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#### Abstract

Let $G=(V, A)$ be a directed graph. With any subset $X$ of $V$ is associated the directed subgraph $G[X]=(X, A \cap(X \times X))$ of $G$ induced by $X$. A subset $X$ of $V$ is an interval of $G$ provided that for $a, b \in X$ and $x \in V \backslash X,(a, x) \in A$ if and only if $(b, x) \in A$,
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and similarly for $(x, a)$ and $(x, b)$. For example $\emptyset, V$, and $\{x\}$, where $x \in V$, are intervals of $G$ which are the trivial intervals. A directed graph is indecomposable if all its intervals are trivial. Given an integer $k>0$, a directed graph $G=(V, A)$ is called an indecomposable $k$ covering provided that for every subset $X$ of $V$ with $|X| \leq k$, there exists a subset $Y$ of $V$ such that $X \subseteq Y, G[Y]$ is indecomposable with $|Y| \geq 3$. In this paper, the indecomposable $k$-covering directed graphs are characterized for any $k>0$.
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## 1. Introduction

A directed graph or simply a digraph $G$ consists of a nonempty and finite set $V$ of vertices together with a collection $A$ of ordered pairs of distinct vertices, called the set of arcs of $G$. Such a digraph is denoted by $(V, A)$. For example, given a nonempty and finite set $V,(V, \emptyset)$ is the empty digraph on $V$ whereas $(V,(V \times V) \backslash\{(x, x) ; x \in V\})$ is the complete digraph on $V$. Given a digraph $G=(V, A)$, with each nonempty subset $X$ of $V$ associate the subdigraph $G[X]=(X, A \cap(X \times X))$ of $G$ induced by $X$. A digraph $G=(V, A)$ is a poset provided that for all $x, y, z \in V$, if $(x, y),(y, z) \in A$, then $(x, z) \in A$. Furthermore, a poset is a linear ordering, or is linear, if for all $x, y \in V$ with $x \neq y$, either $(x, y) \in A$ or $(y, x) \in A$. Finally, a poset $G=(V, A)$, which admits a maximum vertex, is called a tree if for each $x \in V, G[\{y \in V:(x, y) \in A\} \cup\{x\}]$ is linear.

Given a digraph $G=(V, A)$, a subset $X$ of $V$ is an interval [6] (or an autonomous set $[4,7,8]$ or a clan [3] or a homogeneous set $[2,5]$ or a module [10]) of $G$ provided that for any $a, b \in X$ and $x \in V \backslash X,(a, x) \in A$ if and only if $(b, x) \in A$, and $(x, a) \in A$ if and only if $(x, b) \in A$. This generalizes the classic notion of the interval of a linear ordering. As recalled by the following well known proposition, the intervals of a digraph and the usual intervals of a linear ordering share the same properties.

Proposition 1. Let $G=(V, A)$ be a digraph.

1. $\emptyset, V$, and $\{x\}$, where $x \in V$, are intervals of $G$.
2. Given subsets $X$ and $W$ of $V$, if $X$ is an interval of $G$, then $X \cap W$ is an interval of $G[W]$.
3. Given an interval $X$ of $G$, an interval of $G[X]$ is an interval of $G$ as well.
4. If $X$ and $Y$ are intervals of $G$, then $X \cap Y$ is an interval of $G$.
5. If $X$ and $Y$ are intervals of $G$ such that $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, then $X \cup Y$ is an interval of $G$.
6. If $X$ and $Y$ are intervals of $G$ such that $X \backslash Y \neq \emptyset$, then $Y \backslash X$ is an interval of $G$.
7. Given intervals $X$ and $Y$ of $G$ such that $X \cap Y=\emptyset$, for any $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ and $y, y^{\prime} \in Y,(x, y) \in A$ if and only if $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in A$.

As indicated in the first assertion of the previous result, for every digraph $G=(V, A), \emptyset, V$, and $\{x\}$, where $x \in V$, are intervals of $G$ which are the trivial intervals. A digraph is then said to be indecomposable $[6,9]$ (or prime [2] or primitive [3]) if all its intervals are trivial; otherwise, it is decomposable. Among the simplest instances of decomposable digraphs are the complete, empty or linear digraphs having at least 3 vertices.

Given a digraph $G=(V, A), I(G)$ denotes the family of the subsets $S$ of $V$ such that $G[S]$ is indecomposable with $|S| \geq 3$. We are interested in the subsets of $V$ which are covered by an element of $I(G)$.

Observation 1. A digraph $G=(V, A)$ is indecomposable if and only if for every $X \subseteq V$ such that $|X| \leq 3$, there exists $S \in I(G)$ such that $X \subseteq S$.

