

## THE INDEPENDENT DOMINATION NUMBER OF A RANDOM GRAPH

LANE CLARK AND DARIN JOHNSON

*Department of Mathematics  
Southern Illinois University Carbondale  
Carbondale, IL 62901–4408, USA*

### Abstract

We prove a two-point concentration for the independent domination number of the random graph  $G_{n,p}$  provided  $p^2 \ln(n) \geq 64 \ln((\ln n)/p)$ .

**Keywords:** random graph, two-point concentration, independent domination.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C80, 05C69.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex set  $[n]$  and let  $S \subset [n]$ . If for every vertex  $u \notin S$  there is a vertex  $v \in S$  such that  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent then  $S$  is called a *dominating set*. If further for every  $v, w \in S$  there is no edge between  $v$  and  $w$  then  $S$  is called an *independent dominating set*. The *domination number*,  $\gamma(G)$  is the smallest integer  $s$  such that there exists a dominating set of cardinality  $s$ . The *independent domination number*,  $i(G)$  is the smallest integer  $s$  such that there exists an independent dominating set of cardinality  $s$ .  $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$  is the set of all graphs  $G_{n,p}$  with vertex set  $[n]$  and edges chosen independently with probability  $0 \leq p = p(n) \leq 1$ . Hence, for each  $G_{n,p}$   $P(G_{n,p}) = p^{e(G_{n,p})}(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-e(G_{n,p})}$ . For a graph property  $A$  we say  $A$  occurs *asymptotically almost surely* (a.a.s.) if  $P(G_{n,p} \text{ has property } A) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . See Bollobás [2] for notation and terminology.

Weber [7] showed if  $p = 1/2$  then a.a.s.  $\gamma(G_{n,p})$  is either  $\lfloor \log_2 n - \log_2(\log_2 n \ln n) \rfloor + 1$  or  $\lfloor \log_2 n - \log_2(\log_2 n \ln n) \rfloor + 2$  and a.a.s.  $i(G_{n,p})$

is  $\lfloor \log_2 n - \log_2(\log_2 n \ln n) \rfloor + 2$  or  $\lfloor \log_2 n - \log_2(\log_2 n \ln n) \rfloor + 3$ . Godbole and Wieland [4] extended Weber's result showing if  $p$  is constant or  $p = p(n) \rightarrow 0$  such that  $p^2 \ln n \geq 40 \ln((\ln^2 n)/p)$  then a.a.s.  $\gamma(G_{n,p})$  is either  $\lfloor \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) \rfloor + 1$  or  $\lfloor \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) \rfloor + 2$ , where  $b = 1/(1-p)$ . Very recently Bonato and Wang [3] showed that if  $p$  is constant then a.a.s.  $\lfloor \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) \rfloor + 1 \leq i(G_{n,p}) \leq \lfloor \log_b n \rfloor$ . In this paper we show that if  $p$  is constant or  $p = p(n) \rightarrow 0$  such that  $p^2 \ln(n) \geq 64 \ln(\ln(n)/p)$  then a.a.s.  $i(G_{n,p})$  is either  $\lfloor \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \rfloor + 1$  or  $\lfloor \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \rfloor + 2$ . This extends Weber's result (the case  $p = 1/2$ ) and immediately implies Bonato and Wang's result (the case  $p$  is constant). We then empirically explore the number of independent dominating sets of size  $k$  ranging on  $[n]$  and make a conjecture about the distribution.

## 2. TWO-POINT CONCENTRATION

Throughout this section we will use  $p$  as the probability an edge exists in  $G = G_{n,p}$ ,  $q = 1 - p$  the probability an edge does not exist in  $G$  and  $b = \frac{1}{q}$ . We will also make extensive use of two inequalities,

$$(1) \quad 1 - x \leq \exp\{-x\}, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2) \quad 1 - x \geq \exp\left\{\frac{-x}{1-x}\right\}, x \in [0, 1).$$

We begin by defining the random variables  $X_k$  and  $Y_s$  as the number of independent dominating sets of cardinality  $k$  in  $G$  and the number of independent dominating sets of cardinality  $s$  or less in  $G$  respectively. Clearly  $Y_s = \sum_{k=1}^s X_k$ . It is now obvious that

$$E(X_k) = \binom{n}{k} (1 - q^k)^{n-k} q^{\binom{k}{2}}$$

and by linearity of expectation,

$$E(Y_s) = \sum_{k=1}^s E(X_k) = \sum_{k=1}^s \binom{n}{k} (1 - q^k)^{n-k} q^{\binom{k}{2}}.$$

