Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 30 (2010) 489–498

# THE WIENER NUMBER OF POWERS OF THE MYCIELSKIAN

RANGASWAMI BALAKRISHNAN AND S. FRANCIS RAJ

Srinivasa Ramanujan Centre SASTRA University Kumbakonam-612 001, India e-mail: mathbala@satyam.net.in e-mail: francisraj\_s@yahoo.com

### Abstract

The Wiener number of a graph G is defined as  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} d(u,v)$ , d the distance function on G. The Wiener number has important applications in chemistry. We determine a formula for the Wiener number of an important graph family, namely, the Mycielskians  $\mu(G)$  of graphs G. Using this, we show that for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $W(\mu(S_n^k)) \leq W(\mu(T_n^k)) \leq$  $W(\mu(P_n^k))$ , where  $S_n$ ,  $T_n$  and  $P_n$  denote a star, a general tree and a path on n vertices respectively. We also obtain Nordhaus-Gaddum type inequality for the Wiener number of  $\mu(G^k)$ .

 ${\bf Keywords:}$  Wiener number, Mycielskian, powers of a graph.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C12.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a simple connected undirected graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). Then G is of order |V(G)| and size |E(G)|. Given two distinct vertices u, v of G, let d(u, v) denote the distance between u and v (= number of edges in a shortest path between u and v in G). The Wiener number (also called Wiener index) W(G) of the graph G is defined by

$$W(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b \in V(G)} d(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{D} ip(i, G),$$

where p(i, G) denotes the number of pairs of vertices which are at distance i in G, and D is the diameter of G. The Wiener number is one of the oldest molecular-graph based structure-descriptors, first proposed by the American chemist Harold Wiener [13] as an aid to determine the boiling point of paraffins. Some of the recent articles in this topic are ([1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7] and [14]).

In a search for triangle-free graphs with arbitrarily large chromatic numbers, Mycielski [11] developed an interesting graph transformation as follows. For a graph G = (V, E), the Mycielskian of G is the graph  $\mu(G)$ with vertex set  $V \cup V' \cup \{u\}$ , where  $V' = \{x' : x \in V\}$  and is disjoint from V, and edge set  $E \cup \{xy' : xy \in E\} \cup \{y'u : y' \in V'\}$ . The vertex x' is called the twin of the vertex x (and x the twin of x') and the vertex u is the root of  $\mu(G)$ . In recent times, there has been an increasing interest in the study of Mycielskians, especially, in the study of their circular chromatic numbers (see, for instance, [9, 6, 8] and [10]).

Let H be a spanning connected subgraph of a (connected) graph G. Then for any pair of vertices u, v of G,  $d_G(u, v) \leq d_H(u, v)$ . The k-th power of a graph G, denoted by  $G^k$ , is the graph with the same vertex set as Gand in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if their distance in G is at most k. Clearly,  $G^1 = G$ .

The complement  $\overline{G}$  of a graph G is the graph with the same vertex set as G and in which two verties u, v are adjacent if and only if u, v are non-adjacent in G. In 1956, Nordhaus and Gaddum [12] gave bounds for the sum of the chromatic number  $\chi(G)$  of a graph G and its complement  $\overline{G}$ as follows,

**Theorem 1.1.** For a graph G of order n,  $2\sqrt{n} \le \chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \le n + 1$ .

Zhang and Wu [15] presented the corresponding Nordhaus-Gaddum (in short NG) type inequality for the Wiener number as:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let G be a connected graph of order  $n \ge 5$  with connected complement  $\overline{G}$ . Then  $3\binom{n}{2} \le W(G) + W(\overline{G}) \le \frac{n^3 + 3n^2 + 2n - 6}{6}$ .

The bounds in Theorem 1.2 are sharp.

### 2. Wiener Number of the Mycielskian of a Graph

We start this section by obtaining a formula for the Wiener number of the Mycielskian of a graph.