Proof. Obviously, if $G$ is indecomposable with $|V| \geq 3$, then $V \in I(G)$ and hence all the subsets of $V$ are covered by an element of $I(G)$. For the converse, consider an interval $I$ of $G$ such that $|I| \geq 2$. We must show that $I=V$. Let $a \neq b \in I$. For each $x \in V$, there is $S_{x} \in I(G)$ such that $a, b, x \in S_{x}$. It follows from the second assertion of Proposition 1 that $I \cap S_{x}$ is an interval of $G\left[S_{x}\right]$. As $G\left[S_{x}\right]$ is indecomposable and as $a, b \in I \cap S_{x}$, $I \cap S_{x}=S_{x}$ and in particular $x \in I$. Therefore $I=V$.
To be more precise, we introduce the following. Given an integer $k>0$, a digraph $G=(V, A)$ is an indecomposable $k$-covering, or simply is $k$-covering, provided that for every subset $X$ of $V$ with $|X| \leq k$, there exists $Y \in I(G)$ such that $X \subseteq Y$. Given $k \geq 3$, it follows from Observation 1 that a digraph is indecomposable if and only if it is $k$-covering. In what follows, we characterize the 1 -covering digraphs and the 2 -covering digraphs in terms of decomposition tree defined as follows (see [1] for details). We need the following strengthening of the notion of interval. Given a digraph $G=$
$(V, A)$, a subset $X$ of $V$ is a strong interval $[4,8]$ of $G$ provided that $X$ is an interval of $G$ and for every interval $Y$ of $G$, if $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, then $X \subseteq Y$ or $Y \subseteq X$. The family of the nonempty strong intervals of a digraph $G$, ordered by inclusion, constitutes a tree, called the decomposition tree of $G$ and denoted by $\mathbb{D}(G)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

We use the following property of strong intervals (for instance, see [3, Lemma 4.10]).

Proposition 2. Let $X$ be a strong interval of a digraph $G=(V, A)$. For every $Y \subseteq X, Y$ is a strong interval of $G[X]$ if and only if $Y$ is a strong interval of $G$.

The last assertion of Proposition 1 permits to define the quotient of a digraph by an interval partition. Given a digraph $G=(V, A)$, a partition $P$ of $V$ is an interval partition of $G$ if all its elements are intervals of $G$. For such a partition $P$, the quotient of $G$ by $P$ is the digraph $G / P=(P, A / P)$ defined in the following way. Given $X \neq Y \in P,(X, Y) \in A / P$ if there exist $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ such that $(x, y) \in A$.

In the sequel, for a family $\mathcal{F}$ of sets, $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$ denotes the union of the elements of $\mathcal{F}$. As shown by the following, the notions of interval and of quotient are compatible (for instance, see [3, Theorem 4.17]).

Proposition 3. Given an interval partition $P$ of a digraph $G=(V, A)$, both assertions below are satisfied.

1. If $X$ is an interval of $G$, then $\{Y \in P: Y \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$ is an interval of $G / P$.
2. If $Q$ is an interval of $G / P$, then $\bigcup Q$ is an interval of $G$.

Let $P$ be an interval partition of a digraph $G=(V, A)$. A subset $S$ of $V$ is called transversal according to $P$ if for every $X \in P,|X \cap S|=1$. Clearly, for any transversal subset $S$ of $V$ according to $P, G[S]$ and $G / P$ are isomorphic. More generally, let $S$ be a subset of $V$ such that for all $X \in P,|X \cap S| \leq 1$. Then, $G[S]$ and $(G / P)[Q]$ are isomorphic, where $Q$ is the family of the elements of $P$ which intersect $S$. Gallai [4, 8] succeeded in associating in an intrinsic manner a unique quotient with each digraph.

Given a digraph $G=(V, A)$ with $|V| \geq 2, P(G)$ denotes the family of the maximal strong intervals of $G$, with respect to inclusion, which are distinct from $V$. The Gallai decomposition theorem is stated as follows.

Theorem 1 (Gallai $[4,8]$ ). Given a digraph $G=(V, A)$ with $|V| \geq 2, P(G)$ realizes an interval partition of $G$ and the corresponding quotient $G / P(G)$ is complete, empty, linear or indecomposable.

To complete the section, we review easily verified properties of the decomposition tree. Given a digraph $G=(V, A), \mathbb{I}(G)$ denotes the family of the elements $X$ of $\mathbb{D}(G)$ satisfying $|P(G[X])| \geq 3$ and $G[X] / P(G[X])$ is indecomposable. For every nonempty subset $S$ of $V, \mathbb{D}_{S}(G)$ denotes the family of the elements of $\mathbb{D}(G)$ that contain $S$. It results from the definition of a strong interval that $\mathbb{D}_{S}(G)$ is linearly ordered by inclusion. Consequently, it admits a minimum element denoted by $\bar{S}$. The result below precises the Gallai decomposition of $G[\bar{S}]$ whenever $S \in I(G)$.

