f(x) \leq 0$. Thus there are at most two arcs from $V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ to $\{u, v\}$. Now it is straightforward to verify that D is a member of \mathcal{F} . ■

Corollary 3 (Karami, Sheikholeslami, Khodar [3] 2009). *If D is an oriented graph of order $n \geq 3$, then $\gamma_S(D) \geq 4 - n$ with equality if and only if there exist two vertices u and v such $\{u, v\} \rightarrow x$ for each $x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$, the set $V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}$ is independent, and $\{u, v\}$ is independent or there is exactly one arc between u and v .*

Corollary 4. *If D is a strongly connected digraph of order $n \geq 5$, then $\gamma_S(D) \geq 6 - n$.*

Let H be the digraph of order $n \geq 5$ with vertex set $V(D) = \{u, v, w, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-3}\}$ such that $\{u, v, w\} \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-3}\}$, $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_{n-3} \rightarrow w$ and $w \rightarrow v \rightarrow u \rightarrow w$. Then H is strongly connected, and the function $f : V(H) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that $f(u) = f(v) = f(w) = 1$ and $f(x_i) = -1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-3$ is a signed dominating function on D of weight $6 - n$. Therefore the bound given in Corollary 4 is best possible.

Let Q be the digraph of order $n = 4$ with vertex set $V(D) = \{u, v, x_1, x_2\}$ such that $\{u, v\} \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2\}$, $x_1 \rightarrow u$, $x_2 \rightarrow v$, $u \rightarrow v$ and $v \rightarrow u$. Then Q is strongly connected, and the function $f : V(Q) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that $f(u) = f(v) = 1$ and $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = -1$ is a signed dominating function on Q of weight 0. This example demonstrates that Corollary 4 does not hold for $n = 4$.

Theorem 5. *If D is a strongly connected oriented graph of order $n \geq 7$, then $\gamma_S(D) \geq 8 - n$, and this bound is sharp.*

Proof. According to Corollary 4, we have $\gamma_S(D) \geq 6 - n$. Suppose to the contrary that $\gamma_S(D) = 6 - n$, and let f be a signed dominating function on D of weight $6 - n$. Then there exist exactly three vertices, say u, v and w , such that $f(u) = f(v) = f(w) = 1$ and $f(x) = -1$ for $x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$. Because of $\sum_{y \in N^-[x]} f(y) \geq 1$ for each $x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$, each such vertex has at least two in-neighbors in $\{u, v, w\}$. Let $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-3}\}$.

First we show that $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$ is an independent set. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an arc, say $x_1 x_2$, in $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$. Then $\{u, v, w\} \rightarrow x_2$, and since D is a strongly connected oriented graph, x_2 dominates a further vertex, say x_3 , in $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$. Thus $\{u, v, w\} \rightarrow x_3$, and since D is a strongly connected oriented graph, x_3 dominates a further vertex of $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$. If we continue this process we arrive at a directed cycle C_1 , say $C_1 = x_1 x_2 \dots x_k x_1$ with $k \geq 3$. This implies that $\{u, v, w\} \rightarrow V(C_1)$. Since D is an oriented graph, there is no arc from C_1 to $\{u, v, w\}$. If $k = n - 3$, then D is not strongly connected, a contradiction. Otherwise, as D is strongly connected, there exists an arc az from C_1 to $V(D) \setminus (V(C_1) \cup \{u, v, w\})$. This implies $\{u, v, w\} \rightarrow z$. As above the vertex z is contained in a cycle C_2 such that $V(C_2) \subseteq (V(D) \setminus (V(C_1) \cup \{u, v, w\}))$. But this leads to the contradiction $\sum_{x \in N^-[z]} f(x) \leq 0$, and thus $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$ is an independent set.

Since D is strongly connected, we deduce that each vertex of $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$ has an out-neighbor in $\{u, v, w\}$. The hypothesis $n \geq 7$ implies

that at least one vertex in $\{u, v, w\}$, say u , has at least two in-neighbors in $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$. If u has at least three in-neighbors in $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$, then we obtain the contradiction $\sum_{x \in N^-[u]} f(x) \leq 0$. If u has exactly two in-neighbors in $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$, then it follows that $\{v, w\} \rightarrow u$. If v or w , say v , has two in-neighbors in $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$, then it follows that $\{u, w\} \rightarrow v$, a contradiction to the fact that D is an oriented graph. Finally, if v and w have exactly one in-neighbor in $V(D) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$, then $w \rightarrow v$, and we obtain the contradiction $u \rightarrow w$ or $v \rightarrow w$. This contradiction implies that $\gamma_S(D) \geq 8 - n$.