We now state our first lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $s = \lfloor \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \rfloor$ , then  $E(Y_s) \rightarrow 0$  if  $p$  fixed or if  $p \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $p \geq \frac{e \ln^2 n}{n}$ .*

**Proof.** Lemma 2 of [4] states the expected number of dominating sets of size less than or equal to  $r = \lfloor \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) \rfloor$  goes to 0 if  $p \geq \frac{e \ln^2 n}{n}$ . Since every independent dominating set is a dominating set it is clear  $E(Y_r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It remains to show,

$$\sum_{k=r+1}^s E(X_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

Using Stirling's inequality, inequality (1),

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_k) &= \binom{n}{k} (1 - q^k)^{n-k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ k \ln n + 2k - k \ln k - nq^k + \frac{k^2}{2} \ln q - \frac{k}{2} \ln q \right\} \\ &:= \exp\{f(k)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$f'(k) = \ln n + 1 - \ln k + nq^k \ln \left( \frac{1}{q} \right) - k \ln \left( \frac{1}{q} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

Note  $f'(k)$  is decreasing for all positive value of  $k$  and  $f'(\log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2) \geq 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . So for sufficiently large  $n$ , we have  $f(k)$  increasing for all  $k \leq \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2$ . Hence, setting  $k = \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_s) &\leq (k - r) \exp\{f(k)\} \\ &\leq (\log_b 2) \exp \left\{ k \ln n + 2k - k \ln k - nq^k + \frac{k^2}{2} \ln q - \frac{k}{2} \ln q \right\} \\ &\leq (\log_b 2) \exp \left\{ -k \ln k + 3k + \frac{k}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1}{q} \right) \right\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $k \ln k$  clearly dominates the other two terms in the exponent.

We now note that since  $i(G)$  is always at least 1,

$$\log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \geq 1.$$

A condition satisfied if

$$p \geq \frac{e \ln^2 n}{2n}$$

which is easily seen after noting  $p \leq \ln \frac{1}{q}$ . However, the condition  $p \geq \frac{e \ln^2 n}{n}$  used above is stronger so we must use it instead.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $p$  fixed or if  $p \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{p^2}{64} \geq \frac{\ln(\frac{\ln n}{p})}{\ln n}$  then  $E(X_s) \rightarrow \infty$  for  $s = \lfloor \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \rfloor + 2$ .*

**Proof.** Using inequality (2), Stirling's Formula, and that for  $k^2 = o(n)$   $(n)_k = (1 - o(1))n^k$

$$\begin{aligned} & E(X_k) \\ &= \binom{n}{k} (1 - q^k)^{n-k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \\ &\geq \binom{n}{k} (1 - q^k)^n q^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \\ (3) \quad &\geq \binom{n}{k} \exp \left\{ \frac{-nq^k}{1 - q^k} + \frac{k^2}{2} \ln q \right\} \\ &\geq (1 - o(1)) \frac{n^k}{k!} \exp \left\{ \frac{-nq^k}{1 - q^k} + \frac{k^2}{2} \ln q \right\} \quad (\text{if } k^2 = o(n)) \\ &\geq (1 - o(1)) \left( \frac{ne}{k} \right)^k (2\pi k)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{-nq^k}{1 - q^k} + \frac{k^2}{2} \ln q \right\} \quad (\text{if } k \rightarrow \infty) \\ &\geq (1 - o(1)) \exp \left\{ k \ln n + k - k \ln k - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi k) - \frac{nq^k}{1 - q^k} + \frac{k^2}{2} \ln q \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The condition  $k^2 = o(n)$  is satisfied if  $p \gg \frac{\ln n}{n^2}$  and  $k = \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$ . One can easily show  $\frac{d}{dk} \left( k \ln n + k - k \ln k - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi k) - \frac{nq^k}{1 - q^k} + \frac{k^2}{2} \ln q \right) \geq 0$  as long as  $k$  is much smaller than  $nq^k$ , which is true for large  $n$  when assuming the just mentioned conditions. Substituting in (3)  $k = s$  on the left and  $k =$