Lemma 1. Let $G=(V, A)$ be a digraph. For every subset $S$ of $V$, if $S \in$ $I(G)$, then $\bar{S} \in \mathbb{I}(G)$ and $S$ is included in a transversal subset of $\bar{S}$ according to $P(G[\bar{S}])$.

Proof. Let $S$ be an element of $I(G)$. By the second assertion of Proposition 1, for every $X \in P(G[\bar{S}]), X \cap S$ is an interval of $G[S]$. It follows from the indecomposability of $G[S]$ that $S \subseteq X$ or $|X \cap S| \leq 1$. Since $\bar{S}$ is the minimum element of $\mathbb{D}_{S}(G)$ under inclusion, $X \notin \mathbb{D}_{S}(G)$ and hence $|X \cap S| \leq 1$. Consequently, there exists a transversal subset $S^{\prime}$ of $\bar{S}$ according to $P(G[\bar{S}])$ such that $S \subseteq S^{\prime}$. As previously mentioned, $G\left[S^{\prime}\right]$ and $G[\bar{S}] / P(G[\bar{S}])$ are isomorphic. By Theorem $1, G\left[S^{\prime}\right]$ is complete, empty, linear or indecomposable. Since $G[S]$ is indecomposable with $|S| \geq 3, G\left[S^{\prime}\right]$ is also and thus $\bar{S} \in \mathbb{I}(G)$.

## 3. Indecomposable 1-COVERINGS AND 2-COVERINGS

We begin with an easy characterization of 1-covering digraphs.

Proposition 4. Given a digraph $G=(V, A)$ with $|V| \geq 2, G$ is 1-covering if and only if $\bigcup \mathbb{I}(G)=V$.

Proof. If $G$ is 1-covering, then for every $x \in V$, there is $S \in I(G)$ such that $x \in S$. Consequently, $x \in \bar{S}$ and, by Lemma $1, \bar{S} \in \mathbb{I}(G)$. The converse is immediate as well. Indeed, given $x \in V$, there is $X \in \mathbb{I}(G)$ such that $x \in X$. It suffices to consider a transversal subset of $X$ according to $P(G[X])$ which contains $x$.

Now, we investigate the 2-covering digraphs that bear the main results.
Theorem 2. Given a digraph $G=(V, A)$ with $|V| \geq 2, G$ is 2 -covering if and only if $\mathbb{I}(G)=\mathbb{D}(G) \backslash\{\{x\} ; x \in V\}$.

Proof. Assume that $G$ is 2-covering and consider $X \in \mathbb{D}(G)$ such that $|X| \geq 2$. Let $C$ and $D$ be distinct elements of $P(G[X])$ and consider $c \in C$ and $d \in D$. Since $G$ is 2-covering, there exists an element $S$ of $I(G)$ which contains $c$ and $d$. As $X \cap S$ is an interval of $G[S]$ and as $c \neq d \in X \cap S$, $X \cap S=S$. It follows that $\bar{S}=X$ and, by Lemma $1, X \in \mathbb{I}(G)$.

Conversely, let $x$ and $y$ be distinct vertices of $G$. By the minimality of $\overline{\{x, y\}}, x$ and $y$ do not belong to the same element of $P(G[\overline{\{x, y\}}])$. Thus, there exists a transversal subset $S$ of $\overline{\{x, y\}}$ according to $P(G[\overline{\{x, y\}}])$ which includes $\{x, y\}$. Since $G[S]$ and $G[\overline{\{x, y\}}] / P(G[\overline{\{x, y\}}])$ are isomorphic and since $\overline{\{x, y\}} \in \mathbb{I}(G), S \in I(G)$.

Theorem 2 and the next proposition provide a characterization of 2-covering digraphs in terms of intervals.

Proposition 5. Given a digraph $G=(V, A)$ with $|V| \geq 2, \mathbb{I}(G)=\mathbb{D}(G) \backslash$ $\{\{x\} ; x \in V\}$ if and only if both assertions below are satisfied

1. all the intervals of $G$ are strong intervals of $G$;
2. for each $X \in \mathbb{D}(G) \backslash\{\{x\} ; x \in V\},|P(G[X])| \geq 3$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathbb{I}(G)=\mathbb{D}(G) \backslash\{\{x\} ; x \in V\}$. Consider an interval $I$ of $G$ such that $|I| \geq 2$. Denote by $Q$ the family of the elements of $P(G[\bar{I}])$ which intersect $I$. For every $X \in Q, X$ is a strong interval of $G[\bar{I}]$ and hence $X$ is a strong interval of $G$ by Proposition 2. Therefore $X \subseteq I$ or $I \subseteq X$. Since $P(G[\bar{I}]) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(G)$, it follows from the minimality of $\bar{I}$ that $|Q| \geq 2$. Consequently, for all $X \in Q, X \subseteq I$ and thus $I=\bigcup Q$. By Proposition $3, Q$ is an interval of $G[\bar{I}] / P(G[\bar{I}])$. As $\mathbb{I}(G)=\mathbb{D}(G) \backslash\{\{x\} ; x \in V\}, G[\bar{I}] / P(G[\bar{I}])$ is indecomposable. Since $|Q| \geq 2, Q=P(G[\bar{I}])$. It follows that $I=\bar{I}$ and $I$ is a strong interval of $G$. The second assertion is immediate.