In order to prove that this bound is sharp, let H be the digraph of order $n \geq 7$ with vertex set $V(H) = \{u, v, w, z, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-4}\}$ such that $\{v, w, z\} \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-4}\}$, $x_1 \rightarrow u \rightarrow \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-4}\}$, $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_{n-4} \rightarrow x_1$ and $u \rightarrow v \rightarrow w \rightarrow z \rightarrow u$. Then H is a strongly connected oriented graph, and the function $f : V(H) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that $f(u) = f(v) = f(w) = f(z) = 1$ and $f(x_i) = -1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-4$ is a signed dominating function on H of weight $8 - n$. Therefore $\gamma_S(H) \leq 8 - n$, and thus $\gamma_S(H) = 8 - n$. ■

Let Q be the digraph of order $n = 6$ with vertex set $V(Q) = \{u, v, w, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ such that $u \rightarrow \{x_2, x_3\}$, $v \rightarrow \{x_1, x_3\}$, $w \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2\}$, $x_1 \rightarrow u$, $x_2 \rightarrow v$, $x_3 \rightarrow w$ and $u \rightarrow v \rightarrow w \rightarrow u$. Then Q is a strongly connected oriented graph, and the function $f : V(Q) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that $f(u) = f(v) = f(w) = 1$ and $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = f(x_3) = -1$ is a signed dominating function on Q of weight 0. This example demonstrates that Theorem 5 does not hold for $n = 6$.

Theorem 6. *Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer, and let D be an oriented graph of order n such that $d^-(x) = r$ for every vertex $x \in V(D)$. Then*

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq 2r + 2 - n \text{ if } r \text{ is even}$$

and

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq 2r + 4 - n \text{ if } r \text{ is odd.}$$

Proof. Let f be an arbitrary signed dominating function on D , and let V^+ be the set of vertices with $f(x) = 1$ for $x \in V^+$ and $V^- = V(D) \setminus V^+$. Furthermore, define $|V^+| = t$.

First, let $r = 2k$ be even. Because of $\sum_{x \in N^-[u]} f(x) \geq 1$ for each vertex u , every vertex $x \in V^+$ has at most k in-neighbors in V^- . It follows that

$$2kt = \sum_{x \in V^+} d^-(x) \leq kt + \frac{t(t-1)}{2}$$

and thus $t \geq 2k + 1$. Since f was chosen arbitrary, this implies the desired bound $\gamma_S(D) \geq 2k + 1 - (n - (2k + 1)) = 4k + 2 - n = 2r + 2 - n$.

Second, let $r = 2k - 1$ be odd. Because of $\sum_{x \in N^-[u]} f(x) \geq 1$ for each vertex u , every vertex $x \in V^+$ has at most $k - 1$ in-neighbors in V^- . It follows that

$$(2k - 1)t = \sum_{x \in V^+} d^-(x) \leq t(k - 1) + \frac{t(t-1)}{2}$$

and thus $t \geq 2k + 1$. This implies that $\gamma_S(D) \geq 2k + 1 - (n - (2k + 1)) = 4k + 2 - n = 2r + 4 - n$, and the proof is complete. ■

Theorem 7. *If D is a digraph of order n , then*

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq \frac{\delta^+ + 2 - \Delta^+}{\delta^+ + 2 + \Delta^+} \cdot n.$$

Proof. Let f be an arbitrary signed dominating function on D , and let V^+ be the set of vertices with $f(x) = 1$ for $x \in V^+$ and $V^- = V(D) \setminus V^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq \sum_{x \in V(D)} f(N^-[x]) = \sum_{x \in V(D)} (d^+(x) + 1)f(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in V^+} (d^+(x) + 1) - \sum_{x \in V^-} (d^+(x) + 1) \\ &\leq |V^+|(\Delta^+ + 1) - |V^-|(\delta^+ + 1) \\ &= |V^+|(\Delta^+ + \delta^+ + 2) - n(\delta^+ + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$|V^+| \geq \frac{n(\delta^+ + 2)}{\delta^+ + 2 + \Delta^+},$$

and hence we obtain the desired bound as follows

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq |V^+| - |V^-| = 2|V^+| - n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{2n(\delta^+ + 2)}{\delta^+ + 2 + \Delta^+} - n \\
&= \frac{\delta^+ + 2 - \Delta^+}{\delta^+ + 2 + \Delta^+} \cdot n.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Corollary 8. *If D is a digraph of order n such that $d^+(x) = k$ for all $x \in V(D)$, then*

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq \frac{n}{k+1}.$$

Corollary 9 (Karami, Sheikholeslami, Khodar [3] 2009). *If D is a digraph of order n such that $d^-(x) = d^+(x) = k$ for all $x \in V(D)$, then*

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq \frac{n}{k+1}.$$

If f is a signed dominating function on D , and $d^-(v)$ is odd, then it follows that $f(N^-[v]) = \sum_{x \in N^-[v]} f(x) \geq 2$. Using this inequality, we obtain the next result analogously to the proof of Theorem 7.