$\log_b n - \log_b (\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 + \frac{1}{2}$  on the right it is shown for sufficiently large  $n$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_s) &\geq (1 - o(1)) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log_b n \ln n \left( 1 - \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - q^k} \right) + \log_b n \right. \\ &\quad - \log_b (\log_b n \ln n) \ln (\log_b n \ln n) + \frac{1}{2} \ln (\log_b n \ln n) \\ &\quad \left. - \log_b n \ln s - (1 + \log_b 2) \ln s - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{8} \ln \frac{1}{q} \right\} \\ &\geq (1 - o(1)) \exp \{A - B\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{2} \log_b n \ln n \left( 1 - \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - q^s} \right) + \log_b n, \\ B &= \log_b (\log_b n \ln n) \ln (\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b n \ln (\log_b n), \\ &\quad + (1 + \log_b 2) \ln (\log_b n) + \frac{1}{2} \ln (2\pi e^L) \end{aligned}$$

and  $L$  is any constant bounding  $\frac{1}{8} \ln \frac{1}{q}$ , which exists since  $\ln \left( \frac{1}{q} \right)$  is constant or  $\ln \left( \frac{1}{q} \right) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $p \gg \frac{\ln n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  and  $\log_b n \sim \frac{\ln n}{p}$  we have  $p \gg \frac{\log_b n \ln n}{n}$ . So for  $n$  sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{2} \log_b n \ln n \left( 1 - \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^s)} \right) + \log_b n \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log_b n \ln n \left( 1 - \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left( 1 - \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}} \log_b n \ln n}{2n} \right)} \right) + \log_b n \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \log_b n \ln n \left( 1 - \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left( 1 - \frac{pq^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right)} \right) + \log_b n \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log_b n \ln n \left( \frac{1 - \frac{pq^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \frac{pq^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}} \right) + \log_b n. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality,  $\frac{x}{2} \leq 1 - (1 - x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A &\geq \frac{p}{4} \log_b n \ln n \left( \frac{1 - (1-p)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \frac{pq^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}} \right) + \log_b n \\
&\geq \frac{p}{4} \log_b n \ln n \left( \frac{\frac{p}{2}}{1 - \frac{pq^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}} \right) + \log_b n \\
&\geq \frac{p^2}{8} \log_b n \ln n + \log_b n.
\end{aligned}$$

Define  $C$  as:

$$(4) \quad C = \frac{p^2 \log_b n \ln n}{8} + \log_b n.$$

We will now find  $p$  such that for  $n$  sufficiently large  $\frac{C}{8}$  is larger than all terms in  $B$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad (1 - o(1)) \exp \{A - B\} &\geq (1 - o(1)) \exp \{C - B\} \\
&\geq (1 - o(1)) \exp \{C/2\} \\
&\rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that the third and fourth terms of  $B$  are dominated by the first so we will only compare the first and second terms to  $C/8$ . Comparing the first term,

$$C/8 \geq \frac{1}{2} \log_b (\log_b n \ln n) \ln (\log_b n \ln n)$$

if for sufficiently large  $n$

$$(6) \quad \frac{p}{8} \geq \frac{\ln \left( \frac{\ln^2 n}{p} \right)}{\sqrt{2} \ln n}.$$

Comparing the second term,

$$C/8 \geq \log_b n \ln (\log_b n)$$

if for sufficiently large  $n$

$$(7) \quad \frac{p^2}{64} \geq \frac{\ln \left( \frac{\ln n}{p} \right)}{\ln n}.$$

Clearly (7) implies (6) and the condition  $p \gg \frac{\ln n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  and the lemma is proven. ■

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $p$  fixed or if  $p \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{p^2}{64} \geq \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\ln n}{p}\right)}{\ln n}$  then  $\frac{\text{Var} X_s}{E^2(X_s)} \rightarrow 0$  for  $s = \lfloor \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \rfloor + 2$ .*

**Proof.** Following the proof of Lemma 3 in [4] it is easily derived that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_s) &\leq E(X_s) - E^2(X_s) \\ &\quad + \binom{n}{s} \sum_{m=0}^{s-1} \binom{s}{m} \binom{n-s}{s-m} (1 - 2q^s + q^{2s-m})^{n-2s+m} q^{2\binom{s}{2} - \binom{m}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We write  $s = \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 + \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon = \epsilon(n) = \lfloor \log_b n - \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \rfloor + 2 - \log_b n + \log_b(\log_b n \ln n) - \log_b 2$  and observe that  $1 \leq \epsilon \leq 2$ .