**Theorem 2.1.** The Wiener number of the Mycielskian of a connected graph G of order n and size m is given by  $W(\mu(G)) = 6n^2 - n - 7m - 4p(2, G) - p(3, G)$ .

**Proof.** By definition,

$$W(\mu(G)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in V(\mu(G))}} d(a, b).$$
  
Hence  $W(\mu(G)) = \sum_{\substack{a=u, \ b' \in V'}} d(a, b') + \sum_{\substack{a=u, \ b \in V}} d(a, b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a',b' \in V' \ b' \in V'}} d(a', b')$ 
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in V \ b' \in V'}} d(a, b) + \sum_{\substack{a \in V, \ b' \in V'}} d(a, b')$$
$$= \sum_{1} + \sum_{2} + \sum_{3} + \sum_{4} + \sum_{5} \text{ (say)}.$$

One can observe that,  $\sum_{1} = n$ ,  $\sum_{2} = 2n$ ,  $\sum_{3} = 2\binom{n}{2}$ . As distance between any pair of vertices in V is atmost 4 in  $\mu(G)$ ,  $\sum_{4} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} ip(i, G) + 4\left[\binom{n}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{3} p(i, G)\right]$ . Now the maximum distance from any vertex in V to any vertex in V' is 3. Note that if  $ab \in E$ , then  $ab', ba' \in E(\mu(G))$ , that is, each edge of G will contribute two edges between V and V'. Also for every  $a \in V$ , d(a, a') = 2, and for every  $a, b \in V$  such that d(a, b) = 2, we have d(a, b') = d(b, a') = 2. Thus  $\sum_{5} = 2n + 2\sum_{i=1}^{2} ip(i, G) + 3[n^2 - n - 2\sum_{i=1}^{2} p(i, G)]$ and therefore,  $W(\mu(G)) = 6n^2 - n - 7m - 4p(2, G) - p(3, G)$ .

This formula comes in handy when finding the Wiener number of  $\mu(G)$  for which p(2,G) and p(3,G) are known even if the diameter of G is very large.

In [1], X. An et al. have shown that  $W(S_n^k) \leq W(T_n^k) \leq W(P_n^k)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ where  $S_n$ ,  $P_n$  and  $T_n$  denotes a star, a path and a tree other than a star and a path on n vertices. The formula mentioned in Theorem 2.1 helps us in proving that  $W(\mu(S_n^k)) \leq W(\mu(T_n^k)) \leq W(\mu(P_n^k))$  for any  $k \geq 1$ . However, this cannot be deduced from X. An's result mentioned above. In fact, there are graphs G and H with same order and size such that W(G) > W(H) and  $W(\mu(G)) < W(\mu(H))$ . For example, let G be  $C_6$  with a pendant edge attached at a pair of opposite vertices and H be  $C_7$  with a single pendant edge, then W(G) = 62 and W(H) = 61 while  $W(\mu(G)) = 273$ and  $W(\mu(H)) = 275$ .

**Theorem 2.2.**  $W(\mu(S_n^k)) \le W(\mu(T_n^k)) \le W(\mu(P_n^k)), k \ge 1.$ 

**Proof.** By virtue of Theorem 2.1, the result in Theorem 2.2 is equivalent to  $A = 7p(1, S_n^k) + 4p(2, S_n^k) + p(3, S_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 4p(2, T_n^k) + p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 4p(2, T_n^k) + p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 4p(2, T_n^k) + p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 4p(2, T_n^k) + p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 4p(2, T_n^k) + p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 4p(2, T_n^k) + p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 4p(2, T_n^k) + p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 4p(2, T_n^k) + p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 4p(2, T_n^k) + p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 1p(2, T_n^k) + 1p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 1p(3, T_n^k) + 1p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 1p(3, T_n^k) + 1p(3, T_n^k) \geq B = 7p(1, T_n^k) + 1p(3, T_n^k) + 1p(3, T_n^k) \geq 1p(3, T_n^k) + 1p(3, T_n^k) + 1p(3, T_n^k) + 1p(3, T_n^k) \geq 1p(3, T_n^k) + 1p($  $C = 7p(1, P_n^k) + 4p(2, P_n^k) + p(3, P_n^k).$ 