Theorem 10. *If D is a digraph of order n such that $d^-(v)$ is odd for all $v \in V(D)$, then*

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq \frac{\delta^+ + 4 - \Delta^+}{\delta^+ + 2 + \Delta^+} \cdot n.$$

Corollary 11. *Let D be a digraph of order n such that $d^-(x) = d^+(x) = k$ for all $x \in V(D)$. If k is odd, then*

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq \frac{2n}{k+1}.$$

Theorem 12. *If D is a digraph of order n , then*

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq \frac{n + |A(D)| - n\Delta^+}{\Delta^+ + 1}.$$

Proof. Let f be an arbitrary signed dominating function on D , and let V^+ be the set of vertices with $f(x) = 1$ for $x \in V^+$ and $V^- = V(D) \setminus V^+$. Then

$$n \leq \sum_{x \in V(D)} f(N^-[x]) = \sum_{x \in V(D)} (d^+(x) + 1)f(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{x \in V^+} (d^+(x) + 1) - \sum_{x \in V^-} (d^+(x) + 1) \\
&= |V^+| - |V^-| + \sum_{x \in V^+} d^+(x) - \sum_{x \in V^-} d^+(x) \\
&= 2|V^+| - n + 2 \sum_{x \in V^+} d^+(x) - \sum_{x \in V(D)} d^+(x) \\
&= 2|V^+| - n + 2 \sum_{x \in V^+} d^+(x) - |A(D)| \\
&\leq 2|V^+| - n + 2|V^+|\Delta^+ - |A(D)| \\
&= 2|V^+|(\Delta^+ + 1) - n - |A(D)|.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$|V^+| \geq \frac{2n + |A(D)|}{2(\Delta^+ + 1)},$$

and hence we obtain the desired bound as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_S(D) &\geq |V^+| - |V^-| = 2|V^+| - n \\
&\geq \frac{2n + |A(D)|}{\Delta^+ + 1} - n \\
&= \frac{n + |A(D)| - n\Delta^+}{\Delta^+ + 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 12 also implies Corollary 8 immediately. In the special case that $d^-(v)$ is odd for all $v \in V(D)$, we obtain $\gamma_S(D) \geq (2n + |A(D)| - n\Delta^+)/(\Delta^+ + 1)$ instead of the bound in Theorem 12.

The *signed dominating function* of a graph G is defined in [1] as a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that $\sum_{x \in N_G[v]} f(x) \geq 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$. The sum $\sum_{x \in V(G)} f(x)$ is the weight $w(f)$ of f . The minimum of weights $w(f)$, taken over all signed dominating functions f on G is called the *signed domination number* of G , denoted by $\gamma_S(G)$.

The *associated digraph* $D(G)$ of a graph G is the digraph obtained when each edge e of G is replaced by two oppositely oriented arcs with the same ends as e . Since $N_{D(G)}^-(v) = N_G(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G) = V(D(G))$, the following useful observation is valid.

Observation 13. *If $D(G)$ is the associated digraph of a graph G , then $\gamma_S(D(G)) = \gamma_S(G)$.*

There are a lot of interesting applications of Observation 13, as for example the following three results.

Corollary 14 (Zhang, Xu, Li, Liu [7] 1999). *If G is a graph of order n , maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ and minimum degree $\delta(G)$, then*

$$\gamma_S(G) \geq \frac{\delta(G) + 2 - \Delta(G)}{\delta(G) + 2 + \Delta(G)} \cdot n.$$

Proof. Since $\delta(G) = \delta^+(D(G))$, $\Delta(G) = \Delta^+(D(G))$ and $n = n(D(G))$, it follows from Theorem 7 and Observation 13 that

$$\gamma_S(G) = \gamma_S(D(G)) \geq \frac{\delta^+(D(G)) + 2 - \Delta^+(D(G))}{\delta^+(D(G)) + 2 + \Delta^+(D(G))} n = \frac{\delta(G) + 2 - \Delta(G)}{\delta(G) + 2 + \Delta(G)} n. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 15 (Dunbar, Hedetniemi, Henning, Slater [1] 1995). *If G is a k -regular graph of order n , then $\gamma_S(G) \geq n/(k+1)$.*