It is immediately obvious for any  $s$  such that  $E(X_s) \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$E(X_s) = o(E^2(X_s)).$$

We will now show

$$(8) \quad \binom{n}{s} \binom{s}{0} \binom{n-s}{s} (1 - 2q^s + q^{2s})^{n-2s} q^{2\binom{s}{2}} - E^2(X_s) = o(E^2(X_s))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad &\binom{n}{s} \sum_{m=1}^{s-1} \binom{s}{m} \binom{n-s}{s-m} (1 - 2q^s + q^{2s-m})^{n-2s+m} q^{2\binom{s}{2} - \binom{m}{2}} \\ &= o(E^2(X_s)). \end{aligned}$$

To show (8) note,

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{n}{s} \binom{s}{0} \binom{n-s}{s} (1 - 2q^s + q^{2s})^{n-2s} q^{2\binom{s}{2}} - E^2(X_s) \\ &\leq E^2(X_s) ((1 - q^s)^{-2s} - 1) \\ &\leq E^2(X_s) \left( \exp \left\{ \frac{2sq^s}{1 - q^s} \right\} - 1 \right) \quad (\text{by (2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p \gg \frac{\ln \frac{3}{2} n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ , we know that  $\frac{2sq^s}{1-q^s} \geq 0$  and approaches 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,

$$\left( \exp \left\{ \frac{2sq^s}{1-q^s} \right\} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

To show (9) let

$$f(m) = \binom{s}{m} \binom{n-s}{s-m} (1 - 2q^s + q^{2s-m})^{n-2s+m} q^{2\binom{s}{2} - \binom{m}{2}}$$

and note for sufficiently large  $n$

$$\begin{aligned} f(m) &\leq \binom{s}{m} \frac{n^{s-m}}{(s-m)!} (1 - 2q^s + q^{2s-m})^{n-2s+m} q^{2\binom{s}{2} - \binom{m}{2}} \\ &\leq 2 \binom{s}{m} \frac{n^{s-m}}{(s-m)!} (1 - 2q^s + q^{2s-m})^n q^{2\binom{s}{2} - \binom{m}{2}} \\ &\leq 2 \binom{s}{m} \frac{n^{s-m}}{(s-m)!} \exp(n(-2q^s + q^{2s-m})) q^{2\binom{s}{2} - \binom{m}{2}} \quad (\text{by (1)}) \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality holds for  $p \gg \frac{\ln \frac{3}{2} n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ . Define

$$g(m) := 2 \binom{s}{m} \frac{n^{s-m}}{(s-m)!} \exp(n(-2q^s + q^{2s-m})) q^{2\binom{s}{2} - \binom{m}{2}}$$

and consider the the ratio of consecutive terms of  $g(m)$ .

$$(10) \quad h(m) := \frac{g(m+1)}{g(m)} = \frac{(s-m)^2}{nq^m(m+1)} \exp\{npq^{2s-m-1}\}.$$

We will show  $h(m) \geq 1$  iff  $m \geq m_0$  for some  $m_0(n) \rightarrow \infty$ , hence  $g$  is first decreasing and then increasing. Further we will show  $g(1) \geq g(s-1)$ , which implies  $\sum_{m=1}^{s-1} f(m) \leq sg(1)$ . Observe for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h(1) &= \frac{(s-1)^2}{2nq} \exp\left\{ \frac{np}{q^2} q^{2s} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\log_b^2 n}{2nq} \exp\left\{ \frac{(\log_b n \ln n)^2 p}{4nq^{2-2\epsilon}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$



$$\leq \frac{\ln^2 n}{2np^2q} \exp \left\{ \frac{\ln^4 n}{4npq^{2-2\epsilon}} \right\} \quad (\text{by (1)})$$

$$\rightarrow 0$$

since  $p \gg \frac{\ln n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} h(s-1) &= \frac{1}{nsq^{s-1}} \exp \{npq^s\} \\ &\geq \frac{2q^{1-\epsilon}}{\log_b^2 n \ln n} \exp \left\{ \frac{pq^\epsilon \log_b n \ln n}{2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2q^{1-\epsilon} \ln^2 \frac{1}{q}}{\ln^3 n} \exp \left\{ \frac{pq^\epsilon \ln^2 n}{-2 \ln q} \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{2q^{1-\epsilon} p^2}{\ln^3 n} \exp \left\{ \frac{q^{1+\epsilon} \ln^2 n}{2} \right\} \quad (\text{by (1), (2)}) \\ &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