Conversely, given $X \in \mathbb{D}(G) \backslash\{\{x\} ; x \in V\}$, we want to show that $X \in \mathbb{I}(G)$. By contradiction, assume that $X \notin \mathbb{I}(G)$. By Theorem 1, $G[X] / P(G[X])$ is complete, empty or linear. Since $|P(G[X])| \geq 3, G[X] / P(G[X])$ admits a non-trivial interval $Q$. By Proposition $3, \bigcup Q$ is an interval of $G[X]$. It follows from the maximality of the elements of $P(G[X])$ that $\bigcup Q$ is not a strong interval of $G[X]$. Since $X$ is a strong interval of $G$, it follows from Proposition 2 that $\bigcup Q$ is not a strong interval of $G$. But $\bigcup Q$ is an interval of $G$ by the third assertion of Proposition 1 because $\bigcup Q$ is an interval of $G[X]$ and $X$ is an interval of $G$.
Lastly, we study the decomposable and 2-covering digraphs. Given a digraph $G=(V, A)$, we utilize the family $I^{\star}(G)$ of the elements $X$ of $I(G)$ satisfying: for every $Y \in I(G)$, if $|X \cap Y| \geq 2$, then $Y \subseteq X$. In terms of decomposition tree, $I^{\star}(G)$ is expressed as follows.

Proposition 6. For every digraph $G=(V, A)$ with $|V| \geq 2, I^{\star}(G)=I(G) \cap$ $\mathbb{D}(G)$.

Proof. Given $X \in I(G) \cap \mathbb{D}(G)$, consider $Y \in I(G)$ such that $\mid X \cap$ $Y \mid \geq 2$. Since $X$ is an interval of $G, X \cap Y$ is an interval of $G[Y]$ by the second assertion of Proposition 1. As $G[Y]$ is indecomposable, $X \cap Y=Y$. Therefore $X \in I^{\star}(G)$.

Conversely, let $X \in I^{\star}(G)$. By Lemma $1, \bar{X} \in \mathbb{I}(G)$ and there exists a transversal subset $S$ of $\bar{X}$ according to $P(G[\bar{X}])$ such that $X \subseteq S$. As shown previously, all the transversal subsets of $\bar{X}$ with respect to $P(G[\bar{X}])$ induce indecomposable subdigraphs of $G$ and hence belong to $I(G)$. In particular, $S \in I(G)$ and, since $|X \cap S| \geq 2, S \subseteq X$ and so $X=S$. For each $x \in \bar{X}$, there is $y \in S$ such that $x$ and $y$ are contained in the same element of $P(G[\bar{X}])$. Clearly, $(S \backslash\{y\}) \cup\{x\}$ is a transversal subset of $\bar{X}$ according to $P(G[\bar{X}])$ with $|X \cap((S \backslash\{y\}) \cup\{x\})| \geq 2$. Consequently, $(S \backslash\{y\}) \cup\{x\}=X$ for all $x \in \bar{X}$ and thus $X=\bar{X}$ belongs to $\mathbb{D}(G)$.
For decomposable and 2-covering digraphs, we obtain the following.
Theorem 3. Given a 2-covering digraph $G=(V, A), G$ is decomposable if and only if $I^{\star}(G)$ contains a proper subset of $V$.

Proof. To begin, assume that $G$ is decomposable. Let $X$ be a minimal non-trivial interval of $G$ under inclusion. We prove that $X \in I^{\star}(G)$. By Proposition 6, it suffices to show that $X \in I(G) \cap \mathbb{D}(G)$. Since $G$ is

2-covering, it follows from Theorem 2 and Proposition 5 that $X \in \mathbb{I}(G)$. Furthermore, all the elements of $P(G[X])$ are intervals of $G$ by the third assertion of Proposition 1. As $X$ is a minimal non-trivial interval of $G$, we obtain $P(G[X])=\{\{x\} ; x \in X\}$ so that $G[X]$ and $G[X] / P(G[X])$ are isomorphic. Since $X \in \mathbb{I}(G), G[X] / P(G[X])$ is indecomposable and hence $X \in I(G)$.

Conversely, consider $X \in I^{\star}(G)$ such that $X \subsetneq V$. By Proposition 6, $X$ is a strong interval of $G$. As $X \in I(G),|X| \geq 3$. Therefore, $X$ is a non-trivial interval of $G$.
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