We first prove that  $A \ge B$ . If  $k \ge 2$ , then  $S_n^k = K_n$  which implies that  $p(1, S_n^k) = {n \choose 2} \ge \sum_{i=1}^3 p(i, T_n^k)$  and this inequality implies  $A \ge B$ (as 7 > 4 > 1). If k = 1, then  $diam(S_n) = 2$  and  $D = diam(T_n) \ge 2$ . This gives,  $p(2, S_n) = \sum_{i=2}^D p(i, T_n)$ , and therefore  $7p(1, S_n) + 4p(2, S_n) \ge 2$ .  $7p(1, T_n) + 4p(2, T_n) + p(3, T_n)$ . Once again,  $A \ge B$ .

Next we prove that  $B \ge C$  by induction on n.  $B \ge C$  is obvious for  $n \leq 4$ . Let  $T_n$  be a tree of order  $n \geq 5$  and let  $P_n = vv_1 \cdots v_{n-1}$  be a path of order n. Let  $P = uu_1 \dots u_d$  be a longest path of  $T_n$  (d < n-1). u is then a pendant vertex of  $T_n$  and  $T_n - \{u\}$  is a tree of order n - 1. By induction hypothesis,  $B \ge C$  for  $T_n - \{u\}$  and  $P_n - \{v\}$ . Let p(a, i, G) denote the number of vertices in G that are at distance *i* from a. Clearly,  $p(i, T_n^k) = p(i, T_n^k - \{u\}) + p(u, i, T_n^k)$ . So it is enough to prove that  $7p(u, 1, T_n^k) + 4p(u, 2, T_n^k) + p(u, 3, T_n^k) \ge 7p(v, 1, P_n^k) + 4p(v, 2, P_n^k) + p(v, 3, P_n^k)$ . We know that  $p(v, i, P_n^k) \le k$  for each i = 1 to  $D = diam(P_n^k)$ . If there are k vertices of  $P^k$  in  $T_n^k$  adjacent to u, then  $p(u, 1, T_n^k) \ge p(v, 1, P_n^k)$ . If

not, u will be a universal vertex of  $T_n^k$  (that is, a vertex adjacent to all the other vertices of  $T_n^k$ ). Thus in any case,  $p(u, 1, T_n^k) \ge p(v, 1, P_n^k)$ .

If  $p(u, 2, T_n^k) < p(v, 2, P_n^k) \le k$ , then  $diam(T_n^k) \le 2$  (This is because if  $diam(T_n^k) > 2$ , then along the longest path in  $T_n^k$ , there will be k vertices which would be at distance 2 from u which is a contradiction). This gives  $p(u, 1, T_n^k) + p(u, 2, T_n^k) = (n - 1) \ge p(v, 1, P_n^k) + p(v, 2, P_n^k) + p(v, 3, P_n^k),$ and as 7 > 4 > 1, 7p(u, 1, T\_n^k) + 4p(u, 2, T\_n^k) \ge 7p(v, 1, P\_n^k) + 4p(v, 2, P\_n^k) + (n - 1)  $p(v, 3, P_n^k)$ 

Next if,  $p(u, 2, T_n^k) \ge p(v, 2, P_n^k)$  and  $p(u, 3, T_n^k) \ge p(v, 3, P_n^k)$  then clearly,  $B \ge C$ . Otherwise,  $diam(T_n^k) \le 3$ , (Same argument as above) which shows that  $p(u, 1, T_n^k) + p(u, 2, T_n^k) + p(u, 3, T_n^k) = (n-1) \ge p(v, 1, P_n^k) + p(v, 2, P_n^k) + p(v, 3, P_n^k)$  and hence  $7p(u, 1, T_n^k) + 4p(u, 2, T_n^k) + p(u, 3, T_n^k) \ge 7p(v, 1, P_n^k) + 4p(v, 2, P_n^k) + p(v, 3, P_n^k)$ .