provided  $p \neq 1 - o(1)$ . Also,

$$h(m) = \frac{(s-m)^2}{n(m+1)q^m} \exp \{npq^{2s-m-1}\} \geq 1$$

iff

$$npq^{2s-m-1} \geq \ln \left( \frac{n(m+1)q^m}{(s-m)^2} \right)$$

iff

$$m \geq \log_b \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{n(m+1)q^m}{(s-m)^2} \right)}{npq^{2s-1}} \right)$$

iff

$$m \geq \log_b \left( \frac{4n \ln \left( \frac{n(m+1)q^m}{(s-m)^2} \right)}{p \log_b^2(n) \ln^2(n)} \right) + 2\epsilon - 1$$

iff

$$m \geq \log_b \left( \frac{4n}{p} \right) + \log_b \left( \ln \left( \frac{n(m+1)q^m}{(s-m)^2} \right) \right) - 2 \log_b (\ln n \log_b n) + 2\epsilon - 1.$$

Define

$$x(m) = \log_b \left( \frac{4n}{p} \right) + \log_b \left( \ln \left( \frac{n(m+1)q^m}{(s-m)^2} \right) \right) - 2 \log_b (\ln n \log_b n) + 2\epsilon - 1.$$

Now,

$$\frac{d}{dm}x(m) = \frac{\left( m^2 - \left( s - 1 - \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \right) m - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \right) s + \frac{2}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \right)}{(m+1)(s-m) \left( \ln \left( \frac{n(m+1)q^m}{(s-m)^2} \right) \right)}$$

and the roots of the numerator are:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{s - 1 - \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \pm \sqrt{\left( s - 1 - \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \right)^2 + 4s \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \right) - \frac{8}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}}}{2} \\ &= \frac{s - 1 - \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \pm (s+1) \sqrt{\left( 1 - \frac{3}{(s+1)\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \right)^2 - \frac{8}{(s+1)\ln^2\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Taylor Series with remainder about 0, one can show if  $0 \leq z \leq 3-2\sqrt{2}$  then for any  $y$  such that  $|y| \leq z$

$$1 - 3y - \frac{8z^2}{(1-6z+z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \sqrt{(1-3y)^2 - 8y^2} \leq 1 - 3y + \frac{8z^2}{(1-6z+z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Letting  $y = z = \frac{1}{(s-1)\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}$ , we show

$$\frac{d}{dm}x(m) = \frac{\left( m + 1 - \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} - \delta \right) \left( m - s + \frac{2}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} + \delta \right)}{(m+1)(s-m) \left( \ln \left( \frac{n(m+1)q^m}{(s-m)^2} \right) \right)}$$

$$\text{where } |\delta| \leq \frac{8}{(s+1)\ln^2\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \left( 1 - \frac{6}{(s+1)\ln\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} + \frac{1}{(s+1)^2\ln^2\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Thus  $\delta = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{p \ln n}\right) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  since  $p \gg \frac{1}{\ln(n)}$ .

So on  $(-\infty, -1)$  and  $(\ln^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - 1 + \delta, s - 2 \ln^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - \delta)$   $x(m)$  is decreasing and on  $(-1, \ln^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - 1 + \delta)$  and  $(s - 2 \ln^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - \delta, s)$   $x(m)$  is increasing. Thus  $m_1 = \ln^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - 1 + \delta$  is a relative maximum and  $m_2 = s - 2 \ln^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - \delta$  is a relative minimum of  $x(m)$ .

Note  $m_1 \in [1, s-1]$  iff  $p \leq 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2-\delta}}$  and  $m_2 \in [1, s-1]$  iff  $p \leq 1 - e^{-\frac{2}{1-\delta}}$ . Also for  $n$  sufficiently large,  $x(m)$  is continuous on  $[1, s-1]$ , for every  $m \in [1, s-1]$   $x(m) \in [1, s-1]$ , and  $s-1 > x(1) > x(s-1) > 1$ .