Corollary 16 (Henning, Slater [2] 1996). *For every k -regular graph G of order n with k odd, $\gamma_S(G) \geq 2n/(k+1)$.*

Proof. Since k is odd and $d_G(x) = d_{D(G)}^-(x) = d_{D(G)}^+(x) = k$ for all $x \in V(G)$ and $n = n(D(G))$, it follows from Corollary 11 and Observation 13 that

$$\gamma_S(G) = \gamma_S(D(G)) \geq \frac{2n(D(G))}{k+1} = \frac{2n(G)}{k+1}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem 17. *If D is a digraph of order n , then*

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq n \left(\frac{2 \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)}{2} \right\rceil + 1 - \Delta^+(D)}{\Delta^+(D) + 1} \right).$$

Proof. Let f be a signed dominating function on D such that $w(f) = \gamma_S(D)$, and let V^+ be the set of vertices with $f(x) = 1$ for $x \in V^+$ and $V^- = V(D) \setminus V^+$. In addition, let s be the number of arcs from V^+ to V^- .

The condition $f(N^-[x]) \geq 1$ implies that $|E(V^+, x)| \geq |E(V^-, x)|$ for $x \in V^+$ and $|E(V^+, x)| \geq |E(V^-, x)| + 2$ for $x \in V^-$. Thus we obtain

$$\delta^-(D) \leq d^-(x) = |E(V^+, x)| + |E(V^-, x)| \leq 2|E(V^+, x)| - 2$$

and so $|E(V^+, x)| \geq \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+2}{2} \right\rceil$ for each vertex $x \in V^-$. Hence we deduce that

$$(1) \quad s = \sum_{x \in V^-} |E(V^+, x)| \geq \sum_{x \in V^-} \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+2}{2} \right\rceil = |V^-| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+2}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Since $|E(V^+, x)| \geq \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)}{2} \right\rceil$ for $x \in V^+$, it follows that

$$|E(D[V^+])| = \sum_{y \in V^+} |E(V^+, y)| \geq |V^+| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)}{2} \right\rceil.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad s &= \sum_{y \in V^+} d^+(y) - |E(D[V^+])| \\ &\leq \sum_{y \in V^+} d^+(y) - |V^+| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)}{2} \right\rceil \\ &\leq |V^+| \Delta^+(D) - |V^+| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)}{2} \right\rceil. \end{aligned}$$

Inequalities (1) and (2) lead to

$$|V^-| \leq \frac{|V^+| \Delta^+(D) - |V^+| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)}{2} \right\rceil}{\left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+2}{2} \right\rceil}.$$

Since $\gamma_S(D) = |V^+| - |V^-|$ and $n = |V^+| + |V^-|$, it follows from the last inequality that

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq |V^+| - \frac{|V^+| \Delta^+(D) - |V^+| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)}{2} \right\rceil}{\left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+2}{2} \right\rceil}$$

$$= \left(\frac{n + \gamma_S(D)}{2} \right) \frac{2 \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)}{2} \right\rceil + 1 - \Delta^+(D)}{\left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)}{2} \right\rceil + 1}$$

and this yields to the desired bound. \blacksquare

Note that Observation 13 and Theorem 17 also imply Corollaries 15 and 16 immediately.

Theorem 18. *For any digraph D , $\gamma_S(D) = n(D)$ if and only if every vertex has either indegree less or equal one or is an in-neighbor of a vertex of indegree one.*

Proof. Assume that every vertex has either indegree less or equal one or is an in-neighbor of a vertex of indegree one. Let f be an arbitrary signed dominating function on D . If v is vertex such that $d^-(v) \leq 1$, then the definition of the signed dominating function implies that $f(v) = 1$. If v is an in-neighbor of a vertex y such that $d^-(y) = 1$, then the condition $\sum_{x \in N^-[y]} f(x) \geq 1$ leads to $f(v) = 1$. Hence $f(v) = 1$ for each $v \in V(D)$ and we deduce that $\gamma_S(D) = n(D)$.

The necessity follows from the observation that if we have a vertex v that is neither of indegree less or equal one nor an in-neighbor of a vertex of indegree one, then we can assign the value -1 to v and the value 1 to each other vertex to produce a signed dominating function on D of weight $n(D) - 2$. \blacksquare

The following known results are useful for the proof of our last theorem.