It can easily be seen from the proof of Theorem 2.2 that when k = 1, we have strict inequality for  $n \geq 5$ .

The Wiener Number of Powers of the Mycielskian

**Corollary 2.3.** If G is a connected graph of order n, then  $W(\mu(G^k)) \leq W(\mu(P_n^k))$ .

**Proof.** Let T be a spanning tree of G. In view of Theorem 2.2, it suffices to prove that  $W(\mu(G^k)) \leq W(\mu(T^k))$ . Any pair of vertices of  $T^k$  at distance *i* will be at distance at most *i* in  $G^k$ . Therefore,  $7p(1, G^k) + 4p(2, G^k) + p(3, G^k) \geq 7p(1, T^k) + 4p(2, T^k) + p(3, T^k)$ . Thus  $W(\mu(G^k)) \leq W(\mu(P_n^k))$ .

## 3. NG Type Results for the Wiener Number of Mycielski Graphs and Their Powers

When  $\underline{G}$  (of order n and size m) has no isolated vertices,  $\mu(G)$  is connected while  $\overline{\mu(G)}$  is connected always. It is easy to see that the diameter of  $\overline{\mu(G)}$  is 2 and one can establish that  $W(\overline{\mu(G)}) = 2n^2 + 2n + 3m$ .

This shows that  $W(\mu(G)) + W(\overline{\mu(G)}) = 8n^2 + n - 4m - 4p(2, G) - p(3, G)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, one can prove the following.

Now  $W(\mu(G)) + W(\overline{\mu(G)})$  is maximum, when 4m + 4p(2, G) + p(3, G) is least. As  $W(P_n^k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lceil \frac{i}{k} \rceil (n-i)$  (see [1]),  $p(i, P_n^k) = \sum_{j=1}^k \{n - (k(i-1)+j)\}$  for i < D, the diameter of  $P_n^k$  and thus we see that  $4m + 4p(2, P_n^k) + p(3, P_n^k)$  is least when k = 1. From the proof of Corollary 2.3,  $W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\overline{\mu(G^k)}) \leq W(\mu(T^k)) + W(\overline{\mu(T^k)})$  where T is a spanning tree of G. Hence, for  $n \geq 3$ , we have  $W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\overline{\mu(G^k)}) \leq W(\mu(P_n)) + W(\overline{\mu(G^k)}) \leq W(\mu(P_n)) + W(\overline{\mu(G^k)}) \leq W(\mu(Q)) + W(\overline{\mu(G)}) \leq W(\mu(P_n)) = 8n^2 - 8n + 15$ .  $W(\mu(G)) + W(\overline{\mu(G)}) = 8n^2 + n - 4\binom{n}{2} = 6n^2 + 3n$ , and therefore,  $6n^2 + 3n \leq W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\overline{\mu(G^k)}) \leq 8n^2 - 8n + 15$ . Zhang and Wu [15] presented the NG type inequality for the Wiener number as given in Theorem 1.2. In our case, for Mycielski graphs  $|V(\mu(G))| = 2n + 1$ . Thus the corresponding inequality of Zhang and Wu [15] for graphs of order 2n + 1 is given by  $6n^2 + 3n \leq W(G) + W(\overline{\mu(G^k)}) \leq \frac{8n^3 + 24n^2 + 22n}{6}$ . We can easily see that our bound for  $W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\overline{\mu(G^k)})$  is better than the bound of Zhang and Wu for  $\mu(G^k)$  as  $\frac{8n^3 + 24n^2 + 22n}{6} - (8n^2 - 8n + 15) > 0$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . In a similar way, we might be tempted to obtain the NG type inequalities for the following sums:

- (i)  $W(\mu(G)^k) + W(\overline{\mu(G)^k}),$
- (ii)  $W(\mu(G)^k) + W(\overline{\mu(G)}^k),$
- (iii)  $W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{G^k})),$
- (iv)  $W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^k)).$

Of these four, (i), (ii) and (iii) are uninteresting as  $\overline{G^k}$  is disconnected in most of the choices for G while  $\overline{\mu(G)^k}$   $(k \ge 2)$  is always disconnected (as ubecomes a universal vertex in  $(\mu(G))^k$ ) and diameter of  $\mu(G)$  and  $\overline{\mu(G)}$  are 4 and 2 respectively. Thus NG type inequality seems interesting only for (iv). For this, we need the following lemma due to Zhang and Wu [15].

**Lemma 3.2.** Let G be a connected graph with connected complement. Then

- (1) if diam(G) > 3, then  $diam(\overline{G}) = 2$ ,
- (2) if diam(G) = 3, then  $\overline{G}$  has a spanning subgraph which is a double star (see Figure 3.1).



Figure 3.1

Let G be a graph of order  $n \ge 5$  with connected complement  $\overline{G}$ . If  $diam(\overline{G}) = 2$ , we can observe the following.

- (i)  $p(2, \overline{G}) = p(1, G)$ .
- (ii)  $W(\mu(\overline{G})) = 6n^2 n 7(\binom{n}{2} p(2,\overline{G})) 4p(2,\overline{G}) = \frac{5}{2}n^2 + \frac{5}{2}n + 3p(1,G).$

(iii) 
$$W(\mu(G)) + W(\mu(\overline{G})) = \frac{17}{2}n^2 + \frac{3}{2}n - 4p(1,G) - 4p(2,G) - p(3,G).$$
 (3.1)

For  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\overline{G}^k = \overline{P_n}^k = K_n$  which implies that  $\mu(\overline{G}^k) = \mu(\overline{P_n}^k)$ . Therefore, by virtue of Corollary 2.3, we get that  $W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^k) \leq W(\mu(P_n^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^k)) \leq W(\mu(P_n^k)) \leq W(\mu(P_n^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^k)) \leq W(\mu(P_n^k)) + W(\mu($ 

 $W(\mu(\overline{P_n}^k))$  for  $k \ge 2$ . The above inequality also holds for k = 1. This could be seen by arguments similar to those given in the proof of Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3. Thus we have,

**Theorem 3.3.** Let G be a connected graph of order  $n \ge 5$  with connected complement  $\overline{G}$ . If  $diam(\overline{G}) = 2$ , then  $W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^k)) \le W(\mu(P_n^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{P_n}^k))$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** Let G be a connected graph of order  $n \ge 5$  with connected complement  $\overline{G}$ . Then  $W(\mu(G^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^2)) \le W(\mu(P_n^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{P_n}^2))$ .

**Proof.** As  $diam(\overline{P_n} = 2)$ , by using Theorem 2.1,

$$W(\mu(\overline{P_n}^2)) = 6n^2 - n - 7p(1, \overline{P_n}^2)$$
$$= 6n^2 - n - 7\binom{n}{2} = \frac{5}{2}n^2 + \frac{5}{2}n$$

For n = 5,  $W(\mu(P_5^2)) = 6.25 - 5 - 7(4 + 3) - 4(2 + 1) = 84$ . For  $n \ge 6$ ,  $W(\mu(P_n^2)) = 6n^2 - n - 7p(1, P_n^2) - 4p(2, P_n^2) - p(3, P_n^2)$   $= 6n^2 - n - 14n + 21 - 8n + 28 - 2n + 11$  $= 6n^2 - 25n + 60$ .