If  $p > 1 - e^{-\frac{2}{1-\delta}}$ , on  $[1, s-1]$   $x(m)$  has an absolute maximum at 1 and an absolute minimum at  $s-1$ . So by the above information and the intermediate value theorem there exists a unique  $m_0 \in [1, s-1]$  such that  $m_0 = x(m_0)$  and  $x(m_0) > x(s-1)$ .

If  $1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2-\delta}} < p \leq 1 - e^{-\frac{2}{1-\delta}}$ , on  $[1, s-1]$   $x(m)$  has an absolute maximum at 1 and an absolute minimum at  $m_2$ . So by the above information and the intermediate value theorem there exists a unique  $m_0 \in [1, s-1]$  such that  $m_0 = x(m_0)$ . Further, one can show by iteration that  $x(m_0) \geq x(s-1)$ .

If  $p \leq 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2-\delta}}$  or  $p \rightarrow 0$ , on  $[1, s-1]$   $x(m)$  has an absolute maximum at  $m_1$  and an absolute minimum at  $m_2$ . So by the above information and the intermediate value theorem there exists a unique  $m_0 \in [1, s-1]$  such that  $m_0 = x(m_0)$ . Further, one can show by iteration that  $x(m_0) \geq x(s-1)$ .

Thus, in any of the three cases there exists a unique  $m_0 \in [1, s-1]$  such that  $\forall m \geq m_0 = x(m_0) \geq x(m)$ .

Now, for  $n$  sufficiently large

$$\ln \left( \frac{n(m_0 + 1)q^{m_0}}{(s - m_0)^2} \right) \geq \ln(nsq^{s-1}) \geq \ln \left( \frac{\log_b(n) \ln(n)s}{4q^{1-\epsilon}} \right)$$

which goes to infinity as  $n$  goes to infinity. Also,  $\log_b\left(\frac{4n}{p}\right) \gg 2 \log_b(\log_b(n) \ln(n))$  and  $2\epsilon - 1$  is bounded, thus  $m_0 \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $h(m) \geq 1$  iff  $m \geq m_0 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Also,  $g(1) \geq g(s-1)$  iff

$$\frac{n^{s-1}}{(s-1)!} \exp\{nq^{2s-1}\} \geq n \exp\{nq^{s+1}\} q^{-\binom{s-1}{2}}$$

iff

$$\frac{n^s}{s!} \exp\{-n(q^{s+1} - q^{2s-1})\} q^{\binom{s-1}{2}} \geq \frac{n^2}{s}$$

which is true since

$$\frac{n^s}{s!} \exp \{ -n(q^{s+1} - q^{2s-1}) \} q^{\binom{s-1}{2}} \geq E(X_s) \geq (1 - o(1)) \exp \{ C/2 \}$$

where  $C = \frac{p^2 \log_b n \ln n}{8} + \log_b n$  and  $(1 - o(1)) \exp \{ C/2 \} \geq n^2/s$  if  $p \geq \frac{24}{\ln n}$ , a condition clearly satisfied by our hypothesis. Hence we have shown,

$$\binom{n}{s} \sum_{m=1}^{s-1} \binom{s}{m} \binom{n-s}{s-m} (1 - 2q^s - q^{2s-m})^{n-2s+m} q^{2\binom{s}{2} - \binom{m}{2}} \leq s \binom{n}{s} g(1).$$

Finally, we show  $s \binom{n}{s} g(1) = o(E^2(X_s))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s \binom{n}{s} g(1)}{E^2(X_s)} &= \frac{2s^2 n^{s-1} \exp \{ n(q^{2s-1} - 2q^s) \}}{\binom{n}{s} (1 - q^s)^{2(n-s)} (s-1)!} \\ &\leq \frac{2s^3 \exp \{ n(q^{2s-1} - 2q^s) \}}{(1 - o(1)) n (1 - q^s)^{2n}} \quad (s^2 = o(n)) \\ &\leq \frac{2s^3}{(1 - o(1)) n} \exp \left\{ n \left( q^{2s-1} - 2q^s + \frac{2q^s}{1 - q^s} \right) \right\} \quad (\text{by (2)}) \\ &\leq \frac{2s^3}{(1 - o(1)) n} \exp \left\{ \frac{n q^{2s-1} (1 + 2q)}{1 - q^s} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2 \log_b^3 n}{(1 - o(1)) n} \exp \left\{ \frac{3 \log_b^2 n \ln^2 n}{4n(1 - q^s)} q^{2\epsilon-1} \right\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $p \gg \frac{\ln n}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}}$ .