Theorem A (Sheikholeslami, Volkmann [4]). *For any digraph D ,*

$$\gamma_S(D) \cdot d_S(D) \leq n(D).$$

Theorem B (Sheikholeslami, Volkmann [4]). *For any digraph D ,*

$$1 \leq d_S(D) \leq \delta^-(D) + 1.$$

Theorem C (Sheikholeslami, Volkmann [4]). *The signed domatic number of a digraph is an odd integer.*

Theorem D (Sheikholeslami, Volkmann [4] and Volkmann, Zelinka [5]). *Let K_n^* be the complete digraph of order n . Then $d_S(K_n^*) = n$ if n is odd,*

and if $n = 2p$ is even, then $d_S(K_n^*) = p$ if p is odd and $d_S(K_n^*) = p - 1$ if p is even.

Theorem 19. *If D is a digraph of order n , then*

$$(3) \quad \gamma_S(D) + d_S(D) \leq n + 1$$

with equality if and only if n is odd and $D = K_n^$ or every vertex of D has either indegree less or equal one or is an in-neighbor of a vertex of indegree one.*

Proof. According to Theorem A, we obtain

$$\gamma_S(D) + d_S(D) \leq \frac{n}{d_S(D)} + d_S(D).$$

Using the fact that $g(x) = x + n/x$ is decreasing for $1 \leq x \leq \sqrt{n}$ and increasing for $\sqrt{n} \leq x \leq n$, this inequality leads to (3) immediately.

If n is odd and $D = K_n^*$, then $\gamma_S(D) = 1$ and Theorem D implies $d_S(D) = n$, and we obtain equality in (3). If every vertex of D has either indegree less or equal one or is an in-neighbor of a vertex of indegree one, then Theorems B, C and 18 yield that $\gamma_S(D) = n$ and $d_S(D) = 1$, and so we have equality in (3) too.

Conversely, assume that D is neither complete of odd order nor that every vertex of D has either indegree less or equal one or is an in-neighbor of a vertex of indegree one. First we note that every digraph of order $1 \leq n \leq 3$ is complete of odd order or every vertex of D has either indegree less or equal one or is an in-neighbor of a vertex of indegree one, and hence $\gamma_S(D) + d_S(D) = n + 1$ for $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Assume now that $n \geq 4$. If D is not complete, then $\delta^-(D) \leq n - 2$, and thus Theorem B leads to $d_S(D) \leq n - 1$. If D is complete and n is even, then Theorem D implies $d_S(D) \leq n/2 \leq n - 1$. Thus, in view of Theorem 18, we observe that $d_S(D) \leq n - 1$ and $\gamma_S(D) \leq n - 1$ if D is neither complete of odd order nor that every vertex of D has either indegree less or equal one or is an in-neighbor of a vertex of indegree one. If $d_S(D) = 1$, then we deduce that $\gamma_S(D) + d_S(D) \leq 1 + n - 1 = n$. If $d_S(D) \geq 2$, then as above and since $n \geq 4$, we obtain

$$\gamma_S(D) + d_S(D) \leq \frac{n}{d_S(D)} + d_S(D) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{n}{2} + 2, \frac{n}{n-1} + n - 1 \right\} < n + 1.$$

Hence the equality $\gamma_S(D) + d_S(D) = n + 1$ is impossible in this case, and the proof of Theorem 19 is complete. ■

Note that the inequality (3) was proved in [4], however, the characterization of the digraphs D with $\gamma_S(D) + d_S(D) = n + 1$ is new.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.E. Dunbar, S.T. Hedetniemi, M.A. Henning and P.J. Slater, *Signed domination in graphs*, Graph Theory, Combinatorics, and Applications, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. **1** (1995) 311–322.
- [2] M.A. Henning and P.J. Slater, *Inequalities relating domination parameters in cubic graphs*, Discrete Math. **158** (1996) 87–98.
- [3] H. Karami, S.M. Sheikholeslami and A. Khodkar, *Lower bounds on the signed domination numbers of directed graphs*, Discrete Math. **309** (2009) 2567–2570.
- [4] M. Sheikholeslami and L. Volkmann, *Signed domatic number of directed graphs*, submitted.
- [5] L. Volkmann and B. Zelinka, *Signed domatic number of a graph*, Discrete Appl. Math. **150** (2005) 261–267.
- [6] B. Zelinka, *Signed domination numbers of directed graphs*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **55** (2005) 479–482.
- [7] Z. Zhang, B. Xu, Y. Li and L. Liu, *A note on the lower bounds of signed domination number of a graph*, Discrete Math. **195** (1999) 295–298.

Received 29 January 2010

Revised 26 April 2010

Accepted 27 April 2010