Hence,  $W(\mu(P_5^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{P_5}^2)) = 159$ , and

(3.2) 
$$W(\mu(P_n^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{P_n}^2)) = \frac{17}{2}n^2 - \frac{45}{2}n + 60$$
, for  $n \ge 6$ .

By virtue of Theorem 3.3, it is enough to consider the case when,  $diam(\overline{G}) = diam(\overline{G}) = 3$ . For these G and  $\overline{G}$ ,  $p(1,G) = p(2,\overline{G}) + p(3,\overline{G})$ ,  $p(1,\overline{G}) = p(2,G) + p(3,G)$  and  $p(1,G) + p(1,\overline{G}) = \binom{n}{2}$ . Now by Theorem 2.1,

$$W(\mu(G^2)) = 6n^2 - n - 7p(1, G^2) - 4p(2, G^2)$$
  
=  $6n^2 - n - 7(p(1, G) + p(2, G)) - 4p(3, G)$   
=  $6n^2 - n - 7p(1, G) - 7(p(1, \overline{G}) - p(3, G)) - 4p(3, G)$   
=  $6n^2 - n - 7\binom{n}{2} + 3p(3, G).$ 

Thus,  $W(\mu(G^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^2)) = 12n^2 - 2n - 7n^2 + 7n + 3(p(3,G) + p(3,\overline{G})),$ (3.3)  $W(\mu(G^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^2)) = 5n^2 + 5n + 3(p(3,G) + p(3,\overline{G})).$ 

As  $diam(G) = diam(\overline{G}) = 3$ , by Lemma 3.2 each of G and  $\overline{G}$  contains a double star, say,  $S_{a_1,b_1}$  and  $S_{a_2,b_2}$  (see Figure 3.1) as spanning subgraphs of G and  $\overline{G}$  respectively. Hence  $p(3,G) \leq (a_1-1)(b_1-1) = a_1b_1 - n + 1$  and  $p(3,\overline{G}) \leq (a_2-1)(b_2-1) = a_2b_2 - n + 1$ . Also,  $a_ib_i \leq \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor$  for i = 1, 2. Thus,

(3.4) 
$$W(\mu(G^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^2)) \le 5n^2 - n + 6\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor + 6.$$

It can be seen that  $5n^2 - n + 6\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor + 6 < \frac{17}{2}n^2 - \frac{45}{2}n + 60$ , for  $n \ge 7$ . We now consider the remaining cases, namely 5 and 6 separately.

### *Case* (i). n = 5.

When n = 5, by equations (3.2) and (3.3),  $W(\mu(G^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^2)) = 125 + 25 + 3(p(3,G) + p(3,\overline{G})) \leq 162$  and we have already seen that,  $W(\mu(P_5^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{P_5}^2)) = 159$ . We show that  $W(\mu(G^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^2)) \leq 159$ . Suppose  $W(\mu(G^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^2)) = 160$ , then  $p(3,G) + p(3,\overline{G}) = \frac{10}{3}$ , which is a contradiction. Similarly, we will have a contradiction when  $W(\mu(G^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^2)) = 161$ . Finally, if  $W(\mu(G^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^2)) = 162$ ; then,  $p(3,G) + p(3,\overline{G}) = \frac{12}{3} = 4$ . Since n = 5 and  $diam(G) = diam(\overline{G}) = 3$ ,  $p(3,G) + p(3,\overline{G}) = \frac{12}{3} = 4$ . Since n = 5 and therefore  $p(3,G) = p(3,\overline{G}) = 2$ . There are only two graphs G of order 5 (see Figure 3.2) with the property that n = 5, p(3,G) = 2. But for these two graphs  $p(3,\overline{G}) = 0$  which is a contradiction.



Case (ii). n = 6. Here  $W(\mu(G^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^2)) = 210 + 3(p(3,G) + p(3,\overline{G})) \leq 234$  and  $W(\mu(P_5^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{P_5}^2)) = 231$ . Proving  $W(\mu(G^2)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^2)) \leq 231$  is similar to case(i). In this case the graphs with the required property are as shown in Figure 3.3.