We have thus shown if  $s = \log_b n - \log_b (\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 + \epsilon = \lfloor \log_b n - \log_b (\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \rfloor + 2$  then  $\text{Var}(X_s) = o(E^2(X_s))$  provided  $\frac{p^2}{64} \geq \frac{\ln(\frac{\ln n}{p})}{\ln n}$ . ■

We now can state our main result.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $p$  be fixed or  $\frac{p^2}{64} \geq \frac{\ln(\frac{\ln n}{p})}{\ln n}$  then  $i(G)$  is equal to  $\lfloor \log_b n - \log_b (\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \rfloor + 1$  or  $\lfloor \log_b n - \log_b (\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \rfloor + 2$  a.a.s.*

**Proof.** By Markov's Inequality and Lemma 2.1 if  $s = \lfloor \log_b n - \log_b (\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \rfloor$  then

$$P(i(G) \leq s) = P(Y_s \geq 1) \leq E(Y_s) \rightarrow 0$$

and by Chebyshev's Inequality, Lemma 2.2, and Lemma 2.3 if  $s = \lfloor \log_b n - \log_b (\log_b n \ln n) + \log_b 2 \rfloor + 2$  then

$$P(i(G) > s) \leq P(X_s = 0) \leq P(|X_s - E(X_s)| \geq E(X_s)) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X_s)}{E^2(X_s)} \rightarrow 0.$$

■

### 3. EMPIRICAL DATA

In this section we used a standard random number generator which we verified to return a nearly uniform distribution for samples of size  $10^6$  lending some credibility to the empirical results.

We generated  $N = 1000$  graphs  $G$  of order  $n$ , by randomly assigning edges with probability  $p$ , using a standard uniform random number generator. We then ran an algorithm of our design to count the number of graphs with an independent domination number of size  $k$  for each  $k \in [n]$ . The results are presented in the chart below for  $n = 16, 32, 64$ , and  $128$  with probabilities  $p = .5$  and  $.75$ .

$n, p$	$k = 1$	$k = 2$	$k = 3$	$k = 4$	$k = 5$
16, .5	0	522	476	2	0
32, .5	0	36	962	2	0
64, .5	0	0	693	307	0
64, .75	0	998	2	0	0
128, .75	0	419	561	0	0

We generated  $N = 1000$  graphs  $G$  of order  $n$ , by randomly assigning edges with probability  $p$ , using a standard uniform random number generator. We then ran an algorithm of our design to calculate the average number of independent dominating sets of size  $k$  contained in all  $G$  for each  $k \in [n]$ . The results are presented in the chart below for  $n = 12, 16, 20, 24$  and  $p = .5$ .

$n$	$k = 1$	$k = 2$	$k = 3$	$k = 4$	$k = 5$	$k = 6$	$k = 7$	$k = 8$
12	0.1	1.96	7.87	4	0.56	.11	0	0
16	0	1.12	13.02	12.98	3.91	.14	0	0
20	0	0.55	13.08	26.33	8.83	1.39	0	0
24	0	0.17	15.81	45.94	21.6	2.66	0.05	0.01

This data appears to imply the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 3.1.**  $Y = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$  suitably normalized converges weakly to  $N(0, 1)$ .

We have no proof to date. The random variables  $\{X_k\}$  are highly dependent posing difficulty in verifying the conditions needed in many central limit theorems for dependent sums.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Alon and J. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method* (John Wiley, New York, 1992).
- [2] B. Bollobás, *Random Graphs* (Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2001).
- [3] A. Bonato and C. Wang, *A note on domination parameters in random graphs*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **28** (2008) 307–322.
- [4] A. Godbole and B. Wieland, *On the domination number of a Random graph*, Electronic J. Combin. **8** (2001) 1–13.
- [5] T. Haynes, S. Hedetniemi and P. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs* (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998).
- [6] T. Haynes, S. Hedetniemi and P. Slater, *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics* (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998).
- [7] K. Weber, *Domination number for almost every graph*, Rostocker Mathematisches Kolloquium **16** (1981) 31–43.

Received 2 March 2010

Accepted 13 April 2010