We now give the result for a general k.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let G be a connected graph of order  $n \ge 5$  with connected complement  $\overline{G}$ . Then for any  $k \ge 1$ ,  $5n^2 + 5n \le W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^k)) \le W(\mu(P_n^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{P_n}^k)) \le W(\mu(P_n)) + W(\mu(\overline{P_n})) = \frac{17}{2}n^2 - \frac{15}{2}n + 15.$ 

**Proof.**  $W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^k))$  is minimum when  $G^k$  and  $\overline{G}^k$  are complete. Thus  $5n^2 + 5n \leq W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^k))$ . By equation 3.1 and arguments similar to that in Theorem 2.2,  $W(\mu(G)) + W(\mu(\overline{G})) \leq W(\mu(P_n)) + W(\mu(\overline{P_n}))$ . By virtue of Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, the only case left out for the upper bound to be true is when  $diam(G) = diam(\overline{G}) = 3$  and  $k \geq 3$ . In this case,  $G^k = \overline{G}^k = K_n$  and we see that  $W(\mu(G^k))$  is minimum for  $G^k = K_n$  and therefore  $W(\mu(G^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{G}^k)) \leq W(\mu(P_n^k)) + W(\mu(\overline{P_n^k})) \leq W(\mu(P_n)) + W(\mu(\overline{P_n})) = \frac{17}{2}n^2 - \frac{15}{2}n + 15$  (by using equation 3.1).

### Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India grant DST SR/S4/MS:234/04 dated March 31, 2006.

#### References

- X. An and B. Wu, The Wiener index of the kth power of a graph, Appl. Math. Lett. 21 (2007) 436–440.
- [2] R. Balakrishanan and S.F. Raj, *The Wiener number of Kneser graphs*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 28 (2008) 219–228.
- [3] R. Balakrishanan, N. Sridharan and K.V. Iyer, Wiener index of graphs with more than one cut vertex, Appl. Math. Lett. 21 (2008) 922–927.
- [4] R. Balakrishanan, N. Sridharan and K.V. Iyer, A sharp lower bound for the Wiener Index of a graph, to appear in Ars Combinatoria.
- [5] R. Balakrishanan, K. Viswanathan and K.T. Raghavendra, Wiener Index of Two Special Trees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 57 (2007) 385–392.
- [6] G.J. Chang, L. Huang and X. Zhu, Circular Chromatic Number of Mycielski's graphs, Discrete Math. 205 (1999) 23–37.
- [7] A.A. Dobrynin, I. Gutman, S. Klavžar and P. Žigert, Wiener Index of Hexagonal Systems, Acta Appl. Math. 72 (2002) 247–294.
- [8] H. Hajibolhassan and X. Zhu, The Circular Chromatic Number and Mycielski construction, J. Graph Theory 44 (2003) 106–115.
- D. Liu, Circular Chromatic Number for iterated Mycielski graphs, Discrete Math. 285 (2004) 335–340
- [10] Liu Hongmei, Circular Chromatic Number and Mycielski graphs, Acta Mathematica Scientia 26B (2006) 314–320.
- [11] J. Mycielski, Sur le colouriage des graphes, Colloq. Math. 3 (1955) 161–162.
- [12] E.A. Nordhaus and J.W. Gaddum, On complementary graphs, Amer. Math. Monthly 63 (1956) 175–177.
- [13] H. Wiener, Structural Determination of Paraffin Boiling Points, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 69 (1947) 17–20.
- [14] L. Xu and X. Guo, Catacondensed Hexagonal Systems with Large Wiener Numbers, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 55 (2006) 137–158.
- [15] L. Zhang and B. Wu, The Nordhaus-Gaddum-type inequalities for some chemical indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 54 (2005) 189–194.

Received 14 November 2008 Revised 8 October 2009 Accepted 20 October 2009